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CHEMISTRY OF REACTIVE SPECIES

FREDERICK A. VILLAMENA

1.1 REDOX CHEMISTRY

Electron is an elementary subatomic particle that carries a negative charge. The ease of electron flow to and from atoms, ions or molecules defines the reactivity of a species. As a consequence, an atom, or in the case of molecules, a particular atom of a reactive species undergoes a change in its oxidation state or oxidation number. During reaction, oxidation and reduction can be broadly defined as decrease or increase in electron density on a particular atom, respectively. A more direct form of oxidation and reduction processes is the loss or gain of electrons on a particular atom, respectively, which is often referred to as electron transfer. Electron transfer can be a one- or two-electron process. One common example of a one-electron reduction process is the transfer of one electron to a molecule of oxygen (O\(_2\)) resulting in the formation of a superoxide radical anion (O\(_2^•\)−) (Eq. 1.1). Further one-electron reduction of O\(_2^•\)− yields the peroxide anion (O\(_2^{2−}\)) (Eq. 1.2):

\[
\begin{align*}
O_2 + e_{aq}^- & \rightarrow O_2^•^- \\
O_2^•^- + e_{aq}^- & \rightarrow O_2^{2−} 
\end{align*}
\]

Conversely, two-electron oxidation of metallic iron (Fe\(^0\)) leads to the formation of Fe\(^{2+}\) (Eq. 1.3) and further one-electron oxidation of Fe\(^{2+}\) leads to the formation of Fe\(^{3+}\) (Eq. 1.4). Electrons in this case can be introduced electrochemically or through reaction with reducing or oxidizing agents:

\[
\begin{align*}
Fe^0 & \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + 2e_{aq}^- \\
Fe^{2+} & \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + e_{aq}^-
\end{align*}
\]

Another method by which oxidation state on a particular atom can be altered is through change in bond polarity. Electronegative atoms have the capability of attracting electrons (or electron density) toward itself. Listed below are the biologically relevant atoms according to their decreasing electronegativities (revised Pauling): F (3.98) > O (3.44) > Cl (3.16) > N (3.04) > Br (2.96) > S (2.58) > C = Se (2.55) > H (2.20) > P (2.19). Therefore, changing the electronegativity (or electropositivity) of an atom attached to an atomic center of interest can result in the reversal of the polarization of the bond. By applying the “whose-got-the-electron-rule” will be beneficial in identifying atomic centers that underwent changes in their oxidation states. For example, based on the electronegativity listed above, one can examine the relative oxidation states of a carbon atom in a molecule (Fig. 1.1). Since carbon belongs to group 14 of the periodic table, the carbon atom has 4 valence electrons. When carbon is bonded to an atom that is less electronegative to it (e.g., hydrogen atom), the carbon atom tend to pull the electron density toward itself, making it electron-rich. The two electrons that it shares with each hydrogen atom are counted toward the number of electrons the carbon atom can claim. In the first example, methane has four hydrogen atoms attached to it. Since hydrogen is less electronegative than carbon, all eight shared electrons can be claimed by carbon, but since carbon is only entitled to four electrons by virtue of its valence electron, it has an excess of four electrons, making its oxidation state −4. However, when a carbon atom is covalently bound to a more electronegative atom (e.g., oxygen and chlorine), the spin density distribution around the
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the word “radical” had become a chemical terminology is not clear, but one could only speculate that these groups of atoms that make up a molecule was figuratively referred to as “roots” or basic foundation of an entity. In the early 1900s, early literature referred to metallic atoms as basic radicals and nonmetallic ones as acid radicals, for example, in Mg(OH)$_2$ or H$_2$S, respectively. During this time, radicals are still referred to as group entities that are part of a compound but not until Gomberg had demonstrated during this same time that radicals can indeed exist by themselves as exemplified by his synthesis of the stable triphenylmethyl radical from the reduction of triphenylchloromethane by Zn (Eq. 1.5):  

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl} & \quad \text{Zn} \\
\text{ZnCl}_2 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

In the late 1950s, the electron paramagnetic resonance spectrum of 2 had been obtained, further confirming the radical nature of trityl which can indeed be stable enough to exist by itself and be spectroscopically detected. Radical is defined in modern times as a finite chemical entity by its own that is capable of undergoing chemical reaction. Radicals carry an odd number of electrons in the form of an atom, neutral or ionic molecule. By virtue of Pauli’s exclusion principle, the number of electrons occupying an atomic or molecular orbital is limited to two provided that they have different spin quantum number. This pairing of electron results in the formation of a chemical bond between atoms, existence of lone pair of electron or completion

1.2 CLASSIFICATION OF REACTIVE SPECIES

Definition. Free radicals are integral part of many chemical and biological processes. They play a major role in determining the lifetime of air pollution in our atmosphere and are widely exploited in the design of polymeric, conductive, or magnetic materials. In biological systems, free radicals have been implicated in the development of various diseases. So what are free radicals? The word “radical” came from the Latin word *radix* meaning “root. In the mid-1800s, chemists began to use the word radical to refer to a group of atoms. How

Carbon atom decreases and are polarized toward the more electronegative atoms. In this case, the electrons shared by carbon with a more electronegative atom are counted toward the more electronegative atom. In the case of formyl chloride, only the two electrons it shares with hydrogen can be counted toward the total number electrons the carbon atom can claim since the four electrons it shares with oxygen and the two electrons it shares with chlorine cannot be counted toward the carbon because these electrons are polarized toward the more electronegative atoms. Hence, the carbon becomes deficient in electron density, and by virtue of its four valence electrons, it can only claim two electrons from the hydrogen atom, therefore, the net oxidation state can be calculated to be +2. The increasing positivity of the carbon from methane to formyl chloride indicates oxidation of carbon and therefore, oxidation can now be broadly defined as (1) loss of electron; (2) loss of hydrogen atom; and (3) gain of oxygen or halogen atoms, while reduction can be defined as (1) gain of electron; (2) gain of hydrogen atom; and (3) loss of oxygen or halogen atoms.

"Figure 1.1 Oxidation states of the carbon atom calculated as number of valence electrons for the carbon atom (i.e., $4\, e^{-}$) minus the number of electrons that carbon can claim in a molecule. Order of increasing electronegativity: H < C < O < Cl."
of the inner core nonbonding electrons. For radicals, electrons are typically on an open shell configuration in which the atomic or molecular orbitals are not completely filled with electrons, making them thermodynamically more energetic than atoms or molecules with closed shell configuration or with filled orbitals. For example, the noble gases He, Ne, or Ar, with filled atomic orbitals, 1s\(^2\) (He), 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)2p\(^6\) (Ne), or 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)2p\(^6\)3s\(^2\) (Ar), are known to be inert, while the atomic H, N, or Cl with electron configurations of 1s\(^1\) (H), 1s\(^2\)2s\(^1\)2p\(^6\) (N), and 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)2p\(^6\)3s\(^2\) (Cl) are known to be highly reactive and hence exist as diatomic molecules. Similarly, molecules with open shell molecular orbital configurations are more reactive than molecules with closed shell configuration. For example, hydroxyl radical has an open shell configuration of \(\sigma_{pz}^2 \pi_y^1\), while the hydroxy anion has a closed shell configuration of \(\sigma_{pz}^2 \pi_x^2\pi_y^2\), making the former more reactive than the latter.

### 1.2.1 Type of Orbitals

Radicals can be classified according to the type of orbital (SOMO) that bears the unpaired electron as \(\sigma^-\) or \(\pi^-\)-radicals. Radical stability is governed by the extent of electron delocalization within the atomic orbitals. In general, due to the restricted spin delocalization in the \(\sigma^-\)-radicals, these radicals are more reactive than the \(\pi^-\)-radicals. Examples of \(\sigma^-\)-radicals are \(\text{H}^+\), formyl-, vinyl-, or phenyl-radicals (Fig. 1.2).

Almost all of the radical-based reactive oxygen species (ROS) that will be discussed in this chapter fall under the \(\pi^-\)-type category but each will differ only on the extent of spin delocalization within the molecule. Examples of \(\pi^-\)-radicals with restricted spin delocalization are \(\text{CH}_3\), \(\text{SH}\), and \(\text{HO}^-\) and are relatively less stable than \(\pi^-\)-radicals with extended spin delocalization (e.g., \(\text{HOO}^+\), \(\text{O}_2^-\), and \(\text{NO}\)) (Fig. 1.3).

### 1.2.2 Stability of Radicals

Radicals can also be categorized according to their stability as stable, persistent, and unstable (or transient). Although the terms stable and persistent are often used interchangeably, free radical chemists often agree that persistent radicals refer to the thermodynamic favorability of being monomeric as opposed to being dimeric as formed via radical–radical reaction in solution. Radical-based ROS are not persistent (or stable) making their detection in solution very difficult. ROS detection is commonly accomplished by detecting secondary products arising from their redox or addition reaction with a reagent as will be discussed in Section 1.5. Figure 1.4 shows examples of dimer formation from \(\text{HO}^+\), \(\text{HO}_2^+\), TEMPO, and trityl, and their respective approximate dissociation enthalpies. Rates of ROS decomposition in solution, of course, depend on the type of substrates that are present in solution but lifetimes of these radicals vary in solution since even one of the most stable radicals such as the trityl radical for example is not stable in the presence of some oxido-reductants.

![Figure 1.2](image_url)  
**Figure 1.2** Hydrogen, formyl, and vinyl \(\sigma\)-radicals.

![Figure 1.3](image_url)  
**Figure 1.3** Methyl, thyl, hydroxyl, hydroperoxyl, superoxide, and nitric oxide as examples of \(\pi\)-radicals.

![Figure 1.4](image_url)  
**Figure 1.4** Dissociation enthalpies (\(\Delta H^0\) in kcal/mol) of various dimers showing nitroxide to be the most stable radical and the methyl radical being the least stable.
Classification of reactive species is sometimes cumbersome since, for example, a number of molecules contain more than one atom whose oxidation states are altered during reaction. Nitric oxide (NO), for example, can react with hydroxyl radical (HO•) to form nitrous acid (HNO₂), but in order to classify whether NO is a reactive nitrogen or oxygen species, one has to carefully examine the oxidation states of the relevant atoms of the reactants and the product (Fig. 1.5).

Using the “whose-got-the-electron-rule” mentioned earlier, one can assign the oxidation states for each of the species involved in the transformation. The nitrogen atom of NO underwent an oxidation since its oxidation state has increased from +2 to +3 in HNO₂, while the oxygen of HO• (not of NO) underwent reduction (from −1 to −2). We can therefore classify NO as reactive nitrogen species (RNS) while HO• as ROS since it was the nitrogen atom of NO and the oxygen atom of HO• that underwent oxidation state modification after reaction. Figure 1.6 shows the various reactive oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur species with their respective oxidation states.

1.2.3 ROS

1.2.3.1 Oxygen Molecule (O₂ Triplet Oxygen, Dioxygen) The electronic ground state of molecular oxygen is the triplet state, O₂(X′Σ⁺). Dioxygen’s molecular orbital O₂(X′Σ⁺) has the two unpaired electrons occupying each of the two degenerate antibonding π⁺ orbitals and whose spin states are the same or are parallel with each other (Fig. 1.7).

Owing to dioxygen’s biradical (open-shell) property, it exhibits a radical-type behavior in many chemical reactions. Elevated physiological concentrations of O₂ (hyperoxia) have been shown to be toxic to cultured epithelial cells due to necrosis, while lethal concentrations of H₂O₂ and O₂•− cause apoptosis, suggesting that the mechanism of O₂ toxicity is distinct from other oxidants. However, in in vivo systems, apoptosis is predominantly the main mechanism of cell death in the lung upon breathing 99.9% O₂.

Chlorinated aromatics have been widely used as biocides and as industrial raw materials, and they are ubiquitous as environmental pollutants. The toxicology of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have been shown to be due to the formation H₂O₂ and O₂•− from one-electron oxidation or reduction by molecular oxygen of reactive hydroquinone and quinone products, respectively, via formation of semiquinone radicals (Eq. 1.6). Oxygenation of pentachlorophenol (PCP) also leads to the formation of superoxide via the same mechanisms (Eq. 1.7):

![Figure 1.5 Reaction of nitric oxide with hydroxyl radical to produce nitrous acid showing pertinent oxidation states of the atoms undergoing redox transformation.](image)

![Figure 1.7 Molecular orbital diagram of dioxygen showing its biradical nature.](image)

![Figure 1.6 Reaction of nitric oxide with hydroxyl radical to produce nitrous acid showing pertinent oxidation states of the atoms undergoing redox transformation.](image)
Oxygen addition to 1,4-semiquinone radicals was observed to be more facile than their addition to 1,2-semiquinones with free energies of reaction of 7.4 and 10.3 kcal/mol, respectively (Eq. 1.8 and Eq. 1.9).\textsuperscript{9} The experimental rate constants for the reaction of O\textsubscript{2} with 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-semiquinone radicals were 2.4 $\times$ 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and 2.0 $\times$ 10$^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ in acetonitrile and chlorobenzene, respectively, similar to that observed in aqueous media at pH 7. The formation of quinones was suggested to occur via a two-step mechanism in which O\textsubscript{2} adds to the aromatic ring followed by an intramolecular H-atom transfer to the peroxyl moiety and concomitant release of HO$_2^\cdot$. This reactivity of O\textsubscript{2} to semiquinone to yield HO$_2^\cdot$ underlies the pro-oxidant activity of hydroquinones.\textsuperscript{10} Perhaps one of the most important reactions of O\textsubscript{2}, although reversible in most cases, is its addition to carbon- or sulfur-centered radicals which is relevant in the propagation steps in lipid peroxidation processes or thiol oxidation, respectively. The reaction of dioxygen with lipid and thyl radicals form peroxyl (LOO$^\cdot$) and thiol peroxyl (RSOO$^\cdot$) radicals, respectively, (Eq. 1.10 and Eq. 1.11):

\[
\text{L}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{LOO}^\cdot \quad \text{(1.10)}
\]

\[
\text{RS}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RSOO}^\cdot \quad \text{(1.11)}
\]

**1.2.3.2 Superoxide Radical Anion (O$_2^\cdot^-$)** Superoxide is the main precursor of the most highly oxidizing or reducing species in biological system. The one-electron reduction of triplet dioxygen forms O$_2^\cdot^-$ and initiates oxidative cascade. The molecular orbital of O$_2^\cdot^-$ shows one unpaired electron in the antibonding $\pi^*$ orbital (Fig. 1.8) and is delocalized between the $\pi^*$ orbitals of the two oxygen atoms.

**Dismutation Reaction** By virtue of superoxide’s oxidation state, O$_2^\cdot^-$ can either undergo oxidation or reduction to form dioxygen or hydrogen peroxide, respectively (Eq. 1.12),

\[
\text{O}_2^\cdot^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + e^- \quad \text{(oxidation)}
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^\cdot^- + e^- + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad \text{(reduction)}
\]

thereby allowing O$_2^\cdot^-$ to dismutate to H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$ according to Equation 1.13:

\[
2\text{O}_2^\cdot^- + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad K_{pH7} = 4 \times 10^{20} \quad \text{(1.13)}
\]

The dismutation of two O$_2^\cdot^-$ in the absence of proton is slow with $k < 0.3$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ due to repulsive effects between the negative charges. However, in acidic medium, the rate O$_2^\cdot^-$ dismutation significantly increases due to the formation of the neutral HO$_2^\cdot^-$ (Eq. 1.14 and Eq. 1.15) in which electron transfer between the radicals becomes more facile:

\[
\text{O}_2^\cdot^- + \text{HO}_2^\cdot^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{HO}_2^- \quad k = 1 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(1.14)}
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2^\cdot^- + \text{HO}_2^\cdot^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad k = 1 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(1.15)}
\]
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The pKₐ of the conjugate acid of O₂⁻⁻ was determined to be 4.69, which indicates that O₂⁻⁻ is a poor base but O₂⁺ has strong propensity to abstract proton from protic substrates. For example, O₂⁻⁻ addition to water results in the formation of HO₂⁻ and HO⁻, with an equilibrium constant equivalent to 0.9 × 10⁹. This indicates that O₂⁺ can undergo proton abstraction from substrates to an extent equivalent to a conjugate base of an acid with a pKₐ of 24 (Eq. 1.16):

\[ 2O₂⁻⁻ + H₂O ⇌ HO₂⁻ + O₂ + HO⁻ \quad K_{pH7} = 0.9 \times 10^9 \] (1.16)

This ability of O₂⁺ to act as “strong base” is due to its slow initial self-dismutation to O₂ and peroxide (O₂²⁻) that can drive the equilibrium further right to form the hydroperoxide, HO₂⁻. Since the pKₐ of H₂O₂ is −11.75, the basicity of HO₂⁻ can approach those of RS⁻.

Dismutation has also been reported to be catalyzed by SOD mimetics, fullerene derivatives, nitroxides, and metal complexes. Superoxide dismutation should meet the following criteria: (1) no structural or chemical modification of the mimetic upon reaction with O₂⁺; (2) regeneration of O₂; (3) production of H₂O₂; and (4) absence of paramagnetic primary by-products. Tris-

malonyl-derivatives of fullerene (C₆₀) have been shown to exhibit SOD mimetic properties with rate constants in the order of 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ compared to dismutation rates imparted by SODs (i.e., ∼10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹). In vivo studies using SOD2−/− knockout mice indicate increased life span by 300% and show localization in the mitochondria functioning as MnSOD. Computational studies show that electron density around the malonyl groups is low, thereby making this region more susceptible to nucleophilic attack by O₂⁻⁻ via electrostatic effects. Osuna et al. suggested a dismutation mechanism by which O₂⁻⁻ interacts with the fullerene surface and is stabilized by a counter-cation and water molecules. An electron is transferred from O₂⁻⁻ to the fullerene-producing O₂ and fullerene radical anion. Subsequent electron transfer from fullerene radical anion to another molecule of O₂⁺ gives the fullerene–O₂⁻⁻ complex, and protonation of the peroxide by the malonic acid groups gives fullerene–H₂O₂, where H₂O₂ is released along with the regenerated fullerene (Fig. 1.9).

Dismutation has also been reported to be catalyzed by SOD mimetics, fullerene derivatives, nitroxides, and metal complexes. Superoxide dismutation should meet the following criteria: (1) no structural or chemical modification of the mimetic upon reaction with O₂⁺; (2) regeneration of O₂; (3) production of H₂O₂; and (4) absence of paramagnetic primary by-products. Tris-

Figure 1.9  SOD mimetic property of tris-malonyl-derivative of fullerene (C₆₀).
tible to deactivation at high pH and resistance to CN− inactivation. Over the past years, the synthesis of metal-complexes-based SOD mimics has involved the use of Ni(II), Cu(II), Mn(III), Mn(II), Fe(II), and Fe(III). The overall dismutation reaction of metal-SOD/SOD mimetic involves the following redox reaction (Fig. 1.10):

 Activation of O2•− by metal ions via the formation of metal-peroxo adduct (M(n+1)−O2•−):

\[
\text{Fe(II) + O}_2\,\rightarrow\,\text{Fe(III)-O}_2^•−
\]

(1.17)

Formation of M(n+1)−O2•− can also be achieved through several pathways such as combination of M(n−1) and O2, M(n+1) and O2, or M(n), O2, and e−. Protonation of metal-peroxo adducts can proceed via two different pathways, depending on the metabolizing enzyme involved. For example with SOD, release of H2O2 occurs with the metal oxidation state unchanged, while in the case of catalase, peroxidases, and cytochrome P450, O−O bond cleavage occurs with the formation of a high valent metal oxo-species (Fig. 1.11). Electrostatic effect plays an important role in enhancing SOD mimetic activity by introducing positively charged moieties. For example, studies show that the presence of guanidinium derivative of an imidazolate-bridged dinuclear copper moiety enhances SOD activity by 30% compared to when the guanidinium is lacking. Also, increasing the number of positive charge on the ligand and its proximity around the metal center give higher SOD mimetic activity by several-fold compared to the singly-charged analogue.

Nitroxide or aminoxyl-type compounds have also been shown to impart SOD-mimetic properties with catalytic rates that are in the order of 10^5 M^−1 s^−1 at pH 7. The mechanism was suggested to be catalyzed by formation of an oxoammonium intermediate which in turn converts O2•− to molecular O2 according to the following reactions shown in Equation 1.18:

\[
\begin{align*}
R\cdot R′ & + O_2 \xrightarrow{2H^+} R\cdot R′ + H_2O_2 \\
R\cdot R′ & + O_2 \xrightarrow{R\cdot R′ + O_2} R\cdot R′ + O_2
\end{align*}
\]

(1.18)

**Nucleophilic Substitution Reaction** Nucleophilic substitution reaction has also been observed for O2•− with alkyl halides and tosylates in DMSO leading to the formation of alkylperoxy radicals then to peroxy anions via one-electron reduction (Eq. 1.19):

\[
RX + O_2^•− \xrightarrow{ROO• + X^−}
\]

(1.19)

**Addition Reactions** Reaction of O2•− with tyrosyl radical generated from sperm whale myoglobin was investigated, and results show that O2•− prevented myoglobin dimer formation as a mechanism for repairing protein tyrosyl radical. Moreover, an addition product with O2•− at Tyr151 was identified using mass spectrometry as a more preferred reaction compared to dimer formation, and this addition reaction was enhanced in the presence of exogenous added lysine. This study further supports previous observations on the formation of tyrosyl hydroperoxide generated from O2•− and tyrosyl radical as enhanced by the presence of H-bond donors. Addition of O2•− and tyrosyl radical at the ortho-position is the most thermodynamically preferred addition product (Eq. 1.20). In aprotic solvents, reaction of O2•− with α-dicarbonyl carbon involves nucleophilic addition to the carbonyl carbon followed by dioxetane formation via addition of the terminal O to the other carbonyl carbon. Reductive cleavage by the second O2•− yields benzoate and oxygen.

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2N\text{COOH} & \xrightarrow{O_2^•−} H_2N\text{COOH} \\
O & \xrightarrow{H^+} OOH
\end{align*}
\]

(1.20)

**Proton-Radical Transfer** By virtue of the pKₐ of the conjugate acid of O2•− of 4.8, O2•− is considered a weak base. However, proton and radical transfer pathways have been proposed for the antioxidant property of monophenols and polyphenols, respectively, against O2•−.

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2N\text{COOH} & \xrightarrow{O_2^•−} H_2N\text{COOH} \\
O & \xrightarrow{H^+} OOH
\end{align*}
\]

(1.20)
For monophenols, electrogenerated $\text{O}_2^{−}$ acts as weak base and the phenolic compound (PhOH) acting as Bronsted acid according to Equation 1.21 in which the formation of phenoxide $\text{PhO}^−$ and $\text{HO}_2^−$ (a very strong base) and $\text{O}_2$ in which the former can further abstract proton from phenol to form the phenoxide (PhO") according to Equation 1.21:

\[
\text{O}_2^{−} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^{−} + \text{O}_2 \quad (1.21)
\]

Polyphenols, however, undergo radical (or H-atom) transfer reaction with $\text{O}_2^{−}$ to form the phenoxy radical (PhO") and $\text{HO}_2^{−}$; similarly with monophenols, $\text{HO}_2^{−}$ can also abstract proton from PhOH to form phenoxide (PhO"). The fate of PhO" was shown to form nonradical products via dimerization or oligomerization, or semiquinone formation. This difference in the pathway between monophenols and polyphenol decomposition with $\text{O}_2^{−}$ can be due to the stabilization of the radical in polyphenols via resonance as evidenced by the higher reactivity of polyphenols containing o-diphenol rings with $\text{O}_2^{−}$ according to Equation 1.22:

\[
\text{O}_2^{−} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^{−} + \text{O}_2 \quad (1.22)
\]

Reactivity of $\text{O}_2^{−}$ was also reported with cardiovascular drugs such as 1,4-dihydropyridine analogues of nifedipine to form pyridine (Eq. 1.23). The proposed mechanism involves a two-electron oxidation of DHP to form the pyridine and hydrogen peroxide:

\[
\text{R}' \text{R}'' \text{H} \rightarrow 2\text{O}_2^{−} \text{R}' \text{R}'' + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad (1.23)
\]

Reaction of $\text{O}_2^{−}$ with thiols were found to be highest for acidic thiols with approximated rate constants in the orders of $10−10^3 \text{ M}^{−1} \text{ s}^{−1}$. Oxygen uptake shows concomitant formation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in some thiols such as penicillamine and cysteine via a complex radical chain reaction with the formation of oxidized thiols (Fig. 1.12), but this mechanism was not observed for GSH, DTT, cysteamine, and N-acetylcysteine. This difference in mechanisms among thiols for $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ formation is not clear but was proposed to be due to the nature of the thiol oxidation products formed during the propagation step and of the termination products; thus, stoichiometry could play an important factor in product formation.

Computational studies show that reaction of $\text{O}_2^{−}$ with $\text{MeSH}$ to give $\text{MeSO}^{′}$ and $\text{HO}^−$ (Pathway 1) as the most favorable mechanism with $\Delta G_{\text{aq}}$ of $−170.5$ kcal/mol compared to the formation of $\text{MeS}^{′}$ and $\text{HO}_2^{−}$ (Pathway 2) with endoergic $\Delta G_{\text{aq}}$ of $68.2$ kcal/mol. However, the free energies for the formation of $\text{MeSO}^{′} + \text{HO}^−$ and $\text{MeS}^{′} + \text{HO}_2^{−}$ are $\Delta G_{\text{aq}} = −52.5$ and $32.2$ kcal/mol, respectively. Therefore, the proposed Pathway 2 is unfavorable unless the reacting species is $\text{HO}^−$ to give $\text{MeS}^{′}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ with $\Delta G_{\text{aq}} = −11.3$ kcal/mol but formation of $\text{MeSO}^{′}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ from $\text{HO}_2^{−}$ and $\text{MeSH}$ is far more favorable with $\Delta G_{\text{aq}} = −278.7$ kcal/mol. As previously suggested, the reactivity of other oxidants such as $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and $\text{HO}^−$ to thiols should also be considered and may involve a more complex mechanistic pathway.
Reaction with Iron–Sulfur [Fe–S] Cluster  Iron–sulfur clusters are important cofactors in biological system. They serve as active sites in various metalloproteins catalyzing electron-transfer reactions and plays a role in other biological functions such as O₂ sensing ability (e.g., by the transcription factor FNR). The ubiquitousness of [Fe–S] clusters in enzymatic systems such as in Complex II and III of the mitochondrial electron transport chain, ferredoxins, NADH dehydrogenase, nitrogenase, or hydro-lyases underlies their susceptibility for inactivation by ROS specifically by O₂• through formation of unstable oxidation state of the [Fe–S] cluster and their subsequent degradation (Fig. 1.13). For example, hydro-lyase enzymes such as dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, fumarase A and B and aconitase can be inactivated their subsequent degradation (Fig. 1.13). For example, hydro-lyase enzymes such as dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, fumarase A and B and aconitase can be inactivated by O₂• with a second-order rate constant of 10⁶–10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ while the rate of their inactivation by O₂ is orders of magnitude lower (10² M⁻¹ s⁻¹). This difference in the rates of inactivation of O₂• versus O₂ can be accounted to the favorability of the initial steps in the oxidation of a [4Fe-4S]²⁺ by O₂• and O₂ with ΔG of ~10 kcal/mol and 17.6 kcal/mol, respectively. However, these initial steps only represent formation of Fe²⁺, H₂O₂, or O₂•⁺ and can further undergo redox reactions to form H₂O as end product. The overall free energies of oxidation of [4Fe-4S]²⁺ by O₂• and O₂ leading to the formation of the most stable product (H₂O) and Fe³⁺ are comparable with ΔG of ~27.1 kcal/mol and ~23.5 kcal/mol, respectively.

1.2.3.3 Hydroperoxyl Radical (HO₂•) Protonation of O₂• leads to the formation of HO₂• whose concentration in biological pH exists a hundred times smaller than that of O₂•⁺; however, the presence of small equilibrium concentration of HO₂• (pKₐ = 4.8) can contribute to the O₂•⁺ instability in neutral pH due to dismutation reaction shown in Equation 1.14. In acidosis condition, the reactivity of HO₂• is expected to be more relevant than O₂•⁺. Electrochemical reduction of O₂ in the presence of strong or weak acids such as HClO₄ or phenol, respectively, generates HO₂•⁻. Hydroperoxyl radical is a stronger oxidizer than O₂•⁺ with E°' = 1.06 and 0.94 V, respectively, and due to its neutral charge, it is capable of penetrating the lipid bilayer and hence, it has been suggested that HO₂•⁻ is capable of H-atom abstraction from PUFAs or from the lipids present in low-density lipoproteins. Cheng and Li argued against the role of HO₂•⁻ in LPO initiation since the concentration of HO₂•⁻ at physiological pH is less than 1% of the generated O₂•⁺ and that SOD have little effect on peroxidation in lipoproteins. Cheng and Li argued against the role of HO₂•⁻ in LPO initiation since the concentration of HO₂•⁻ at physiological pH is less than 1% of the generated O₂•⁺ and that SOD have little effect on peroxidation in lipoproteins. However, it has been demonstrated that LOOH is more likely the preferred species for HO₂•⁻ attack and not the LPO initiation.
process. H-atom abstraction from peroxy-OOH and not from the alkyl C–H backbone is the preferred mechanism of HO\textsuperscript{•} reactivity, and therefore, HO\textsuperscript{•} is more important than O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•} in initiating LOOH-dependent LPO, but not as the H-abstraction initiator in LPO\textsuperscript{36}.

Relevant to the antioxidant activity of catechols or hydroquinones (OH\textsubscript{2}), the reactivity of HO\textsuperscript{•} with QH\textsubscript{2} involves H-atom transfer reaction to form semiquinone radical and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} with a rate constant of 4.7 \times 10\textsuperscript{4} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1} for 1,2-dihydroquinone (Eq. 1.24)\textsuperscript{37}.

\[
\text{HO}_2^+ + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^\cdot \quad (1.24)
\]

1.2.3.4 **Hydrogen Peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2})** Hydrogen peroxide is perhaps one of the most ubiquitous ROS present in biological systems due to its relative stability with an oxidation potential of 1.8 V compared to other ROS such as O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•}, HO\textsuperscript{•}, or HO\textsuperscript{•}. Hydrogen peroxide is the protonated form of the two-electron reduction product of molecular oxygen and is a nonradical ROS with all the antibonding orbitals occupied by paired electrons (Fig. 1.14). Hydrogen peroxide undergoes highly exoergic disproportionation reaction to form two equivalents of water and one equivalent of oxygen where the rate of disproportionation is temperature dependent.

Perhaps the most common reaction of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is its metal-catalyzed reaction to produce HO\textsuperscript{•} and HO\textsuperscript{•} (the Fenton chemistry) as proposed by Haber and Weiss (Eq. 1.25, Eq. 1.26, Eq. 1.27, Eq. 1.28, Eq. 1.29, Eq. 1.30, Eq. 1.31, and Eq. 1.32).\textsuperscript{38} Perez-Benito\textsuperscript{39} proposed that this reaction can undergo propagation in which the HO\textsuperscript{•} can further react with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} to produce HO\textsuperscript{•} according to Equation 1.26. Depending on the pH, the equilibrium concentrations of HO\textsuperscript{•} and O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•} can vary (Eq. 1.27), and it has been suggested\textsuperscript{38} that HO\textsuperscript{•} and O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•} are involved in the reduction and oxidation of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} (Eq. 1.28) and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} (Eq. 1.29), respectively. Iron (III) reaction with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} can also lead to HO\textsuperscript{•} production in acidic pH via formation of FeOOH\textsuperscript{2+} complex and its subsequent decomposition to Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and HO\textsuperscript{•} (Eq. 1.30 and Eq. 1.31) in which the formed Fe\textsuperscript{2+} can propagate the cycle to produce HO\textsuperscript{•} as shown in Equation 1.25, Equation 1.26, Equation 1.27, Equation 1.28, and Equation 1.29:

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}^\cdot + \text{HO}^\cdot \quad (1.25)
\]

\[
\text{HO}^\cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2^* \quad (1.26)
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2^* \Leftrightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2^* \quad (1.27)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^* + \text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+} \quad (1.28)
\]

\[
2\text{HO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (1.29)
\]

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}^\cdot \quad (1.30)
\]

\[
\text{FeOOH}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HOO}^\cdot \quad (1.31)
\]

Shown in Figure 1.15 is the metal-independent generation of HO\textsuperscript{•} from H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, which was proposed to be formed from tetrachlo-bezoquinones (TCBQ)\textsuperscript{8} through nucleophilic substitution reaction forming the hydroperoxyl-TCNQ and O-O homolytic cleavage to yield HO\textsuperscript{•} and TCBQ-O\textsuperscript{•}. Subsequent disproportionation TCBQ-O\textsuperscript{•} yields TCBQ-O\textsuperscript{•}, which can further react with excess H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} to produce HO\textsuperscript{•}.

Hydrogen peroxide oxidation of anions is not favorable. For example, oxidation of Cl\textsuperscript{−} to HOCl by H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} is highly endoergic with ~30 kcal/mol. However, myeloperoxidase-mediated oxidation of Cl\textsuperscript{−} in the presence of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} gave rate constants that are dependent on the Cl\textsuperscript{−} concentration. It was proposed that Cl\textsuperscript{−} reacts with MPO-I (an active intermediate formed from the reaction of MPO with excess H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) to form the chlorinating intermediate MPO-I–Cl\textsuperscript{•}. The rate-limiting step is [Cl\textsuperscript{−}] dependent; that is, at low [Cl\textsuperscript{−}], k\textsubscript{2} is the rate-limiting step with k\textsubscript{2} = 2.2 \times 10\textsuperscript{8} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1} and k\textsubscript{3} = 5.2 \times 10\textsuperscript{4} s\textsuperscript{-1} (Eq. 1.32):\textsuperscript{40}


\[
\text{MPO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \xrightleftharpoons[k_{-1}]{k_1}\text{MPO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{MPO} - \text{I} + \text{Cl}^- \xrightleftharpoons[k_2]{k_3}\text{MPO} - \text{I} - \text{Cl}^- \\
\text{MPO} - \text{I} - \text{Cl}^- \xrightarrow{k_3}\text{MPO} + \text{HOCl}
\]  

(1.32)

In the absence of ionic substrates, myeloperoxidase has been reported to degrade \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 to oxygen and water thereby imparting a catalase activity.\textsuperscript{34} Kinetic analysis show that there is 1 mol of oxygen produced per 2 mol of \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 consumed with a rate constant of \(\sim 2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) which is an order of magnitude slower than the rate constant observed for catalase of \(3.5 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\). Oxidation of nitrite to nitrate by \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 in the presence of catalase has been reported.\textsuperscript{46} In the absence of catalase, nitrite reacts with \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 to form peroxynitrite.\textsuperscript{35} Hydroxylolation and nitration of tyrosine and salicylic acid by \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 in the presence of nitrite occur between the pHs of 2–4 and 5–6, respectively, as mediated by peroxynitrite formation.\textsuperscript{44}

Four major detoxification pathways for \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 operate intracellularly: (1) catalase; (2) glutathione peroxidase; (3) peroxiredoxin enzymes; and (4) nonenzymatic mean via oxidation of protein thiol residues.\textsuperscript{37} These pathways will be discussed in detail in the succeeding chapters. Probably one of the most important reactions in biological systems is the reaction of \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 with thiols. The cellular signaling property \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 is mainly dependent on the oxidation of intracellular protein thiols in which majority of these reactions form protein disulfides as opposed to \(S\)-glutathiolation.\textsuperscript{45} The \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 reaction with thiols is free radical mediated and the rate is dependent on the pK_a of the thiol in which the thiolate (RS\textsuperscript{-}) is the reacting species to form the sulfenic acid (RSOH) intermediate according to Equation 1.33.\textsuperscript{31} The reported rate constant for the reaction of \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 with thiolates range from 18–26 \(\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) which is relatively slow compared to the reaction of \(O_2\textsuperscript{=}\) with thiols (\(>10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\)).\textsuperscript{31} Catalysis of RSSR formation with Cu(II) from peroxides has also been reported: \textsuperscript{46}

\[
\text{RS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RSOH} + \text{HO}^- \\
\text{RSOH} + \text{RSH} \rightarrow \text{RSSR} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(1.33)

1.2.3.5 *Hydroxyl Radical (HO\textsuperscript{*})* Hydroxyl radical originates from the three-electron reduction of oxygen. Among all the ROS, HO\textsuperscript{*} perhaps is the most reactive and short-lived. Aside from the HO\textsuperscript{*}’s significant role in controlling atmospheric chemistry, it plays a direct role in the initiation of oxidative damage to macromolecules in biological systems. Unlike \(O_2\textsuperscript{=}\) and \text{H}_2\text{O}_2, whose reactions are limited due to their lower oxidizing ability, HO\textsuperscript{*} can practically react with almost every organic molecules via H-atom abstraction, electrophilic addi-

The standard reduction potential for \(\text{HO}^+ / \text{HO}^-\) couple was determined to be 1.77 V in neutral solution.\textsuperscript{47} The half-life of HO\textsuperscript{*} is \(\sim 10^{-9} \text{ s}\) compared to \(\sim 10^{-3} \text{ s}\) and \(\sim 60 \text{ s}\) for \(O_2\textsuperscript{=}\) and \text{H}_2\text{O}_2, respectively.

*Reactivity with ROS/RNS.* Radical–radical reaction of HO\textsuperscript{*} proceeds at diffusion-controlled rate. For example, at neutral pH, reaction of HO\textsuperscript{*} with various ROS and non-ROS radicals ranges between \(\sim 10^8\) and \(10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) (Eq. 1.34). The reactions are characteristic of addition of the hydroxyl-O to the heteroatoms. In the case of HO\textsuperscript{*} reaction to \(O_2\textsuperscript{=}\) and HO\textsuperscript{•}, their oxidation via electron transfer reactions to form \text{O}_2 was observed (Eq. 1.35): \textsuperscript{48}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}^+ + \text{HO}^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad k = 5.2 \times 10^9 \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad k = 7 \times 10^9 \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{ClO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{ClO}_3^- \quad k = 4 \times 10^9 \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{NO}_2^- \quad k = 1 \times 10^{10} \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{NO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HO}_2\text{NO} \quad k = 1 \times 10^{10} \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{O}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{H}^- + \text{O}_2 \quad k = 7 \times 10^9 \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{HO}_2\textsuperscript{•} & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \quad k = 6.6 \times 10^9
\end{align*}
\]

(1.34)

Theoretical studies show that hydrogen bonding between HO\textsuperscript{*} and \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 forms a five-membered ring structure with two distorted hydrogen bonds with a binding energy of \(\sim 4 \text{ kcal/mol}\).\textsuperscript{49} This HO\textsuperscript{*}–\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 interaction leads to H-atom abstraction to yield \(O_2\textsuperscript{=}\). In pyridine, \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 reaction with HO\textsuperscript{*} has a relatively slower rate of \(\sim 3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) compared to most of HO\textsuperscript{*} reactions.\textsuperscript{49}

*Reactivity with ions.* Reaction of HO\textsuperscript{*} to anions leads to a one-electron oxidation of the anion. It has been suggested that simple electron transfer mechanism from the anion to the HO\textsuperscript{*} is not likely the mechanism due to the large energy associated with the formation of the hydrated hydroxide ion.\textsuperscript{50} Instead, an intermediate HOX\textsuperscript{•} adduct is initially formed (Eq. 1.36). Reaction of HO\textsuperscript{*} to cations can also result in an increase in the oxidation state of the ion, but unlike its reaction with anions, the reaction occurs at a much slower rate constants that is no more than \(\sim 3 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}/\text{s}\) via H-atom abstraction from the metal-coordinated water (Eq. 1.37).\textsuperscript{50}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}^+ + \text{Cl}^- & \rightarrow \text{ClOH}^- \quad k = 4.3 \times 10^9 \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{CO}_2\textsuperscript{2−} & \rightarrow \text{HO}^- + \text{CO}_3\textsuperscript{2−} \quad k = 3.7 \times 10^9 \quad (\text{pH 11}) \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{Fe}^{2+} & \rightarrow \text{FeOH}^{2+} \quad k = 3.2 \times 10^8 \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{Cu}^{2+} & \rightarrow \text{CuOH}^{2+} \quad k = 3.5 \times 10^8
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.36)


Modes of reaction with organic molecules. There are two main mechanisms of HO\(^+\) reaction with organic compounds, that is, H-atom abstraction and addition reaction. With protic compounds such as alcohols, reaction of HO\(^+\) proceeds via H-atom abstraction from C–H bond and not from the O–H to form water and the radical species. The general reaction for HO\(^+\) with alcohol is HO\(^+\) + RH → R\(^+\) + H\(_2\)O, and not HO\(^+\) + ROH → RO\(^+\) + H\(_2\)O. For example, ascorbate/ascorbic acid (AH\(^-\)/AH\(^\cdot\)) react with HO\(^+\) to form ascorbate radical anion (A\(^-\)\(^*\)) and ascorbyl radical (HA\(^\cdot\)) with rate constants of 9.0 × 10\(^{-10}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (pH = 7) and 2.2 × 10\(^{-9}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (pH = 1), respectively.\(^{50}\) EPR studies revealed formation of a C-centered radical.\(^{51}\) Reaction of HO\(^+\) with aliphatic alcohols such as methanol and ethanol gave rate constants of 9.0 × 10\(^{-9}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and 2.2 × 10\(^{-9}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively, using pulse radiolysis.\(^{52}\) Preference to abstract H atom at the alpha position (i.e., the H attached to the C atom that is also attached to the OH group) was theoretically demonstrated and was found to be both kinetically and thermodynamically favorable. For example, the relative energies of H-atom abstraction as calculated at the CCSD(T) level of theory are as follows: \(\alpha-H = -25.79\) kcal/mol > \(\beta-H = -16.26\) kcal/mol > OH = -15.67 kcal/mol.\(^{53}\)

Reaction of HO\(^+\) with deoxyribose forms a C-centered radical which further decomposes to form malonaldehyde (MDA) (Fig. 1.16).\(^{54}\) MDA is a toxic by-product of polyunsaturated lipid degradation.\(^{55,56}\) Increase dose of HO\(^+\) results in increase MDA-like products;\(^{54}\) therefore, production of MDA in biological systems has become a popular biomarker of oxidative stress using thiobarbituric acid (TBARS) via MDA electrophilic addition reaction to form an UV detectable adduct, TBARS-MDA. Radiolysis of \(\alpha\)-glucose undergoes H-atom abstraction at the C-6 position and rearrangement leads to the initial elimination of two water molecules. Fragmentation yields MDA upon protonation and a dihydroxyaldehyde radical species which can further undergo dehydration to form another molecule of MDA.\(^{57}\)

Reaction of HO\(^+\) to ketones and aldehydes also gave preference to H-atom abstraction. Rate constants for H-atom abstraction in aqueous phase were faster 2.4–2.8 × 10\(^{7}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) for acetaldehyde and propionaldehyde, compared to acetone with \(k = 3.5 \times 10^{7}\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\).\(^{58}\) Computational studies show that for ketones with at least an ethyl group attached to the carbonyl carbon, the preference for H-atom abstraction is at the beta-position rather than the alpha position due to the presence of strong H-bond interaction forming 7-member ring transition state structure (Fig. 1.17).\(^{59}\) In aldehydes, abstraction of the aldehydic-H was shown to be the most favored according to the equation, RHC = O + HO\(^*\) → [RC = O]\(^+\) + H\(_2\)O.\(^{60}\)

Reaction of HO\(^+\) to carboxylic acids is also that of H-atom abstraction of the acidic-H and alpha-H. There are two possible reactions in acetic acid/acetate system. One that involves H-atom abstraction from C–H and the other from OH according to Equation 1.38 and Equation 1.39, respectively:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{HO}^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{COO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
k = 7.0 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]
CH₂COOH + HO⁺ → CH₂COO⁺ + H₂O  
\[ k = 1.7 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \]  
(1.39)

Rate constants for these reactions show that H-atom abstraction from C–H bond is 4x faster than abstraction from O–H in aqueous solution.\(^6\) The same trend in the relative reactivities of HO⁺ with various acids and their respective conjugate base had been observed.\(^6\)

The reaction of HO⁺ with alkenes is relevant in the initiation of lipid peroxidation processes and will be discussed in detail in the succeeding chapter. It has been demonstrated that increasing alkyl substitution on the C–C bond enhances its reaction rate with HO⁺ by two orders of magnitude.\(^6\) In the gas phase, initial reaction of HO⁺ to alkenes forms the HO-alkene adduct which in the presence of O₂ gives the (β-hydroxyalkyl)peroxy radical. Further reaction with NO yields the β-hydroxyalkoxy radical and NO₂ according to Fig. 1.18.\(^6\)

Reaction of HO⁺ with aromatic hydrocarbons mainly proceeds via addition reaction. Laser flash photolytic study in acetonitrile gave rate constants ranging from 1.2–7.9 \( \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for one-ringed aromatic hydrocarbons compared to 1.8–5.2 \( \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for naphthalenic systems.\(^6\) Experimental and computational studies indicate that the electrophilic nature of HO⁺ addition was supported by the higher rate of HO⁺ addition reaction in aqueous solution compare to acetonitrile by a factor of 65. The stabilized aromatic ring-OH complex in the transition state has the aromatic unit and assumes a radical cation-like form and that the HO⁺ like a hydroxide anion. This can have implication in the HO⁺ reactivity with DNA bases in which the stabilization of the radical cation form can increase HO⁺ reactivity to bases.\(^6\)

The same addition mechanism was proposed for benzaldehyde and its methoxy-, chloro- and nitro-analogues.\(^6\)

Thiols, such as GSH or thiol-based synthetic antioxidants such as N-acetyl cysteine, are important biological species. H-atom abstraction is the main mechanism of HO⁺ reaction with thiols (RSH + HO⁺ → RS⁺ + H₂O) with rate constants that range from 8.8 \( \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) to 2 \( \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \).\(^5\) Computational studies also show that H-atom abstraction of the thyl-H is the main reaction channel\(^6\) via formation of a short-lived, weakly bonded adduct prior to the abstraction process.\(^6\)

Using peroxy nitrite, formation of RS⁺ species as source of HO⁺ was demonstrated by spin trapping.\(^6\)

**Figure 1.18** Addition reaction of hydroxyl radical to alkenes and subsequent reaction of O₂ and NO with the formed HO-alkene adduct.

**Figure 1.19** Bonding orbitals of singlet oxygens, \(^1\)Δ\(_g\) and \(^3\)Σ\(_g^+\), in comparison to the triplet ground state, \(^3\)Σ\(_g^−\).

**1.2.3.6 Singlet Oxygen (\(^1\)O₂,\(^1\)Δ\(_g\) or \(^1\)O₂\(^*\))** Singlet oxygen is the diamagnetic and less stable form of molecular oxygen. The energy separation between \(^1\)O₂(\(^1\)Δ\(_g\)) and the triplet ground state oxygen \(^3\)O₂(\(^3\)Σ\(_g^−\)) was estimated to be 22.5 kcal/mol (94.3 kJ/mol), corresponding to a near-infrared transition of 1270 nm, while the energy separation between the \(^1\)O₂(\(^1\)Δ\(_g\)) and the singlet \(^1\)O₂(\(^3\)Σ\(_g^−\)) is 15.0 kcal/mol.\(^7\) Electronic configuration of the various spin states of oxygen show only variations in the electronic distribution at the π-antibonding (π*) orbitals. As shown in Figure 1.19, unlike the ground state oxygen (\(^3\)Σ\(_g^−\)), the electron distribution in \(^1\)Δ\(_g\) and \(^3\)Σ\(_g^+\) have antiparallel spins where in the former, the two electrons occupy the same orbital while in the latter, each electron occupies two separate orbitals. Spin-forbidden transition from \(^1\)Δ\(_g\) and \(^3\)Σ\(_g^+\) makes \(^1\)O₂\(^*\) a relatively longer-lived species compared to the short-lived \(^3\)Σ\(_g^+\) due to the spin-allowed transition. In solution, lifetimes of \(^1\)O₂\(^*\) is solvent dependent and range from 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁶ s, with the shortest lifetime observed in water.\(^7\)

Due to the high energy state of \(^1\)O₂\(^*\), its generation in biological system usually involves photo-excitation.
via direct absorption through vibrationally excited water at 600 nm, or indirectly through photosensitization. Certain organic molecules absorb photons of a particular wavelength causing transition from singlet ground state (S₀) to one of the higher energy 1ˢ or 2ⁿ excited states, that is, S₁ and S₂, respectively. Through vibrational relaxation (VR) or internal conversion (IC) (a nonradiative transition), S₂ → S₁ (τ₁₂ = 10⁻⁹ s) transition occurs which can further undergo conversion to S₁ → S₀ via IC, or through emission of fluorescence which is a radiative transition between spin states of the same multiplicity. One has to note that these processes do not involve change in multiplicity (S = 1) where the lowest energy orbitals still have the two electrons of opposite spins and are usually referred to as “spin allowed” transitions. Transition from S₀ to excited triplet states (T₁), whereby two electrons with the same spins occupy different orbitals is “spin forbidden”. However, the energy difference between S₁ and the lower lying T₁ is about −12 kcal which can facilitate S₁ → T₁ transition via intersystem crossing (ISC), another nonradiative process, for molecules with large spin-orbit coupling. Higher excited states transition (S₂ → T₂) can also occur and through VR and IC, T₂ → T₁ is possible. Photosensitizers typically have longer T₁ half-life than S₀ with τ₁₂ = 10⁻⁵–10⁻³ s and has a quantum yield of 0.7–0.9. Conversion of T₁ → S₀ emits phosphorescence as a spin forbidden radiative transition.

The high quantum yield and longer half-life for T₁ state of photosensitizers have significant ramifications in the initiation of a variety of chemical reactions. There are two major types of reaction resulting from T₁ quenching (i.e., Type I and II). Type I processes are typically characterized by H-atom abstraction or electron transfer between the excited sensitizer (A) to a substrate (X) (triplet oxygen for example to yield O₂⁻*) and sensitizer (A)⁺⁺ according to Equation 1.40:

\[
A(T₁) + O₂ → A⁺⁺ + O₂⁻* \quad (1.40)
\]

where O₂⁻* can further dismutate to H₂O₂ and to form HO'. Alternatively, O₂⁻* can also be produced from A⁺⁺ as a secondary product depending on the direction of the electron transfer reaction (Eq. 1.41).

\[
A⁺⁺ + O₂ → A + O₂⁻* \quad (1.41)
\]

Formation of ROS from O₂⁻* can have implications in the initiation of oxidative damage to key biomolecular systems. Type II processes involve photosensitization of biological or synthetic compounds through electron-transfer mechanism (in contrast to electron-transfer mechanism for Type I) from a sensitizer triplet state molecule T₁ to the ground state triplet O₂, a spin-allowed process (Eq. 1.42).}

\[
A(T₁) + O₂ → A(S₀) + O₂⁺* \quad (1.42)
\]

Oxidative modification via Type I or Type II processes may depend on the O₂ concentration in which the former is more likely to occur at low O₂ concentration.

The generation of singlet oxygen through photosensitization has been widely exploited in photodynamic therapy; environmental remediation and synthesis.⁷⁰ In general, the reactivity of O₂⁺* was found to be lower than that of HO' but higher than O₂⁻*, and is ca. 1 V more oxidizing than O₂.⁷⁸ There are two major quenching mechanisms for singlet O₂⁺*, that is, through physical means where interaction of O₂⁺* with substrate A forms O₂⁻* or chemical where O₂⁺* reacts with A to form product B or a combination of both. Physical quenching of O₂⁺* occurs mainly through its interaction with solvents, or other substrates such as, azide, carotene, or lycopene, but its most common reaction is chemical which accounts for its main mode of action in photodynamic therapy. For example, reaction of O₂⁺* with double bonds results in the formation hydroperoxides via “ene”-reactions, or endoperoxides through Diels-Alder-type addition to unsaturated lipids (PUFA or cholesterol), amino acids (e.g., His, Trp, and Met), or nucleic acids (e.g., guanosine). Singlet oxygen has also been shown to be chemically produced from H₂O₂ and hypochlorite, KO₂ reaction with water, and thermal decomposition of aryl peroxides.⁷¹ In biological systems, O₂⁺* can be endogenously produced from the decomposition of alpha-linolenic acid hydroperoxide by cytochrome c and lactoperoxidase, metabolism of indole-3-acetic acid by horseradish peroxidase and neutrophils,⁷⁴ oxidation of NADPH by liver microsomes,⁷⁵ from myeloperoxidase-H₂O₂-chloride system,⁷⁶ or from horseradish peroxidase-H₂O₂-GSH system.⁷⁷

1.2.4 Reactive Nitrogen Species

1.2.4.1 Nitric Oxide (NO or ’NO) Nitric oxide is a paramagnetic molecule with a bond order of 2.5 where the unpaired electron occupies an antibonding orbital (Fig. 1.20). Nitric oxide is nonpolar and with solubility in aqueous solution of 1.94 × 10⁻⁶ mol/cm/atm at 298K.⁷⁸ The diffusivity (D) at 298 K of NO is similar to that of O₂ with DNO in water of 2.21 × 10⁻⁵ cm²/s and 2.13 × 10⁻⁵ cm²/s for O₂.⁷⁸

Nitric oxide functions as an intracellular signaling molecule and is the main precursor of highly oxidizing RNS’s in biological system. Nitric oxide’s toxicity is generally limited to its reaction or oxidation to form the more highly reactive species such as ONOO⁻ and ’NO₂.⁴³
NO Radical Reaction  Due to NO’s radical nature, it exhibits rich chemistry and is capable of reacting with radicals or transition metals to form complexes. NO is relatively stable and unreactive to nonradical species. Theoretical evidence show dimerization of NO to (NO)₂ is only slightly favorable with ΔΗ = −2.3 kcal/mol. 70 The facile reaction of NO with O₂ gave 2.1–2.9 × 10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹ at 22°C (based on the rate law: 4k₁ [NO]²[O₂] 80-81 in aqueous solution and yields a variety of NO₂ products such as NO₂, N₂O₃ and N₂O₅, as well as NO₂⁻ via a complex mechanism. Kinetic model for NO reaction with O₂ is shown in Equation 1.43.

2NO + O₂ → 2NO₂  \hspace{1cm} (k = 2.9×10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹)
2NO₂ + 2NO ⇌ 2N₂O₃  \hspace{1cm} (k_{forward} = 1.1×10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹)
2N₂O₃ + 2H₂O → 4NO₂⁻ + 4H⁺  \hspace{1cm} (k = 530 s⁻¹)
Net: 4NO + 2H₂O + O₂ → 4NO₂⁻ + 4H⁺  \hspace{1cm} (1.43)

The reaction of NO with O₂ results in the formation of NO—O₂ weak complex, a nitrosyldioxyl radical intermediate, and further reaction to NO yields the dimerized NO₂ (ONOONO) which along with NO₂ and N₂O₃ are potent oxidants. Subsequent reaction of ONONO with two equivalents of NO yields equimolar amounts of N₂O₅. Since N₂O₅ is not formed in the presence of NO₂ scavengers, it was assumed that ONONO acts as a weak oxidant and its formation from NO/O₂ is the rate limiting step. 81

Reactions of NO with short-lived radicals such as (SCN)₂⁺, CO₂⁺, CO₂⁺, and hydroxethyl radicals in aqueous solution have been reported with rate constant approaching diffusion controlled limit. 82 Reaction of NO with (SCN)₂⁺ forms the NOSCN intermediate that upon hydrolysis yields NO₂⁻ (Eq. 1.44).

NO + (SCN)₂⁺ → NO(SCN)₂⁺ → NOSCN + SCN⁻  \hspace{1cm} (k = 4.3×10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹)
NOSCN + H₂O ⇌ (HO-NOSCN)⁻ + H⁺
→ HNO₂ + SCN⁻  \hspace{1cm} (1.44)

For CO₂⁺, O-transfer to NO yields NO₂⁻ and CO₂ as the most preferred mechanism according to Equation 1.45:

\[ \text{NO} + \text{CO}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{CO}_2 \quad (k = 3.5×10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.45)

Reaction of NO with CO₂⁺ forms the transient NOCO₂⁻ and its subsequent decomposition yields hyponitrite radical anion and CO₂ (Eq. 1.46):

\[ \text{NO} + \text{CO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{NOCO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{CO}_2 \quad (k = 2.9×10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.46)

With hydroxethyl radical (radical derived from ethanol), its reaction with NO gives oximes/hydroxamic acids as the main products (Eq. 1.47).

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{CHOH} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHOH} \rightarrow \text{NO} \]

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{CO} + \text{CH}_3\text{C} = \text{O} \quad (k = 3.0 × 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}) \]

\[ \text{HNO} \quad \text{HNOH} \]

Reactions of NO with lipid alkoxy (LO⁻) and peroxy (LOO⁻) radicals are relevant in the termination of lipid peroxidation processes since NO, being more soluble in nonpolar solvents, can concentrate in lipid bi-layers, and therefore, can play a role in the regulation of lipid peroxidation. Reaction of NO with alkoxyl (RO⁻) and peroxy (ROO⁻) radicals approaches that of diffusion controlled rate. Reaction of MeO⁻ with NO yields MeONO in aqueous solution while reaction of MeOO⁻ with NO proceeds at a rate of constant of 3.7 × 10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹ to yield ROOONO and decomposes to free RO⁻ and 'NO₂ via O–O homolysis (Eq. 1.48 and Eq. 1.49). 84

\[ \text{RO}^- + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RONO} \quad (1.48) \]

\[ \text{ROO}^- + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{ROONO} \rightarrow \text{RO}^- + '\text{NO}_2 \quad (1.49) \]

NO-Metal Reaction Metal complexation of NO is important in the regulation of protein function. Aside from radicals, metals (mostly heme iron) are NO’s principal target. A classic example is the activation of the enzyme guanylyl cyclase (sGC) via NO complexation with the ferro-heme. The formation of nitrosyl-Fe(II) complex results in changes in the electronic property of the heme iron such that the histidine ligand that was initially bound became labile and leads to change in the protein conformation. This change allows for the catalytic formation of the secondary messenger, cGMP from GTP which then causes relaxation of the smooth muscle tissue. Other metalloenzymes where NO plays a crucial role in their regulations are, cyt P450, cytochrome oxidases, catalase or peroxidases. 85 There are two major NO binding modes to metalloporphyrin depending on...
Nitrogen dioxide is sparingly soluble in water. Surface chemistry of adsorbed \( \text{NO}_2 \) in aqueous system leads to its decomposition to \( \text{H}_2 \), nitrate and nitrous acid (HONO), and the presence of antioxidants such as ascorbate, urate and glutathione catalyzes this hydrolytic disproportionation.\(^{87}\) In the gas and aqueous phases, \( \text{NO}_2 \) dimerizes with a rate constant of \( 5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) where it reacts rapidly with water to form nitrites and nitrates.\(^{88}\) Nitrogen dioxide is a powerful oxidizer with a \( E'(\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}_3^-) = 0.89–1.13 \text{ V} \). Among the most important reactions of \( \text{NO}_2 \) are: (1) H-atom abstraction from C–H bond; (2) addition reaction to C=C bonds; (3) O-transfer reactions; (4) radical–radical addition; (5) electron transfer. The H-atom abstraction involving \( \text{NO}_2 \) is a slow process due to the weak H–O bond in HONO with a dissociation energy of \( \sim 79 \text{ kcal/mol} \) compared to C–H bond of \( \sim 100 \text{ kcal/mol} \).\(^{87}\)

**Addition to Double Bonds** Nitration of PUFA occurs via \( \text{NO}_2 \) addition to C=C bond (Fig. 1.23). Nitrated PUFA are important biomarker of nitrosative stress due to their abundance in biological systems. Reaction of \( \text{NO}_2 \) with 1,4-pentadiene moieties of ethyl linoleate\(^{89}\) for example proceed via competition between H-atom abstraction and free radical combination. The formation of vicinal \( \text{–OH} \) along with \( \text{–NO}_2 \) results from the O-centered addition of another \( \text{NO}_2 \) to the alkyl radical and the subsequent hydrolysis of the nitrite to form the hydroxyl group. Addition of \( \text{NO}_2 \) to double bonds have also been observed in xenobiotics, food additives, retinoic acid, 17\( \beta \)-estradiol, or cinnamic acids.\(^{91}\)

**Radical–Radical Addition** The major product in the reaction of \( \text{NO}_2 \) with MeO\(^+\) is methyl nitrate (MeONO\(_2\)) through O–N bond formation.\(^{85}\) However, reaction of \( \text{NO}_2 \) with tyrosyl radical (Tyr\(^+\)) forms the 3-nitrotyrosine via C–N bond formation which is one of the most studied biomarker of oxidative damage to protein systems due to their abundance in biological systems. One could initial assume that HO\(^+\) can abstract H-atom from tyrosine but its preferred mode of reaction is the ortho-directed addition to the aromatic ring to form the ortho-dihydroxytyrosine with \( k = 7.0 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). While \( \text{NO}_2 \) is able to abstract H-atom from Tyr to form Tyr\(^+\), this reaction is relatively slower \( (k = 3.2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})\)\(^{93}\) than the H-atom abstraction by CO\(_3\)\(^+\) with \( k = 4.5 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Fig. 1.24) Resonance structure of Tyr\(^+\) shows localization of the unpaired electron on the

---

**Figure 1.21** Binding modes of nitric oxide to metal ions.

**Figure 1.22** Mesomeric structures of nitrogen dioxide.

**Figure 1.23** Nitration and hydroxylation of PUFA by \( \text{NO}_2 \).
phenoxyl-O and the carbon atom ortho to the phenoxyl-O. Subsequent addition of ’NO2 to Tyr yields the 3-nitrotyrosine with \( k = 3 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). Loss of enzyme function has been correlated with the degree of tyrosyl nitration and has been observed in prostaglandin H2 synthase (PGSH-1),\(^{94}\) MnSOD\(^{95}\) and mitochondrial cytochrome c.\(^{96}\)

**Reaction with Thiols** Nitrosation of thiol-containing biomolecules is one of the most important processes in posttranslational protein modification. Production of nitrosothiols (RSNO) is an important regulatory function of NO in cell signaling and pathology. Examination of RSNO formation at low micromolar concentrations of NO indicate N\(_2\)O\(_3\) and ’NO2 as the nitrosating agents via a one-electron oxidation of thiols to RS’ (Eq. 1.50) and its subsequent radical–radical addition to NO to form S-nitrosothiols (RSNO).\(^{97}\) Using laser flash photolysis, the rate of glutathyl radical (GS\(^{•}\)) reaction with NO to form GSNO was reported to be 2.8 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}, which is lower than that expected for GSSG formation which is lower than that expected for GSSG formation.

\[
\text{RSH} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{RS}^{•} + \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (k = 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})
\]

(1.50)

**1.2.4.3 Peroxynitrite (ONOO\(^{–}\))** Peroxynitrite is formed from the addition reaction of NO with superoxide (O\(_2\)\(^{•}\)) at a diffusion-controlled rate.\(^{43,81}\) Peroxynitrite is known to exist in the relatively stable cis-conformation, or gain a proton to form peroxynitrous acid (ONOOH, pH\(_0\) 6.8). One relevant mechanism for ONOO\(^{–}\)/ONOOOH decay is its homolytic cleavage through ’ONO’ O\(^{•}\) and ’ONO’ ‘OH intermediates.\(^{99}\) The higher membrane permeability of ONOOH compared to its unprotonated form can result in its homolysis to form HO’ and ’NO\(_2\) leading to the initiation of oxidation of key biomolecular systems. For ONOO\(^{–}\), the rate of radical cleavage has been reported at \( 10^{-9}/\text{s} \), with negligible ’NO\(_2\) and O\(^{•}\) release,\(^{100}\) while for ONOOOH, the rate of radical cleavage has been reported to be \( 0.35 \pm 0.03/\text{s} \), with about 30% of HO’ and ’NO\(_2\) being released at pH < 5 via escape from the solvent cage. Like O\(_2\)\(^{•}\), ONOO\(^{–}\) is capable of reacting with protein active sites containing Cu, Zn, sulfhydryl and Fe–S clusters to cause nitration and protein cleavage resulting in enzyme deactivation.\(^{50,101–103}\) The rate constant for ONOOH isomerization to nitric acid (HNO\(_2\)) was found to be 1.1 ± 0.1/s.\(^{104}\) While the low rate of homolytic cleavage of ONOO\(^{–}\) makes the reaction trivial, ONOO\(^{–}\) is known to react with dissolved CO\(_2\) to form nitrosoperoxycarbonate (ONOOOCO\(_2\)) at a rate constant of \( 3.0 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \).\(^{105}\) ONOOOCO\(_2\) is a two-electron oxidant that undergoes homolytic cleavage to form 30% CO\(_2\)\(^{•}\) and ’NO\(_2\) (Eq. 1.51).\(^{95,106}\)

\[
\text{ONOO}^{–} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ONOOOCO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_2^{•} + \text{NO}_2^- \quad (1.51)
\]

The modes of decay of ONOOOCO\(_2\) and ONOOH has been shown to vary depending on the ability of the solvent to hold the intermediate species in the solvent cage, and is therefore, dependent on the viscosity of a solvent.\(^{107}\) Peroxynitrite is a strong nucleophile, and has been shown to cause β-scission of carbonyl groups,\(^{108,109}\) where acyl- and H-spin adducts have been observed using EPR spin trapping.\(^{110,111}\) Peroxynitrite has recently been shown to form peroxynitrite (O\(_2\)NOO\(^{–}\)) at neutral pH through combination of ONOO\(^{–}\) and ONOOH to form O\(_2\)NOOH and nitrite (NO\(_2\)\(^{–}\)).\(^{112}\)

Reaction of ONOO\(^{–}\) with inorganic radicals such as CO\(_2\)\(^{•}\), ’N\(_3\), ClO\(_2\)\(^{–}\) and HO’ involves a one-electron transfer process. For example, ONOO’ oxidation by the inorganic radicals yields ONOO’ and the corresponding anions with varying rate constants (Eq. 1.52–1.55).\(^{113}\) With NO, ONOO’ forms ’NO\(_2\) and NO\(_2\)\(^{–}\) with highly exoergic free energy of \( –113 \text{ kJ} \).\(^{114}\)

\[
\text{ONOO}^{–} + \text{CO}_2^{•} \rightarrow \text{ONOOO}^{•} + \text{CO}_2^{2–} \quad (1.52)
\]

\[
\text{ONOO}^{–} + ‘\text{N}_3^- \rightarrow \text{ONOOO}^{•} + \text{N}_3^- \quad (1.53)
\]

\[
\text{ONOO}^{–} + \text{HO}^{•} \rightarrow \text{ONOOO}^{•} + \text{HO}^- \quad (1.54)
\]

\[
\text{ONOOO}^{–} + \text{ClO}_2^{•} \rightarrow \text{ONOOO}^{•} + \text{ClO}_2^{–} \quad (1.55)
\]
Peroxynitrite acts as two-electron oxidant with thiols. Thiols react with low molecular weight thiols or protein thiols react with ONOOH to form sulfenic acid (RSOH). With low molecular weight thiols, the rates of thiol oxidation increases with decreasing thiol pKₐ, consistent with the mechanism of nucleophilic attack of the thiolate-O to the peroxyl-O of ONOOH with nitrite as the leaving group according to the mechanism shown in Equation 1.56:

$$\text{RS}^- + \text{NO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{RSOH} + \text{NO}_2^- \quad (1.56)$$

Rate constants for the reaction of ONOO⁻ with free cysteine and the single thiol of albumin was reported to be in the order of $10^7$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The formation of RSSR' from RSOH in the presence of RS⁻ is fundamental to the regulation of protein function. With peroxidoxin thiol (PrxS⁻), the reaction with ONOO⁻ to yield NO₂⁻ and PrxSOH is faster ($10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) than ONOO⁻ reaction with small molecular weight thiols. Decomposition of ONOO⁻ via one-electron or two-electron reduction processes can be catalyzed by metalloporphyrins of iron and manganese which can have protective effects against ONOO⁻ induced damage. One electron reduction leads to the formation of NO₂⁻ while its two-electron reduction forms NO₂⁺. The formation of NO₂⁻ from ONOO⁻ is shown to cause tyrosine nitration to form 3-nitrotyrosine.

### 1.2.5 Reactive Sulfur and Chlorine Species

#### 1.2.5.1 Thiyl or Sulfhydryl Radical (RS')

Thiyl radicals are analogous to alkoxy radicals (RO') but there are important differences between the nature of the bonds involving S and O atoms. For example, the S–H bond in thiols is weaker than the O–H bond in alcohols with experimental bond dissociation energies of 88.0 kcal/mol and 104.4 kcal/mol for CH₃S–H and CH₃O–H, respectively. The bond length for S–H is 1.33 Å compared to O–H of 0.96 Å. These differences in the structural and physical properties of thiols compared to alcohols play an important role in the reactivity of thiols compared to alcohols in which the S is more accessible. Since S is less electronegative than O, therefore, thiyls are more electrophilic than alkoxy radicals with a longer C–S bond length of 1.81 Å compared to C–O of 1.42 Å.

Generation of RS' in biological systems occurs via one-electron oxidation of thiols (RSH) by metal ions such as Cu²⁺ or Fe³⁺, HO•, peroxynitrite, DNA or protein radicals. Disulfide formation (GSSG) from GS' via radical–radical addition is fast with rate constant of $1.5 \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The susceptibility of RSH to oxidation is the basis of thiol antioxidant or repair mechanisms. GSH for example is the predominant intracellular antioxidant with cytosolic concentrations of up to 10 mM. Due to the high GSH concentration, the formation of disulfide is regulated. Glutatione reacts with tyrosyl radical Tyr• to yield GS' and TyrOH ($k = 2 \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹) as a repair mechanism but at a 220x slower rate than Tyr• reaction with ascorbate. Ascorbate being more abundant in tissues makes GSH a minor player in this type of repair mechanism.

Thiyl radicals can catalyze conversion of cis to trans isomerism in unsaturated systems. In lipid systems, the conversion of the naturally occurring cis unsaturated fatty acids to trans can cause morphological changes in the lipid bi-layers. Reaction of thiyl with unsaturated compounds can also result to addition reaction where the preference for radical attack is the one with the highest electron density such as double bonds demonstrating the electrophilic nature of thiyl radicals which is due to the ability of the d-orbitals of sulfur to accommodate the negative charge. The rate constant for thiyl radical addition to monounsaturated fatty acid esters such as methyl oleate, methyl palmitoleate, methyl Z-vaccenate, and oleic acid in tert-butyl alcohol is in the order of $k_f = 10^7$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, while the rate constant for the β-elimination to Z or E configurations are higher with $k_f = 10^8$ and $k_f = 10^9$ s⁻¹, respectively. Thiyl radical induced isomerization for linoleic acid, linolenic acid and arachidonic acid gave $k_f = 10^6$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and $k_f = 10^7$ and $k_f = 10^8$ s⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 1.25).

Relevant to the oxidation PUFA, thiyl can also undergo H-atom abstraction in bisallylic systems and, like HO• (Eq. 1.57), demonstrates their pro-oxidative role in the initiation of lipid peroxidation. The rate constant for H-atom abstraction by thiyl radicals with PUFAs was in the order of $10^7$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.

$$\text{RS}^- + \text{R} = \text{R}’ + \text{R}'' + \text{R}''' \quad (1.57)$$

H-atom abstraction from aliphatic alcohols and ethers has been shown to occur at a rate constant of $10^7–10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. In peptide systems, intramolecular H-atom transfer between cysteine thiyl radical and the ε-C-H bond occurs with rate constants that are in the order of $10^3–10^4$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The favorability of this reaction was shown to be dependent on peptide and protein sequence as well as structure and can have implications in the

**Figure 1.25** Thiyl radical mediated E and Z isomerization of monosaturated fatty acid.
catalysis of protein damage due to its potential irreversibility resulting in protein fragmentation and/or epimerization.\textsuperscript{127} Interconversion between \textsuperscript{13}C-, \textsuperscript{15}C-, and S-centered radicals in GS\textsuperscript{−} (Eq. 1.58) has been shown to proceed favorably and is pH dependent with an overall rate constants of $k_{\text{forward}} = 3.0 \times 10^{7}$ s\textsuperscript{−1} and $k_{\text{reverse}} = 7.0 \times 10^{7}$ s\textsuperscript{−1} and $K = 0.4$, with an equilibrium ratio at pH 7 of 8:3:1 for S:\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{13}}C: \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{15}}C\textsuperscript{−}, centered radicals.\textsuperscript{128}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RHN-CHC} & \rightarrow \text{CHC-R}'' \\
\text{SH} & \rightarrow \text{CHC-R}''
\end{align*}
\] \hspace{1cm} (1.58)

H-atom abstraction from carbohydrates by thiyl radical have been reported.\textsuperscript{129} H-atom transfer of C\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{15}}}H of 2-deoxy-\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{15}}D-ribose, 2-deoxy-\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{15}}D-glucose, \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{15}}D-glucose and inositol by cysteine-derived thiyl radical gave rate constants that are in the order of $10^7$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1}.

Quenching of thiyl radicals by ascorbate results in formation of ascorbyl radical and RSH while thiyl reaction with radicals such as NO, O\textsuperscript{2−}, and R' showed varying reactivity. GSNO formation from the addition of GS\textsuperscript{−} to NO was estimated to be much faster than the previously reported rate constant of $2.8 \times 10^9$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} using laser flash photolysis.\textsuperscript{98} Using pulse radiolysis, the rate constant for the reaction of NO with thiyl radicals of glutathione (Eq. 1.59), cysteine and penicillamine were reported to be in the range of 2–3 $\times 10^9$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1}.\textsuperscript{130}

\[\text{GS}^− + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{GSNO} \hspace{1cm} k = 2.7 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.59)

Reaction of thiyl radicals with O\textsubscript{2} yields RSOO\textsuperscript{−} but the presence of excess RSH leads to the formation of RSO\textsuperscript{−} and RSOH under normal conditions.\textsuperscript{131} The reported rate constants for the reaction of GS\textsuperscript{−} with O\textsubscript{2} vary from $3.0 \times 10^7$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} to $2.0 \times 10^8$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} indicating a more complex mechanism resulting from this addition reaction (Eq. 1.60).\textsuperscript{132,133}

\[\text{GS}^− + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{GSOO}^− \hspace{1cm} k_1 = 2.0 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}; k_{-1} = 6.2 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.60)

Reaction of GS\textsuperscript{−} with GS\textsuperscript{−} forms GSSG\textsuperscript{−} with a rate constant of $4.5 \times 10^8$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} with an equilibrium constant of $2.25 \times 10^7$ M.\textsuperscript{134} Decay of RSSR\textsuperscript{−} forms RS' and RS', with RS' further undergoing intramolecular H-atom abstraction mechanism to form the \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\alpha}}}-amino carbon-centered radical with rate constants ranging in the order of $10^7$–$10^8$/s for cysteine, homocysteine and glutathione at pH 10.5.\textsuperscript{134} Protonation of RSSR\textsuperscript{−} leads to its decomposition to RS' and RSH and ultimately to RSSR with rate constants in the order of $10^5$–$10^6$/s.\textsuperscript{135} Reaction of GSSG\textsuperscript{−} with O\textsubscript{2} has a rate constant of $1.6 \times 10^9$ M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1} (Eq. 1.61).\textsuperscript{136}

\[\text{GS}^− + \text{GS}^− \rightarrow \text{GSSG}^− \rightarrow \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{GSSG} + \text{O}_2^− \] \hspace{1cm} (1.61)

\subsection{1.2.5.2 Disulfide (RSSR)}

Unlike the S–H bond dissociation energy being lower than the O–H, the S–S bond dissociation energy is higher compared to O–O. Reported BDE for MeS-SMe is 74 kcal/mol compared to MeO-OMe of 37.6 kcal/mol.\textsuperscript{119,137} Thiol-disulfide interchange as described by Equation 1.62 and Equation 1.63 shows formation of a mixed disulfide intermediate RSSR\textsuperscript{−} from the oxidation of RSH and reduction of RSSR.\textsuperscript{138} Thiol-disulfide interchange is an important biochemical process and occurs in many metabolic reactions of thiols either endogenously or from thiol-based drugs such as penicillamine. The rate constants for the symmetrical thiol-disulfide exchange reaction have been determined for several thiols such as GSH, Cys, or homocysteine in aqueous basic medium (pH > 10) with $k$ in the range of 12–60 M\textsuperscript{−1} s\textsuperscript{−1}.\textsuperscript{138}

\[\text{RSH} + \text{R'SSR} \rightarrow \text{RSSR} + \text{R'SH} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.62)

\[\text{2RSH} + \text{R'SSR} \rightarrow \text{RSSR} + 2\text{R'SH} \] \hspace{1cm} (1.63)

Disulfide bonds play a major role in protein thermal stability but through chemical means, disulfide bonds can be broken down via several mechanisms. Under basic or neutral conditions, hydroxide (HO\textsuperscript{−}) is shown to attack the sulfur atom to form sulfenic acid and thiolate anion and can ultimately result in post-translational protein modification to form complex disulfides (Eq. 1.64) or mixed sulfenic acid/disulfides with another protein/s.
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\[\text{HO}^− \rightarrow \text{HO}^− \] \hspace{1cm} (1.64)

Hydroxide can also abstract the \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\alpha}}}- or \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\beta}}}-protons of the Cys residue leading to C–S or S–S bond breakage, respectively, followed by \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\beta}}}- or \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{\alpha}}}-elimination according to Figure 1.26.\textsuperscript{139}

Disulfide can be further oxidized to disulfide-S-monoxide and disulfide-S-dioxygen. Oxidation of one of the sulfur atoms leads to the weakening of the S–S bond and is therefore more susceptible to reaction with RSH to form sulfenic (RSOH) and sulfenic acids (RSCO\textsubscript{2}H) to generate the mixed disulfide (Fig. 1.27).\textsuperscript{140}

\[\text{RS}^− + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RSS}^− \rightarrow \text{RSOO}^− \] \hspace{1cm} (1.65)

\[\text{RSSR} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RSSR} + \text{O}_2^− \] \hspace{1cm} (1.66)
Disulfides can also be enzymatically reduced to RSH by glutathione reductase or thioredoxin reductases in the presence of NADPH, or chemically, by small molecules such as dithiothreitol, hydrazine or sulfones.

1.2.5.3 Hypochlorous Acid (HOCl) Hypochlorous acid is usually formed from the reaction of Cl₂ gas with water, however, in biological systems, their formation have been mediated by a secreted heme protein, myeloperoxidase (MPO), which can convert H₂O₂ to HOCl in the presence of chloride ion (Cl⁻) according to Equation 1.65. 

\[
H_2O_2 + Cl^- + H^+ + MPO \rightarrow HOCl + H_2O \quad (1.65)
\]

HOCl has a pKₐ of 7.5, therefore, it co-exists with the ionized hypochlorite (OCl⁻) in solution at physiological pH. The HOCl produced has been shown to be a potent 2-electron oxidant capable of chlorinating electron rich substrates and oxidation of heme, tyrosine or cysteine residues in proteins, DNA and lipids.

Hypochlorous acid reacts with various ROS such as H₂O₂ to generate stoichiometric amounts of \( [O_2 ('\Delta g')] \) and with O₂⁻ to generate HO⁻, and with HO⁻ to form ClO⁻ (Fig. 1.28).

Reaction of HOCl with hydroperoxide such as linoleic acid hydroperoxide (LA-OOH) mimics that of its reaction with H₂O₂ producing \( [O_2 ('\Delta g')] \) (13% yield) at physiological pH (Eq. 1.66). 

\[
\begin{align*}
HOCl + NO_2 & \rightarrow HO + CINO_2(\text{Cl-ONO}) \\
& \rightarrow Cl^-NO_2^- + Cl^- + *NO_2 \\
(1.66)
\end{align*}
\]

With anions such as NO₂⁻, HOCl is capable of forming a reactive intermediate that can nitrate phenolic substrates such as tyrosine and 4-hydroxyphenyl acetic acid with high yield at physiological pH. The nitrating intermediates were identified to be *NO₂ and nitryl chloride (NO₂.Cl) based on Equation 1.67.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HOCl} + \text{NO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HO} + \text{CINO}_2(\text{Cl-ONO}) \\
& \rightarrow \text{Cl}^-\text{NO}_2^- + \text{Cl}^- + \text{*NO}_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Reaction of HOCl with free amino acid backbone generates chloramine species at the free amino moiety.
Chloramine undergoes further decomposition to nitrogen-centered radicals which subsequently undergo further decomposition pathways such as (1) intra- and intermolecular H-atom abstraction; (2) decarboxylation; (3) $\beta$-scission according to Figure 1.29.

Analogous to the reaction of amines with HOCl, GSH forms S-chloro derivative with HOCl which can hydrolyse to yield the corresponding sulfenic acid (GSOH) (via formation of thiyl radical) with an estimated rate constant of $>10^7 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Eq. 1.71, Eq. 1.72, and Eq. 1.73).

\[
\text{HOCl} + \text{GSH} \rightarrow \text{GSCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{GS}^- + \text{Cl}^+ \quad (1.71)
\]

\[
\text{Cl}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HO}^- + \text{Cl}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (1.72)
\]

\[
\text{GS}^- + \text{HO}^- \rightarrow \text{GSOH} \quad (1.73)
\]

The formation of sulphonamide (RSO$_2$NHR) but not the formation of GSSG from HOCl and GSH via intramolecular cyclization reaction has also been observed.

Methionine oxidation by HOCl forms methionine sulfoxide and dehydromethionine according to Equation 1.74:

\[
\text{HOCl} + \text{RSH} \rightarrow \text{RSO} \quad (1.74)
\]

Reaction of HOCl with tyrosine and peptidyl-tyrosyl residues yielded 3,5-dichlorotryptosine (diCl-Tyr) in addition to Cl–Tyr. Further reaction of the mono- and dichlorinated tyrosines gave the corresponding mono- and dichlorinated 4-hydroxyphenylacetaldehydes,Cl-HPAA and diCl-HPAA, respectively, according to Figure 1.30.

Oxidation of cytochrome $c$ by HOCl has rate constant of $>3 \times 10^5 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$. This reaction is not only selective toward the heme iron but also involves $N$-halogenation of the side chain amino groups and with concomitant generation of HO$^+$ (Eq. 1.75).

\[
\text{Fe(II)cyt} + \text{HOCl} \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)cyt} + \text{HO}^+ + \text{Cl}^- + \text{other products} \quad (1.75)
\]
HOCl reaction with lipids occurs at either the lipid head group or the unsaturated portion of the fatty acid side-chain. For example, reaction of HOCl with phosphoryl-serine and phosphoryl-ethanolamine are rapid with \( k \approx 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) yielding chloramines as the major products.\(^{44}\) Reaction with unsaturated fatty acid chains involves initial formation of chlorohydrins\(^{160}\) followed by secondary dehydrohalogenation reactions to yield the epoxide (Eq. 1.76). The formed epoxide can further react with HOCl to form ROS and lipid peroxidation products.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} & \rightarrow \text{COOH} \\
\text{HOCl} & \rightarrow \text{R} \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{R} \text{O} \\
\text{Cl} & \rightarrow \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{R} \text{COOH}
\end{align*}
\]

Reaction of HOCl with nucleotide bases occur primarily on the exocyclic free amino group (e.g., of cytosine, adenosine and guanosine) or nitrogen atoms of the heterocyclic ring (e.g., of thymidine, uridine and guanosine) which contain lone pairs to form N–Cl bond. These adducts can result in miscoding and have been identified in tissues under inflammatory conditions. The rate constants for reactions within the heterocyclic ring is in the order of \(10^3-10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\). With uridine for example, N–Cl formation leads to the formation of N-centered radical (Eq. 1.77).\(^{161}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HN} & \rightarrow \text{O} \\
\text{N} & \rightarrow \text{ Cl} \\
\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{ sugar} \\
\text{Cl} & \rightarrow \text{ sugar}
\end{align*}
\]

Direct chlorination on the carbon atom by HOCl of the heterocyclic ring was also observed to give chlorinated products such as 5-chloro-2′-deoxycytidine, 5-chlorouracil, 8-chloro-2′-deoxyguanosine, and 5-chloro-2′-deoxyadenosine\(^{162}\) as well as hydroxylation of the pyrimidine moiety to give thymine glycol (cis/trans), 5-hydroxycytosine, 5-hydroxyuracil, 5-hydroxyhydantoin (Fig. 1.31).\(^{163}\)

![Figure 1.31](image.png)

**Figure 1.31** Chlorination and hydroxylation of pyrimidine by hypochlorous acid.

Reaction of related compound such as NADPH with HOCl is characterized by an initial fast reaction with \( k = 4.2 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \) leading to the formation of a stable pyridine product (Py/Cl). Subsequent reaction with HOCl (\( k = 3 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \)) leads to the total loss of the aromatic pyridine ring absorbance.\(^{164}\)

### 1.3 Reactivity

As in all chemical reactions, reactions involving reactive species are governed thermodynamically and kinetically, and these two inter-related forces can offer insights into the favorability and rate of a reaction, respectively.

#### 1.3.1 Thermodynamic Considerations

The favorability of redox reaction involving reactive species is governed by the overall change in the potential energy whereby the energy is released (in this case of an exothermic reaction) or addition of energy (endothermic reaction) to the system for the reaction to proceed. The thermodynamic favorability is defined by an entity called free energy (\( \Delta G \)) which is either introduced or given off in a reaction. One can envision that reactants and products have stored energy in them. Calculation of \( \Delta G \) can be theoretically and experimentally performed. As an example for the formation ONOO\(^-\) from \( \text{O}_2^* \) and "NO, one can calculate the favorability of this reaction by taking into account the potential energies of the individual species. One important theoretical consideration in determining the free energy of reaction (\( \Delta G_{\text{red}} \)) is that the type and number of atoms in the product and reactant sides should be conserved as shown in the equation: \( \text{O}_2^* + \cdot \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{ONOO}^- \). Each of these species carries a potential energy originating from the separation of the individual nuclei and electrons from the molecule. For example, the following are the total electronic energies (\( \epsilon_n \)) (with thermal free energies, \( G_{\text{corr}} \)) for \( \text{O}_2^* \), "NO, and ONOO\(^-\) formed from nuclei and electrons (Fig. 1.32).

The \( \Delta G_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ} \) for the reaction: \( \text{O}_2^* + \cdot \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{ONOO}^- \) can then be calculated using the Equation 1.78:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ} & = \Sigma (\epsilon_n + G_{\text{corr}})_{\text{products}} - \Sigma (\epsilon_n + G_{\text{corr}})_{\text{reactants}} \\
\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ} & = ((-280.402251) - ((-150.482170 + -129.907204)) \times 627.5095 \\
\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}^{\circ} & = -8.08 \text{ kcal/mol}
\end{align*}
\]

The \( \Delta G \) for the formation of ONOO\(^-\) from \( \text{O}_2^* \) and "NO is therefore exothermic since the total energy of the reactant is greater than the reactants, and therefore,
excess energy is given off, hence, the reaction is said to proceed spontaneously. In contrast, the dismutation reaction of two $O_2^+$ to form $O_2^{2+}$ and $O_2$ according to the equation: $2O_2^+ \rightarrow O_2^{2+} + O_2$, gave $\Delta G^\circ_{298K} = 35.7$ kcal/mol, which is endoergic and does not proceed spontaneously due to repulsion between the two $O_2^+$. The two contrasting equations demonstrate the relative thermodynamic stability of the two reactions in which the formation of ONOO$^-$ is preferred due to the less repulsion between reactants and the radical–radical nature of the reaction.

However, $\Delta G$ of formation for ROS/RNS can also be obtained experimentally. Koppenol had compiled a series free energies as shown in Table 1.1.\textsuperscript{114}

The $\Delta G$ is defined by Equation 1.79,

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.79)

where $\Delta H$ is the change in enthalpy, $T$ is the absolute temperature and $\Delta S$ is the change in entropy. Although the exoergicity or endoergicity of a reaction is determined by the minimization of the total enthalpy (i.e., net heat change), the minimization of the total free energy of the system at constant temperature and pressure is the driving force for all reactions. Therefore, the sign of $\Delta G$ indicates favorability of a reaction, that is,

$\Delta G < 0$ (favored or spontaneous)

$\Delta G = 0$ (equilibrium, neither forward or backward reactions are favored)

$\Delta G > 0$ (not favorable, nonspontaneous)

The concept presented above assumes that the reaction is unidirectional, meaning that the products are perfectly thermodynamically stable and does not revert back toward the formation of the reactant. However, there are reactions involving reactive species that are not unidirectional. These reactions contain significant quantities of reactants and products at equilibrium (Eq. 1.80), a state in which the composition of the reactant and products remains unchanged.

$$A \xrightarrow{k_1} B$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.80)

The relationship between free energy and thermodynamic equilibrium ($K_{eq}$) constant is described by Equation 1.81:

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_{eq}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.81)

where $R$ is the universal gas constant and $T$ is the absolute temperature. Since $K_{eq}$ represents the ratio of the molar concentrations of A relative to B, and of $k_1$ and $k_2$ at equilibrium, that is, $K_{eq} = [B]/[A] = k_1/k_2$, it is expected that $\Delta G^\circ$ will obviously be dependent on temperature as temperature affect the direction of the equilibrium. Examples of temperature-dependent reversible reaction is the transnitrosation reaction between thiol and S-nitrosothiol (Eq. 1.82):

$$RSH + R'SNO \rightleftharpoons R'SH + RSNO$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.82)

With R’SNO as S-nitroso-N-acetyl-penicillamine (SNAP), and with glutathione or l-cysteine as RSH, the $K_{eq}$’s were determined to be 3.69 and 3.66, at 25°C. Using Equation 1.79, $\Delta G^\circ$ can be calculated to be $-0.77$ kcal/mol. With $\Delta G$ being negative, it is exoergic hence the equilibrium is shifted to the product side of the equation. At higher temperature (i.e., 33°C) for glutathione or l-cysteine, the $K_{eq}$ is lower with 3.0 and 2.58, which correspond to $\Delta G^\circ$ of $-0.66$ and $-0.58$ kcal/mol, respectively, indicating the equilibrium is shifted to the right.

---

**TABLE 1.1** Gibbs Energies of Formation for Various ROS/RNS\textsuperscript{114,165}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>$\Delta G^\circ$ (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HO$^+$</td>
<td>15.7 (12.7)\textsuperscript{166}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>-56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO$_2^+$</td>
<td>10.7 (1.7)\textsuperscript{165}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO$_2^-$</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO$^-$</td>
<td>-28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$^+$</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$^-$</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$^-$ (singlet)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$^-$ (triplet)</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_4^+$</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_4^-$</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^+$</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2^-$</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O$_2^-$</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO$^-$</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO$^+$</td>
<td>10.1 (16.6)\textsuperscript{167}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2^-$</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2^{2-}$</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOOH</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Reference 114.
Conversely, \( K_a \) can be determined based on \( \Delta G^o \) of formations. For example, in the ionization of ONOOH to ONOO\(^-\) (Eq. 1.83),

\[
\text{ONOOH} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{ONOO}^- \quad (1.83)
\]

using Table 1.1, the \( \Delta G^o \) for the formation of ONOOH and ONOO\(^-\) is 7.5 and 16.6 kcal/mol, respectively. The free energy of ionization is then equal to \( \Delta G^o(\text{ONOO}^-) - \Delta G^o(\text{ONOOH}) = (16.6 \text{ kcal/mol}) - (7.5 \text{ kcal/mol}) = 9.1 \text{ kcal/mol} \) using \( \Delta G^o = 0 \) kcal/mol for \( \text{H}^+ \). Using Equation 1.79 and \( RT = 0.593 \text{ kcal/mol at 25°C} \), one can calculate the \( pK_a \) to be 6.7 which is consistent to that observed experimentally of 6.5 by absorption spectroscopy measurements.\(^{168}\)

Free energy can also be described as a function of the cell potential \( (E_{\text{cell}}^o) \) which is characterized by electron transfer or redox reaction. Using Equation 1.84,

\[
\Delta G^o = -nFE_{\text{cell}}^o \quad (1.84)
\]

where \( n \) is the number of electrons transferred in a half-reaction and \( F \) = Faraday’s constant \((23.06 \text{ kcal/mol/V})\), one can predict the spontaneity of a reaction based on the standard electrode potential of a half cell reaction. Buettner had compiled an extensive list of one electron reduction potential for a variety of half-cell reactions at \( \text{pH 7} \).\(^{169}\) Table 1.2 lists some of the reduction potentials of half reaction couples. Half-cell reactions are presented such that the species on the right side is the reduced form of the species in the left side. For example, the half-cell reaction, \( \text{HO}^+, e^-, \text{H}^+/\text{H}_2\text{O} \), can be written as \( \text{HO}^+ + e^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \) with a reduction potential of \( E^o = 2.31 \text{ V} \) at standard conditions. Oxidation of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) can be written in reverse, that is, \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HO}^+ + e^- + \text{H}^+ \) but the sign has to be reversed, that is, \( E^o = -2.31 \text{ V} \). It should be noted that half-cell reaction potentials involving \( \text{H}^+ \) or \( \text{HO}^- \) can be \( \text{pH} \) dependent. Table 1.2 generally shows that the species with the most positive reduction potential (in this case \( \text{HO}^+ \)) is the most reducing and is therefore the easiest to oxidize.

To predict the spontaneity of a reaction based on reduction potentials, one can write two half-cell reactions where one is a reduction and the other is an oxidation process. For example, in Fenton chemistry, the reaction of Fe(II) with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is represented below. Note that the sign for the reduction potential of Fe(II) is negative (Eq. 1.85) since Fe(II) is oxidized to Fe(III) in this reaction.

\[
\text{Fe(II)} \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)} + e^- \quad \Delta E^o = -0.11 \text{ V} \quad (1.85)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + e^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}^+ \quad \Delta E^o = +0.39 \text{ V} \quad (1.86)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe(II)} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}^+ + \text{Fe(III)} \quad \Delta E^o = +0.28 \text{ V} \quad (1.87)
\]

The net equation gave a positive \( \Delta E^o \) value of +0.28 V (Eq. 1.87). For a reaction to occur spontaneously, the \( \Delta G^o \) must be negative. However, according to Equation 1.84, \( E^o \) must be positive to meet the requirement for spontaneity, and therefore, reaction of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) with Fe(II) is considered highly favorable.

### 1.3.2 Kinetic Considerations

Although free energies are useful entities to predict if a reaction will take place, it does not address the rate by which the process will occur. Thermodynamics only describes the relative stability of the reactants versus products. The rate of reaction is proportional to the molar concentration of a component (Eq. 1.88).
at isothermal and constant volume. As the reaction proceeds, the reactant/s concentrations decrease and this is accompanied by a decrease in the rate of the reaction as they usually tend to slow down over time. Since rates have variability, a way to quantify the rate of a chemical reaction is through the use of an experimental measure of a reaction rate which is usually referred to as rate constants \((k)\). (Note that by convention, small letter \(k\) is referred to as the rate constant and the capitalized \(K\) as equilibrium constant). Rate constant is independent of how far the reaction proceeded and its scale. Reactive species in biological systems could exhibit unimolecular, bimolecular or higher order reactions and each of these types of reaction are described by a rate constant.

**1.3.2.1 Unimolecular or First-Order Reactions** Only one reactant in which the rate of its reaction is solely proportional to its concentration at constant volume where the reaction is described in Equation 1.89,

\[ \text{A} \rightarrow \text{products} \quad (1.89) \]

and where the rate law is described in Equation 1.90:

\[ \text{Rate of reaction} = -d[A]/dt = k_1[A] \quad (1.90) \]

Experimentally, one can determine the first-order rate constant \((k_1)\) by monitoring the formation or decay of A as a function of time (Eq. 1.91).

\[ \ln \left( \frac{[A]_t}{[A]_0} \right) = -k_1t \text{ or } \log \left( \frac{[A]_t}{[A]_0} \right) = \frac{-k_1t}{2.303} \quad (1.91) \]

where \([A]_0\) and \([A]_t\) are concentrations at time = 0 and time = \(t\), respectively. The first-order rate constant has a dimension of time \(^{-1}\) and is usually expressed in s \(^{-1}\) unit. The half-life \((t_{1/2})\) of a first-order reaction which is the time required for the [A] to decrease by 50% is described as

\[ k_1t_{1/2} = 0.693 \]

Therefore, based on this equation, by knowing \(t_{1/2}\), one will be able to determine \(k_1\). Examples of this reaction is the decomposition of GSSG\(^*\) to form GS\(^*\) and GS\(^*\), or ONOOH to form NO\(^{2-}\) and HO\(^*\).

**1.3.2.2 Bimolecular or Second-Order Reactions** This reaction occurs from two reactants that are the same species (Eq. 1.92). The rate law is described in Equation 1.93.

\[ \text{A} + \text{A} \rightarrow \text{products} \quad (1.92) \]

Rate of reaction = \(-d[A]/dt = k_2[A]^2 \quad (1.93)\)

where the rate is proportional to the instantaneous concentration of A. The second-order rate constant is usually expressed in M \(^{-1}\)/s unit.

Experimentally, one can determine the second-order rate constant \((k_2)\) by monitoring the formation or decay of A as a function of time (Eq. 1.94).

\[ \frac{1}{[A]_t} - \frac{1}{[A]_0} = k_2t \quad (1.94) \]

The half-life for second-order reaction is described by Equation 1.95,

\[ k_2t_{1/2} = 1/[A] \quad (1.95) \]

which indicates that the \(t_{1/2}\) of the second-order rate constant is inversely proportional to [A]. Examples of this reaction are the bimolecular reaction between two HO\(^*\) to form H\(_2\)O\(_2\), or the dismutation of HOO\(^*\) to form H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and O\(_2\).

Majority of reactions, however, are between two different species (Eq. 1.96) as described by the rate law (Eq. 1.97):

\[ \text{A} + \text{B} \rightarrow \text{products} \quad (1.96) \]

Rate of reaction = \(-d[A]/dt = -d[B]/dt = k_2[A][B] \quad (1.97)\)

The integrated rate law for \(k_2\) determination is described by Equation 1.98:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{[A]_t}{[B]_0} \right) = ([A]_0 - [B]_0)k_2t + \ln \left( \frac{[A]_0}{[B]_0} \right) \quad (1.98) \]

To simplify the kinetic measurements, second-order kinetics can be investigated using first-order rate law by making one of the reactants in large excess. For example, if A is in large excess over B, that is, \([A]_0 \gg [B]_0\), then \([A]_t - [A]_0\) therefore, Equation 1.98 can be rewritten as

\[ k_2[A]_0 = k_1' \text{ where } k_1' \text{ is the pseudo-first-order rate constant that is related to the concentrations of B according to Equation 1.99,} \]

\[ \ln \left( \frac{[B]_t}{[B]_0} \right) = -k_1't \quad (1.99) \]

Using the known initial concentration of the reactant that is in excess, that is \([A]_0\), the second-order rate constant \(k_2\) can be calculated from \(k_1'\).
1.3.2.3 Transition State Theory, Reaction Coordinates and Activation Energies Transition state theory is the current model used to describe a chemical reaction in terms of physical processes. It assumes that reactions are in equilibrium between the reactants and an activated transition state structure. By determining the reaction rate constants \( k \), the standard Gibbs free energy of activation \( \Delta G^\ddagger \) can be calculated using Equation 1.100,

\[
k = \frac{k_b T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^\ddagger / RT}
\]

where \( k_b T/h \) is the universal factor composed of Boltzman \( (k_b) \) and Planck \( (h) \) constants and the absolute temperature \( (T) \).

In a simple reaction coordinate composed of reactants \((A + B)\), activated complex \((AB^\ddagger)\) and products, the potential energy diagram for an exothermic reaction is shown in Figure 1.33.

The activated complex lie at the saddle point (highest energy of a potential energy surface) and is in “quasi-equilibrium” with the reactant molecules which is later converted into products.

The magnitude of \( \Delta G^\ddagger \) therefore determines the rate of the reaction; that is, the higher the activation barrier the slower the reaction rate will be. One also has to consider that free energy is temperature dependent and hence the kinetics of a reaction. Several external factors can affect the magnitude of \( \Delta G^\ddagger \) and the rate of reactions. For example, increased temperature, concentration, and pressure can increase the probability of collision between two particles and therefore, the rate of reaction increases. Catalysts such as enzymes provide lower activation barrier by increasing the collision rate between reactants by arranging the orientation of the reactants for optimal reactivity; by changing the electronic property of the reactants though increased electrophilicity or nucleophilicity; through changes in intramolecular forces of attraction that can hinder reactants reactivity; or by simply providing alternative pathways for the reaction mechanism.

The range of rates by which reactions in biological system occurs is wide from very slow (<1) to diffusion controlled rate \((10^{-9}–10^{0})\). Table 1.3 shows the various biologically relevant reaction and their experimental rate constants.

Based on Table 1.3, in general, the fastest reactions \((10^{9}–10^{10})\) involve either addition reaction or electron transfer reaction between two radicals. Intermediate rate reactions \((10^{3}–10^{6})\) are mostly characterized by H-atom abstraction, reaction between radical anions or electron transfer between the pi-radicals such as in the case of NO and O\(_2\). Slow reactions \((10^{2}–10^{6})\), are mostly unimolecular decomposition that involves bond breaking of N–O, O–O or N–N bonds and electron transfer between anions and neutral molecules.

1.4 ORIGINS OF REACTIVE SPECIES

1.4.1 Biological Sources

Among the numerous reactive species formed in biological systems, O\(_2^*\) and NO are the two major precursors. The enzymatic generation of O\(_2^*\) and "NO has been shown to originate from O\(_2\) and arginine, respectively as substrates. These radicals are formed in various subcellular compartments such as membrane, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum\(^{172}\) or golgi apparatus.\(^{173}\) Below are the common sources of O\(_2^*\) and NO but the mechanistic details will be left in the succeeding chapters and the list below only offers a general overview of the different enzymes responsible for their generation.

1.4.1.1 NADPH Oxidase Superoxide radical anion are generated through stimulated professional phagocytes (e.g., neutrophils, macrophages monocytes, dendritic cells and mast cells).\(^{174}\) Pentose phosphate pathway generates NADPH during the oxidative phase in which two molecules of NADP\(^\ddagger\) are reduced to NADPH though the utilization of glucose-6-phosphate into ribulose 5-phosphate according to Equation 1.101,

\[
2 \text{NADP}^\ddagger \rightarrow 2 \text{NADPH}^\ddagger
\]

where NADPH subsequently reduce O\(_2\) to O\(_2^\ddagger\) via the NADPH oxidase pathway (Eq. 1.102). The details of which are discussed in Chapter 2.
### Table 1.3 Various Reactions of Reactive Species and their Respective Rate Constants at Normal Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂− + &quot;NO→ ONOO−&quot;</td>
<td>1.9 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂− + HO− → O₂ + HO⁻</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO + HO&quot; → HNO₂</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + HO&quot; → NO + NO₂ + HO⁻</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO + R&quot; → RNNO</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2O₂ + 2H⁺ → O₂ + H₂O₂ (SOD catalyzed)</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂− + &quot;NO₂ + O₂NOO−&quot;</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + NO₂ → NO₃⁻</td>
<td>1.1 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO + TyrO&quot; → Tyr−ONO</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + TyrO&quot; → Tyr−NO₂</td>
<td>1.3 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻ + HO&quot; → &quot;NO₂ + HO⁻</td>
<td>5.3 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSSG⁺ + O₂ → GSSG + O₂⁺</td>
<td>5.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2GS₁ → GSSG</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO + GS&quot; → GSNO</td>
<td>3.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + GS&quot; → GSNO₂</td>
<td>3.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS₁ + GSNO → GSSG + &quot;NO&quot;</td>
<td>1.7 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS₂ + O₂ → GSOO²</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSOO⁺ + &quot;NO₂ → GSNOO₂</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSOO⁺ + &quot;NO → GSNOO&quot;</td>
<td>3.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂⁺ + NO + HO→ HCO₂⁺ + NO₂</td>
<td>3.9 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSOO⁺ + GSNO → GSSG + O₂ + &quot;NO&quot;</td>
<td>3.8 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂⁺ + O₂− + H⁺ → HCO₂⁻ + O₂</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O₃ + RH → RNO + H⁺ + NO₂</td>
<td>1.8 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂⁺ + Tyr → HCO₂⁺ + TyrO⁻</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2TyrO → diTyr</td>
<td>8.05 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O₃ + GSNO → GSNO + H⁺ + NO₂</td>
<td>6.6 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + GS&quot; → GS⁺ + H⁺ + NO₂</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂ + Catalase-Fe(III) → Compound 1</td>
<td>k₁ = 1.7 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound 1 + H₂O₂ → Cat</td>
<td>k₂ = 2.6 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III) + 2H₂O + O₂</td>
<td>M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH⁺ + NO₂ → NO₃⁻ + UH⁻ + H⁺</td>
<td>1.8 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2NO + O₂ → 2NO₂</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4NO + O₂ + 2H₂O → 4HNO₃</td>
<td>8.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS⁺ + GS⁻ → GSSG⁻</td>
<td>9.6 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂⁺ + GSNO → HCO₂⁻ + GS⁻</td>
<td>5.3 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOO⁺ + TOH → LOOH + TO⁺</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH⁺ + Asc⁻ → UH₂⁻ + A⁻</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁻⁷ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROO⁺ + UH⁻ → ROO⁻ + UH⁻ + H⁺</td>
<td>3.2 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂⁺ + RH → HCO₂⁻ + R⁻</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + Tyr → NO₃⁻ + TyrO⁻</td>
<td>3.2 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSSG⁻ → GS⁻ + GS⁻</td>
<td>1.6 × 10⁻⁷ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSOO⁺ → GS⁺ + O₂</td>
<td>6.0 × 10⁻⁸ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;NO₂ + RH → RNO + R⁻ + H⁺</td>
<td>3.2 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH + TyrO⁺ → GSH + TyrO⁻</td>
<td>3.5 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS⁺ + Tyr → GSH + TyrO⁻</td>
<td>3.5 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2O₂ + 2H⁺ → O₂ + H₂O₂</td>
<td>2.54 × 10⁰ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O₃ + NO + &quot;NO₂</td>
<td>8.1 × 10⁻⁹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO⁻ + CO₂ → NO₂⁻ + CO₂</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁻⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO⁻ + CO₂ → NO₂⁻ + CO₂</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁻⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr − ONOO⁻ → NO₂⁻ + TyrO⁻</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁻⁹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urate + ONOO⁻ → products</td>
<td>4.8 × 10⁻⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO⁻ + GSH → NO₂⁻ + GSOH</td>
<td>6.6 × 10⁻⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOO⁺ + LH → LOOH + L⁻</td>
<td>10–50 M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSNOO₂ → GSNO + &quot;NO₂</td>
<td>0.75 s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO⁻ + H⁺ → HNO₃</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONOO⁻ + H⁺ → NO₂⁻ + HO²⁻</td>
<td>0.232 s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UH⁻ + O₂ → no measurable reaction</td>
<td>&lt;10⁻² M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from References 170, 171 and 277.

### 1.4.1.2 Xanthine Oxidoreductase or Oxidase

During ischemia, ATP is metabolized to adenosine and through adenosine deaminase, adenosine is converted to inosine which further decomposes to hypoxanthine (Eq. (1.103)). Although hypoxanthine can be converted to xanthine by xanthine oxidase (XO) via a reductive half-reaction, xanthine can be independently formed from GMP through purine metabolism. This catalytic purine degradation is also associated with the formation of H₂O₂ and O₂⁻.

ATP → ADP → AMP → adenosine → inosine → hypoxanthine

XO belongs to a family of molybdoflavoenzymes and is released by a calcium-triggered protease during hypoxia (Eq. (1.104)).

\[
\text{xanthine dehydrogenase} \xrightarrow{Ca^{2+}/\text{protease}} \text{xanthine oxidase (XO)}
\]

Hypoxanthine or xanthine can undergo reductive half-reaction with XO at the Mo–Co centers. Two electrons are transferred to XO from xanthine, thereby reducing Mo(VI) to Mo(IV). The oxidative half-reaction then takes place at FAD where electron transfer between the reduced Mo–Co occurs with FAD as mediated by Fe₂S₅ centers, thus maintaining Mo to be as Mo(VI) and FAD as FADH₂. Transfer of electrons from FADH₂ to NAD⁺ or O₂ occurs during the reoxidation of fully six-electron-reduced XO. The first two processes involve 2-electron reduction of O₂ to form H₂O₂, then the remaining two electrons are used to reduced O₂ to O₂⁻. The total ROS produced is, therefore, two molecules of each H₂O₂ and O₂⁻ (Eq. (1.105)).

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2^\cdot \xrightarrow{XO} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{O}_2^\cdot
\]

### 1.4.1.3 Mitochondrial Electron Transport Chain (METC)

Metabolism of O₂ involves a series of electron transfer between an electron donor (NADH) and
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ROS in the mitochondria are the dehydrogenases, quinone oxidoreductase and monoamine oxidase B.

1.4.1.4 Hemoglobin (Hb) Oxygen binds to the heme Fe(II) on a reversible and stable manner and is the basis of Hb function. However, the Fe(II) heme can undergo auto-oxidation (∼3 within 24-hour period) to form Fe(III) and O₂•− (Eq. 1.107) and is a common mechanism of oxidative stress in red blood cells.

\[
4 \text{ cyt c}_{\text{red}} + O_2 + 8H^+ \rightarrow 4 \text{ cyt c}_{\text{ox}} + 2H_2O + H^+ \quad (1.106)
\]

However, partial metabolism of O₂ occurs prior to its full reduction to water by cytochrome c oxidase. Although it is estimated that under normal conditions, 1–2% of O₂ consumed by mitochondria are converted to ROS. This phenomenon called electron leakage maybe more prevalent in pathophysiological conditions.

The major sources of radical generation within the mitochondria have been identified to be the NADH dehydrogenase and ubiquinone. Figure 1.34 shows the ubiquinone cycle in which ubiquinone (Q) reduces cytochrome b through multiple processes that also leads to the oxidation of NADH dehydrogenase. The cycle is coupled to the electron transfer process that occurs between ubiquinol (QH2) and cytochrome c1 via proteins containing Fe–S clusters. At the site of this electron transfer process, an electron is “leaked” to the O₂ molecule to give O₂•− then subsequently forming H₂O₂. Studies on heart and nonsynaptic brain mitochondria of mammals and birds show that oxygen radicals are generated at complex I in heart and brain mitochondria in States 4 and 3, while complex III (ubiquinone cytochrome c reductase) generates radicals only in heart mitochondria and only in State 4.177 Other sources of ROS in the mitochondria are the dehydrogenases, quinone oxidoreductase and monoamine oxidase B.

1.4.1.5 Nitric Oxide Synthases Nitric oxide synthase catalyzes the production of nitric oxide from L-arginine via an electron flow from NADPH→FAD→FMN→heme→oxygen based on Equation 1.108.

\[
\text{L-arginine} + \frac{3}{2} \text{NADPH} + H^+ + 2O_2 \rightarrow \text{citrulline} + NO + \frac{3}{2} \text{NADP}^+ \quad (1.108)
\]

The Fe(III) heme upon reduction by FMNH₂ to Fe(II) enables binding to O₂ to form the ferrous-dioxy complex or Fe(III)O₂•− (species 1). Species 1 can presumably further undergo a one-electron reduction by tetrahydrobiopeterin (H₄B) to form the iron-peroxo species (species II) and O–O bond cleavage yields water (Fig. 1.35) and iron-oxo species which is thought to hydroxylate the guanindino nitrogen of the L-arginine and ultimately leading to the generation of NO (Fig. 1.36).
Under oxidative conditions such as in the presence of ONOO⁻, the oxidation state for H₂B is altered such that conversion of species 1 to 2 is hampered. The peroxo group of species 1 then decomposes to O₂⁻ and Fe(III).

1.4.1.6 **Cytochrome P450 (CYP)** CYP is one of the most important class of enzymes responsible for the oxidation of organic substances using lipids and steroids as well as xenobiotics as substrates. The catalytic action of CYP mirrors that of NOS enzymes where the formation of oxo-ferryl (Fe⁴⁺ = O), species II (shown in Fig. 1.35) is the oxidizing form of the heme. Like in NOS, non-reduction of Fe(III)O₂⁻ results in the production of O₂⁻.

1.4.1.7 **Cyclooxygenase (COX) and Lipoxygenase (LPO)** Arachidonic metabolism can mediate several important cellular events such as inflammation, chemotaxis, and regulation of muscle tone. However, the formation of metabolites such as prostaglandins, thromboxane and leukotriene generates ROS. The formation of PGG₂ and HpETEs hydroperoxides has been shown to be mediated by COX and LPO. These unstable peroxides can yield HO⁻ and RO⁻ via O–O bond cleavage.

1.4.1.8 **Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER)** ER is an organelle responsible for protein folding and maturation. Along with Golgi complex, it is involved in the transport of new proteins, lipids and other small molecules to their proper destination. Recently, ER has been implicated in hypoxia- and diabetes-mediated oxidative stress. During accumulation of newly synthesized unfolded proteins, the unfolded protein response (UPR) is activated and causes a variety of inflammatory and stress signaling responses. The mechanism of radical production from ER was proposed to originate from an enzyme Ero1p, a flavin-containing oxidase, due to its ability to reduce molecular O₂ to yield H₂O₂ when acting on thiol substrates according to Equation 1.109 and Equation 1.110.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{E-FAD} & + 2 \text{RSH} \rightarrow \text{EFADH₂} + \text{RSSR} \\
\text{EFADH₂} + \text{O₂} & \rightarrow \text{EFAD} + \text{H₂O₂}
\end{align*}
\]  

Ero1p is an enzyme responsible for the disulfide bond formation in eukaryotic cells under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The ability of Ero1p to transfer electron to other small molecules and macromolecular electron acceptor has also been demonstrated.

1.4.2 **Nonbiochemical Sources**

1.4.2.1 **Photolysis** Shown in Equation 1.111 and Equation 1.112 is the generation of O₂⁻ during ionizing radiation of air-saturated sodium formate using stopped-flow radiolysis apparatus on line with a Van de Graaff electron generator at 2-MeV.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H₂O} & \rightarrow \text{H₂O₂} + \text{H₃O⁺} + \text{H}⁺ + \text{HO}⁺ + \text{H₂} + \text{e}_{\text{aq}}⁻
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}⁺ + \text{O₂} & \rightarrow \text{HO₂}⁺
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{e}_{\text{aq}}⁻ + \text{O₂} & \rightarrow \text{O₂}⁻ \quad 2.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}⁻¹ \text{s}⁻¹ \\
\text{HO₂}⁺ & \rightleftharpoons \text{O₂}⁻ + \text{H}⁺
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\text{HCOO}^- + \text{HO}^+ \rightarrow \text{CO}_2^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{CO}_2^{2+} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^{2+} + \text{CO}_2 \\
2.5 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \\
4.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

(1.112)

Superoxide can also be formed in O₂-saturated aqueous formate solution upon short UV irradiation by Xe or Ar lamp. The main process involves photochemical decomposition of water through its dissociation into HO⁺ and H⁺ (Eq. 1.113). In the presence of O₂, electron transfer occurs to produce O₂⁺⁺ (Eq. 1.114). Moreover, formate (HCOO⁻) can react with H⁺ or HO⁺ to form a common product CO₂⁺⁺, where CO₂⁺⁺ can further reduce O₂ to O₂⁺⁺ (Eq. 1.115).\(^{185}\)

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{HO}^+ + \text{H}^+ \\
\text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}^+ = \text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2^{2+} \\
1.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

(1.113)

\[
\text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2^{2+} \\
1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

(1.114)

\[
\text{HO}^+ + \text{HCO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2^{2+} \\
2.5 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

(1.115)

\[
\text{CO}_2^{2+} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_2^{2+} \\
1.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

Photolysis of H₂O₂ solution can also convert HO⁺ and H⁺ to HO₂⁺ via reactions shown in Equation 1.116 and Equation 1.117.\(^{186}\)

\[
\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{HO}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\]

(1.116)

(1.117)

UV-photolysis of H₂O₂ yields HO⁺ via O–O bond homolytic cleavage, while photolysis of alkyl disulfides results in C–S and S–S homolytic bond cleavage.\(^{187}\)

1.4.2.2 Sonochemical Acoustic cavitation involves the nucleation, growth and violent collapse of gas-filled microbubbles in a liquid. The bubble collapse is associated with the creation of a transient region with very high temperature (>1000 K) and pressure (>100 atm) in pressure. It is known that the collapse of the bubbles is accompanied by the emission of light, a process known as sonoluminescence. Ultrasound-induced pyrolysis of argon-purged water showed formation of H⁺ and HO⁺ using spin trapping technique.\(^{188,189}\) Sonolysis in the presence of drugs also known as sonosensitizers can yield ROO⁺ and RO⁺ exhibiting enhanced therapeutic action against cancer cells for example.\(^{190}\)

1.4.2.3 Photochemical There are three major pathways for the photochemical generation of O₂⁺⁺ as shown in Figure 1.37: (1) via photoionization of a sensitizer molecule which generates hydrated electron (e⁻aq) which in turn directly reduces O₂ to O₂⁺⁺; (2) use of excited state acceptor (Sen) that can accept electron from a ground state donor; (3) through electron transfer with O₂ or O₂⁺⁺ by a sensitized excited or ground state donor, respectively.\(^{191}\)

Mechanisms 1, 2, and 3 require O₂ for the production of O₂⁺⁺. For Mechanism 1, tryptophan and other amino acids as well as other aromatic compounds (such as amines, phenols, methoxybenzenes and indoles) are capable of generating O₂⁺⁺ under photoionizing conditions in near-UV light. Mechanism 2 involves charge-transfer mechanism which is very common among flavin and its analogues which usually occurs in the presence of an electron donor such as EDTA. Triplet state methylene blue in the presence of alkylamines results in electron transfer to generate O₂⁺⁺. Mechanism 3 shows the formation of O₂⁺⁺ from O₂ (O₂ is generated from O₂ sensitization by rose bengal) using furfuryl alcohol, fullerenes, or quinones as specific O₂ quenchers.\(^{192,193,194}\)

1.4.2.4 Electrochemical The standard potential of O₂/O₂⁺⁺, \(E^0 = -0.284 \text{ V (vs. NHE)}\). Using this potential, O₂⁺⁺ can be electrochemically generated from one-electron reduction of O₂ in alkaline aqueous solution, DMSO, or ionic liquids.\(^{195,196,197}\)

1.4.2.5 Chemical Tetramethylammonium salt of O₂⁺⁺ (Me₄NO₃) can be prepared from solid state metathesis
combination of KO₂ and Me₄NOH with high purity. Also, Me₄NO₂ can be prepared from NH₃ treatment of KO₂ with Me₄NF or reaction of Me₄NOH•5H₂O with excess KO₂.

Quinones are active sites of mitochondrial bc complex (III) or as active moieties of xenobiotics. One electron reduction of quinone leads to the formation of semiquinone. In general, reduction of quinone to hydroquinone can be accomplished nonenzymatically via two-electron reduction with the reducing equivalents of NADPH, or by one-electron reduction to semiquinone with microsomal or mitochondrial enzymes (Fig. 1.38). Semiquinone can reduce O₂ to form O₂•⁻ and the original quinone. The process is repeated until ROS production is at its maximum and semiquinone begins to accumulate, at which time the system becomes depleted with O₂. This process is usually referred to as redox cycling. The diagram below shows the redox cycling of ROS by quinone as mediated by an electron donor, NADPH. Redox cycling has also been observed in ortho-bezoquinones.

Nitric oxide can be generated from chemical sources directly or indirectly by enzymatic or nonenzymatic systems. Nitric oxide can be photochemically or thermally generated from metal-NO complexes, N-nitrosamines, N-hydroxyl nitrosamines, nitrosoamines, nitrosothiols, C-nitrosothiols, and diazetine dioxides. NO can also be generated indirectly through enzymatic metabolism of organic nitrates/nitrites, guanidines, hydroxyureas, oximes, oxatriazole-5-imines, or furoxans.

Stable ONOO⁻ solution can be generated directly from ozone and sodium azide, nitrite and H₂O₂, or organic nitrite and H₂O₂. Since 3-N-morpholinosydnonimine (SIN-1) comes in solid form, the use of SIN-1 is the most common form of ONOO⁻ delivery due to its ease of handling. Figure 1.39 shows the proposed decomposition pathway for SIN-1, which involves electron transfer reaction with O₂ to form O₂•⁻. The oxidized SIN-1 intermediate decomposes to form NO. Combination of the generated NO and O₂•⁻ in solution then yields ONOO⁻.

1.5 METHODS OF DETECTION

Reactive species in in vitro and in vivo systems can be directly or indirectly detected. Due to the instability and short half-lives of the common radicals, reagents are needed for their detection. By exploiting the chemistry of radical addition or electron transfer reactions to some reagents, one can use this process as an analytical tool to detect ROS production. In particular, the detection
of O$_2^\cdot$ is the most relevant since it is the major precursor of most reactive burst in biological systems. However, in in vitro and in vivo systems where the flux of O$_2^\cdot$ can be below the detection limit of the commonly used analytical techniques, secondary products such as the formation of other ROS, RNS, RSS as well as the formation of biomolecular radicals such as protein or nucleotide radicals can be directly or indirectly detected. Analysis of the primary or secondary addition products of radicals to substrates can be analyzed using various methods such as by chromatography, electrochemistry, mass spectrometry, spectrophotometry or by magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

1.5.1 In Vitro

1.5.1.1 Fluorescence and Chemiluminescence

Fluorescence (FL) occurs when light is absorbed by a fluorophore (excitation) with subsequent emission of light, while chemiluminescence (CL) occurs with the emission of light as a result of a chemical reaction; the latter is more sensitive than the former by 2 orders of magnitude.

Although FL and CL are among the most sensitive techniques for radical detection in vitro (i.e., >1 nM), caution is needed for their application. FL and CL probes are capable of detecting various ROS/RNS via two-electron oxidation, and therefore, suffer from selectivity and may compete with endogenous intracellular antioxidants such as ascorbate, urate and thiols. Moreover, these probes can generate O$_2^\cdot$ via formation of an active intermediate after reaction with ROS/RNS. Due to the lack of selectivity to a particular reactive species, FL and CL are more appropriately called redox probes to indicate their general reactivity to various reactive species. Some of the most common FL probes are dichlorodihydrofluorescein (DCFH$_2$), rhodamine (RhH$_2$) and ethidine (DHE) (Fig. 1.40). DCFH$_2$ and RhH$_2$ react with O$_2^\cdot$ or H$_2$O$_2$ poorly, and fluorescence arising from this reaction could be catalyzed by metal ion impurities, and therefore are not suitable probes for ROS. Carbonate radical anion and *NO$_3$ are better detected using DCFH$_2$ due to their higher reactivity and higher fluorescence yield, however, this is not true for HO$^\cdot$ and HOCl. RhH$_2$ gives fluorescence with all of the reactive species. Unlike DCFH$_2$ and RhH$_2$, DHE is highly reactive to O$_2^\cdot$ but yields two fluorescent products: (1) specific to O$_2^\cdot$ (i.e., 2-hydroxyethidium, 2-OH-E$^\cdot$); and (2) nonspecific to O$_2^\cdot$ that can be formed photochemically (E$^\cdot$). To differentiate between 2-OH-E$^\cdot$ and E$^\cdot$, the use of HPLC/FL assay has been suggested and provides unequivocal differentiation of the two products.

In spite of this complication, DHE is currently perhaps the most specific FL probe for O$_2^\cdot$.

Lucigenin (LC) is the most commonly used CL probe for O$_2^\cdot$ but like DCFH$_2$, it can also generate O$_2^\cdot$ and is not specific for O$_2^\cdot$ because it also gives luminescence in the presence of nucleophiles and reducing agents to form LC$^\cdot$. Addition of O$_2^\cdot$ to LC$^\cdot$ (formed from its enzymatic reduction) forms a dioxetane intermediate that cleaves to form the excited state which later can emit light (Fig. 1.41). Other reductants that can generate LC$^\cdot$ are H$_2$O$_2$, flavoproteins, eNOS, NADPH reductases and cyt P450.

Boronates have been shown to react with ONOO$, \text{HOCI}$, and H$_2$O$_2$ imparting fluorescence but with varying rates of reaction. The second-order rate constants show ONOO$^-$ to be the most reactive (−10$^5$–10$^6$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) followed by HOCI (−10$^3$–10$^4$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and by H$_2$O$_2$ (−2 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$).

The mechanism of oxidant reaction to boronates involves nucleophilic addition of the oxidant to the boron atom followed by the heterolytic

![Figure 1.40](image-url) Fluorescence probes for ROS and their various products.
For NO detection, fluorescence probes have been employed such as those containing the vicinal diamines (e.g., fluorescein based, DAF-2; rhodamine-based, DAR-4M; BODIPY-based, DAMBO; and cyanine-based, DACs). Reaction of NO with diamine proceeds in the presence of oxygen to form the highly fluorescent N-nitrosated product (Eq. 1.119).\(^{210}\)

\[
\text{NO} + \text{Hb} \rightarrow \text{NO}^2_2^- + \text{O}_2
\]  
(1.120)

\[
\text{NO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + h\nu
\]  
(1.121)

1.5.1.2 UV-Vis Spectrophotometry and HPLC

Several assays for \(\text{O}_2^-\) based on 1-electron transfer reaction have been employed due to the high rate constants observed for this type of reaction. Cytochrome (cyt) \(c^3\) can be reduced to cyt \(c^2\) by \(\text{O}_2^-\) and can be detected spectrophotometrically. Due to the relatively low rate constant of this reaction (~10\(^5\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), the amount of \(\text{O}_2^-\) generated can be underestimated.

Another popular spectrophotometric technique for \(\text{O}_2^-\) detection is through the use of p-nitrotetrazolium blue (NBT) which forms a colored monoformazan anion. However, the use of cyt c and NBT have limitations such that their reduction is not specific to \(\text{O}_2^-\) and cannot be applied in \textit{in vivo} systems.\(^{212}\)

Nitric oxide can be measured by using reduced hemoglobin according to Equation 1.122. Oxidation of hemoglobin to methemoglobin can be detected spectrophotometrically with a detection threshold of 1 nmol.

\[
\text{NO} + \text{Hb} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + \text{O}_2
\]  
(1.122)

Also, by using thioproline, NO can be trapped and the adduct formed can be detected using mass spectrometry.\(^{213}\) Nitrite as an oxidation end product of NO can also be detected spectrophotometrically using Griess assay. Nitrite is detected as red pink coloration produced from the reaction of sulphanilic acid with \(\text{NO}_2^-\) where the product formed reacts further with an azo dye (alpha-naphthilamine) giving a colored product. In systems where \(\text{NO}_3^-\) are present, prior reduction of \(\text{NO}_3^-\) to \(\text{NO}_2^-\) is required to obtain the total \(\text{NO}_2^-/\text{NO}_3^-\) content by treatment of the sample with sodium formate and nitrate reductase.\(^{214}\)

Hypochlorous acid can be trapped by taurine forming taurine chloramine. Taurine chloramine can then be spectrophotometrically assayed using 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid (TNB)\(^{215}\) but has some limitations.

The reaction of NO with ozone imparts chemiluminescence and has been exploited to detect NO formation. This ozone-based detection of NO in the gas phase involves light emission along with the formation of \(\text{NO}_3^-\) (Eq. 1.120 and Eq. 1.121).\(^{211}\) This technique, although very sensitive, requires the use of an NO analyzer equipped with ozone generator and sensitive photomultiplier tube and purging of NO from the sample is required.
such as the need to predetermine the chloramine concentration for accurate measurements, poor selectivity as other oxidants can bleach TNB, and the light sensitivity of TNB. Iodide was proposed to be an alternative to TNB and by using 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) as chromophore due to its ability to be oxidized by hypoiodous acid with a sensitivity of 1 μM of taurine chloramine. Dihydrorhodamine was also used as chromophore giving 10-fold greater sensitivity than TMB.²¹⁶

Other radicals such as HO*, NO₂ or HO₂ have been shown to form adducts with various substrates such as amino acids, DNA bases or lipids via hydroxylation, nitration and hydroperoxide formation, respectively, which can be detected using a variety of analytical methods such as HPLC, electrochemical, spectrophotometric or by MS. Hydroxyl radical for example adds to 8-hydroxyguanine of the DNA to yield the 8-hydroxy-2-deoxygianosine (8-OHdG) which can be isolated and analyzed using HPLC/electrochemical methods.²¹⁷

Recently, more improved methods using HPLC/electrochemical detection for hydroxylation was proposed using 4-hydroxybenzoic acid and terephthalate assays which do not have the drawbacks associated with the use of salicylate or phenylalanine.²¹⁸ Using tandem mass spectroscopic techniques, nitration of amino acid residues in peptides²¹⁹ have been demonstrated while proteomic approach have been successful in identifying nitration in proteins.²²⁰,²²¹

Ferrous oxidation-xylenol orange (FOX) assay has been used as a conventional technique for hydroperoxide formation in lipids,²²²,²²³ and peptide/protein systems.²²⁴,²²⁵ FOX assay technique uses the Fenton chemistry to generate the HO* from the O–O homolytic cleavage from the ROOH which decolorizes the xylenol orange dye. RNS adduct of lipids have been characterized using HPLC coupled with UV and MS detection.²²⁶ MDA, being one of the end products of lipid hydroperoxide formation, can be measured using TBARS assay with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) as a reagent. Caution is required in interpreting TBARS data since MDA participates in other reactions other than TBA and is not exclusively formed from lipid peroxidation. Moreover, MDA is only formed from a particular lipid peroxidation process out of a myriad of several lipid peroxidation decomposition reactions.²²⁷ Analysis of F₂-isoprostanes (F₂-IPs) are more reliable marker of lipid peroxidation which possesses a 1,3-dihydroxycyclopentane ring with the OH groups in the syn position and are mainly formed from the arachidonic acid oxidation. Analysis of F₂-IPs can be carried ex vivo using LC/MS/MS or GC/MS.⁴⁸,²²⁸

**1.5.1.4 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy** EPR spectroscopy exploits the magnetic moment of an electron through absorption of microwave radiation in the presence of external magnetic field. As shown in Figure 1.43, there are three major approaches for the detection of O₂⁻ using EPR and various probes, that is, (1) spin-quenching (or spin-loss) using trityl; (2) spin-formation using hydroxylamine; (3) spin trapping using nitrones where the former involves loss of signal and the latter two involve signal formation. Due to the inherent stability of trityl radicals, they have been employed as probes for the detection of O₂⁻. The most common are the triarylmethyl (trityl)-based radicals²³⁸-²⁴⁰ which can undergo electron transfer reaction with O₂⁻ to yield O₂ and the EPR silent trityl anion. The synthetic trityl radicals, TAM OX063 and perchlorotrityl methyl (PCM-TC), have been shown to give high reactivity to O₂⁻ with second-order rate constants of 3.1 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 8.3 × 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively.²³⁶,²³⁹ Trityl radicals show inertness toward a majority of the

![Figure 1.42 Immuno-spin trapping of macromolecular radicals using DMPO and anti-DMPO octanoic acid antiserum.](image-url)
simple electron transfer mechanism to yield a paramagnetic aminoxyl species and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. Figure 1.44 shows the commonly used hydroxylamines, TPO-H, TPL-H, TEMPONE-H, CP-H, and PP-H which are $N$-hydroxy-pyrrole or piperidine derivatives able to react with $\text{O}_2^−$. Rate constants for hydroxylamine probe reaction with $\text{O}_2^−$ are dependent on the structure of the probe. In the case of the negatively charged probe, PP–H, its rate of reaction to $\text{O}_2^−$ was found to be slower with $k = 840 \pm 60 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ due mostly to repulsive effect, while the neutral probes, TPO-H and TPL-H have higher rate constants in the range of $1–2 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. The redox reaction of $\text{O}_2^−$ with the hydroxylamine produces $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and can be considered an artifactual source of other ROS. Since other ROS/RNS species as well as metal ions and $\text{O}_2$ can also give the exact same EPR triplet signal, caution should be practiced in data interpretation using hydroxylamine probes.

Detection of $^1\text{O}_2$ can be accomplished using the amine 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidone (TEMP). Reaction of $^1\text{O}_2$ with TEMP leads to the formation of the nitroxide 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidone-N-oxyl (TEMPO) which can be detected using EPR as shown in Equation 1.123.

$$\text{NITRONE} \rightarrow \text{NITROXIDE} \rightarrow \text{Spin Adduct}$$

Spin traps are nitrone-based molecules. Although hydroxylamines exhibit 10- to 1000-fold higher reactivity to $\text{O}_2^−$ than the nitrones, the paramagnetic species generated from hydroxylamine does not allow discrimination between the different radicals generated. Spin traps, however, add to a free radical at its $\alpha$-carbon (C-2) position to form an aminoxyl adduct (or spin adduct), except that the signal is more complex than the ones observed from hydroxylamines (Fig. 1.43). The complex spectrum of the spin adduct is due to the presence of a $\beta$-H and the nature of the radical moiety, and is the basis for their popularity not only in
free radical detection but also in their identification. Shown in Figure 1.45 are the commonly used spin traps, and are divided into two major classes, the cyclic nitrones, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO), 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-methyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (EMPO), and 5-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-5-methyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DEPMPO), and the linear, N-tert-butyl-α-phenylnitrones (PBN).

Aside from O$_2^•$−, other radicals that can also be identified using spin trapping are HO•, RO•, RS•, NO$_2$•, CO$_3$•, CO$_2$•, N$_3$•, and so on. The half-lives of the spin adducts vary significantly which range from seconds to hours depending on the type of the radical and nitrone used. The least stable are the O$_2$•− adducts of DMPO and PBN with a half-life of <1 minute in aqueous solution. However, C-5 derivatized spin traps such as EMPO and DEPMPO exhibit longer O$_2$•− adduct half-lives of ~8 and ~14 minutes, respectively. One major disadvantage of this technique, in spite of the improved O$_2$•− adduct half-lives, is the slow reactivity of these spin traps with O$_2$•− with rate constants ranging from <1–10 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ which requires the use of high concentrations (typically 10–100 mM) of these reagents in solution for O$_2$•− detection. However, other radicals exhibit significantly fast reactivity and long adduct half-lives with spin traps.

Spin trapping has been employed to detect O$_2$•− from xanthine oxidase, the mitochondrial ETC, and NADPH oxidase. Nitrones have also been successfully used to detect O$_2$•− generation in human epithelial cells, human neutrophils, reperfused cardiac tissue, and small animals using ex vivo techniques. Ex vivo spin trapping was also demonstrated in ischemia-reperfusion studies where the spin trap was administered to the animals before the onset of ischemia. Reperfusion was then collected and radical adduct generation was detected by EPR spectroscopy.

Nitric oxide does not add to nitrone spin traps but they undergo redox reaction with nitronyl nitroxides (NN) and addition reaction with iron-thiocarbamate complexes with fast rates of reaction whose products are detectable by EPR. Oxidation of NO by NN as opposed to reduction of O$_2$ by hydroxylamine occurs. There are two commonly used NN’s, the 2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl 3-oxide (PTIO) and its water soluble analogue 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl 3-oxide (C-PTIO) (Fig. 1.46).

Nitric oxide react with NN via addition reaction to the nitroxy-O and subsequent liberation of NO to form the imino nitroxide (IN) as shown in Figure 1.46. The detection of NO through the use of NN shows clear distinction between the spectral profile imparted by the NN versus the IN product formed (Fig. 1.47). By virtue of symmetry, the spectral profile of NN is characterized by two equivalent N hyperfine splitting constants with $a_{N1}$ = 8.2 G, while the IN gives asymmetrical product with the two nitrogens giving two different hfsc’s of $a_{N3}$ = 9.8 G and $a_{N3}$ = 4.4 G. The rate constant for the action of NO with NN is in the order of ~10$^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ which is fast enough to compete with O$_2$ but not with O$_2$•−. Moreover, nitroxyl (HNO) also reacts with NN to form the similar IN product and that the NO$_2$ formed can participate with other reactions involving NN and therefore requires careful consideration in the interpretation of the signal formed. One main disadvantage of NN as probes for NO is their ability to be reduced to...
EPR-silent hydroxylamine by reductants such as ascorbate or metal ions.

Several NO traps such as Fe$^{2+}$-dithiocarbamate complexes have been developed that allows NO detection using EPR (Fig. 1.48). This technique was first introduced by Vanin et al. In vivo EPR experiments using mice showed that NO trapping by the hydrophobic Fe$^{2+}$-DETC is more efficient than by the hydrophilic Fe$^{2+}$-MGD due to the higher stability of the latter in animal tissues. The redox state of Fe-dithiocarbamates plays a critical role in the detection of NO. Under aerobic condition, Fe$^{2+}$ complex can be readily oxidized to form Fe$^{3+}$-dithiocarbamate. Reaction of ferric complex with NO forms the EPR-silent NO–Fe$^{3+}$-MGD complex but can be converted to an EPR detectable NO–Fe$^{2+}$-MGD by NO itself with 50% yield and by reductants such as ascorbate, hydroquinone, or cysteine with conversion efficiency of up to 99.9%. The use of iron carbamate complexes involves premixing of the FeSO$_4$ with excess dithiocarbamate co-ligand. Water insoluble Fe(DETC)$_3$ can be introduced as suspension with serum albumin and has been reported to measure NO in porcine aorta with high sensitivity of 10 pmol/mL. Detection of NO in blood vessels as well as human umbilical endothelial cells has been successfully demonstrated using colloidal Fe$^{3+}$-DETC prepared by mixing DETC and Fe$^{2+}$ in concentrated Krebs-HEPES solution. Compared when using NN as probe for NO, Fe dithiocarbamate complexes are better probes for the detection of NO due to the stability of the adducts formed. Cautions should be observed however since iron complexes also have been shown to detect HNO, nitrite and S-nitrosothiols. Dithiocarbamates have potential to chelate metals and may act as enzyme inhibitors. Through the use of NOS inhibitors, the triplet signal can be integrated to represent NOS-derived NO. Nitric oxide can be trapped by hemoglobin/myoglobin (Hb) as well and can be detected using EPR but with the disadvantage of cooling the sample to $\sim$100 K to allow observation of the signal thus making Hb impractical for real time monitoring of NO production.

**Figure 1.47** EPR spectra of nitronyl nitroxides (NN) and imino nitroxide (IN) after reaction with NO. (Adapted with permission from J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132(24), 8428–8432. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society.)

**Figure 1.48** Complexation of nitric oxide with iron (II) dithiocarbamates, Fe-DETC, and Fe-MGD, giving a triplet EPR signal.
but proved useful in determining NO production in tissues. However, deoxygenated ferrous haem forms HbNO and is detectable by EPR at normal conditions and the complex formed is very stable.\textsuperscript{265}

### 1.5.2 In Vivo

Formation of reactive species in \textit{vivo} are conventionally determined through analysis of biomarkers by using various methods such as histochemical, immunocytochemical, or EPR imaging. It should be noted that samples taken in \textit{vivo} can be analyzed using the same techniques mentioned above employed for the formation of reactive species in \textit{in vitro}.

#### 1.5.2.1 Histochemical

Protein carbonyls are biomarkers of protein oxidation and their detection can be accomplished by their derivatization using dinitrophenyldrazine to form the protein-bound hydrazone and by using the anti-2,4-dinitrophenyl antibody. Another approach is through the use of biotin-hydrazide in which the protein-bound acyl hydrazone is detected by the enzyme-linked avidin or streptavidin.\textsuperscript{266}

1.5.2.2 Immunocytochemical Methods

Nitrotyrosine, lipid peroxidation end products, and DNA damage can be visualized in tissues using monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies for nitrotyrosine, HNE and 8-OHG, respectively.\textsuperscript{266,267} Nitrated tyrosine has been considered as biochemical marker of ONOO-induced damage to proteins and lipids. By employing two dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (2DE) and western blotting, coupled with mass spectroscopy, targets of protein nitration and HNE modification have been determined in protein systems.\textsuperscript{220}

#### 1.5.2.3 Low Frequency EPR Imaging

The availability of low frequency EPR instrumentation could limit the application of radical imaging to many investigators, however, provides direct visualization of probe response to ROS formation or O\textsubscript{2} concentrations in whole animals.\textsuperscript{268,269} The method involves the use of low frequency, highly sensitive spectrometers, operating between 200 MHz and 1.5 GHz and paramagnetic probes. As mentioned earlier, probes such as nitroxides\textsuperscript{219} and trityls react with ROS and show characteristic spectral behavior, that is, signal formation or its disappearance. Since ROS production has direct correlation with O\textsubscript{2} consumption, probes that respond to the O\textsubscript{2} are very desirable such as trityl,\textsuperscript{270} charcoal\textsuperscript{271} and pthalocyanines,\textsuperscript{272,273} the latter two are stable enough from being metabolized. Significant advancements have already been achieved in the development of highly sensitive detectors, data acquisition and analysis modalities. In \textit{vivo} imaging of NO have also been achieved using commonly use iron-dithiocarbamate spin traps.\textsuperscript{274}

1.5.2.4 In Vivo EPR Spin Tapping-Ex Vivo Measurement

In \textit{vivo} spin trapping of radical metabolites have been extensively employed using the commonly used spin traps, DMPO, PBN or POBN. However, due to the susceptibility of the radical adducts to be reduced to diamagnetic hydroxylamine species, post-treatment of the samples are needed to re-oxidize the hydroxylamine back to the EPR-detectable nitroxide using mild oxidants such as potassium ferricyanide or bubbling with O\textsubscript{2}. Carbon- or S-centered radicals have been detected from blood or bile samples after systemic injection of the xenobiotics. Spin traps have been extensively employed for the detection of transient radicals in animals.\textsuperscript{253,275,276}
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