WHY DOESN’T THIS book jump right into the tips for effective online and blended learning? Because using the tips depends on an understanding of how people learn, or pedagogy. Pedagogy is the science of how to design and teach so that students experience lasting and significant learning. The most important missing element in the preparation of many higher education faculty is a foundation in teaching and learning principles and practices. This chapter attempts to help you build that foundation, one that will have long-lasting impact as you journey toward becoming an expert in online and blended teaching and learning.

The first part of the chapter focuses briefly on a big-picture perspective of higher education and its near-term future. This perspective will be valuable for understanding the context within which to interpret and possibly adapt the new gadgets and movements that often promise silver bullet solutions. Some of the new emerging ideas are grounded in solid pedagogical theories, holding great promise. Other ideas are simply variations of traditional practices that still need a great deal of refinement and work. Unfortunately, some of these emerging new trends are truly ineffective strategies, grounded in outmoded and ineffective teaching practices.

Secondly, this chapter provides a quick look at the most influential and meaningful learning theorists. The learning theories of these giants can truly help guide you into designing and teaching courses well. We know that most faculty have little patience or tolerance for spending time learning practices and principles not of their own professional discipline, but knowing just a little about these theorists will help build a long-lasting,
effective personal philosophy of teaching. The brief sketches of the key constructivist learning theories and theorists illustrate the thinking that have shaped and inspired many of the tips, principles, and practices in this book.

Additionally, to encourage thinking about the future of digital learning environments, one of the sections highlights the major differences between a mostly digital course and a mostly face-to-face course. We then provide an overview of the four phases of a course—course beginnings, the early middle, the late middle, and the closing weeks—and the happenings, themes, and behaviors that normally occur in those course stages.

In summary, this chapter serves as one of the foundation chapters to Chapters Six through Nine, where you will find tips, suggestions, and guidelines on how to create and deliver an efficient, effective, and satisfying course.

Preparation to Teach in the Online and Blended Environments

As the demand for online programs has increased over the past several decades, deans and department chairs have often turned to their faculty and simply assigned them to online courses without much support or training. The expectation is that faculty will use whatever resources are available on campus from technology centers or teaching centers and learn to use online tools: course management systems, synchronous collaborative “live” classrooms, and working with and assessing media of all types, including audio, video, and images. Many institutions do acknowledge the need for time and for assistance, but as the tools are becoming easier to use and more widely dispersed generally, getting time and assistance to learn how to teach online—and to redesign a course for the new online environment—is increasingly difficult. These expectations reflect a belief that teaching online is not much different from teaching in a face-to-face environment. This is not the case. Teachers who are effective in the face-to-face environment can be effective as online teachers, but it is not automatic, and it does not happen overnight.

Uh-Oh. What Did I Say I Would Do?

We’ve all done it at one time or another: agreed to do something and then found ourselves wondering how we were going to do it. Many faculty find themselves in this state of concern and trepidation when they agree to teach a course listed as either an online or blended course. Just minutes
later, they often wonder what they have agreed to do. Minutes after that, they experience confusion and even fear, feeling clueless about what the first step might be. Even experienced campus faculty feel a little nervous about teaching with the new digital tool set for the first time. Faculty may feel alone, thinking that everyone else knows exactly how to prepare and teach online, while they can’t even begin to know what questions to ask or of whom.

Well, how hard can it be? A common practice for teaching in the face-to-face environment is to use the syllabus and notes from someone who has taught the course before. This often happens when a mentor hands a new instructor a large binder with his notes and says, “Go forth and teach.” For a new course, the strategy is a bit more complex. The instructor must determine the goals and learning outcomes for the course learners, explicitly define the content of the course, review textbooks or resources that map to the learning outcomes, order the resources (probably a textbook), and plan the assessments and assignments and experiences so that students achieve the learning outcomes. Do the steps in preparing a course for the digital environment map to these steps, or is there more to do? This chapter answers these questions.

Is This You?

The current cadre of faculty teaching online includes the following major categories of faculty: a tenured faculty member with decades of teaching experience; an assistant professor facing the need to teach, do research, and meet tenure requirements; an untenured faculty member with a heavy teaching load; and a part-time adjunct with content expertise and a touch of teaching experience.

You have been teaching for five, ten, or even twenty to thirty years. You are an expert in your subject area, but not in technology or in the pedagogy of how to ensure learning in different environments. You wish you had someone who could walk you through the steps in preparing a course for online students. You wish you knew which of your face-to-face teaching strategies and behaviors will work well in the online environment and what new behaviors and strategies you need to learn.

Or you may be a tenure-track faculty member who must focus on meeting tenure requirements. You do not have the time or the energy to develop all the new skills associated with teaching online. You wish there was a way to reduce the amount of time and energy spent teaching, but you also would like the learners in your online courses to enjoy learning with you.
You have been assigned to teach your course online as part of a larger program degree online offering. Are there ways to teach online but within defined time and technology knowledge parameters?

Or are you an adjunct faculty member who will be teaching an occasional course online? In a weak moment, you volunteered. You are excited about the opportunity to teach a course online because you enjoy teaching; you enjoy the dialogue and relationships you build with students, but you don’t enjoy the hassles of getting to campus and parking late at night. How can you—with limited time and expertise—create and develop an online course that students will love?

This book can help you achieve your goals. But to get us started—just what is a course, anyway?

### The Definition of a Course

We often assume that as faculty, we know what a course is and what pedagogy, the study of teaching, is. But do we? Sometimes it is helpful to review the origins of the terms that we use every day. Particularly as we move to new learning environments, assumptions as to how we structure teaching and learning, the purposes of learning, and the resources and time for learning are worth a new look.

For example, the following definition of *pedagogy* by Basil Bernstein, a British sociologist and linguist, captures the key elements of the teaching and learning experience. It also suggests some interesting possibilities as to the means of instruction other than the faculty member, particularly in our world of learning objects, tutorials, simulations, and mobile everything. The italics are to highlight the key elements to consider.

Pedagogy is a sustained process whereby *somebody(s) acquires new forms or develops existing forms of conduct, knowledge, practice and criteria from somebody(s) or something* deemed to be an appropriate provider and evaluator. Appropriate either from the point of view of the acquirer or by some other body(s) or both [Bernstein, in Daniels, 2007, p. 308].

This definition highlights the three essential elements of teaching and learning: (1) a learner, (2) someone or something appropriate who is guiding or directing the learner, and (3) the acquisition of attitude, knowledge, or practice by the learner. The element of “someone or something” leaves open the possibility of learning being guided by a “something,” which might include resources such as texts, tutorials, simulations, virtual worlds,
or even robots. This will be very common in our future world. It is also worth noting that pedagogy, as defined here, requires a sustained process, which needs a context or an environment; that is, a place of learning. In higher education, a course provides that context, and the sustained process is a series of learning experiences in a course. This leads us to the following operational definition of a course that captures the elements of learners and their experiences, mentoring and assessment by an instructor, time, and earned credit or record of some type.

A course is a set of learning experiences within a specified time frame, often between six and fifteen weeks, in which learners, mentored by an instructor, expect to develop a specific set of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. Learners are then assessed as to whether they achieve these goals and are assigned a grade for academic credit.

This description of a course provides the backdrop for a course design that focuses on a learner and his or her learning outcomes.

The definition of a course varies depending on your role either as a student or instructor. From a student perspective, a course is a set of requirements and expectations, often including meetings, that results in learning new knowledge, skills, or attitudes and counts toward a degree or certificate that certifies a certain level of competency or skill. Students often get stressed regarding the time needed to complete course requirements and develop the level of competencies required to earn appropriate credit.

From an instructor’s viewpoint, teaching a course requires time and expertise over a specific span of time. A common faculty concern is a question of workload: “How much time does it take to design, develop, and deliver a three-credit online course, and will I have time for my other responsibilities?” Generally a three-credit campus course represents from 20 to 25 percent of a full-time faculty’s workload, or about eight to ten hours a week. Thus, after an initial investment of time, developing new habits, resourcing of tools and materials, and completing course redesign, the goal is that an online course will not require more than eight to ten hours a week of a faculty’s time. Is this possible? Yes.

Some of the preparation required and the tool learning is dependent on the percentage of a course that is online and the percentage that uses the traditional face-to-face model with a digital component; that is, a blended course. The definition of whether a course is an online or blended course is addressed in the section on “Types of Online and Blended Courses.” Preparation effort and time is also dependent on the teaching and assessment strategies used in achieving student outcomes in updated courses.
How Do Online and Blended Courses Differ from Traditional Courses?

The differences between traditional courses and online and blended courses are getting smaller and smaller for two reasons. First of all, technology advances have made synchronous meetings and gatherings much easier. This means that faculty and students can interact in real time, close to the interactions in a traditional classroom. Secondly, understanding about how we learn from brain and cognitive research has resulted in more active teaching and learning strategies, no matter which environment is being used.

There still are differences, and designing a fully online or blended digital environment is easier if you approach the design task with the characteristics that follow in mind. Notice that this list is organized according to the four key elements of teaching experiences—learner, faculty mentor, content, and environment, plus the assessment element.

1. The faculty role shifts to more coaching, guiding, and mentoring. In the newer digital, twenty-first-century environments, there is much less “telling” on the part of the instructor. Rather than preparing fifty-minute lectures, instructors prepare short concept introductions and challenging, concept-focused discussions, monitor discussions, manage student interactions, and support students’ creative work. This means that an instructor assumes a predominately coaching, mentoring, guiding, and directing learning role. Constructivist theory posits—and research supports—that learners must construct their own knowledge base. It is more effective for students to follow their own lines of thinking and inquiry by talking to peers and immersing themselves in resources, rather than listening to the delivery of content from an instructor for long periods. Research is supporting this pedagogical theory, indicating that lecturing alone, without periodic questioning or discussion, is an ineffective way of learning. In most lectures, learners are too passive for much higher-level learning to occur (McKeachie, Pintrich, Lin, & Smith, 1986; Wieman, 2008; Svinicki & McKeachie, 2011). This shift means that you as an instructor do not have to invest time preparing for live lectures. Your teaching time shifts to preparing recorded or written mini-lectures and resource introductions, preparing facilitation and community building experiences, and monitoring and guiding students in their learning experiences.

2. Learners are more active and direct more of their own learning experiences. While course design is the major influencer of how actively
students direct their own learning, online course designs tend to place more emphasis on student choice and personal learning decisions. Learners’ dialogue and activity are often higher in online courses. Learners must do more thinking, writing, doing, sharing, reflecting, collaborating, and peer reviewing as part of a community of learners. Students often come to a campus class without completing the reading assignment and expect that the instructor will enlighten them, saving them time. Learners in an online course cannot similarly hide passively. If they have not prepared and processed the content prior to posting their discussion responses, that shortcoming is evident to everyone. Learners are therefore motivated to complete the readings to interact well with the others. This change means that faculty must design discussion forums with effective catalyst discussion questions before the course begins.

3. **Content resources are flexible and virtually infinite.** This content characteristic is now the same for any type of course; the main distinction for online and blended courses tends to be that students in online and blended courses likely use a greater variety and sourcing of content resources. This is probably linked to the greater self-direction described earlier. This characteristic has pros and cons for all students. Self-directed students have more freedom, although not necessarily more time, to search out and use content resources that support effective building of their own knowledge base. At the same time, the greater abundance and diversity of content media of all types probably means that students focus less and process content less deeply. Also, as content resources are now increasingly mobile, resources are accessible on smartphones, iPods, tablets, and other small, mobile devices. This means that learners have many more options than in the past as to when, where, and with whom they work on course goals. Too much flexibility can be overwhelming, so establishing a weekly rhythm with regular, rigorous milestones is essential. In addition to the usual mix of required, highly recommended, and other resources, students will be suggesting and contributing and creating additional content resources. The core learning principle on content in Chapter Two discusses this in more detail.

4. **Learning environments for gathering and dialogue are primarily asynchronous with occasional synchronous meetings.** Online and blended class discussions are primarily asynchronous—available at different times depending on the learner’s physical location, rather
than synchronous in real time at the instructor’s location of choice. Since online discussions are asynchronous and require learners’ comments and statements, there is an expectation that learners reflect on what they have learned from the resource assignments before they come to class (online) to participate in the course activities, such as posting their reflections in the discussion areas. Online classrooms now provide opportunities for synchronous gatherings, but good online practice uses these gatherings for consensus-building discussions, question-and-answer sessions, peer critiques, collaborative project work, and presentations—anything that is less reflective work.

5. **Assessment is continuous.** Assessment in online courses should be continuous, multiphased with community input rather than episodic, concentrated, and focused on the individual-faculty dialogue (Moallem, 2005). This is pedagogically beneficial and makes cheating and other forms of fraud more difficult. Assessment in any course improves when instructors get to know learners as individuals and invest time in coaching and mentoring. In online and blended course designs students also get to know themselves as learners and also benefit from other students’ learning work. Most online course assessments are not closed-book tests and thus do not require proctoring, which eliminates a whole range of potential challenges. Rather, assessment in online and blended courses generally uses a combination of low-stakes automated quizzes; frequent, regular postings in discussion forums; short papers; case study practices and analyses; and customized projects.

Although these are the primary differences in online and blended courses, all courses are still more similar than different. Also, with the growing popularity of blended courses combining online and traditional elements, all courses are actually becoming even more similar. This means that a good way of beginning your own personal growth toward being an expert online instructor is to shift your campus course to a blended environment that combines online, technology-rich activities and resources with active learning strategies that involve the class community.

**Types of Online and Blended Courses**

Table 1.1 describes four general types of courses. The first type of course listed is the traditional face-to-face course that still meets regularly in some shared physical space. In the first edition of this book, this type of course had virtually no digital components. We are now rapidly approaching a
time in which there are no traditional face-to-face courses; all courses will use some digital gathering and communications tools and spaces such as those offered by course management systems. The traditional face-to-face course now frequently uses digital communications for distributing digital course documents, such as syllabi, readings, and assessed with proctored tests, papers, or projects. The course may use a course site for handouts and emergency communications. This type of course offering is decreasing as all courses become blended or hybrid offerings.

The next type of course is described as “lightly blended or hybrid courses.” We wish we had a better term for these courses, but this term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of Content Delivered Online</th>
<th>Type of Course</th>
<th>Typical Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None to 14 percent</td>
<td>Traditional face-to-face campus course</td>
<td>Course with little or no content delivered online; regular and frequent weekly synchronous gatherings; content delivered orally, with assigned meetings and readings, and assessed with proctored tests, papers, or projects. The course may use a course site for handouts and emergency communications. This type of course offering is decreasing as all courses become blended or hybrid offerings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 39 percent</td>
<td>Lightly blended or hybrid course; might also be called a flipped course</td>
<td>Course that uses technology to facilitate what is essentially a face-to-face course. Uses a course management system to post the syllabus and assignments and lectures, for example. Similar to face-to-face courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 79 percent</td>
<td>Blended or hybrid</td>
<td>Course that blends online and face-to-face delivery. A substantial proportion of the content is delivered online; typically uses online discussions and has some, but fewer face-to-face or synchronous meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 percent or more</td>
<td>Online/MOOCs</td>
<td>A course where most or all of the content is delivered online. These courses are shifting to regularly include synchronous online meetings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Boettcher and Conrad (2004) and Allen and Seaman (2008)

Note: Percentages were changed in this edition to reflect changing practices in blended, flipped, and MOOC courses and trends.
tries to capture the fact that the percentage of time for face-to-face meetings is decreasing and that more teaching and learning experiences are designed and offered using digital tools and resources. These courses might also be described as “flipped” courses when lectures are digital and available asynchronously 24/7 and face-to-face gatherings are used for discussion and collaborative problem-solving.

The third type of course is one firmly planted in the blended or hybrid mode. In blended courses, the times that teaching and learning experiences are synchronous using shared physical spaces might be a two- to three-hour session every two or three weeks. All other teaching and learning discussion, brainstorming, and engagement are digital, often using the tools and spaces of a course management system.

The fourth category of online courses includes those courses in which most or all of the content is delivered online and that rely very heavily on asynchronous (at different times) discussions and occasional synchronous meetings.

Note that the description of the four types of courses has been shifting over the last fifteen to twenty years. We are rapidly approaching a time in which there are no traditional face-to-face courses; all courses will use some digital gathering and communications tools and spaces such as those offered by course management systems.

The table is intended to serve only as a way of talking about the type of course you will be designing. For example, the table defines an online course as having few face-to-face or synchronous meetings, but many programs are designed with frequent synchronous digital gatherings and occasional face-to-face gatherings for introductory, assessment, or celebratory meetings. Some research (Means et al., 2010) suggests that the preferred and most effective model, if possible, is a course that is a blend of asynchronous, synchronous, and face-to-face gatherings.

Given the variables of gathering times, places, and asynchronous and synchronous tools and resources that are available to support teaching and learning strategies, it might be best to categorize courses within a continuum of digital instruction featuring flipped courses, blended/hybrid courses, online courses, MOOCs (massive open online courses). As can be deduced from Table 1.1, all of the types of courses we offer contain some or all of the characteristics of digital instruction: (1) online availability of content, (2) online quizzes and assessments, (3) video and audio resources, (4) online forums, and (5) peer and self-assessment. Glance, Forsey, and Riley (2013) provide more descriptions of these elements in their article on the pedagogical foundations of MOOCs.
And speaking of MOOCs, a word is probably in order. What exactly are MOOCs, and what educational need will they be meeting in the higher education landscape? Very briefly, MOOCs accommodate large numbers of students that generally cannot be managed or accommodated in the traditional campus course model. Here are some of the major characteristics of MOOCs: they are open to anyone who registers (usually at no cost); the primary content resource is videos of professors delivering lectures; forums for students to discuss ideas with others are available; little or no self-assessment or certification. If there is a certification badge of some sort, there are usually costs associated with that assessment process. It is very early in the evolution of MOOCs, and just how they will evolve is uncertain, but it is likely they will successfully play a twofold role in higher education. First, they may help to satisfy the need for continuing lifelong professional development. Second, they may provide a path to higher learning for those who cannot afford to pay and who may be able to prove competency without certification. Another potential benefit may be that innovations discovered for MOOCs might inform traditional campus models.

The tips offered later in this book can be applied or adapted to any type of online or blended course, including MOOCs.

The Four Stages of a Course

Now that we have discussed how courses differ, let’s consider how they are the same. Each course has a minimum of four distinct stages: Course Beginnings, Early Middle, Late Middle, and Wrap Up. This is reflected in how the four elements of learner, faculty-mentor, content, and environment interact and flow within teaching and learning experiences. Chapter Five describes in detail what is happening in each of these stages and delves more deeply into the themes, behaviors, and tools for accomplishing the goals of each of these stages.

Learning Theories and Theorists

The principles, practices, and tips in this book are grounded in learning theory, principles, and research. More specifically, the tips in this book build extensively on constructivism, the philosophy that learners actively construct and create their personalized knowledge structures from the interaction of three inputs: what they already know; what they pay attention to in their environment, including language, people, and images; and
what they process deeply. The constructivism philosophy is the foundation of how we view learning and how our minds work. Closely related to constructivism is the social theory of learning, which emphasizes the role of the context or environment of learning.

Figure 1.1 presents a few of the more significant theories and theorists that inspired this integrated view of constructivism and social (situated) learning. These sketches of key learning theorists are in no particular order other than generally chronological. We have also attempted to show relative relationships and linkages among these theorists who are so important to the tips. There are many other truly significant theorists and theories, but all cannot be profiled in this chapter. However, many of these others will be mentioned in the principles, practices, and tips.

For more information on the learning theorists mentioned here and any others that are not, the Theories into Practice database developed by Greg Kearsley and now integrated into www.instructionaldesign.org is an excellent resource. This database contains descriptions of over 50 theories relevant to human learning and instruction, descriptions of learning concepts, and important domains of learning.

**Lev Vygotsky (1896–1934): Theory of Social Development**

Vygotsky is a twentieth-century Russian psychologist, linguist, and philosopher whose work became accessible in the mid-1960s when it was translated into English. His theory is usually referred to as a social development theory because a major theme in his theoretical framework is that social interaction plays an essential role in the development of cognition. His work also included significant investigations into the processes of concept acquisition that led to a study of problem-solving strategies. Perhaps his best-known concept is the zone of proximal development (ZPD), which defines for each individual the state of readiness for learning. The formal definition of the zone is “the distance between the actual development level as determined by independent problem solving and the level of potential development as determined through problem solving under adult guidance or in collaboration with more capable peers” (Vygotsky, 1978, p. 86). It is always interesting to think about the similarities of Vygotsky’s thinking with his contemporaries, the Swiss psychologist Jean Piaget and John Dewey, the giant of American psychological thought (whose profiles follow). The writings of van der Veer (1996) suggest that Vygotsky and Piaget definitely were in contact with each other, but that language and geography barriers prevented regular contact to resolve differing perspectives. What is worth focusing on, we believe, are their shared core concepts of the staging of learning and the importance of context in learning.
FIGURE 1.1
Influential Learning Theorists

LEV VYGOTSKY (1896–1934)
1. Theory of Social Development – encourages small teams and groups.
2. Zone of Proximal Development – Small window of readiness and opportunity suggests choices and personalization, and close monitoring.

JOHN DEWEY (1859–1952)
1. Experiential Learning – characterized by interaction and continuity. Encourages hands-on collaborative activities and projects.
2. Development of reflective, creative, responsible thought as primary aim of education.

JEAN PIAGET (1896–1980)
2. Learning occurs with two processes: assimilation and accommodation – requires active use of content and time for processing.

JOHN SEELY BROWN (1940 - )
2. Concept of collective social mind – promotes community and collaborative work.

JEROME BRUNER (1915 - )
1. Learning as an active process, adding to learner’s existing knowledge – promotes discovery and problem-solving.
2. Concept of scaffolding, designing experiences to match learner’s state of readiness – encourages options and personalization, relationship with learners.

WHAT THEY SHARE
1. Emphasis on learner discovery, active engagement with content and others

ALBERT BANDURA (1925 - )
1. Social learning theory – we learn by observing others. Suggests use of models, case studies, examples.
2. Self-efficacy – belief in oneself to take appropriate actions. Suggests learning as attitudinal as well as cognitive growth.

JEAN LAVE (1939 - )
1. Social learning theory – Learning is a cognitive process that takes place in a social context. Learning includes elements of observation, extraction of ideas, and decision-making. Suggests need for observation, reflection, and decision-making.

WHAT THEY SHARE
1. Learning is cognitive activity within a social context observing modeling behaviors

ROGER SCHANK (1946 - )
1. Schema Theory – scripts, plans, mental models to describe knowledge structure. Suggests use of context, patterns, relationships.
2. Curriculum Design – Promotes combining learning by doing experiences with mentoring.

K. ANDERS ERICSSON (1947 - )
1. Need for deliberate practice to acquire expertise. Argues for monitored practice in varied and multiple contexts to develop expertise. Suggests use of examples with evaluative activities.

ELLEN LANGER (1947 - )
1. Mindful Learning – Learning requires mindful engagement with content. Noticing distinctions, the novel within the familiar, and the familiar within the novel. Argues for thoughtful reflections.

WHAT THEY SHARE
1. Learning is cognitive activity within a social context observing modeling behaviors

WHAT THEY SHARE
1. Emphasis on developing critical reasoning skills
2. Focus on engaging with content and influence of context
John Dewey (1859–1952): Experiential Learning

John Dewey, an American philosopher, psychologist, and educational reformer, was a major proponent of experiential learning in the first half of the twentieth century. He foresaw an active and collaborative student experience that, almost a hundred years later, we finally have the tools and shared collective acceptance to implement. Dewey emphasized the unique and individualized nature of interaction in the learning experience. He believed, as do many constructivist theorists, that learners construct new knowledge based on previous knowledge and that experiences are unique to each learner. Dewey promoted the active participation of the learner in the learning environment, and he championed the role of an instructor as a facilitator or mentor.

Dewey focused his ideas on developing what he believed to be the aims of education: the development of reflective, creative, responsible thought. In his 1933 treatise, How We Think, Dewey said, “We state emphatically that, upon its intellectual side, education consists of the formation of wide-awake, careful, thorough habits of thinking” (p. 78). This single sentence, which captures the essence of Dewey’s thinking, sets forth one of the ultimate goals of education. Another key concept in Dewey’s work is that interaction and continuity are the two primary characteristics of effective teaching and learning experiences. The characteristic of interaction reinforces the importance of dialogue and communication and engagement in learning; the characteristic of continuity reinforces the perspective that the individual learner must be viewed as the key design element.

Jean Piaget (1896–1980): Theory of Genetic Epistemology or Origins of Thinking

A twentieth-century Swiss psychologist and natural scientist, Piaget is best known for his stage theory of child development, beginning with the sensorimotor stage (0 to 2 years), preoperational thinking (3 to 7 years), concrete operations (8 to 11 years), culminating in abstract thinking in the formal operations stage (ages 12 to 15 years). Piaget called his general theoretical framework “genetic epistemology” because he was primarily interested in how cognitive knowledge, including moral reasoning, develops in humans. In his view, cognitive development consists of a constant effort to adapt to the environment in terms of the processes of assimilation (adding information to existing knowledge structure) and accommodation (modifying a knowledge structure to accommodate new information). In this sense, Piaget’s theory is similar in nature to the constructivist
perspectives of Vygotsky and Jerome Bruner (whose profile follows), including an emphasis on context and environment. Another concept central to Piaget’s theory is cognitive structures, which he defined as patterns of physical or mental action that underlie specific acts of intelligence and correspond to stages of child development. These cognitive structures are similar to the schemas of Roger Schank and the concepts of mental models (Schank & Abelson, 1977). Piaget’s theories have been used extensively in the development of logic and math programs, providing a planned sequence or spiraling of instruction, from simple to more complex. Seymour Papert, the MIT mathematician who developed the Logo programming language for children, worked with Piaget in the 1950s and 1960s. Papert expanded on Piaget’s thinking with a focus on how children build knowledge structures through a progressive internalization of actions, or making things.

Jerome Bruner (1915–): Constructivism

Jerome Bruner is an American educational psychologist who is a senior research fellow at the New York University School of Law. As a constructivist, Bruner’s work incorporates strong support for discovery learning. He believes that mastery of the fundamental ideas of a field involves not only the grasping of general principles, but also the development of an attitude toward learning and inquiry, toward guessing and hunches, toward the possibility of solving problems on one’s own (Bruner, 1963). As a constructivist, Bruner emphasizes the active process of discovery and trial and error through which a student can uncover the interrelationships of concepts and ideas (Clabaugh, 2009).

One of Bruner’s best-known statements and one of my favorites is that any subject can be taught to any child at any stage of development if it is presented in the proper manner (Bruner, 1963).

Another oft-used quote is about the usefulness of knowledge. Bruner (1963) stated, “The first object of any act of learning, over and beyond the pleasure it may give, is that it should serve us in the future. Learning should not only take us somewhere; it should allow us later to go further more easily” (p. 17). The focus of this thought is twofold. First, Bruner emphasizes that learning should be purposeful; for example, developing skills to serve us in the future. Second, every time we learn something, we add links or nodes to a cognitive structure on which we can build and link to later; as we grow these connections and nodes, we are able to learn more and to learn faster. In this view, the more one knows, the more one can know, and know quickly. Also attributed to Bruner is the concept of
scaffolding. He observes that it takes a very skilled teacher to structure a learning experience so that the learner discovers new knowledge on his own. This means “scaffolding the task in such a way that assures that only those parts of the task within the child’s reach are left unresolved, and knowing what elements of a solution the child will recognize though he cannot yet perform them” (p. xiv of 1977 edition of The Process of Education). This statement reiterates the importance of design for successful learning experiences.

Bruner’s belief can be summarized as follows: “Learning is an active process in which learners construct new ideas or concepts based on current/past knowledge.” http://www.instructionaldesign.org/theories/constructivist.html

**John Seely Brown (1940–): Cognitive Apprenticeship**

John Seely Brown is best known as the chief scientist at the Xerox Corporation; he directed the company’s Palo Alto Research Center, known as PARC, for twelve years, up to 2000. He is now a visiting scholar and advisor to the provost at the University of Southern California and independent cochairman of Deloitte Center for the Edge. As early as 1991, in a Harvard Business Review article, Brown envisioned how “advanced multimedia information systems” would make it possible to plug into a “collective social mind” (Brown, 1997), laying the groundwork for our thinking about communities in online learning. Brown explored similar ideas about “learning communities capable of generating, sharing, and deploying highly esoteric knowledge” (p. 127) in The Social Life of Information, the book he coauthored with University of California, Berkeley researcher Paul Duguid in 2000. His work on cognitive apprenticeships (Brown, Collins, & Duguid, 1989; Collins, Brown, & Holm, 1991) and learning environments (Brown, 2006) examine how technologies can support problem solving and hands-on learning. A recent article explores how activities within virtual worlds create a “sense of shared space and co-presence which make real-time coordination and interaction not only possible, but a necessary part of the world” (Thomas & Brown, 2009, p. 37). Brown’s latest initiative focuses on the maker movement, which leverages technology and the world economy for making anything and everything. What is a maker? “Broadly, a maker is someone who derives identity and meaning from the act of creation” (Hagel, Brown, & Kulasooriya, 2014, p. 3).

Our students are maturing in the midst of this wave of making; if we can find ways to incorporate “making” into our courses, students will engage with energy and enthusiasm.
Roger Schank (1946–): Schema Theory
Roger Schank was one of the influential early contributors to artificial intelligence and cognitive psychology in the 1970s and 1980s and continues as a major contributor to learning theory and the building of virtual learning environments. His concepts of case-based reasoning and dynamic memory were significant contributions to these fields. The central focus of Schank’s work has been the structure of knowledge, especially in the context of language understanding. He is well known for his work on schema theory—the concepts of scripts, plans, and themes to handle story-level understanding (Schank & Abelson, 1977). Schema theory is similar to the concept of mental models; it is another way of describing knowledge structures and a way of predicting and inferring information from incomplete information. His work in this area extended into developing programs to enable computers to understand and predict what might be coming next.

Schank is now working to design and implement learning-by-doing, story-centered curricula in schools, universities, and corporations. Why is Schank’s work important to learning tips? His work encourages categorizing content knowledge into patterns, relationships, and dependencies. If we identify patterns and relationships, our knowledge structures will be more useful and memorable, and we will be able to see more quickly and clearly the application of knowledge in new situations.

Albert Bandura (1925–): Social Learning Theory
Albert Bandura is best known as the psychologist responsible for learning theories that transition behaviorism and observational learning, also referred to as social learning theory. While behaviorism depends on learning theories of reward and punishment, Bandura researched the power of observational learning, that children could learn from simple observation of others. He is also known for the construct of self-efficacy, the belief in oneself to be able to take appropriate actions.

What does his work contribute to learning design? Observational learning theory suggests the use of models, case studies, examples, and videos of behaviors and actions. The concept of self-efficacy suggests that learning is multidimensional—not just cognitive, but also attitudinal—foreshadowing the emotional intelligence focus of Daniel Goleman (see later profile).

Jean Lave (1939–): Situated Learning Theory
Jean Lave, University of California, Berkeley, is a social anthropologist whose learning theories emphasize the role of the context in which learning occurs. Her situated learning theory suggests that classroom activities
that are abstract and lack context are not effective. Situated learning theory is similar to social learning theory, which describes learning as a cognitive process that takes place in a social context. Her theories may go to the extreme of the emphasis on social learning, as she says, “participation in everyday life may be thought of as the process of changing understanding in practice, that is, as learning” (Lave, 2009, p. 201).

What does her work contribute to learning design? Situated learning theory includes elements of observation, extraction of ideas, decision-making, and reflection. This view of learning sees social interaction as a critical component encouraging learners to become a community of learners espousing certain beliefs and behaviors.

**K. Anders Ericsson (1946–): Expert Performance Theory**

K. Anders Ericsson is a Swedish psychologist widely recognized for his theoretical and experimental research on expertise. One of his most well-known contributions is the framework for development of expertise and the need for “deliberate practice” (Ericsson, 2000). Deliberate practice is more than simply practicing a skill over and over. In Ericsson’s words, deliberate practice “entails considerable, specific, and sustained efforts to do something you can’t do well—or even at all. Deliberate practice involves two kinds of learning: improving the skills you already have and extending the reach and range of your skills. This type of practice usually requires a well-informed coach not only to guide you through deliberate practice, but also to help you learn to coach yourself” (Ericsson, Prietula, & Cokely, 2007, p. 2).

What does this mean for designing learning? It suggests the need for designing monitored practice into varied and multiple contexts to develop expertise. It also suggests the use of many examples across and within a discipline to provide a range of experiences with evaluative activities.

**Ellen Langer (1947–): Theory of Mindful Learning**

Ellen Langer, a professor of psychology at Harvard, has applied the concept of mindfulness to any situation requiring decision-making. She defines mindfulness as having three characteristics: continually creating new categories, openness to new information, and an implicit awareness of more than one perspective (Langer, 1997, p. 4). Mindfulness might be a close relation to critical thinking, encouraging teaching skills and facts set within multiple different contexts.

What does this mean for designing learning? Mindful learning means engaging thoughtfully with the content and questioning positions, values,
and decisions. One strategy to use is to encourage noticing the novel within the familiar, and the familiar within the novel. The value of mindfulness also argues for making time for thoughtful and questioning reflections.

**Daniel Goleman (1947–): Theory of Emotional Intelligence**

Daniel Goleman is the author of a 1995 book, *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*, that caused a rethinking of the skills and traits needed for effective leadership and management. Goleman’s research found that the qualities usually associated with effective leadership—such as intelligence, toughness, determination, and vision—were insufficient. Emotional intelligence, which includes self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skill, is also needed.

What does this mean for designing learning? It suggests that we include dimensions of feeling and attitude in learning experiences. The buzz around this “new” topic encourages a look back to the 1973 affective domain work of Krathwohl, Bloom, and Masia, corresponding to the much more familiar Bloom’s cognitive taxonomy.

**Other Theorists and Influencers**

As previously mentioned, many other significant researchers, theorists, and thinkers have influenced the theory and practices behind the tips in this book. You will come to know many of them in the context of the tips. Before leaving the topic of key influencers, however, we want to call attention to the 2000 work of Bransford, Brown, and Cocking and the Committee on Developments in the Science of Learning that resulted in the book, *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*.

This committee reviewed decades of learning research and identified five themes that are changing our views on the theory of learning:

- Memory and the structure of knowledge
- Problem solving and reasoning
- Early foundations of learning, attempting to answer, “Who knows what, and when?”
- Metacognitive processes and self-regulatory learning processes
- Cultural experience and community participation

These five topics are active research areas that will continue to influence teaching and learning in all environments in the future, and they echo throughout this book.
Summary—and What’s Next

This chapter introduced the key concepts in getting started with online and blended teaching and learning. The big picture of the four stages of a course—course beginnings, early middle, late middle, and closing weeks—helps you envision your course as a series of learning experiences that provide the context for you and your learners to develop a community for learning and developing skills.

With the constructivist landscape in place, the next two chapters describe ten core learning principles and ten—plus four—best practices to guide you as you develop new mental models, habits, and skills for teaching in online and blended environments.

Chapter Two discusses a set of ten core learning principles that capture most of the key principles suggested by constructivist theories and related instructional design approaches. Chapter Three is a summary of a set of best practices for teaching online. The first edition had only ten best practices. It has been expanded to ten plus four to address key recommended practices in design and assessment.

Self-Directed Exercise—Application

Which one to three of the top theorists or theories resonate with you?