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Accidental puncture/laceration rate (case study), 409–418; aggregate APL rate per surgery, 414; analysis/interpretation, 412, 415–418; APL rate disaggregated by site, 415; APL raw data, 413; background, 409–410; clinical quality measures comparative summary, 410; Drill Down Pathway, 411, 414; leadership issues, 418; rational subgrouping laparoscopic vs. open surgery APL rate, 417; rational subgrouping scheduled vs. emergency surgery APL rate, 417; special cause data excluded from aggregate APL rate per surgery, 415

Accountability data, 28; and improvement, 31–32

Adjusted wait times, individual chart for, 256

Adverse drug events (ADEs), 181; case study, 289–305; down pathway step one, 290; down pathway step two, 290–291; down pathway step three, 292–297; down pathway step four, 297–302; down pathway step five, 302–304; down pathway step six, 304–305; U charts for, 182

Affinity diagram, 13, 335–336

Aggregate data, 281–306; challenge presented by, 282–285; clinical quality measures comparative summary, 282–283; defined, 281; health-care examples of, 281; and improvement, 281–306; medical center balanced scorecard, excerpt from, 284; and performance, 284

Aggregate-level Shewhart chart, purpose of, 402–403

Aggregated mortality data, compared to disaggregated mortality data, 287

Aim statement, 5–6; examples of, 6; and organizational goals, 5; purpose of, 5

Analytic studies, 25, 41–45, 58–59; defined, 41

Anscombe, F. J., 110

Appropriate, health authority, 365

Area of opportunity, 163, 173; for counts data, examples of, 175

Arterial blood oxygenation, monitoring of, 316

Arterial blood pressure, non-invasive, monitoring of, 316

Aspirin at arrival, operational definition, 39

Asthma: analysis measures, 310; patient use of Shewhart charts, 318; severity operational definitions, 38

Astronomical point, 82–83
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Attribute control charts, process capability from, 188

Attribute data: confused for continuous data, 151–152; Shewhart charts for, 151–152, 163–166; types of, 163
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charts for, 317
Heart rate (HR), monitoring of, 316
HEDIS, 351
Highly skewed data, 54–55
Histograms, 136; for age at fall, 137; of time of falls
stratified by age, 139; of total falls by time of day,
138
Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare
Providers and Systems (HCAHPS), 326–327;
excerpts from, 327
Hospital readmission reduction (case study),
418–425; analysis/interpretation, 422–424;
background, 418–419; CHF readmission,
defined, 419; congested heart failure (CHF),
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Percentile rankings, 29–31; defined, 334; patient satisfaction, 335; unchanged, 334–335

Peripheral circulation, monitoring of, 316

Personal experience, turning into data, 32–33
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Problem solving, 12
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Quality indicators, emergency department, 363
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R
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Radiology improvement projects (case study), 381–388; analysis/interpretation, 381, 384–387; background, 381; capability analysis, 386; days between significant reading errors, T chart for, 383; films between significant reading errors,
G chart for number of, 383–384; frequency plot for turn-around times, 386–387; leadership issues; S chart for CT scan start times, 382; S chart for turn-around time, 382; S chart for turn-around times for routine X-rays, 385; significant errors in reading films, P chart for, 383; significant reading errors, 381, 384; start times for CT scans, 382, 387; turn-around times, 381–382, 384–385; X chart for CT scan start times, 382; X chart for turn-around time, 382; X chart for turn-around times for routine X-rays, 385
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Research studies, and improvement, 31
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Risk adjustment of data, 51–52

Risk/severity adjustment, and logistic regression analysis, 278

Run charts, 7, 13, 15–17, 27, 42, 53, 110; autocorrelated data, 98–99; constructing, 69–70; cumulative sum statistic, using with, 101–105; defined, 67; example of, 67; and improvement projects, 70–76, 84; interpreting with probability-based tests, 76–85; multi-vari, 99–101; percent/rate data on, 93–95; plotting rare events data, 91–93; of rare event and time between incident of event, 227; small multiples for, 73–76; special issues in using, 85–99; starting, 86–87; stratification with, 99–101; of temperature readings for patient with fever, 311; time order of, 95; trend line, placing on, 97–98; unequal time intervals, 96–97; use of, 68–69; for waiting time data, 88, 122

Runs, 81–82; defined, 79

S charts, 150, 160, 311; based on log10 transformed ED times, 264; for ED times from arrival to treatment, 263; factors for, 195–196; pain assessment, 342; process capability from, 195; for radiology test turn-around time, 161; showing improvement in deviation from start times, 162; subgrouped by provider, 162; of temperature readings for patient with fever, 312

Safety: as dimension of care, 64; and health authority, 365

Sample size, 27, 45–49

Sampling, 42–45; defined, 42; judgment sampling, 44–45; simple random sample, 43–44; systematic random sample, 44
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Scatter plots, 13, 110, 142–146; for autocorrelation, 268; defined, 142; developing, 144; to evaluate autocorrelation of registry data, 266; interpreting patterns on, 145; patient satisfaction, 339; of patient satisfaction versus wait time, 143; stratification strategies for learning with, 144

Seasonal effects, Shewhart charts for, 255–258
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Shewhart chart design, 201–211; chart shape, 202; data and subgroup size, 201; data points, 205; formatting, 202–211; gridlines and data, 204–206; labels, 204; rounding data, 202; statistical process control (SPC) software, problems with, 207–211; vertical scale, 202–204
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Shewhart cycle, 4
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Shifts, 77, 81, 83–84, 231; defined, 78
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Six Dimensions of Care (Institute of Medicine), 64
Skin and cavity temperature, monitoring of, 316
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Specialty care clinic access improvement (case study), 372–380; analysis/interpretation, 373–377; baseline data for clinic access project, 374; changes/improvements, 377–379; clinic capacity, 378; clinic no-shows, 378, 379; days to third next available appointment, 377; demand for services, 379; demand vs. capacity, 378; generic referrals, 378, 379; leadership issues, 379; management’s role in targeting areas for improvement, 379; measure of capacity, 375–377; organization, 372–373; outcome measures, 379; patient satisfaction with access, 377; percentage of generic referrals, 377; primary care sending “generic” referrals, 378; process changes, 379; process measures vs. outcome measures, 375; questions, 378–379; regional leadership, 372–373; shaping demand, 377–378; staff/provider satisfaction, 377; sustainability, 379; testing changes, 375
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Stable system, 108
Staff pathway, large urban hospital, 366
Staffing indicators, emergency department, 364
Standardized Shewhart charts, 244–245
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Statistical Process Control (SPC), 12, 305, 310; software, 207–213; tools, 285
Statistical Process Control (SPC) software, 207–213; characteristics to consider when selecting (table), 212–213; estimating sigma to calculate limits, 207; I chart limits, calculation of, 210–211; inappropriate extension of limits with variation in subgroup size, 208–210; k points, 207–208; problems with, 207–211; recalculating limits with every point, 207; recommended features, 211
Stem-and-leaf plot, 136; for age at fall, 137
Stratification: of data, 49–51; defined, 49; and Drill Down Pathway, 287–288; with Pareto charts, 142; with run charts, 99–101; with Shewhart charts, 126–128
Stroke volume (SV), monitoring of, 316
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Subgroup size, 163; for attribute charts, 163–166; minimum, for effective P charts, 164
Suppliers, feedback from patients on, 327
Surveys, 12
Sustainability, 3; and health authority, 366
System performance, dimensions of, 36
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T2 chart, 247–249
Tabular vector of measures (VOM), 353–356; safety error rate (measure 3), 360; average length of stay (ALOS) (measure 11), 358; employee satisfaction (measure 9), 360; monthly revenue (measure 16), 358; percent of areas meeting goal for third next available appointment (measure 2), 356–357; percentage of patients receiving perfect care (measure 7), 355–356; percentage of patients willing to recommend (measure 1), 358–360; percentage of unplanned readmissions (measure 6), 360; percentage of voluntary employee turnover (measure 8), 360; total infections per 1,000 patient days (measure 5), 357–358
Tchebycheff’s inequality, 115
Teams, improvement projects, 5–6
Temperature readings: for hospitalized patient, 311–313; for patient with fever, 312
Test and adapt changes, 15
Test observability, 27
Testing strategy, 27
Throughput indicators, emergency department, 364
Time-ordered charts of a set of global measures, 7
Time series chart, 233, See also Run charts
Timeliness, as dimension of care, 64
Transformation of data, 52–58, 253, 258–264
Tree diagram, 13
Trend, 77; defined, 78–79
Trend chart, See Run charts
Trial limits, Shewhart chart, 121
Tufte, E., 60
Two-way table, 13

U

U charts, 150, 163, 334; calculating limits for, 200; capability from, 189–190; for counts of nonconformities, 173–184; creation of funnel limits for, 182–184; defined, 178–179; for flash sterilization, 179; health care applications, 176; for medication errors, 272
U’ charts, for medication errors, 272
Ultrasound, 321–322
Unchanged percentile rankings, 334–335
Unintended variation, 108
Unstable system, 108
Upper CUSUM, 239

V

V-mask, 239
Variable data, 150
Variation: common causes of, 108; concept of, 107–110; in data, 107–147; depicting, 110–112; in financial data (case study), 425–432; frequency plot, 136–140; intended, 107; Pareto chart, 140–142; scatter plots, 142–146; special causes of, 108; stable system, 108; unintended, 107; unstable system, 108
Vector, defined, 350
Vector of measures (VOM), 349–367; administration issues with, 361–362; administrative decisions required for, 361; developing, 350–351; directional aspect of, 351–352; displaying/leaning from, 351–361; examples of, 362–367; of health care organizations, 349–350; investment in, 352; tabular, example of, 353–356; updating graphs, 361–362
Visual analog scale (VAS), 322

W

Wait times: average deviations (from overall average) for, 256; chart, with adjusted center line, 257–258; health authority, 365; of patient satisfaction vs., scatter plot of, 143
Waiting time data: run charts for, 122; Shewhart chart for, 122
Weight, monitoring, 318–320
Wellness, health authority, 364
Whole System Measures initiative (Institute for Healthcare Improvement), 366–367
Willingness to Recommend the Clinic to Others, outcome measure, 339–341; Shewhart chart of, 341
Work breakdown structure, 13
Work life, health authority, 365–366
Workforce development, primary care center, 364
Workload, primary care center, 364

X

\( \bar{X} \) charts, 150, 160, 221, 310, 311, See I charts; based on log10 transformed ED times, 264; calculating limits for, 222–223; completed, example of, 223–224; for ED times from arrival to treatment, 263; factors for, 195–196; pain assessment, 342; process capability from, 195; for radiology test turn-around time, 161; showing improvement in deviation from start times, 162; subgrouped by provider, 162; symbols used with, 222; of temperature readings for patient with fever, 312; worksheet for calculating limits for, 194

Xmr charts, See I charts