Accenture Consulting, 7
Accounting data assumptions and problems, 45
Activity. See also Activity times critical, 294, 333, 352
definition, 293, 333
dummy, 296, 352
earliest finish (EF), 305
earliest start (ES), 304–305
latest finish (LF), 307, 311
latest start (LS), 307
Activity-on-arrow, on-node network (AOA, AON), 294–295, 296, 307, 308, 310, 333, 349, 352, 359–360, 437
format, 294–295, 298, 349
Activity times, 299–304, 317–319. See also Scheduling, and Resource allocation
analytical approach, 79, 106, 322
Archer, 333
Architectural Associates, Inc. (AAI), 378
ARN Amro, 216
ARPANET (Department of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency’s Internet), 11
Association for Project Management (APM), 12
APM Body of Knowledge, 14
Apollo Project, 145
Apollo Project, 145
AT&T, 83, 489, 490
Atlas Intercontinental Ballistic Missile project, 2, 11, 78
Atlantic States Chemical Laboratories (ASCL), 469
Atlas Intercontinental Ballistic Missile, 2, 11
Audits, 163, 426, 459–476, 477, 504
Audit costs, 465
baseline, 470
baseline, data base, 470
control mechanism, 233
cost category vs project activity, 244–246
cost estimation. See Cost estimation decrement, 492, 508
ethics, 99, 119, 131, 459
evaluation, 459, 472–474
goals
ancillary goals, 89, 192, 460–462, 476
direct, 460
purposes of, 460–462
recommendations, 464
levels of, 465
measurement, 474–476
difficulties, 474–476
permission to enter system, 476
negotiation process, 118–120
life cycle, 122
overhead costs, 240. See also Cost estimation policy, 243–244
process, 241–243
reports, 236, 466–468
contents, 467–468
team, 468
team, access to personnel, 474
access to records, 473–474
Australia Opera House, 506
Authority conflicts, 125
Balanced matrix, 153, 154
BART tunnels, 427
Baseline plan, 399
Baseline schedule, 192, 466
BellSouth, 8
Bell Labs, 12
Benefit realization, 1, 31, 505–506, 508
Beta distribution, 272, 275, 303, 322, 323
Bill of Materials, 201, 218
Blue Cross/Blue Shield, 50
BMW, 8
Boeing’s 787 “Dreamliner”, 9
Booz Allen Hamilton, 293
Boston Big Dig, 145, 444
Boston Central Artery/Tunnel, 9, 11, 12
Bottleneck resource, 376
Boulder Dam, 2
Boundary spanners, 157
BPN Paribas, 216
Branch and bound. See Resource allocation
British Petroleum, 98, 269
Budget, 6, 14, 233–279
as plan, 233–234
bottom-up, 238–239, 289
stability, 236
top-down, 237, 281
work element costing, 239–241
Bureau of Labor Statistics, wage and price indices, 254
Burnup and burndown charts, 411
Business case, 30, 31, 32, 192, 462
California Department of Transportation, 349
Career Day Projects, resource usage calendar for, 360
Career ladders, 82
Category-oriented monthly budget, 245
Cause-effect diagram, See Fishbone diagram
Central Limit Theorem, 314n
Ceramic Sciences, 39, 271–278
Certified Public Accountant (CPA), 18
CFM International, 103
Challenger, 489
Champion. See Project, champion or Support from senior Management
Change, 442–447
causes for, 133, 442
technical change procedure, 171
Change control board, 445
Change control system, 443
Change orders, 192, 194, 218, 250, 354, 392, 434, 444, 473, 502, 504
Characteristics of successful projects, 47
Charter, 3, 36, 37, 132–133, 135, 189
Check construction specifications, 200
Chicago-based Commemoration Committee, 85
Chief executive officer (CEO), 82, 92, 146, 152, 157, 271
Child Support Agency, 194
Closure, 488–503
closure manager, 501, 508
duties, 501
decision, 497–498
decision support system (DSS), 498–499
process, 497–503
implementation, 498–503
projects, 495
checklist for, 500
design for project, 499
DSS structure, 499
process, 497–503
project final report, history, 489, 495, 501, 503–505
content, 504–505
purpose, 505
types of closure, 489–492
by addition, 490, 508
by extinction, 489, 508
by integration, 490–492, 508
by murder, 489, 508
by starvation, 492, 508
special aspects of, 491–492
Coca-Cola, 8
Code of Ethics for Project Managers, 40, 99
Collaborating strategy, 129
Command center, 93
Commitment Assessment Matrix, 212
Communications, 77
breath of, 91–92, 94
multicultural, 105
Compadre system, 102
Comparative benefit model, 35
Competitive necessity model, 35, 52
Computer-assisted design (CAD) projects, 253
Computer-based project planning and scheduling (CBPSS) system, 391
Computer paralysis, 411
Configuration management system, 194, 443
Conflict, 6, 10, 14, 15, 80, 81, 101, 118–137, 154, 155, 158, 174, 175–176, 217, 466
avoidance of, 15, 126, 174, 422, 476, 496, 502
by stage of life cycle, 174–175
categories, 122, 123–126
interpersonal, 124, 124, 128
life cycle, 122, 175
partnering/chartering, 125, 131–133
project manager vs. functional manager, 78–80, 85, 124, 156
resolution, 119, 125
scope change, 133–135, 503
sources, 175
stakeholders, 6, 29, 133,
Contingency planning, 106, 175, 250, 263, 507
Contingency reserve, 239
Continuous improvement teams (CIT), 171
Contracts, 119
Contract administrator, 169
Contractual Milestone Summary-Schedule (CMSS), 428
Control, 421–447
characteristics of, 430, 434
cost/benefit ratio, 84, 429
definition, 421
need for, 422–423
on-going process, 423
phase (stage) gates, 217, 431–433
purposes of, 425–426
physical assets control, 425
resources, 426
financial resource control, 426
human resource control, 426
project buffer, 427
optimistic schedule bias, 374
optimistic, pessimistic, most likely estimates, 247, 269
overhead costs, 240, 247
parametric estimating, 234
price changes, 254
rules of thumb, 330, 350
technological shock, 253
uncertainty, 234–237, 247
work element costing, see Budget
Cost overrun, 430
Cost performance index (CPI), 401, 402, 430
Crashing a project, 293, 333, 349–356. See also Activity times, Resource allocation, Trade-offs, and CPM
Cost/time slope, 350, 379
direct costs, 247
earby, 252
equately, 243, 255
improving estimation process, 246–256
learning curve, 251–253
life cycle costing, 236
optimistic, pessimistic, most likely estimates, 247, 269
Cost control chart, 441
Cost estimation, 234–243. See also Risk allowance for waste and spoilage, 254
analogous estimating, 236
budgeting, 247
earnings, 252
economic problems, 243, 255
ethics, 234
ethics, 243–255
improving estimation process, 246–256
learning curve, 251–253
life cycle costing, 236
optimistic, pessimistic, most likely estimates, 247, 269
overhead costs, 240, 247
parametric estimating, 234
price changes, 254
rules of thumb, 330, 350
technological shock, 253
uncertainty, 234–237, 247
work element costing, see Budget
Cost overrun, 430
Cost performance index (CPI), 401, 402, 430
Crashing a project, 293, 333, 349–356. See also Activity times, Resource allocation, Trade-offs, and CPM
Cost/time slope, 350, 379
rules for crashing, 352
Crash schedule, 403
Creativity, 21
management, 446–447
Critical chain, 377
Do early and late starts cancel out?, 375
Why no early starts?, 375
optimistic schedule bias, 374
project buffer, 376
Theory of Constraints, 372, 376
Critical path method (CPM). See Resource allocation; Scheduling
Critical ratio, 430, 438–440
Crystal Ball (CB), 46, 272–378, 322–329, 332
Assumption cells, 273
Distribution Gallery, 273–274
Forecast cells, 273
Cultures, 78, 106, 101–105
definition, 101
Customer relationship management (CRM) software database, 158
Cybernetic control, 427, 428–430, 434, 448
Cutoff rate, See Hurdle rate
Dallas Cowboys, 442
Data. See Monitoring
Decision aiding model, 497. See also Models
Decision-making, by simulation. See Simulation
Decision support system (DSS), 79, 498
Décor Cabinets, 49
Decision aiding model, 497. See also Models
Decision-making, by simulation. See Simulation
Decision support system (DSS), 79, 498
DuPont, Inc., 293
Dummy activities, 296, 352
DSS. See Decision support system
Due diligence, 426, 465
Dummy activities, 296, 352
DuPont, Inc., 293

Earned value analysis, 20, 236, 398–408, 430. See also Monitoring
budget at completion (BAC), 400, 402, 403, 406
calculations, 399–404
chart and calculations, 399–404
Cost Performance Index (CPI), 401–402
Cost-plus pricing, 255
Cost-Schedule Index (CSI), 402
cost variance (CV), 400, 401, 402, 403, 411
earned value (EV), 399, 400, 401–403, 404, 411, 424
estimated cost at completion (EAC), 400, 403, 408
estimated cost to completion (ETC), 402–403
percent completed estimate, 399–400, 467
estimation rules, 399–400
reports, 407–410
EV reports, 407–408, 437
milestone reports, 408–410
resource flow variance, 401
Schedule Performance Index (SPI), 401–402, 403, 430
Schedule variance (SV), 400–404, 411
Time Performance Index (TPI), 401
time variance (TV), 400, 401, 411
updating earned value, 405–407
El. See Employee Involvement
Elanco Animal Health Co., 433
Electric-grid system, 7
Eli Lilly Co., 82n, 293, 433
Emergent planning, 16
Emotional intelligence (EQ) competency, 97–98
Employee Involvement (EI), 135, 241, 443, 464
Enron, 98
Enterprise Project Management, 145
Enterprise Project Management Office (EPMO). See Project Management Office
Enumeration, 370
Environmental impact on project management, 102–103
Ethics, 80, 84, 87, 90, 96–99, 243, 249, 255, 426, 436, 468
audit, 99
code of, 99
doing, 160
of control system, 436
Evaluation, 459, See also Audits
purposes of, 460–462
Event, 333
Excel, 332
Exception reports, 396
Expected monetary value, 269
Expected time, 246, 303, 313
Expediting projects, 354
Expert Choice, 52
External risks, 259
Exxon, 269
Facilitator. See Project manager
Factor scoring models. See Scoring models
Failure. See Project Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), See Risk Management
Fast-tracking, 354–355
Federal Highway Administration, 349
Fiat, 103
Fishbone diagram, 260, 289
First-to-market strategy, 146
Financial audits vs. project audits, 464
Fixed-fee project, 255
Fortis, 216
Free slack, 307
Float. See Slack scheduling
Functional management, 77, 177
Functional project organization. See Organization
Functional/projectized composite organization, 158
Functional/staff organization, 6, 106, 159
Gantt chart, 203, 250, 297–299, 308–313, 333, 359, 373, 433
AOA diagram vs., 360
elements of, 298
relation to AOA and AON, 311
updating, 395
General & Administrative Cost, 280
General Electric Co., 2, 103
Global competition, 15
Global financial crisis, 11, 82
Global Healthcare Project (GHP), 357
Go/no-go controls, 430–434, 448
Goal orientation, 86
Goldratt’s Critical Chain, 372–377
Goldman-Sachs, 98
Governance theory, 29, 56
Government Accountability Office (GAO), 404
Gozinto chart, 201, 218
Gulf oil spill, 11, 98
Habitat for Humanity, 244
Harvard negotiation project, 136
Hewlett-Packard Co., 48
Hierarchical planning, 198–203, 218, 237
process, 198–203
Holistic approach, 152, 156, 177 See also System approach
Human resource accounting, 426
Hurdle rate, 37
Hurricane Katrina, 85
IBM, 8, 12
Idea generation, 21
In-plan projects, 54
Information overload, 411
Information technology (IT), 154
projects, 254
Integration management, 214–217, 218
phase gates, 217
Integrative actions, 104
Iterations, See Sprints
Interdependencies, 24, 29
Interfaces, 127, 138, 157, 159, 203, 207
interface coordination, 214–217
Internal project sponsor, 30
International Aero Engines, 103, 132
Matrix organization, 153–156, 160, 159, 170, 177, 241
advantages/disadvantages, 154–156
authorities conflicts, 125
matrix, 153–156
modern matrix management, 156
strong matrix, 153
weak matrix, 153, 154, 170, 171, 240m, 488
Matrixed project approach, 154
Maturity level. See also Project Management Maturity
Measurement difficulties, 474–476
proportionality rule, 392, 400, 404, 406
subjective/objective, 34
Meetings, 396–398
rules, 397
Mega-projects, 1, 145, 177
Merge bias, 317. See also Scheduling Mergers, 146
Microcultures, 103, 106
Micromanagement, 80, 206, 207
Microsoft, 34
Microsoft Project (MSP), 298, 299, 308–313, 328, 332
calendar for video project, 312
Gantt chart for a video production project, 311, 313
load diagram showing resource conflict, 368
project calendar, 312
resource usage calendar, 359–360
resource need, 367
rescheduling to level producer resource usage without exceeding capacity, 369
variance and earned value reports, 407–408, 467
work calendar, 299
Reports, 407–410
Military weapon systems, 2
Mind map for full-time MBA project, 197
Mind mapping, 195–197, 219
Mindjet MindManager, 196
Mississippi Power, 85
Mixed organization, 177
Models, 56, See Project selection;
Scoring models
Monitoring, 389, 391–394, 398–411, 428
accounting data, 45, 237
data collection procedures, 473
definition, 389
earned value. See Earned value planning, monitoring, controlling cycle, 390–394
closed loop information flow, 390
purpose of, 396
reports, 394–398, 408–410
benefits of, 395–396
final report, 435, 501, 503–505
milestone reports, 408–410
project status or progress, 395, 408, 433
critical input use rule, 400
proportionality rule, 392, 400, 404, 406
timing and frequency of, 395
types of, 396
standards and criteria, 392–394
system design, 391–394
Monte Carlo Simulation. See Simulation
Motivation, 97, 150, 152, 171–173, 374
MTU, 103
Multicultural projects, 105
Multicultural project management, 78, 101–103
compadre system, 102
culture impact on project, 101–103
definitions of culture, 101
elements of culture, 110–102
microcultures, 103
psychosocial needs of project team, 102–103
Multidisciplinary teams (MTs), 214, 216, 217
Multiorganizational projects, 145, 177
Multitasking, 100, 372–373, 376
MUIN Metro Turnback Project, 427–428
Murphy’s Law, 91, 241
Mythical Man Month, 256
Name-Only-Teams (NOTs), 174
NASA, 9, 86, 235, 269
National Cooperative Research Act of 1984, 102, 132
National Ignition Facility (NIF), 424–425
National Project Management (NPM) organization, 83
NCR, 489, 490
Negative feedback loop. See Cybernetic control
Negotiation, 94, 130–131, 160, 207
budget, 241–243
ethics of, 131
nature of, 130–131, 135
partnering/chartering, 125, 131–133
definition, 130
positional, 136, 138
principles and requirements, 135–137
skills, 120
win-win, 16, 119, 135, 136, 137, 138, 176, 241
Neighborhood Health Plan’s (NHP) revenues, 240
Nemours Children’s Hospital, 123
Networks, 293–322, 250, 292, 298, 333, 439
activity-on-arrow, on-node. See Activity-on-arrow, on-node (AOA, AON)
benefits, 292
construction of, 295–297, 299
definition, 294
network slack, 316
path mergers, 317, 323, 325, 330
three-time (probabilistic) duration, 303
terminology, 293–294
New York City Transit Authority (NYCTA), 92–93
New York State Bar Association, 119
Node, m333
Non-projects, 6, 7, 52
Normal probability distribution, 275, 315
Oakville City School District, 32
Objective/subjective measures, 34
Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 190
Office of Naval Research, 154
Olympic Games, 8
Operating necessity model, 35, 52
Opportunity cost, 38
Organization, 145–160
choosing form, 159–160
procedure for choice, 160
composite form, 158–159
advantages/disadvantages, 158
functional, 147–150
advantages/disadvantages, 149–150
matrix, 153–156
advantages/disadvantages, 154–156
project-oriented, 2, 9, 12, 14, 146, 246
reasons for, 146
projectized, 15, 151–154, 159, 162
advantages/disadvantages, 152–154
Organizational Breakdown Structure (OBS), 207
Organizational Process Assets (OPA), 434, 503
Organizational project management, 1, 29–33, 56
Organizational Project Management Maturity Model, 29, 167
Oshkosh Corp., 331
Out-plan projects, 54
Outsourcing, 425
Overdetermined, 358
Paralysis by analysis, 187
Parent organization, 177
Pareto-optimal solution, 130, 134, 138
Pareto optimality. See Conflict
Parkinson’s law, 303
Participative decision making, 190
Participative management, 171, 239, 241
Partnering, 131–132, 134
Path, 294, 333
Payback Period, 37
Pennsylvania Electric Company, 371
Personnel, acquiring and motivating. See Motivation
PERT. See Program evaluation and review technique
Phase gates, 198, 459
Phase-gate project management, 355
Phase, phase-gates, 192, 217, 219, 502
Physical activities, 100
Planned values (PV), 403, 404
Planning, 23, 187–218
adaptive planning, 211
approval, 193
baseline plan, 192
change, change order, 190–191
charter. See Project Charter
discovery-driven planning, 44
elements of, 192–193, 198
evaluation methods, 193
hierarchical (level) planning process, 198–207
importance of, 196, 196
iterative process, 206–207
levels of plan, 193–194
meetings, 191–192
Organizational Breakdown Structure, 207
outside clients, 191–192
phases, phase gates, 192, 198, 217, 220.
See also Control
planning, monitoring, controlling cycle.
See Monitoring
postplanning review, 191
project launch meeting, 191–192
project plan, 31, 32, 33, 160, 189, 219
purpose, 187
risk management. See Risk Management
rolling wave planning, 16, 199
sign-offs, 192, 206, 408
WBS. See Work Breakdown Structure with Gantt Chart, 203, 206
PMBOK. See Project management body of knowledge
PMI. See Project Management Institute
PMO. See Project Management Office
PM Network. See Chapter bibliographies, Most Proj. Mgmt. in Practice items.
Polaris, 293
Political sensitivity and tactics, 92, 96, 243, 490
Pollution control system, 134
Positional negotiation, 136
Power–Interest Grid, 121
Pratt & Whitney, 2, 103
Precedence diagramming, 307–308
Predecessors, 294, 379
Preventable risks, 259
PRINCE2, 13
Principled negotiation, 136,138
Priorities, 125, 134, 135, 176, 377n, 462, 473
Probability tree, 267
Problem oriented individual. See Project team
Procter & Gamble, 33, 82
Problem-finding ability, 88
Problem-oriented, 78, 86
Procurement, 21
Productivity, 506
Pro forma documents, 46, 56
Profit/profitability models, 37–38, 40
advantages/disadvantages, 38
discounted cash flow, 37–38, 39
payback period, 37, 39
Program, 2, 24, 30
Program evaluation and review technique (PERT), 293–319, 368, 399
Program management, 163
Program manager, 177
Project
baseline plan, 194, 233
buffer, 376
champion, 30, 85, 106, 358, 448, 490, 493
change, change orders, 250, 354
controller, 169
coordination, 207, 214–217
definition, 24
deliverables, objectives, 3, 24, 50, 395, 444–445
final report, 489, 501, 503–505 See also Closure
goals, 2–4, 17, 89–90, 460–462
ancillary, 3, 192, 460–461, 476
client expectations, 3
direct, 3, 4, 460
governance, 1, 29–33
history, 503–505
integration, 214–217
meetings, 396–397
nonproject vs., 6–7
predicting success or failure, 494–496, 503
causes of failure, 494–496
project plan, 191, 219
quasi-projects, 7, 16
strategic, 1
success, 1, 4, 35, 192, 460, 505
tactical projects, 105, 156–157
Project budgeting. See Budget
Project Charter, 14, 125, 189, 190, 198, 200, 219, 501, 504, See also Chartering
approval, 191, 192
elements, 192–193
Project Council, 49, 421, 505
Project efficiency, 460
Project execution, 23
Project Management

Project management organization, 79
Project management office (PMO), war room, 15, 421, 434, 435, 441–442, 462, 473
Project management office (PMO), war room, 15, 421, 434, 435, 441–442, 462, 473
Project management organization, 79
Project Management

Project Professional (PMP), 13
Project manager, 3, 12–15, 22, 77–100
acquiring personnel, 85–87
acquiring resources, 84–85
appointment of, 77
authority, 10, 15
career, 10, 81–82, 83
challenges, 15
credibility, 95–96
difference from functional management, 78–80
demands on, 84–94
dealing with obstacles (firefighting), 87–89
extensive communication, 91–92

handling stress, 99–100
maintaining balanced outlook, 91
managing trade-offs, 89–90
motivating personnel, 85–87
diary, 505
ethics, 96–99
fire-fighting, 88
information network, 94
leadership, 96–99
managing trade-offs, 33
management style of, 96–99
multicultural issues, 78, 105. See also Multicultural project management
orientation, problem vs. discipline, 86
political sensitivity, 92, 96
primary tasks, 3, 33
responsibilities, 80–81
in balanced matrix organization, 154
conflict resolution, 125, 136
control of creative activities, 446–447
eyearly warning system, 431, 448
project closure, 492–496
RACI matrix, 207, 219
resource loading and leveling, 362–366
resource scheduling, 368–372
role of, 78–82
stress, 99–100
Project objectives, 2
Project office, war room. See Project management office
Project-oriented organization, 2, 9, 12, 14, 145
Project planning. See Planning
Project portfolio, 30, 33, 56, 163, 165
symptoms of misalignment, 47
Project Portfolio Process (PPP), 49–55
aggregate project plan, 50–52
project categories and criteria, 50–51
breakthrough, 51
derivative, 50
platform, 50–51
R&D, 51
Project proposal, 248
Project reserve, 238, 243
Project review, 459
Project Review Committee, 397
Project scheduling. See Scheduling
Project selection, 28–55, 77, 165, 389
choosing a model, 44–45
definition, 33
risk considerations, 45–46
models
choice of model, 44–45
Discovery-Driven Planning, 44
nonnumeric models, 34–38
numeric models, 37–44
weighted factors, See Scoring Models
profitability models, 37–38
advantages/disadvantages, 38
Real options models, 38–40
scoring models, 40–43, 52, 476
under uncertainty. See Simulation
Project sponsor, 1, 30, 32, 48, 160, 187, 193, 209, 233, 389, 390, 421, 442, 443, 473, 505
Project team, 31, 81, 86–87, 169–172
characteristics, 86
composition, 169
empowerment, 172
advantages, 172
human factors, 171–176
interpersonal conflict, 174. See also Conflict
motivation, 86, 152
problem vs. discipline orientation, 78, 86
team building, 87
Project termination. See Closure
Projectized organization, 152, 154, 162, 177
Proposals. See Project proposal
Q-sort, 35
Qualitative risk analysis, 257, 261–262
Quality function deployment, 437
Quality gates, 198
Quantitative risk analysis, 257, 262
Quasi-project, 7–8, 16
RACI matrix, 207, 219
RAND Corporation, 12
Readers’ Digest, 187
Real options, 38–40
Red Cross, 93
Reports. See Audits; Monitoring
Request for Proposal (RFP), 248–250, 255, 349, 473
Request for Quotation (RFQ), 249
Requirements traceability matrix, 191
Resource acquisition, 84–85
Resource allocation, 348–478. See also Trade-offs
constrained resource scheduling/ allocation, 366–371
heuristic methods, 367–370, 379
priority rules, 368, 369–370
optimizing methods, 370
critical path method (CPM), 293–319, 349–356, 439. See also Scheduling
cost/time slope, 350
fast-tracking, 217, 354–355
Resource allocation problem, 356–359
system constrained, 358
time/resource limited, 358
Resource leveling, 362–366
cost implications, 362
procedure, 362–364
purpose, 362
uncertainty, 365–366
  crash, 350, 350
  definition, 359
  normal, 350
Resource scarcity, 356–358
  finding system capacity, 365–366
Responsibility matrix. See RACI matrix
Reserve analysis, 238, 316
Restructuring, 100
Return on input, 18
Return on investment (ROI), 38
Risk, 11, 12, 14, 15, 24, 31, 189
Risk analysis, 322, 477
Risk audits, 264, 465
Risk estimation, 270
Risk identification, 257, 259–261, 366, 421, 444, 460, 492
dealing with disasters, 279
decision tree analysis, 267–268
Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA), 266–267, 280
group, 192
Monte Carlo simulation. See Simulation
  risk response planning, 263–264
  of changing technology, 351–352
  planning, 258–259
qualitative risk analysis, 257, 261–262
quantitative risk analysis, 257, 262–263
risk monitoring, 264
risk management planning, 189, 190, 257, 258–259
risk identification, 257, 259–261
risk estimation, See Simulation
Risk Management Register, 258, 264–265, 280, 507
Risk matrix, 261–262, 280
Risk monitoring and control, 258, 264
Risk response planning, 257, 262–263
Roget’s International Thesaurus, 130
Rolls Royce, 103
Royal Bank of Scotland, 216
Sacred cow model, 34, 36
SAGE (Semiautomatic Ground Environment air defense system), 11, 78
Scheduling. See also Activity
  baseline schedule, 192
  basic approach, 291
  benefits, 292
critical path, 291–319, 293, 296, 307, 322, 428, 440
  uncertainty of, 313
  critical path method (CPM), 291–319, 348–356. See also Resource allocation
critical time, 304–307
definition, 291
distinction between PERT and CPM, 293
  iterative scheduling, 301
networks. See Networks
  path merger problems, 317, 325, 330
  program evaluation and review technique (PERT), 23, 293–319, 303, 329, 368
  project completion time, 304–307
  uncertainty of, 312–317, 330
  related conflict, 127
  simulating project completion time, 322–326
  slack, 293, 306–307, 310, 316, 362
terminalogy, 293–294,
  Scope, 3, 5, 8, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 31, 189, 190, 191, 192, 194, 208, 211, 372, 390, 391, 393, 421, 422, 445, 450, 463, 467, 493
  Scope change, 443, 389, 503, See also Change, causes
  Scope creep, 87, 187, 194, 254, 263, 350, 437, 439, 443, 444
  Scoring models, 40–43
  advantages/disadvantages, 43
  weighted factor, 40–43, 44
  Selection. See Project selection
  Self-awareness, 97–98
  Self-directed work teams (SDWTs), 171, 173, 437
  Self-esteem, 86
  Self-management, 98, 210, 212
  Self-organizing teams, 209
  SEMATECH, 102, 132
  Semiautomatic Ground Environment (SAGE) air defense system, 11, 78
  Sensitivity analysis, 278–279, 281
  Sign-offs. See Planning
  Ceramic Sciences simulation, 271–278
  Monte Carlo, 46, 271–279
  project completion time, 322–326
  Risk estimation using simulation analysis, 270
  risk profile, 270 322. See also Beta distribution
  vs. traditional statistics, 329–330
  Six Sigma, 9, 11, 171, 432, 460, 464
  DMAIC methodology, 432
  projects, 9
  Skunk Works, 151
  Slack scheduling, 310, 316, 362
  “Smart-grid” electrical system, 7
  Snecma, 103
  Social awareness, 98
  Spanish Banco Santander, 216
  Spending variance, See Cost variance
  Sprints, 209, 219
  Stage gates, 198, 261, 431, 436, 437
  Stakeholders, 5, 7, 14, 15, 24, 29, 31, 32, 77, 81, 96, 101, 118, 119, 120–122, 123, 124, 130, 135, 189, 193, 261, 265, 389, 393, 442, 443, 461
  Stakeholder issue log, 121
  Stakeholder register, 121
  Statement-of-work, See Work statement
  Statistical Analysis Systems’ (SAS)
    PROC IML, 319
  Steering committee, 233
  Strategic projects, 29
  Strategy risk, 259
  Stress, 112
  Student syndrome, 372, 376
  Subcontract, 177
  Subjective measures, 34
  Subjective probabilities, 46
  Suboptimize, 9, 24, 150, 177
  Success, 460
  factors leading to, 494–495
  Successors, 294
  Super Collider Project, 497
  Supply chain management, 11, 425
  Support from senior management, 28, 30, 78, 86, 132, 495
  Support services manager, 169
  Sustainability, 36
  System
    approach, 79, 106
    architect, 169
    definition, 79
  System constrained, 358
Tactics, political, 86. See also Political sensitivity
Taipei 101 tower, 37
Task, 2, 24
difficulty, 125
Team building. See Project team
Technical Assistance Group (TAG), 240n
  Technical credibility, 95
  Technical objective, of project, 126
  Technological forecasting, 21
  Technological shock, 253
  Technology, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 34
  Termination. See Closure
  Test engineer, 169
  Texaco, 8
  Texas Instruments, 158, 410
  Time contingency reserve, 203
  Time management, 507
  Time-to-market, 11
  Time reserve, 239, 316
  Toll gates, 198, 431
  Top management support. See Support from senior management
  Total engineering resource profile, 371
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total quality management (TQM)</td>
<td>11, 132, 135, 171, 443, 460, 464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total slack</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade-offs</td>
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<td>and life cycle</td>
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>Transcanada</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transdisciplinary teams</td>
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<tr>
<td>Transdisciplinary projects</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Security Administration</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangular distribution. See Risk management</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Constraint</td>
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<tr>
<td>Tuckman ladder</td>
<td>87</td>
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>distinct areas of</td>
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<tr>
<td>project completion time</td>
<td>312–317</td>
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<tr>
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<tr>
<td>Unconstrained constraints</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Cincinnati</td>
<td>147–148 organization chart, 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Energy</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. National Archive and Records Administration (NARA)</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Navy</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>Venture team</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>VersionOne</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ViewStar Corporation</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual positions</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual projects</td>
<td>16, 156–157, 217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Street Journal</td>
<td>15, 299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War room. See Project management office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfall approach</td>
<td>208, 210, 211, 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-brain approach</td>
<td>194–195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Foods Market</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window-of-opportunity analysis</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Win-lose proposition</td>
<td>84, 129, 130, 137, 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window of opportunity</td>
<td>44, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Win-win solution</td>
<td>130, 135, 136, 137, 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as Gantt chart</td>
<td>201, 298, 321, 410, 437, 439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forms of</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gozinto chart</td>
<td>201, 218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uses</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workflow board</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work packages, units</td>
<td>2, 24, 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work sampling</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work statement</td>
<td>219, 445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Book</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xcel Energy</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yunio</td>
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<tr>
<td>Zero-sum game</td>
<td>6, 118, 237</td>
</tr>
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