CHAPTER 1

WIRELESS POSITIONING SYSTEMS: OPERATION, APPLICATION, AND COMPARISON

S. A. (Reza) Zekavat,1 Stuti Kansal,1 and Allen H. Levesque2
1Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI
2Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA

RECENT YEARS have seen rapidly increasing demand for services and systems that depend upon accurate positioning of people and objects. This has led to the development and evolution of numerous positioning systems. This chapter provides an overview of the main positioning techniques: time of arrival (TOA), direction of arrival (DOA) and received signal strength indicator (RSSI). It then introduces positioning systems that are either in use or being developed for a variety of applications. Operations of these positioning systems are summarized using flowcharts and figures. In addition, the chapter compares positioning systems on the basis of system characteristics and performance parameters. Many of these positioning techniques and systems are introduced in greater details throughout different parts of this handbook. The chapter concludes by reviewing a number of emerging positioning systems and outlining some future applications.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Positioning systems determine the location of a person or an object either relative to a known position or within a coordinate system [1]. In the last few decades, various positioning systems have been motivated by demand and developed.
Some of the applications of positioning systems include (but are not limited to) law enforcement, security, road safety, tracking personnel, vehicles, and other assets, situation awareness, and mobile ad hoc networks.

In general, localization systems are divided into two categories: range-based and range-free. Range-based localization techniques utilize received signal features such as TOA [41, 42], DOA [43], and received signal strength (RSS). Range-free localization uses network connectivity and network localization.

As shown in Figure 1.1, range-based positioning systems can be classified into two categories:

1) Global positioning
2) Local positioning

Global positioning systems (GPS) allow each mobile to find its own position on the globe. A local positioning system (LPS) is a relative positioning system and can be classified into self- and remote positioning. Self-positioning systems allow each person or object to find its own position with respect to a static point at any given time and location. An example of these systems is the inertial navigation systems (INS).

A remote positioning system allows each node to find the relative position of other nodes located in its coverage area. Here, nodes can be static or dynamic. Remote positioning systems themselves are divided into:

a. Active target remote positioning

b. Passive target remote positioning

In the first case, the target is active and cooperates in the process of positioning, while in the second the target is passive and noncooperative. Examples of active target positioning systems are radio-frequency identification (RFID), Wireless local positioning systems (WLPS) [2], and traffic alert and collision avoidance systems.
1.2 Basic Methods Used in Positioning Systems

Time-of-Arrival (TOA) Estimation

As detailed in Part II of this handbook, TOA estimation allows the measurement of range or distance; thus, enabling localization. Here, multiple base nodes collaborate to localize a target node via triangulation [3]. It is assumed that the positions of all base nodes are known. If these nodes are dynamic, a positioning technique such as GPS is used to allow base nodes to localize their positions (GPS-TOA positioning). In some circumstances, multiple base nodes may cooperate to find their own position before any attempt to localize a target node [4]. TOA estimation methods are discussed in Part II of this handbook (see Chapters 6–8). Specifically, the TOA estimation process would be complex in inhomogeneous media [39, 40]. Human body is an example of such media. Chapter 10 details TOA estimation in inhomogeneous media.

Assuming known positions of base nodes, and a coplanar scenario, three base nodes and three measurements of distances (TOA) are required to localize a target node (see Fig. 1.2a). In a non-coplanar case, four base nodes are required. Using the measurement of distance, the position of a target node is localized within a sphere of radius $R_i$ with the receiver $i$ at the center of the sphere (where $R_i$ is directly proportional to the TOA $\tau_i$ as shown in Fig. 1.2a). The localization of the target node can be carried out either by base nodes using a master station or by the target node itself.
Figure 1.2  (a) Operation of TOA and RSSI, (b) operation of TDOA, (c) comparison of TOA and TDOA calculations, and (d) operation of DOA.
Although TOA seems to be a robust technique, it has a few drawbacks [5]:

- **a)** It requires all nodes (base nodes and target nodes) to precisely synchronize: a small timing error may lead to a large error in the calculation of the distance \( R_i \);
- **b)** The transmitted signal must be labeled with a timestamp in order to allow the base node to determine the time at which the signal was initiated at the target node. This additional timestamp increases the complexity of the transmitted signal and may lead to additional source of error; and,
- **c)** The positions of the base nodes should be known; thus, either static nodes or GPS-equipped dynamic nodes should be used.

**Time-Difference-of-Arrival (TDOA) Estimation**

As the name suggests, TDOA estimation requires the measurement of difference in time between the signals arriving at two base nodes. Similar to TOA estimation, this method assumes that the positions of base nodes are known [5]. The TOA difference at the base nodes can be represented by a hyperbola. A hyperbola is the locus of a point in a plane such that the difference of distances from two fixed points (called the foci) is a constant.

Assuming known positions of base nodes and a coplanar scenario, three base nodes and two TDOA measurements are required to localize a target node (see Fig. 1.2b). As shown in the figure, the base station that first receives the signal from the target node is considered the **reference base station**. The TDOA measurements are made with respect to the reference base station. For non-coplanar cases, the position of four base nodes and three TDOA measurements are required.

TDOA addresses the first drawback of TOA by removing the requirement of synchronizing the target node clock with the base node clocks. In TDOA, all base nodes receive the same signal transmitted by the target node. Therefore, as long as base node clocks are synchronized, the error in the arrival time at each base node due to unsynchronized clocks is the same.

As shown in Figure 1.2c, TOA is the time duration (or the relative time) between the start time \( t_s \) of signal at the transmitter (target node) and the end time \( t_i \) of the transmitted signal at the receiver (base node \( B_i \)). However, as shown in Figure 1.2c, TDOA is the time difference between the end times \( t_i \) and \( t_j \) of the transmitted signal at two receivers (base nodes \( B_i \) and \( B_j \)). Thus, in TDOA technique, only base nodes’ clocks need to be synchronized to ensure minimum measurement error. In general, the complexity of target node clock synchronization is higher compared to base node clock synchronization. This is mainly due to the use of quartz clocks at target nodes, which are not as precise as the atomic clocks that are generally used for timing at base nodes [5]. Target node clock synchronization is further explained later in this chapter.

The base node clock can be synchronized externally using a backbone network or internally using timing standards provided at the nodes. The fact that synchronization of target nodes is not required enables many applications for TDOA-based systems. For example, in battlefield applications, a rescue team may localize the
position of a soldier using its beacon signal without the need of synchronization of rescue team clocks with that of the soldier.

With respect to the second drawback of TOA, the transmitted signal from the target node in TDOA need not contain a timestamp, since a single TDOA measurement is the difference in the arrival time at the respective base nodes. This simplifies the structure of transmitted signals and removes potential sources of error. This advantage of TDOA is again exploited by many applications, such as emergency call localization on highways [6] and sound source localization by an artificially intelligent humanoid robot [7].

**Direction-of-Arrival (DOA) Estimation**

In DOA estimation, base nodes determine the angle of the arriving signal (see Fig. 1.2d). To allow base stations to estimate DOA, they should be equipped with antenna arrays, and each antenna array should be equipped with radio-frequency (RF) front-end components. However, this incurs higher cost, complexity, and power consumption. DOA estimation techniques are discussed in Chapter 9 (Part II) of this handbook.

Similar to TOA and TDOA estimation, in DOA estimation the positions of base nodes should be known. However, unlike TOA and TDOA, for the known position of a base node and a coplanar scenario, only two base nodes along with two DOA measurements are required. For a non-coplanar case, three base nodes are required. To determine the DOA, the main lobe of an antenna array is steered in the direction of peak incoming energy of the arriving signal [6].

**Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI)**

Similar to the TOA, in RSSI, multiple base nodes collaborate to localize a target node via triangulation (see Fig. 1.2a). However, instead of measuring TOA at base nodes, the estimation is carried out using the RSS [3]. In this method, the strength of the received signal indicates the distance travelled by the signal. For a coplanar case, assuming that the transmission strength and channel (or environment in which the signal is traveling) characteristics are known, three base nodes and three RSS measurements are required. Part III of this handbook studies RSS-based methods in detail.

**Line of Sight (LOS) versus Non-LOS (NLOS)**

Compared with RSSI, the performance characteristics of TOA, DOA, and TDOA techniques are very sensitive to the availability of LOS [36–38]. That is, in NLOS situations the computed TOA, DOA, and TDOA are subject to considerable error. However, the performance of the RSSI technique is altered only mildly by the lack of LOS: NLOS leads to a shadowing (random) effect in the power–distance relationship, which can be reduced using filtering techniques. Thus many NLOS identification, mitigation, and localization techniques have been designed. Part IV of this handbook introduces the details of these techniques.
Positioning, Mobility, and Tracking

The difficulty in achieving highly precise location estimates in many indoor and outdoor wireless environments has led a number of investigators to utilize parameter estimation techniques for positioning and tracking mobile targets. These techniques can be very beneficial, for example, in smoothing position tracks in mixed LOS/NLOS situations. Kalman, Bayesian, or particle filters are widely used as state estimators. These state estimation methods can be applied with a variety of sensor technologies and positioning algorithms to improve positioning and tracking performance in many real-world environments. Part V of this handbook begins with a discussion of positioning as a state estimation problem and then discusses Kalman filtering and closely related techniques applicable in both indoor and outdoor applications.

Network Localization

Applications and services built upon wireless positioning can be implemented with different forms of infrastructure supporting the positioning function. GPS satellites, cellular base stations, and fixed wireless local area network (WLAN) access points are familiar infrastructures underlying many well-known applications and services, but for some applications they cannot be provided, for various economic and technical reasons. For some applications there is no supporting infrastructure at all, and methods must be devised to implement location-based services without infrastructure. In other cases, fixed infrastructure cannot provide a complete solution, and this has led to the development of network-based localization techniques. An important example of an application for wireless positioning systems is a wireless sensor network, comprising a number of geographically distributed autonomous sensors intended to cooperatively monitor some characteristics of their individual environments. Each sensor node is typically equipped with its application-specific sensors, a wireless transceiver, a microcontroller and a power source, usually a battery. Accurate positioning information for each sensor is essential for support of the network’s application. Ideally, each sensor would have accurate knowledge of its own position, e.g., from GPS. However, size and cost constraints lead in turn to constraints on power and computational capabilities in the individual sensor nodes. Because of these constraints, a sensor network will typically be deployed with a small number of nodes, called anchor or reference nodes, having precise a priori location information, while a larger number of remaining nodes, called unlocalized nodes, will have no prior knowledge of their locations. An unlocalized node, due to power limitations or signal blockage, may not be able to communicate with anchor nodes. Thus, the unlocalized nodes will estimate their locations by communicating with each other, and schemes must be used to propagate the location information throughout the network. Techniques for accomplishing this are known as collaborative position location, cooperative localization, and network localization. Part VI of this handbook begins with a chapter on infrastructure-free tracking and then discusses several approaches to network localization.
1.3 OVERVIEW OF POSITIONING SYSTEMS

1.3.1 GPS

The GPS is based on a manmade constellation of 27 Earth-orbiting satellites (24 in operation and three extras in case one fails). Using these satellites, a person or object can localize their position in terms of latitude, longitude, and altitude [1]. These satellites orbit the Earth at an altitude of 12,000 miles and complete two rotations each 24 hours. The orbits of these satellites are arranged such that at any given time, anywhere on the Earth, at least four satellites are clearly visible. A GPS receiver placed on the Earth can localize its position using any set of four visible satellites.

While GPS can be effectively used for many navigational applications, it has limitations. It is not capable of positioning within buildings and mines due to signal attenuation. Its performance is also degraded in severe scattering environments, such as downtown urban areas. GPS is a self-positioning system. To enable this system for remote positioning, which is required for applications such as ad hoc networks, each node should be equipped with a communication system, which also allows it to transmit the self-localized data to other nodes. In addition, because GPS transmission features are known, these systems might be jammed by an adversary. This also limits its defense applications. Systems such as INS can be fused with GPS to enable localization in indoor areas and mines. In addition, WLPSs have been developed to enable localization in GPS-denied environments [45]. These systems are introduced in this chapter.

Two pieces of information are required to carry out the localization process via GPS:

1) The distance from the GPS receiver to satellites

2) The position of each satellite in terms of its latitude, longitude, and altitude (see Fig. 1.3a).

The receiver collects these pieces of information and analyzes and processes high-frequency, low-power radio signals received from the satellites. Mathematical details of localization using GPS are discussed in Part VII of this handbook (see Part V of this handbook).

**Distance Measurement:** Assuming that the clocks of a GPS receiver and a satellite are perfectly synchronized, the distance is measured using TOA estimation. Specifically, the lag between the signal transmitted by the satellite and the one generated at the GPS receiver is used to determine the distance (see Fig. 1.3a). Assuming that the satellite begins transmitting a long unique pattern (a pseudorandom code) at midnight and the GPS receiver also starts generating the same pattern at midnight, the lag is determined by comparing the two patterns.

As mentioned earlier, clock synchronization is required down to nanosecond precision for accurate calculations. Therefore, under ideal conditions, both the receiver and satellite should be equipped with high-precision clocks, for example, atomic clocks. However, since these clocks are expensive, the receiver manufacturers usually use ordinary quartz clocks. Because these clocks cannot be synchronized to
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Figure 1.3 Flow charts for: (a) the operation of GPS and AGPS, and (b) error propagation in INS.
nanosecond precision, there is need for an extra step. This step is called synchronization. In this step, a fourth satellite is used to determine the error in the receiver clock. Because the satellite transmits a long signal, the spheres generated from three satellite measurements are certainly large enough to intersect each other and produce two possible candidates for the position of the GPS receiver.

When the receiver and satellite clocks are perfectly synchronized, the intersecting point closer to Earth is considered as the position of the receiver. The sphere that may be generated from a fourth measurement would certainly intersect at this position. However, when receiver and satellite clocks are not synchronized, it is unlikely that the surface of the fourth sphere passes through either of the two intersecting points. The difference between the distance of the estimated receiver position from the fourth satellite and the pseudorange of the fourth satellite (the radius of the fourth satellite or the distance to the fourth satellite as measured by the GPS receiver) is used to calculate the error.

In addition to the synchronization of ordinary quartz receiver clocks, the satellite atomic clocks [8] are also corrected periodically. This periodic correction is required to ensure that the relativistic effects are removed and the satellite atomic clocks are synchronized to the ground atomic clocks. These relativistic effects are based on two phenomenon explained by the theory of relativity: a) the clocks tick faster when they are in weak gravitational field, and b) the clocks tick slower when they moving. Thus, an atomic clock on the satellite ticks faster compared to an atomic clock on the ground due to weaker gravitational field in orbit; and it ticks slower because of relatively higher speed. Although theoretically the two effects cancel each other, in the case of a GPS satellite clock, the net effect is faster ticks relative to the atomic clock on the ground. Periodic on-board calculations are performed to correct the satellite atomic clock and remove the relativistic effects.

_Satellite Positions:_ This second piece of information is obtainable with little difficulty, as the GPS receiver can simply store an almanac that determines the position of every satellite at any given time. The effect of the gravitational pull of the moon and the sun on the satellites’ orbits is constantly monitored by the U.S. Department of Defense, which conveys any adjustments to all GPS receivers as part of the transmitted signals. When the information on the distance from satellites and their positions is known, multilateration (a process similar to triangulation in TOA) is used to find the three-dimensional position of a GPS receiver.

### 1.3.2 Assisted Global Positioning System (A-GPS or Assisted GPS)

GPS operation was summarized in the previous section. Although GPS is a very robust positioning system, there remains the problem of time to first fix (TTFF) or “cold start”; That is, when GPS receivers are first turned on, they need a long time (in the order of 30 seconds to a few minutes) to acquire satellite signals, navigate data, and localize. This time duration varies with the location of the receiver and the surrounding interference. In order to address this problem, assisted GPS (AGPS) has been developed.
AGPS consists of:

a) A wireless handset with a scaled-down version (with respect to the power requirements, computational capabilities, etc.) of a GPS receiver;

b) An AGPS server with a reference GPS receiver that can simultaneously monitor and track the same satellites as the wireless handset; and

c) A wireless network infrastructure consisting of base stations and a mobile switching center.

The AGPS server obtains handset position from the mobile switching center and can locate the cell of the handset, and even the sector of the handset, within a set if directional antennas are used at the cell base stations [1]. Because the AGPS server monitors and tracks the GPS satellites, it can predict the satellites that are sending the signals to the handset at any given point of time. Thus, the AGPS server can communicate the satellite information to the handset. This enables the handset to acquire GPS signals quickly when it is first turned on, reducing TTFF from minutes to less than a second. Once the satellite signals are acquired by the handset, it calculates the distances to satellites without clock synchronization. These satellite distances are sent back to the AGPS server for further computation, as can be seen in Figure 1.3a. Thus, the AGPS server also shares the computational load of the handset, reducing the handset battery power consumption.

1.3.3 INS

INS uses accelerometers and gyroscopes to track the position, velocity, and orientation of an object relative to a known starting point, velocity, and orientation. Gyroscopes and accelerometers are motion-sensing devices that measure the rate of rotation (angular velocity) and linear acceleration, respectively [9]. Assuming the initial position, velocity, and orientation are known for the object of interest, the updated position, velocity, and orientation are determined by integrating the information received from motion sensors. Thus, the object can continuously track its position, velocity, and orientation without the need for external information.

Actual spatial position and the movement of an object can be described by six parameters: three translational (linear acceleration in x, y, and z direction) and three rotational components (angular velocity in x, y, and z direction). In order to define the movement of the object, three orthogonal accelerometers and three orthogonal gyroscopes are mounted on the object. An orthogonal accelerometer is an instrument that measures acceleration along a single axis. The three orthogonal accelerometers are arranged so that they measure the linear acceleration in the north-south, east-west, and vertical directions. The orthogonal gyroscopes are also known as “integrating” gyroscopes, as their output is proportional to their angle of rotation about fixed axes.

Mathematical integration of the acceleration \(a(t)\) yields the velocity \(v(t)\), which in turn is integrated to determine the distance travelled from the starting point \(r(t)\), as shown in Figure 3b. Orientation \(\phi(t)\) can be found by integrating the angular velocity \(\omega(t)\), also shown in Figure 3b. These calculations are performed periodically to trace the movement of the object with respect to the global reference frame. While
undertaking the integration for the position of the object, acceleration due to gravity is subtracted from the vertical component of the acceleration.

The angular velocity and acceleration measurements made using motion sensors may have errors. When integrating these quantities, the errors in the measured values are propagated to the subsequently calculated position and orientation values. In addition, error is also introduced because the object numerically integrates the measurements at each time step. This error propagation in INS is called integration drift. The localization error can be adjusted to zero by a merger of the INS with other positioning systems such as GPS.

INS is used primarily by militaries to track submarines, warships, unmanned air vehicles, unmanned ground vehicles, missiles, airborne surveillance and navigation, search-and-rescue teams, artillery shells, etc. In addition, INS can be used for civilian applications such as the estimation of position and the orientation of a moving robot, law enforcement, underground tunnels/mines, and underwater vehicles.

**INS Classification:** There are two types of inertial navigation systems: a) stable platform systems and b) strapdown systems. The difference between the two types is the frame of reference in which the gyroscopes and accelerometers operate. The frame of reference can be the body of the object or the global reference frame.

**Stable Platform System:** In this system, the motion sensors are mounted on a platform that is held constant with respect to the global frame of reference. This is achieved by mounting the platform using gimbals, which allow the platform to rotate freely about all three axes. If the object rotates about any axis, the gyroscopes mounted on the platform send a feedback signal to the motor mounted on the appropriate gimbals. Based on the feedback signal, the appropriate motors rotate the gimbals in opposite direction and cancel the effect of object’s rotation on the platform. This keeps the platform aligned to the global reference frame at all times. In order to track the orientation of the object, the angles between adjacent gimbals are measured and appropriate calculations are performed. To calculate the position of the object, the signals from the platform-mounted accelerometers are integrated as described above.

**Strapdown System:** In a strapdown system, the motion sensors are mounted rigidly on the object. Therefore, output quantities are measured in the body frame of reference. For orientation calculations, the signals from gyroscopes are directly integrated as described earlier. However, for position calculations, the acceleration signals from the three accelerometers are projected on the global axes. The projected accelerations are calculated by applying a $3 \times 3$ rotation matrix to the acceleration signals. The elements of the rotation matrix are generated using the orientation signals. These projected accelerations are then integrated to obtain the position of the object.

### 1.3.4 Integrated INS and GPS

GPS signals may not be available at all times and at all places. Thus, INS can be used for reliable navigation by filling the gaps in measurements between two GPS
position computations. The INS can also be used in case of GPS outages resulting from jamming, obscuration caused by maneuvering, etc. In addition, GPS computations can also help in correcting the error propagation of the INS system. Many chapters of this handbook offer detailed information regarding GPS. Chapter 22 also details GPS and INS integration.

1.3.5 RFID

RFID is a wireless system that identifies tags attached to the object of interest. An RFID system consists of a reader and RFID tags. RFID systems are divided into two categories according to whether they use passive or active tags [10]. Passive tags do not contain a power source and thus are suitable for short-range applications. Passive RFID tags are equipped with an antenna that is excited by output signals at specific frequencies, and these tags are activated by the power of the received signal.

An active RFID system is in fact a full transceiver system including processors, antennas, and batteries. Thus, an active tag contains both a radio transponder and a power source for the transponder. An RFID reader constantly sends radio frequency electromagnetic waves, which are received by the RFID tag in its vicinity. The RFID tag modulates the wave, adding its identification information and sends it back to the reader. The reader converts the modulated signal into digital form to determine the tag identity. Active tags are ideally suitable for the identification of high-volume products moving through a processing unit.

**RFID as a Positioning System:** RFID can be used to localize the position of a target object. An active RFID tag can be attached to the object, which transmits a signal to the RFID reader. The concept of trilateration, as shown in Figure 2a, is used, along with the RSSI technique to localize the position of the tag. Because the objects to be positioned using RFID are usually in an enclosed environment, there are multipath effects, which decrease the accuracy of the system. In order to increase the accuracy of a RFID-based positioning system, the system utilizes additional readers and reference tags. However, these additional readers increase the cost of the system. In order to keep the costs down, Ni et al. [11] proposed an innovative approach that employs the idea of installing extra fixed reference tags. This approach is called the LANDMARC (location identification based on dynamic active RFID calibration). In a manner similar to the geographic landmarks we use in our daily lives, the fixed tags serve as reference points in the system.

1.3.6 WLPS

WLPS is a hybrid TOA and DOA positioning method with a variety of applications, including autonomous driving. Based on the classification shown in Figure 1.1, it can also be considered as an active remote positioning system. The system comprises a monitoring mobile unit (or dynamic base station) and a target mobile unit (or active target) [2, 12]. The active target contains a transponder and is assigned a unique identification (ID) code. As shown in Figure 1.4a, the dynamic base station (DBS) sends the ID request (IDR) signal to all active targets in its
vicinity. The active targets respond by each transmitting a packet that includes its ID code back to the DBS. The DBS recognizes each target by its unique ID code. For positioning, TOA and DOA of the target are estimated by the DBS. As described earlier, DOA is estimated using antenna arrays mounted on the DBS. Using these measured values, the position of active targets can be localized relative to the known position of DBS.

WLPS can be considered as a node in a wireless ad hoc network, enabling all nodes (or specific nodes equipped with DBS) to localize all nodes located in their coverage area. The complexity of these systems lies mainly in the DBS, as they use antenna arrays for localization. The cost and complexity of transceiver (TRX) nodes is very low. In many applications, such as battlefield command and control, a small number of DBSs (expensive units carried by commanders) and a larger number of active targets (low-cost units carried by soldiers) are required. Thus, the overall cost of these systems across all nodes is minimal.

In the WLPS system, each node can independently find the location of the transceivers located in its coverage area. As discussed in Part II of this handbook, multipath effects reduce TOA and DOA estimation performance. Therefore, the localization performance of each DBS node could be low. Multiple DBS nodes can cooperate to reduce the estimation error of the TRX nodes in their coverage area [13, 14]. Chapter 34 details WLPS.

The WLPS can be used for space, outdoor, and indoor applications [15]. For outdoor and indoor applications, direct sequence code division multiple access (DS-CDMA) integrated with beamforming (supported by antenna arrays) provides a reasonable level of detection performance. WLPS enables many applications, such as road safety, security, defense, and robotic collaboration and coordination.

1.3.7 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System (TCAS)

Traffic alert and collision avoidance system (TCAS) is used to detect and track target aircraft in the vicinity of the tracking aircraft [16]. It provides a warning signal to the pilot in the presence of another aircraft that can pose a danger of midair collision. This warning signal is provided to the pilot independent of the air traffic control (ATC) [16]. It consists of two components: interrogator and transponder. Each aircraft is equipped with both components. The interrogator in one aircraft interrogates transponders in other aircraft and analyzes the replies to determine range, bearing, and relative altitude (if reporting) of the intruder aircraft (see Fig. 1.4b). Range is determined by measuring the time elapsed from the interrogation signal to the receipt of the reply. A directional antenna is used to determine direction or bearing of the target aircraft. TCAS gets altitude information directly in the received reply from the transponder on the target aircraft. To determine the altitude, the time-frequency system is employed, which uses the synchronized time and frequency (via extremely accurate oscillators on board the aircraft) to transmit the encoded altitude information. Each aircraft is assigned a specific timeslot of few milliseconds during each one-second interval, used to transmit the encoded altitude signal.
1.3 OVERVIEW OF POSITIONING SYSTEMS

**Figure 1.4** Operation of: (a) WLPS, (b) TCAS, (c) vision system, and d) radar.
1.3.8 WLAN

As the name suggests, WLAN is used for positioning and identification of objects in limited-range. In this system, trilateration using the RSSI technique, shown in Figure 1.2a, is used to localize the position of the object. The strength of the signal that a wireless device or target object sends out is measured at multiple receivers to calculate the position [17]. A WLAN positioning system consists of access points and mobile hosts. Each access point and mobile host is equipped with an RF LAN technology-based digital network interface card (NIC) [18]. An algorithm is used to mitigate the interference due to noise and multipath. Mobile hosts periodically broadcast packets containing the start time and the transmitted signal strength information. Each access point records the start time, access point identification, and transmitted signal strength. Using this start time, and the signal strength measurement at the access point, the location is determined by combining empirical measurements with signal propagation modeling. Similar to other systems such as GPS and AGPS, the clocks on the mobile hosts and the access points need to be synchronized.

1.3.9 Vision Positioning System

In this positioning system, two cameras are used to localize the target object. As shown in Figure 1.4c, these cameras [19] capture the picture of the target object. It can also be seen in the figure that the picture of the target object will be created at different locations relative to the center of the image. Superimposing these images, the disparity \( d \) in the locations of the object can be determined. Assuming that the distance \( r \) between the cameras and the focal length \( f \) of the cameras are known, the distance \( D \) of the object from the lens plane of the cameras can be calculated. Given known positions of two lenses and the calculated distance \( D \), the target object can be localized.

1.3.10 Radar

RADAR stands for radio detection and ranging. It is used to localize the position of a target in the surrounding areas by transmitting a short burst of energy and processing its reflection from the target [20]. Radar estimates the TOA of the reflected signal and combines it with the DOA of the received signal measured by directional antennas. Let \( \Delta t \) be the time between the transmitted signal and received signal reflected from the target, the TOA is one-half of \( \Delta t \). Using TOA, the distance of the object from the radar can be obtained (see Fig. 1.4d). Assuming the position of the radar transmitter is known, the target can be localized using the calculated distance to the object.

1.4 COMPARISON OF BASIC METHODS AND POSITIONING SYSTEMS

This section compares basic localization methods and positioning systems in Tables 1.1 and 1.2. Several positioning parameters are used to compare different methods. Table 1.1 compares basic positioning methods previously discussed in the
1.5 Summary and Future Applications

This chapter offers an overview of positioning techniques and systems that are currently in use for various applications. These positioning systems are usually based on one of the four basic methods: TOA, TDOA, DOA, and RSSI. We described the operation and compared their pros and cons. In addition, a comparison of the basic methods and positioning systems was provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1.1. Comparison of Basic Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (meter)¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSSI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Scale for accuracy of basic positioning methods: high (H), 0–50; medium (M), 50–100; low (L), >100.

chapter in terms of accuracy, a need for the availability of LOS, and the number of base station(s) required for localization. The table shows that on average the accuracy of the DOA estimate is poorer relative to TOA, TDOA, and RSSI estimates. This is mainly due to the fact that as the distance between the base station and the target increases, a small DOA error leads to higher localization error. The DOA error is very sensitive to the multipath environment and signal-to-noise ratio [20]. Complex algorithms could be used to improve the DOA performance. DOA performance in localization methods such as WLPS can be improved by incorporating its periodic transmission nature [21, 22]. RSSI is different from other methods when the availability of LOS is taken into account, since it operates in both LOS and NLOS environments. Other localization techniques are very sensitive to the availability of LOS.

Table 1.2 compares different positioning systems based on several parameters. The following observations can be made from the table:

- Most of the systems that operate based on the availability of LOS propagation have higher accuracy. Thus, NLOS introduces error in the calculation, decreasing the accuracy.
- Many existing positioning systems employ multiple base nodes to localize the target node. This may create a system cost problem for the designer.
- The power consumption for most positioning systems is medium to very low. Thus, using one of the low-power positioning systems does not impose additional constraint on the designer with respect to the required power consumption.
- All positioning systems except RFID-based systems can support mobility.
- Many positioning systems are well suited for both outdoor and indoor environments.
### TABLE 1.2. Comparison of Positioning Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Accuracy (meter)³</th>
<th>LOS/NLOS</th>
<th>Environ.²</th>
<th>Power Consump. (W)³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global Positioning System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Positioning System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-Positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS</td>
<td>VH → VL² [9]</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>O, I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remote Positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLPS</td>
<td>varies with application</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>O, I</td>
<td>varies with application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCAS</td>
<td>L → VL⁶ [29]</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>VH [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>VH to H⁷ [32]</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>O, I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radar</td>
<td>VH [34]</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>O, I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Multi- (M)/Single- (S)</th>
<th>No. of Base Station(s)</th>
<th>Dynamic(D)/Static(S) Base Station</th>
<th>Absolute (A)/Relative (R) Positioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global Positioning System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4⁹</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGPS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4⁹</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Positioning System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self Positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remote Positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLPS</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCAS</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLAN</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radar</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Scale for accuracy of positioning systems: very high (VH): < 1; high (H): 1–5; medium (M): 5–30; Low (L): 30–50; very low (VL): >50.
²O = outdoor, I = indoor.
³Scale for power consumption of positioning systems: very low (VL): < 1; low (L): 1–10; moderate (M): 10–50; high (H): 50–200; very high (VH): > 200.
⁴The initial accuracy is high (few decimeters), which changes with time due to error propagation.
⁵Depends on INS classification (stable platform system consumes high power; strapdown system consumes moderate power).
⁶The initial accuracy is low (few degrees), which decreases with distance.
⁷The detection range of vision system is 1 m–95 m, which determines the accuracy.
⁸Within the high range, the power consumption depends on the peak power output.
⁹In GPS and AGPS, satellites are considered as base stations.
The existing positioning systems are used in numerous applications that will likely be expanded in the future, in response to new demands. As shown in Figure 1.5, the future entails collaboration among various positioning systems, especially for applications related to situation awareness. For example, in the case of automatically driven car, the information on the road conditions and traffic (situation awareness) may be obtained using various existing position systems such as GPS, radar, and WLPS. As shown in the figure, the automatically driven car can derive information such as the distance of the surrounding traffic using radar and WLPS. GPS can help the automatically driven car recognize roadblocks caused by an accident or other factors, such as traffic. Thus, in this example, the automatically driven car can be aware of its situation using the positioning technologies.

In another example shown in the figure, a soldier on a reconnaissance or rescue mission can use the information from a vision system, radar, and GPS to be aware of its surroundings or situation. Also shown in the figure is the police car that keeps track of the thief and road conditions using signals from several positioning systems. Miners can also benefit from positioning systems such as INS and RFID to be aware of their current location and possible escape route in case of emergency. For the miners, it can be envisioned that RFID tags are installed along the mine tunnels as it is explored deeper in the earth crust. The information from these RFID tags can potentially include the depth of the mine, etc.

Finally, also shown in the figure is a ship that gathers information from a number of systems and selects its course accordingly. It should be noted that the figure provides only some of the applications where positioning systems collaborate among themselves or other systems (e.g., a weather forecast system) for situation awareness. This handbook reviews the details of many localization techniques that have been briefly introduced in this chapter.
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