1. UNDERSTAND DESIGN THINKING
As described in the Introduction, we wanted to write a book for all those interested in innovation, for movers and shakers as well as entrepreneurs who design digital and physical products, services, business models, and business ecosystems as part of their work. Regarding our three personas, we were able to identify three very different kinds of users who apply design thinking in their day-to-day activities. One thing the three have in common, though: All three of them want to create something new in a rapidly changing world. Which brings us straight to our initial question: How can we learn more about a potential user and better uncover his or her hidden needs?

In the individual chapters, we focus on the three personas of “Peter,” “Lilly,” and “Marc.” We hope this lets us address the needs of design thinking practitioners as best as possible.

Peter, 40 years old, works at a large Swiss information and communications technology (ICT) company. Peter came in contact with design thinking in the context of a company project four years ago. Peter was a product manager then. Searching for the next major market opportunity, he had already tried out quite a few things. For a while, Peter always wore red underwear on New Year’s Day, but it didn’t make him any luckier in terms of successful innovations. After this experience, he doubted at first whether design thinking was really something for him. It was hard for him to imagine that something useful could come out at the end of the described procedure. The approach seemed just a little esoteric to him.

His attitude changed after he attended a number of co-creation and design thinking workshops with customers. He felt the momentum that can come into being when people with different backgrounds tackle complex problems together in the right environment. Paired with a good facilitator who provides work instructions in a targeted manner, any group can be empowered to create a new experience for a potential user. This positive experience prompted Peter to take on the role in such design thinking workshops as a facilitator.

Owing to the workshop experience he had gotten and its successful implementation in projects, Peter was promoted not long ago. He now has the privilege of calling himself an “Innovation & Co-Creation Manager.”

He is glad to meet like-minded spirits at events such as “Bits & Pretzels” in Munich or design thinking meetings in Nice, Prague, or Berlin where he can exchange thoughts and ideas with the who’s who among digitization evangelists.
More about Peter: What is his background experience?

Peter studied at the Technical University of Munich. After graduating, he held various positions in the telecommunications, IT, media, and entertainment industries. Five years ago, he decided to move from Munich to Switzerland. Its location and excellent infrastructure convinced him to make this daring change. There, Peter met his future wife, Priya. He has been happily married for two years. She works for Google at their corporate campus in Zurich. Priya is not allowed to talk much about the exciting topics she works on, although Peter would be quite intrigued by them.

Both like to get involved with new technologies. Be it the smart watch, augmented reality, or using what the sharing economy has to offer, they try out everything the digital world comes out with. A few weeks ago, Peter had his dream of getting a Tesla come true. Now he is waiting for his car to be self-driving soon so he can enjoy the beautiful landscape while looking out the window. In his new role as Innovation & Co-Creation Manager, Peter now belongs among the “creative” ones. He has replaced his suits and leather shoes with Chucks.

Peter tried to resolve the last crisis in his relationship with a little design thinking session. Priya was very aloof with Peter all of a sudden. Peter took the time to listen to Priya and better understand her needs. Together, they discussed ways to bring more oomph into their relationship. During the brainstorming, Peter had the idea that wearing his lucky red underwear might save the relationship. But in the meantime, he had developed so much empathy for Priya’s concerns that he quickly dismissed the idea. In the end, they came up with a couple of good ideas for their relationship. Priya did wish, though, that Peter would use a different method to learn his needs besides design thinking.

Up to now, Peter had used design thinking in various situations. He learned that the approach sometimes worked very well for reaching a goal, but that sometimes it wasn’t right. He would like to get a couple of tips from experienced design thinkers to shape his work even more effectively.
User profile of an experienced design thinker from actual practice:

**Visualization of the persona**

**Peter, Innovation & Co-Creation Manager**

- Leading a team
- Design workshop facilitator
- Building up community & exchange of knowledge with other design thinkers

**Would like to be a design thinking expert**

- Development of new product, process, and service ideas in the information and communications industry
- Developing digital business models and implementing digitization strategies

**MSc in Electrical Engineering, Technical University, Munich. ICT, media and entertainment**
Pains:

- Peter’s employer does not invest much in the further training of employees.
- Although Peter feels quite competent by now in dealing with design thinking, he is still convinced he could get more out of the approach.
- Peter has noted that, while design thinking is a powerful tool, it is not always used optimally.
- Peter frequently wonders how the digital transformation might be accelerated and what design criteria will be needed in the future to be a success on the market.
- Peter would like to combine other methods and tools with design thinking.
- Peter is faced with the challenge of having to impart to his team a new mindset.
- He would like to exchange ideas with other design thinking experts outside his company.

Gains:

- Peter has a lot of leeway in his daily work to try out new methods and tools.
- He loves books and all tangible things. He likes to use visualizations and simple prototypes for explaining things.
- What he would really like to do is establish design thinking in the whole company.
- He knows various management approaches he would like to link with design thinking.

Jobs-to-be-done:

- Peter has internalized the design thinking mindset, but sometimes, good examples that would help to change his environment don’t come easily to him.
- Peter enjoys trying out new things. With his engineering background, he is open to other approaches to problem solving (whether quantitative or analytical).
- He would like to become an expert in this environment as well. He is looking to connect with like-minded individuals.
- Peter experiments with design thinking.

Use cases:

A book in which experts report on their experience, in which tools are explained by way of examples—such a book would be just the thing in Peter’s eyes. A book he could recommend to his company at all hierarchical levels. A book that expands the framework of inspiration and makes people want to learn more about design thinking. He would also like to know which design criteria will be needed in the future, in particular for the development of digital products and services.
“Who is Lilly?”

Lilly, 28 years old, is currently working as a design thinking and start-up coach at Singapore University of Technology & Design (SUTD). The institute is one of the pioneers in design thinking and entrepreneurship for technology-oriented companies in the Asian region. Lilly organizes workshops and courses that combine design thinking and lean start-up. She teaches Design Thinking and coaches student teams in their projects. In tandem with that, she is working on her doctoral thesis—in cooperation with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology—in the area of System Design Management on the subject of “Design of Powerful Business Ecosystems in a Digitized World.”

To divide participants into groups, Lilly uses the HBDI® (Herrmann Brain Dominance Instrument) model in her design thinking courses. Productive groups of four to five are formed this way, each one of which works on one problem statement. She has discovered that it is vitally important in each group to unite all modes of thinking described in the brain model. Lilly’s own preferred style of thinking is clearly located in her right half of the brain. She is experimental, creative, and likes to surround herself with other people.

Lilly studied Enterprise Management at the Zhejiang University School of Management. For her master’s degree, she spent a year at the École des Ponts ParisTech. As part of the ME310 program and in collaboration with Stanford University, she worked on a project there with THALES as an industrial partner, which is how she became familiar with design thinking. During this project, she visited Stanford three times. She liked the ME310 project so much that she decided to attend the University of Technology & Design in Singapore. There, Lilly became known among faculty for her extravagant flip-flops. SUTD students were less enthusiastic about them.

More about Lilly: What is her background experience?

Lilly has great in-depth theoretical knowledge of various methods and approaches and is able to apply them practically with her teams of students. She is good at coaching these teams but lacks understanding of actual practice. Lilly offers design thinking workshops at the Center of Entrepreneurship at Singapore University of Technology & Design. Frequently, people from industrial enterprises who want to learn more in terms of their innovation capability or better understand the topic of “intrapreneurship” take part in these workshops. Lilly lives in Singapore and shares an apartment with her friend Jonny, whom she met during her year in France. Jonny is an expat who works for a major French bank in Singapore. At first, Jonny thought Lilly’s flip-flops were somewhat freaky but, at this point, he likes that little splash of color on her.

To maximize success, Jonny sees great potential in user-centered design and his bank’s pronounced orientation toward customer interaction points. He is enormously interested in new technologies. The thought of what they might mean for banks fascinates and unsettles him at the same time. He follows events in the fintech sector very closely and has identified new potentials that might result from a systematic application of blockchain. He wonders whether such disruptive new technologies will change banks and their business models even more fundamentally than Uber changed the taxi sector or Airbnb the hotel industry—and, if so, when such changes will take place. The core question for Jonny is whether a time will come when banks as we know them will cease to exist altogether. Either way, banks need to become more customer-oriented and make better use of the opportunities that digitization offers than potential newcomers. Jonny is not afraid of losing his job as yet. But still, a start-up together with Lilly might be an exciting alternative. Jonny would like to see his bank apply design thinking and internalize a new mindset, but this is nothing but wishful thinking thus far.
Lilly and Jonny would also like to set up a consulting firm that applies design thinking to support enterprises with digital transformation. They are still looking for something unique that their start-up could offer in comparison to conventional consultancy firms. In particular, they would like to address cultural needs in their approach to consulting. Lilly has observed too many times how the European and American design thinking mindset failed in an Asian context. She wants to integrate local particularities in her design thinking approach: the attitude of an anthropologist, the acceptance of copying competitors, and the penchant for marketing services more quickly, instead of observing the market for a long time. Something else makes them hesitant to implement their plan: They are bit risk averse because next year, once Lilly has completed her doctoral thesis, they want to get married and raise a family. Lilly wants three children.

In her free time, Lilly is active and creative. She often meets with like-minded people she knows from the SkillsFuture program, which is a national program that provides Singaporeans the possibility to develop their fullest potential throughout life, regardless of their starting points; or from events such as “Innovation by Design,” which was funded by the DesignSingapore Council. They develop concepts for, among other things, adapting the space and the environment of the country to the needs of people. Lilly is especially intrigued by digital initiatives and hackathons that come into being through real-time data from sensors, social media, and anonymized motion profiles of mobile devices. Singapore is a pioneer that brings the design thinking mindset actively to the entire nation, not least with the “Infusing Design as a National Skill Set for Everyone” campaign.
Lilly, a design thinking & lean start-up coach

Research in the field of agile methods

Doctoral thesis “Design of Powerful Business Ecosystems in a Digitized World”

Set up and maintain contact with other design thinking experts

Further development of methods and mindset

Coaching student teams

More examples from actual practice

Ideas \textrightarrow{\infty} Innovation

Enterprise Management, Zhejiang University School of Management

Visualisation of the persona

User profile of an experienced design thinker from the academic environment:
Pains:

- Lilly is uncertain whether she wants to begin a family or a start-up after she has finished her dissertation.
- Lilly would like to work as a professor in the area of design thinking and lean start-up in Southeast Asia, preferably in Singapore, but no such position exists there yet.
- She feels confident in design thinking both in theory and in her work with students, but she has a hard time establishing its importance for actual practice and convincing partners in the industry of its power.
- Working with colleagues from other departments is difficult, although design thinking could be combined well with other approaches.
- Lilly would like to exchange ideas with other design thinkers throughout the world in order to enlarge her network and make contact with industry partners, but has not yet found a platform to do so.

Gains:

- Lilly enjoys the possibilities offered by the intense contact with students she has as a coach. She can easily try out new ideas, and observing of her students has yielded many findings for her doctoral thesis.
- Lilly loves TED Talks and MOOCs (massive open online classes). She has already attended many courses and talks revolving around the topics of design thinking, creativity, and lean start-up, and has thus acquired a broad knowledge base. She would like to integrate new findings and methods in her courses.
- Lilly wants to bring her knowledge to a community and cultivate contact with other experts, to advance methods, publish, and do research together.
- Through the exchange with those involved in actual practice, Lilly can test and improve new ideas.

Jobs-to-be-done:

- Lilly understands design thinking in theory and is good at explaining the approach to students. But sometimes, she can’t think of good new examples and success stories from industry that could motivate the students and workshop participants to try out design thinking on their own.
- Lilly coaches students and start-ups, and organizes design thinking and lean start-up workshops. Her aim is to boost user centricity with all participants.
- Lilly enjoys trying out new things. She knows ethnographic methods and human-centered approaches from her studies. What has surprised her time and again is that the stereotypes of individual disciplines have an element of truth in them, yet interdisciplinary teams still achieve more exciting results.
- Lilly wants to meet new people and find ideas for her work and her start-up.

Use cases:

The book Lilly wants is one that contains many examples and activities from actual practice instead of pure theory. An easy-to-use reference book with tips from experts that widens her inspiration framework and fires her desire for design thinking. A playbook that looks into the future and shows how design thinking will continue to develop. A book that she can recommend to her students as further reading material.
Marc, 27 years old, completed his MSc in Computer Science two years ago. He used his time at Stanford University to build out his network. He also attended a number of pop-up sessions at the d.school (Stanford University design school) on the theme of entrepreneurship and digital innovation. Marc met like-minded people there who voiced ideas just as crazy as his. Because Marc is somewhat introverted and does not just walk up and speak to people easily, he was grateful for the workshops at the d.school, which were accompanied by a facilitator. The facilitator created an atmosphere in which not only were ideas exchanged but one’s thought preferences were recognized, and teams were optimally put together. His group quickly recognized and appreciated Marc as “the innovator.” The other team members had knowledge of marketing and sales, finance and management control, and health care and mechanical engineering. The group was thrilled by Marc’s idea of stirring up the health care and medtech industry through the use of distributed ledger technology. Marc made quite an impression with words like bitcoin, Zcash, Ethereum, Ripple, Hyperledger Fabric, Corda, and Sawtooth. He waxed enthusiastic about frameworks such as ERIS being miracle weapons to tame the smart-contract dragons. Moreover, Marc had already been involved in two start-ups. For the makers and shakers of two Web analytics firms, he had written code during a summer internship. The group quickly realized that they wanted to found a start-up, knowing very well that Marc’s technological affinity for blockchain, together with their business idea, would not yet make a profitable business. Processes and in particular business ecosystems must be designed to initiate a revolution.

More about Marc: What is his background experience?

Marc grew up with mobile communication. As a digital native, he pursues a technology-based lifestyle, as we have already learned. On the level of popular sociology, he is a typical representative of generation Y (why). It is important to him that he do something meaningful with his skills. He wants to work on a team and get recognition. It would be best that no one tell him what to do when it comes to his special field of blockchain.

Marc grew up in Detroit. His parents were middle class. Both of them had made careers in major automotive companies. Hence Marc witnessed how an entire industry can lose its luster bit by bit. The subprime and financial crisis showed him that, from one day to the next, it can become impossible to pay the mortgage for the big mansion in the Detroit suburbs. Marc learned early on how to deal with uncertainties. He internalized how to “dance” with uncertainty and how to weigh options. For him, design thinking and the associated mindset are a natural attitude. Questioning the things that exist and finding new solutions for problems have always been something obvious to him. He owed the privilege of studying at Stanford to a scholarship. Besides the option of founding a start-up with his team from the d.school, he has received job offers at the campus job fair from Spotify and Facebook involving artificial intelligence. Marc likes both companies because they promise he’d be master over his own time and be able to work autonomously.

In his leisure time, Marc is a big baseball fan. His favorite team is the Detroit Tigers.
Coming home from the job fair in question, Marc met Linda, a Brazilian beauty who works as a nurse at the health center of his university. Marc was so taken by reading on his smartphone about the concept of Everledger that he had stepped onto the bicycle lane. Linda was just able to brake in time, but they both got quite a fright from the encounter. Marc was a bit embarrassed, but then he dared ask Linda if she wanted to network with him on Facebook. Marc is very proud of this. Now they swap emoticons via Whatsapp nearly every hour. Marc usually sends little diamonds—not as a digital assets but as virtual tokens of affection to his lovely Linda. But he was also fascinated by the fact that diamonds as digital assets change owners through a private blockchain.
Visualization of the team

Marc, innovator, entrepreneur, tech founder

The "brain" (Beatrice)
- creative problem solver
- natural sense for business
- broad knowledge

The visionary (Tamara)
- creative and visionary
- thinks in terms of future opportunities
- sees the big picture

The mover and shaker (Vadim)
- technical expertise
- implements
- reliable

The strategist (Stephan)
- creative and strategic
- thinks in real options
- identifies risks

The salesman (Alex)
- powerful personality
- convincing
- customer-oriented and extroverted

User profiles of a typical start-up team:

Visualization of the team

Marc, innovator, entrepreneur, tech founder

The "brain" (Beatrice)
- creative problem solver
- natural sense for business
- broad knowledge

The visionary (Tamara)
- creative and visionary
- thinks in terms of future opportunities
- sees the big picture

The mover and shaker (Vadim)
- technical expertise
- implements
- reliable

The strategist (Stephan)
- creative and strategic
- thinks in real options
- identifies risks

The salesman (Alex)
- powerful personality
- convincing
- customer-oriented and extroverted
Pains:

- For Marc, his team doesn’t learn quickly enough. He wants to conduct simple experiments and develop prototypes in the service environment more rapidly.
- Marc pursues a lean approach for his start-up and has noticed how important it is to be honest with oneself and that the biggest risks should be tested first.
- The dynamics of the market and the technology are so great that even things that have already been tested ought to be questioned again and again.
- Marc always sees new options in the business ecosystem. It is sometimes hard for him to design a complex ecosystem and to shape the business models for the actors in the system.

Gains:

- Marc is enthusiastic about his subject and his team. He enjoys the energizing atmosphere and meaningful work.
- Marc uses design thinking for innovation exchange and combines it with new elements.
- Marc loves the possibilities of digital business models and knows that the whole world is in upheaval, offering start-ups huge opportunities.
- At this point, Marc has come to love interviews and tests with real users. He has learned to ask the right questions and looks forward to the new findings that are spewed forth at a rapid pace.

Jobs-to-be-done:

- Marc wants a book that gives leeway to his natural talent for questioning what exists, presents him with new tools, and shows him how they’re applied.
- He wants to know how he can transform his knowledge of information technologies into meaningful solutions. It’s vital to him that he find a scalable solution for his blockchain idea quickly and that an innovative business model makes the enterprise viable in the medium term.
- He wishes to work in an environment in which the concept of “teams of teams” is a lived reality, and would like to get suggestions for it.
- With the aid of design thinking, Marc wants to establish a common language and mindset. The dynamics, complexity, and uncertainty are rising. Marc can deal with the situation pretty well, but he has noted that his team is not so good at it.
- Particularly in the blockchain environment, technological development is proceeding quite rapidly. The team must learn from experiments speedily and develop both the market and customers.

Use cases:

Marc would like a book that helps his team adopt the design thinking mindset more speedily and learn faster. The book should contain suggestions and tips, both for experienced design thinkers and for people who are dealing with the mindset for the first time. In addition, Marc would like to get suggestions on how to develop his digital business ecosystem and how to maintain strategic agility even in the growth phase.
There are different ways of creating personas. It is important to imagine the typical user as a “real person.” People have experience, a life career, preferences, and private and professional interests. The primary goal is to find out what their true needs are. Frequently, potential users are sketched out in an initial iteration, which is based on the knowledge of the participants. It must then be verified that a user who has been sketched out like this actually exists in the real world. Interviews and observations often show that potential users have different needs and preferences than those originally assumed. Without exploring these deeper insights, we never would have found out that Peter likes red underpants and Lilly has a tic with flip-flops.

In many workshops, so-called canvas models are used in the context of strategy work and the generation of business models and business ecosystems associated with it. We developed a “user profile canvas” for our workshops that helps in having the key questions at hand and, based upon them, in creating a persona expeditiously.

To promote the creativity of participants and encourage out-of-the-box thinking, it is useful to cut the canvas apart and glue it onto a huge poster. On this poster, the persona can be drawn in full size. In so doing, it is worthwhile to improve the persona iteratively, refining it and digging deeper step by step.

It always makes sense to ask for the “why” in order to get to the actual problem. We try to find out about real situations and real events so as to find stories and document them. Photos, images, quotes, stories, etc., help to make the persona come alive.

In general, work with the persona concept is reminiscent of the procedure applied by so-called profilers (case analysts) in American detective TV series. Profilers are on the hunt for the perpetrators. They solve murders and reconstruct the course of events. They work by describing relevant personality and character traits in order to draw conclusions from behavior.

We recommend taking the time to create a persona yourself. The intensity and closeness are important for building up empathy with the potential user. If time is short, standard personas can be used.

You must be cautious when it comes to personas with brief descriptions. The example of the “persona twins” shows why. Although the core elements are the same, the potential users couldn’t be more different. This is why it really makes sense to dig one level deeper to understand the needs in greater detail. We get greater insights, and that makes things even more intriguing.
**USER PROFILE CANVAS**

**Name**

**Persona description**

Age, gender, place of residence, marital status, hobbies, leisure time, education and training, position in the company, social environment, Sinus-Milieus category, way of thinking, etc.?

**Jobs-to-be-done**

What task performance is supported by the product? What are the goals? Why does it make sense?

**Gains**

To what extent do the current products make the customer happy?

**Use cases**

How is the product used, where is it used, and by whom is it used? What happens before and after use? How does the customer obtain information? What does the purchase process look like? Who influences the decision?

**Pains**

What causes a bad feeling in the customer with the current products? What are the worries of the user?
How do we build up empathy with a potential user?

The initial draft of a persona is quickly done. Although just an outline exists, it can be quite helpful and eye-opening. A brainstorming on the team can yield initial insights and contribute to a better understanding; it is absolutely necessary, though, that it be underpinned with real people, observations, and interviews.

In a first step, the user must be defined and found. Ideally, we’ll go outside right at the beginning and meet a potential user. We observe him, listen to him, and build up empathy. The insights are well documented, in the best case using photos and videos. If you take pictures, it is important to ask permission beforehand, because not everybody likes to be photographed or filmed! A so-called empathy card can be used here that addresses the following areas: hearing, thinking and feeling, seeing, speaking and doing, frustration, and desire.

We also suggest speaking to experts who know the persona well and, of course, being active yourself and doing what the user is doing.

The credo is: “Walk in the shoes of a potential user!”

Especially when we think we know the products or the situation, we attempt to approach a situation like a beginner—curious and without previous knowledge. Consciously and with all our senses, we go through the experience the user is going through!

After this “adventure,” it is useful to define hypotheses on the team, then test them with a potential user or by using existing data, then confirm, discard, or adapt them. The picture of the persona becomes clearer and more solid with each iteration.
To obtain initial knowledge on the user, another tool that helps is the AEIOU method. AEIOU helps us to capture all the events in our environment.

The task is clear. Get out of the design thinking rooms and speak to potential users, walk in their shoes, do what they do.

The AEIOU questions help to put some structure into the observations. Especially with inexperienced groups, it is easier this way to ensure an efficient briefing on the task at hand.

Depending on the situation, it is useful to adapt the questions individually to the respective observations. The AEIOU catalog of questions and the associated instructions help participants establish contact with initial potential users. Experience has taught us that it helps the groups if a design thinking facilitator or somebody with needfinding experience accompanies first contact of potential users. We all are pretty inhibited when it comes to addressing strangers, observing them, and asking them about their needs. Once the first hurdle has been cleared, some participants and groups develop into true needfinding experts. Chapter 1.4 will deal in greater detail with needfinding and the creation of question maps.

AEIOU is broken down into five categories.
Consider how each of the users behaves in the real world and the digital world.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>What happens?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What are the people doing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is their task?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What activities do they carry out?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What happens before and after?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>What does the environment look like?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is the nature and function of the space?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>How do the systems interact with one another?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are there any interfaces?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How do the users interact among one another?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What constitutes the operation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects</td>
<td>What objects and devices are used?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Who uses the objects and in which environment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User</td>
<td>Who are the users?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What role do the users play?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Who influences them?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The hook framework (Alex Cowan) is based on the idea that a digital service or a product can become a habit for a user. The hook canvas is based on four main components: trigger for an action, activity, reward, and investment. For the potential user, there are two triggers for his actions: triggers from the external environment (e.g., a notification from Tinder that you received a “Super Like”) or internal triggers for an action (e.g., visiting the Facebook app when you feel lonely).

The action describes the minimum interaction of your service or your product with a potential user. As a good designer, you want to design an action to be as simple and fast as possible for the user.

Reward is the key emotional element for the user. Depending on the configuration of the action, the user can be given a lot more than the satisfaction of the initial need. Think of positive reviews and feedback through a comment or article. You just wanted to share the information, but you get back far more due to the reputation of the community.

The question remains as to what the user invests in order to get himself back in the loop and to trigger an internal or external action. For example, he actively follows a Twitter feed or writes a notification that a certain product or service is available again.
What is the actual task of a product?

The jobs-to-be-done framework became widely known through the milkshake example. The problem statement looks familiar to us: How can the sales of milkshakes be increased by 15%? With a conventional mindset, you would look at the properties of the product and then consider whether a different topping, another flavor, or a different cup size might solve the problem. Through a customer survey, you find out that the new properties are popular. However, in the end, only incremental innovations are realized, and the result has only been marginally improved. The jobs-to-be-done framework focuses instead on a change of behavior and on customer needs. In the case of the milkshake, it was found this way that two types of customers buy milkshakes in a fast food restaurant. The point of departure was: Why do customers buy a product? To put it differently: What product would they buy instead of the well-known milkshake?

The result:
The first type of customer comes in the morning, commutes to work by car, and buys a milkshake as a substitute for breakfast and as a diversion while driving. Coffee doesn’t work because it is first too hot and then too cold. It is also liquid and can spill easily. The ideal milkshake is large, nutritious, and thick. So the jobs-to-be-done of the milkshake are therefore a breakfast substitute and a pleasant diversion while driving to work.

The second type of customer comes in the afternoon, usually, a mother with a child. The child wants something to eat in the fast food restaurant and is whining. The mother wants to get something healthy for the child and buys a milkshake. The milkshake should be small, thin, and liquid, so the child can drink it quickly, and it should be low in calories. The milkshake’s jobs-to-be-done are to satisfy the child and make the mother feel good. In principle, for any product, whether digital or physical, you can ask: Why would a customer buy my product or service?

Innovations like those designed by Adobe Photoshop and Instagram are good examples of jobs-to-be-done in the digital environment. Both solutions aim at making photographs look like those taken by pros. Photoshop offers easy professional editing of pictures through an app. Instagram realized early on that pictures can be easily edited and shared via social media.

**Jobs-to-be-done, digital**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When</th>
<th>I want to</th>
<th>so I can</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I take a photograph with the digital camera</td>
<td>be able to edit it in such a way that it looks like it’s been taken by a professional photographer</td>
<td>show perfect pictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I take a photograph with my cell phone</td>
<td>be able to edit it in an easy and simple manner</td>
<td>share it quickly with my friends</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because human beings always take center stage in design thinking and the persona to be created is very important, we sketched out the approach once more by way of example. When teams are tasked with developing “empathy” with a user over a certain period of time, or when they first apply design thinking, it is useful to specify a structure and the steps to be taken. Depending on the situation, we recommend using the tools just described (AEIOU, jobs-to-be-done framework, hook canvas, user profile canvas) or integrating and using other methods and documents into the steps listed here.

To help you better understand this process, the Playbook is interspersed with various “How might we . . .” procedures.
3. Confirmations

Question
Is there any data or evidence that confirms the hypothesis?

Methods
Quantitative collection of data, empathy map

4. Finding patterns

Questions
Are the initial descriptions of the groups still correct? Are there other groups that might be important?

Methods
Categorization, applying the jobs-to-be-done framework

5. Creating personas

Question
How can the persona be described?

Methods
Categorization, persona

6. Define situations

Questions
What use cases does the persona have? What is the situation?

Methods
Searching for situations and needs
User profile canvas/customer profile
Customer journey

7. Validation

Question
Do you know such a person?

Methods
Interviews with people who know the personas
Reading and commenting on the persona description

8. Dissemination of knowledge

Question
How can we present the personas and share them with other team members, the enterprise, or stakeholders?

Methods
Posters, meetings, e-mails, campaigns, events, videos, photos
Especially in radical innovation projects, the time horizon is often far longer. It may take 10 years before a product is launched on the market, for example. If its target group is 30 to 40 years old, this means that these users now are 20 to 30 years old.

The future user method attempts to extrapolate these users’ future personas (see “Playbook for Strategic Foresight and Innovation”). It expands the classic persona by analyzing today’s persona and its development over the last few years. In addition, the future target group is interviewed at their present age. Subsequently, the mindset, motivation, lifestyle, etc. are extrapolated to get a better idea of the future user.

The method is easy to apply. It is best to start with the profile of the current user and underpin it with facts, market analyses, online surveys, personal interviews, and so forth.

When developing the persona, changes in values, lifestyle, use of technologies/media, product habits, and the like, must be borne in mind.

**EXPERT TIP**

**Future user**

**How do we map the user of the future?**

We describe our target customer

1. We describe our target customer

We discuss the lifestyle and values this customer had 12 years ago and the decisions he made then. How has all this changed over the course of time?

- Married at 28
- MBA degree
- Two daughters are born
- Spent two years in London
- ...

2. We discuss the lifestyle and values this customer had 12 years ago and the decisions he made then. How has all this changed over the course of time?

3. On the basis of this generational research, we describe our future user who exists today.

4. We compare the two persons when they were 22 years old and try to understand what has changed in their lives and what hasn’t up to age 34.

- Would like to get an MBA degree
- Uses public transport
- Is single
- ...

5. We extrapolate our future target customer. Our future user is of the same age as our current target customer.
KEY LEARNINGS

Working with personas

- Use real people with real names and real properties.
- Be specific in terms of age and marital status. Get demographic information from the Internet.
- Draw the persona, in life-size, if possible.
- Add visualizations to the persona. Use clip outs from magazines for accessories (e.g. watch, car, jewelry).
- Identify and describe use cases in which they would use the potential product or services.
- Put the potential user in the context of the idea, his team, and the application.
- List pains and gains of the persona.
- Capture the customer tasks (jobs-to-be-done) that the product or service supports.
- Describe the experience that is particularly critical. Build a prototype that makes it possible to find out what is really critical.
- In so doing, try to take the persona's habits into account.
- Try out tools for the definition of the content (e.g., user canvas and customer profile, hook canvas, future user, etc).