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1.1 Introduction

Nitrogen ligands have rarely been used with gold(I) and hardly any chemistry has been described using anionic, bridging nitrogen ligands. Dinuclear gold(I) complexes containing either one or two bridging ligands, such as ylides and thiolates, and their oxidative-addition products have been attracting considerable attention for many years [1, 2]. Gold(I) complexes with N-donor ligands are much less common than those with P-donor ligands. However, the affinity of gold for nitrogen can be increased if a phosphine ligand is attached to gold, because of the efficient \(\pi\)-acceptor nature of the phosphine [2]. Therefore the majority of gold(I) complexes with anionic N-donor ligands (L\(^{-}\)) are complexes of the type \(R_3PAuL\), such as \(Ph_3PAu(\text{bis}[^{\text{trimethylsilyl}}]\text{amide})\) and \(Ph_3PAu(4\text{-nitro-anilide})\). Other complexes with L\(^{-}\) corresponding to substituted pyrazoles, imidazoles and benzylimidazoles are also known [2]. The gold(I) amidinate complexes reviewed here are symmetrical with the Au atom bonded to two N atoms. Some work with gold(I) carbeniates (N,C) and benzylimidazolates (N,C) and pyrazolates (N,N) is also included in this review. Gold(III) complexes with nitrogen ligands are covered in Chapter 2 of this book.

Gold(I) with its \([Xe]4f^{14}5d^{10}\) electronic configuration is often described as a soft metal ion [3] and therefore might be expected to have a preference for soft donor ligands such as sulfur and carbon over hard donor ligands such as those bonding through nitrogen or oxygen [4]. For example, when bifunctional ligands with two different donor atoms are used, the gold atom will bind to these ligands through the atom with the higher donor strength according to the sequence [2]: \(\text{Si} > \text{P} > \text{C} > \text{S} > \text{Cl} > \text{N} > \text{O} > \text{F}\).

The bifunctional ligands, for example 2-pyridylphosphines, thioamides and the 1,1-dicyanoethylene-2,2-dithiolate, are coordinated to gold through the P and S atoms but not N, since P and S atoms are better “soft” donor atoms than N [5]. Therefore, it was generally assumed that gold(I) will not effectively coordinate to a donor nitrogen atom [2]. However, the interesting chemistry of the anionic bridging ligands, amidines, ArNHC(H)NAr, to be described here, does not bear this out.
Cotton’s group was able to exploit the amidine ligands for the synthesis of a variety of complexes spanning the transition elements [6]. Previous trials by his group to use the anionic bridging ligand amidines with gold(I) indicated that in the case of \([M_2(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_2]\) compounds, \(\text{Ar}=\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}4\text{-Me}\) and \(M=\text{Ag, Cu, Au}\), the stability series [7] must be \(\text{Cu} \sim \text{Ag} \gg \text{Au}\), since they were unable to isolate a gold compound. The gold amidinate complexes reported here are synthesized in open air at room temperature and are stable at room temperature for several months.

Theoretical studies by Pyynkö in 1998 for \([M_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2]\) systems, \(M=\text{Cu, Ag, and Au}\), predicted the M–M distances at the MP2 level [8]. Experimentally, systems containing amidinate ligands were known with Cu and Ag but unknown with Au. The results for the models containing silver and copper are close to the X-ray structures of \([M_2(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_2]\), \(\text{Ar}=\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}4\text{-Me}\) and \(M=\text{Ag, Cu}\). The Ag–Ag distance is 2.705 and 2.712 Å and the Cu–Cu distance is 2.497 and 2.528 Å at the experimental and theoretical level, Table 1.1. The hypothetical dinuclear gold(I) amidinate compound was calculated to have an Au–Au distance at the MP2 level of 2.728 Å [8]. The dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex now known proves the predicted Au–Au distance to be rather good.

Only very few examples of gold(II) nitrogen compounds are known. There is only one gold(II) nitrite complex, \(\text{Au}_2(\text{ylide})_2(\text{NO}_2)_2\), reported in the book, Gold Progress in Chemistry, Biochemistry, and Technology, which was synthesized by Fackler and co-workers [2]. The great majority of compounds with gold nitrogen bonds occur with gold in the oxidation states +1 and +III with the electronic configuration \([\text{Xe}]4f^{14}5d^{10}6s^06p^0\) and \([\text{Xe}]4f^{14}5d^{8}6s^06p^0\) respectively [3]. There is a strong tendency for disproportionation from Au(II) to Au(I) and Au(III) in mononuclear complexes [9] because the odd electron in \(d^9\) metal complexes is in the antibonding \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital, strongly overlapping with the ligand orbitals (octahedral, tetragonally distorted or square planar). Surprisingly, several gold(II) amidinate complexes have been produced. However, they are dinuclear species. The Au(II) amidinate complexes also have halides or pseudo-halides coordinated to the Au(II) and are stable at room temperature.

### Table 1.1 Optimized geometries at MP2 level and selected experimental structural parameters for \([M_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2]\) [8].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>M–M (Å)</th>
<th>M–N (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Au}_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2])</td>
<td>2.728</td>
<td>2.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ag}_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2])</td>
<td>2.712</td>
<td>2.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Cu}_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2])</td>
<td>2.528</td>
<td>1.834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental structural parameters for \([M_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2]\), \(M=\text{Ag and Cu}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>M–M (Å)</th>
<th>M–N (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Cu}_2(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_2]), (\text{Ar}=\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}4\text{-Me})</td>
<td>2.497</td>
<td>1.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ag}_2(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_2]), (\text{Ar}=\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}4\text{-Me})</td>
<td>2.705</td>
<td>2.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The anionic amidinate ligands, Figure 1.1, are known for their remarkable ability to bridge between the metal ions, facilitating the formation of short metal–metal distances and for their flexible coordination modes, leading to various molecular arrangements [10]. The use of these amidinate ligands in the coordination chemistry of the transition metals has produced complexes with extraordinarily short M–M distances [6]. These short distances are due, at least in part, to the ability of the amidinate anion to delocalize the negative charge while strongly donating sigma electron density to the metal atoms, supporting bond formation [11]. Previous studies have shown that the amidinate ligands form dinuclear Ag(I) and Cu(I) complexes [7, 12, 13]. Placing alkyl and aryl substituents on the amidinate NCN carbon atom influences the formation of tetranuclear and trinuclear structural motifs with Ag(I) [14]. Clearly the substituents play a role in determining the nuclearity and molecular arrangement of the complexes.

The nitrogen ligand chemistry with pyrazolate ligands, Figure 1.1, has produced mainly trinuclear complexes of group 11 with the structure, \([\text{M}(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{Pz})]_3, \text{M}=\text{Cu(I)}, \text{Ag(I)}, \text{Au(I)}\). The hexanuclear gold complex \([\text{Au}(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{Pz})]_6\) has also been obtained, although in low yield [15]. Tetranuclear gold(I) pyrazolates were isolated only with a 3,5-di-isobutyl substituted pyrazolate [16]. The guanidinate-type anion ligand hpp (1,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydro-H-pyrimido[1,2-a]pyrimidine), Figure 1.1, forms complexes with extra short M–M bonding distances and stabilizes metals in high oxidation states [6]. Gold(I) and gold(II) complexes were isolated with the hpp ligand [17, 18].

1.2 Tetra-, Tri-, and Dinuclear Gold(I) Amidinate Complexes

The structural arrangement of group 11 amidinate complexes is determined by the substituents on the amidinate aryl groups as well as on the NCN carbon [14, 19]. The electronic vs. steric effect of the substituents on the molecular arrangement of gold(I) amidinate complexes have been studied in detail in the Fackler laboratory.

A series of symmetrical diaryl substituted amidinate ligands, \(\text{ArNH(CH)}\text{NAr}\), has been synthesized, Figure 1.2. The substituents on the NCN aryl group vary from electron withdrawing groups such as -C\(_6\)F\(_5\), 3-CF\(_3\)-C\(_6\)H\(_4\), 3,5-Cl-C\(_6\)H\(_3\) to donating groups such as 4-OMe-C\(_6\)H\(_4\), 4-Me-C\(_6\)H\(_4\), C\(_{10}\)H\(_7\). Ligands with sterically bulky groups in the ortho positions such as 2,6-Me\(_2\)-C\(_6\)H\(_3\) as well as on the NCN carbon, NC(Me)N and NC(Ph)N, have also been prepared. The amidine ligands are readily...
synthesized using modified literature procedures \cite{14, 20}. The aniline derivative and triethylorthoformate (orthoester) are mixed and the reaction mixture heated to 140–160 °C to form the imido ester which later forms the amidine ligand, Figure 1.3.

Tetranuclear gold(I) amidinate complexes are synthesized by the reaction of Au(THT)Cl with the potassium or sodium salt of the amidinate ligand in THF, Figure 1.4. Syntheses involving various substituted amidinates resulted in tetranuclear gold(I) clusters, \([\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_4]\). The C-functionalized substituted amidine ligands, \(\text{ArNC(Ph)NHAr}\) and \(\text{ArNC(Me)NHAr}\), \(\text{Ar} = \text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\), were synthesized and reacted with Au(THT)Cl after deprotonation. Only tetranuclear clusters were isolated.

In each tetranuclear Au(I) aryl amidinate complex studied, \([\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_4]\), the NC bond length in NCN is \(\sim 1.3\) Å, indicating delocalization across the amidinate bridge. The four gold atoms are located at the corners of a rhomboid with the amidinate ligands bridged above and below the near plane of the four gold(I) atoms, Figures 1.5 and 1.6. The average Au \(\cdots\) Au distance is \(\sim 3.0\) Å, typical of Au(I) \(\cdots\) Au(I)

\[\begin{align*}
\text{R} &= 4-\text{Me}, 4-\text{OMe}, 3-\text{CF}_3, \\
3,5-\text{Cl}, 2,3,4,5,6-\text{pentafluoro}
\end{align*}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\text{R} &= -\text{CH}_3, -\text{C}_6\text{H}_5
\end{align*}\]

\textbf{Figure 1.2} Amidine ligands used in the synthesis of terta-, tri-, and dinuclear gold complexes.

\textbf{Figure 1.3} Synthesis of the amidine ligands.
aurophilic interactions. The four gold atoms are arranged in a near square (\( \text{Au} \cdots \text{Au} = 87–92^\circ \)) in the tetranuclear structure \([\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_4] \), \( \text{Ar} = \text{-C}_6\text{F}_5 \).

The packing diagram shows weak F\( \cdots \)F (~2.44 Å), Au\( \cdots \)F (~3.14 Å intramolecular), and Au\( \cdots \)F (~3.51 Å, intermolecular) interactions. Figures 1.7 and 1.8 are thermal ellipsoid plots of \([\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC}(\text{Ph})\text{NAr})_4] \) and \([\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC}(\text{CH}_3)\text{NAr})_4] \), \( \text{Ar} = \text{-C}_6\text{H}_5 \). The average Au\( \cdots \)Au distance is 2.94 Å, typical of Au(I)\( \cdots \)Au(I) aurophilic interactions. The gold atoms are arranged in a near square (\( \text{Au} \cdots \text{Au} = 88–91^\circ \))

Figure 1.5 Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC}(\text{H})\text{NAr})_4] \), \( \text{Ar} = 4\text{-OMe-C}_6\text{H}_4 \).
in \([Au_4(C_6H_5NC(CH_3)NC_6H_5)4]\) and a distorted square (Au–Au–Au = 82–97°) in \([Au_4(C_6H_5NC(Ph)NC_6H_5)4]\).

Table 1.2 gives the Au–Au–Au distances, and Au–Au–Au and N–Au–N angles for several homobridged tetranuclear Au(I) complexes and tetranuclear gold amidinate complexes. Similar structural arrangements have been found in the tetrameric 1,3-diphenyltriazenidogold(I) complex, \([Au(PhNNNPh)]_4\) (Au–Au = 2.85 Å) [21],

Figure 1.6 Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([Au_4(ArNC(H)NAr)]_4\), Ar = C_{10}H_{12}.

Figure 1.7 Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([Au_4(C_6H_5NC(Ph)NC_6H_5)4]\).
The tetranuclear gold amidinate complex $[\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC(H)NAr})_4]$ has an average Au–Au distance of 2.9 Å [19], while the tetranuclear gold pyrazolate complex $[\text{Au}(\text{3,5-t-Bu-pz})_4]$ has an Au–Au distance of 3.1 Å [16]. The Au(I) atoms bridged by the more flexible amidinate ligands show shorter Au–Au distances than those bridged by the rigid pyrazolate ligands (i.e., 2.9 Å versus 3.1 Å) [13].

Using sterically bulky groups in the ortho positions of the phenyl rings in $\text{ArNC(H)NAr}$, such as $\text{Ar} = \text{2,6-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$, led to formation of dinuclear and trinuclear complexes. This suggests that steric factors can prevent the formation of tetranuclear complexes.

### Table 1.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\text{Au(1)} \cdots \text{Au(2)} \cdots \text{Au(3)}$ (°)</th>
<th>N-Au-N (°)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Au(PhNNNPh)}_4]$</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>89.92</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Au(CH}_3\text{CS}_2)_4]$</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>89.95</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Au}(\text{3,5-t-Bu-pz})_4]$</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amidinate clusters $[\text{Au}_4(\text{ArNC(H)NAr})_4]$, $\text{Ar} =$

- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4-OMe}$: 2.94, 70.87, 109.12, 174 [19]
- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-3,5-Cl}$: 2.91, 88.30, 91.53, 177 [19]
- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4-Me}$: 3.03, 63.59, 116.4, 172 [19]
- $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7$: 2.98, 68.52, 110.88, 170 [19]
- $\text{C}_6\text{F}_5$: 2.96, 92.3, 87.5, 169 [19]
- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{-3-CF}_3$: 2.92, 84.6, 95.3, 176 [19]

Amidinate clusters $[\text{Au}_4(\text{PhNC(R)NPh})_4]$, $\text{R} =$

- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5$: 2.94, 82.86, 97.66, 173 [19]
- $\text{CH}_3$: 2.93, 88.47, 91.14, 168 [19]
of tetranuclear gold(I) amidinates, Figure 1.9 [23]. Models show that formation of the
tetranuclear species is blocked by ligand-ligand interactions. Previous work indicated
the formation of a dinuclear product, $\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au} = 2.646 \text{ Å}$, when Me$_3$Si was bonded
to the N atoms, $\{\text{Au}_2[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiN})_2\text{C(Ph)}]_2\}$ [4]. The formation of the dinuclear Au(II)
guanidinate complex $\{\text{Au}_2(\text{hpp})_2\text{Cl}_2\}$ also implicates the possible presence of a
dinuclear Au(I) species with this sterically uncrowded ligand [17, 18].

The trinuclear species $[\text{Au}_3(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-form})_2(\text{THT})\text{Cl}]$ and the dinuclear $[\text{Au}_2(2,6-
\text{Me}_2\text{-Ph-form})_2]$ were isolated by the reaction of the potassium salt of the corresponding
amidinate ligand with (THT)AuCl, Figure 1.10. The structure of the trinuclear gold
complex shows a short $\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ distance of $\sim 3.01 \text{ Å}$ and a longer $\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ distance of
$3.66 \text{ Å}$, Figure 1.11. The $\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ distance in the dinuclear complex $[\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-Ph-
form})_2]$ is $2.711(3) \text{ Å}$, and the N-Au-N angle is $170.2(3)^\circ$. To our knowledge, the only
other example of a symmetrically bridged dinuclear gold(I) nitrogen complex is
$\{\text{Au}_2[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiN})_2\text{C(Ph)}]_2\}$, which has an $\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ distance of $2.646 \text{ Å}$ [24]. The
$\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ distance in $[\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-Ph-form})_2]$ is $2.711 \text{ Å}$, Figure 1.12, close to the
distance suggested by Pyykkö [8] for the $[\text{Au}_2(\text{NHCHNH})_2]$. This is shorter than in
the xanthate $[\text{Au}_2(\text{nBu-xanthate})_2$ (2.849 Å) [25], the dithiophosphinate $[\text{AuS}_2\text{PPh}_2]_2$
(3.085 Å) [26], ylide $[\text{Au}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{PPh}_2]_2$ (2.977 Å) [27], and dithiophosphonate $[\text{AuS}_2\text{PPh}$
(OEt)]$_2$ (3.042 Å) [28], but somewhat closer to the observed $\text{Au} \cdots \text{Au}$ distances in
the dithiolates $[\text{PPN}]_2[\text{Au}_2(\mu^2-\eta^2-\text{CS})_2]_2$ (2.799 Å) [29], $[\text{n-Bu}_4\text{N}]_2[\text{Au}(\text{S}_2\text{C}=	ext{C(\text{CN})})_2]_2$
(2.764 Å) [30], and $[\text{Au}(\text{S}_2\text{C}−\text{N}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_{11})_2)]_2$ (2.769 Å) [31].

**Figure 1.9** Structure of the trinuclear and dinuclear gold amidinate complexes.

**Figure 1.10** Synthesis of dinuclear and trinuclear gold(I) amidinates.
Oxidative-addition reactions have been widely studied with bridged dinuclear metal complexes [1, 2, 5, 32]. Earlier work with the ylides and sulfur bonded ligands

**Figure 1.11** Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Au}_3(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2(\text{THT})\text{Cl}]\).

**Figure 1.12** Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\).

### 1.3 Oxidative-Addition Reactions to the Dinuclear Gold(I) Amidinate Complex

Oxidative-addition reactions have been widely studied with bridged dinuclear metal complexes [1, 2, 5, 32]. Earlier work with the ylides and sulfur bonded ligands
(vide infra) has led to the formation of many Au(II)-Au(II) bonded complexes. No stable organometallic alkyl halide addition products of dinuclear Au(I) complexes have been characterized with ligands other than the ylides [32]. Oxidative-addition reactions to the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex, [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂], result in the formation of Au(II) complexes. The Au(II) amidinate complexes are the first gold(II) species isolated with nitrogen ligands [23]. The complexes are stable at room temperature. Various reagents such as Cl₂, Br₂, I₂, benzoyl peroxide and CH₃I add to the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex to form oxidative-addition gold(II) products, [Au₂XY(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂], Figure 1.13 [23, 33, 34]. The methyl iodide addition product is the only organometallic Au(II) species formed to date with amidinate ligands [33].

The reaction of the dinuclear complex, [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂], with the halogenated solvents, CH₂X₂, XCH₂CH₂X, CX₄ (X=Cl, Br, I) also forms Au(II) products. With the iodide derivatives the reaction occurs at the time of mixing. The analogous reactions with chloride and bromide derivatives take approximately 2–3 days, and 7 days with CH₂Cl₂ in order to oxidize all the Au(I) material. A crystalline product in which there are equal amounts of oxidized and unoxidized complexes in the same unit cell, [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂X₂][Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂], X=Cl and X=Br, Figure 1.14, is isolated when the reaction is stopped after 3–4 h of stirring. In the reaction of the haloalkyls CHₙXₙ, the qualitative order of reactivity with the dinuclear

Figure 1.13 Synthesis of gold(II) amidinate complexes by oxidative-addition to the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate.

Figure 1.14 Thermal ellipsoid plot of [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂Cl₂][Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂].
The oxidative-addition of benzoyl peroxide, (PhCOO)$_2$, leads to the isolation of the first stable dinuclear gold(II) nitrogen complex also possessing Au–O bonds, [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$(PhCO$_2$)$_2$] [34]. An analogous ylide complex, [Au$_2$((CH)$_2$PPh$_2$)$_2$(PhCO$_2$)$_2$] is known [33]. The benzoate amidinate product [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$(PhCO)$_2$] was obtained, Figure 1.15, by adding an equivalent amount of benzoyl peroxide to a toluene solution of the dinuclear Au(I) amidinate. Infra-red spectroscopic studies of the gold(II) benzoate complex show two intense bands at 1628 and 1578 cm$^{-1}$ due to $\nu$(C=O) and at 1320–1295 cm$^{-1}$ due to $\nu$(C–O) frequencies. The separation between the two bands is ~300 cm$^{-1}$, typical of unidentate benzoate bonding, a “pseudo ester” character. The bonding of the benzoates to the dinuclear gold(II) amidinate is similar to the unidentate bonding observed in the ylide complexes, which adopt an anti geometry [2, 35].

The oxidative-addition of benzoyl peroxide to the dinuclear gold(I) ylide complex formed a gold(II) complex with the shortest Au···Au distance observed, 2.56–2.58 Å [2,35], for the dinuclear Au(II) ylide complexes, Table 1.3. Similarly, the Au···Au distance in the oxidized product [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$(PhCO)$_2$], 2.48 Å [34], is the shortest Au···Au distance in the Au(II) amidinate complexes. The short Au···Au distance in these complexes is due to the weak trans-directing and sigma covalent bonding ability of the carboxylate ligands to gold(II) compared with the halides [34].

A facile replacement of the benzoate groups in [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$(PhCOO)$_2$] by chloride or bromide is achieved by adding equivalent amounts of PhICl$_2$ or [Bu$_4$N]Br, Figure 1.16. The replacement of the bromide in [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$Br$_2$] by chloride is achieved by adding 1 mol of PhICl$_2$ to 1 mol in polar solvent such as CH$_3$CN.

The X-ray crystallography of the gold(II) amidinate complexes shows a decrease in the Au···Au distance from 2.71 Å in the starting dinuclear complex to 2.51–2.57 Å in the oxidized species. The Au–X distances are Au–Cl = 2.36 Å, Figure 1.17, Au–Br = 2.47 Å, and Au–I = 2.68 Å, Figure 1.18. The Au–N distances decreases from...
2.035(7) Å in the dinuclear complex to 2.00–2.004 Å in the oxidative-addition products. The Au atoms have a nearly square-planer coordination geometry.

The reaction of methyl iodide, CH₃I, with [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂] in ether generates [CH₃Au(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂AuI] in quantitative yield under nitrogen at 0°C, in the absence of light [33, 34]. While the Au(II) atoms and the amidinate ligand atoms refine well, unfortunately, the structure has a disorder in the CH₃ and iodide positions since the spatial volume occupied by CH₃ and I is approximately identical. As a result their positions remain uncertain with regard to their exact distances from the Au(II) atoms. The Au–CH₃ and Au–I distances appear to be 2.12 Å and 2.50 Å, while in the dinuclear gold(I) ylide, [(CH₃)Au(CH₂PMe₂CH₂)₂AuI], the Au–CH₃ and Au–I distances are 2.13(5) and 2.894(5) Å, respectively, and the Au(II)–Au(II) distance is 2.695(4) Å [9]. Surprisingly, the Au–I distance in the Au(II) amidinate complex appears to be shorter than found in the ylide, [IAu((CH₂)₂PMe₂)₂AuI].

**Table 1.3** Dinuclear Au(II) ylide and amidinate complexes characterized by X-ray studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>d(AuII···AuII)</th>
<th>d(Au-X)</th>
<th>d(Au-R)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ClAu(CH₂PPh₂CH₂)₂AuCl]</td>
<td>2.600(1)</td>
<td>2.388(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[BrAu(CH₂PPh₂CH₂)₂AuBr]</td>
<td>2.614(1)</td>
<td>2.516(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[IAu(CH₂PPh₂CH₂)₂AuI]</td>
<td>2.650</td>
<td>2.693(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(CH₃)Au(CH₂PPh₂CH₂)₂AuI]</td>
<td>2.695(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(CH₃)Au(CH₂PMe₂CH₂)₂AuI]</td>
<td>2.695(4)</td>
<td>2.894(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ph₂CO₂Au(CH₂PPh₂CH₂)₂Au₂CPh₂]</td>
<td>2.561(2)</td>
<td>2.117(13)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ClAu(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂AuCl]</td>
<td>2.517(7)</td>
<td>2.356(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[BrAu(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂AuBr]</td>
<td>2.525(15)</td>
<td>2.470(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[IAu(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂AuI]</td>
<td>2.579(4)</td>
<td>2.682(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[346]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[(CH₃)Au(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂AuI]</td>
<td>2.529(11)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>[33, 34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ph₂CO₂Au(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂Au₂CPh₂]</td>
<td>2.489(10)</td>
<td>2.045(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.16** Schematic representation of the replacement reactions of [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂].
1.4 Mercury(II) Cyanide Coordination Polymer

The reaction of the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex, \([\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\), with \(\text{Hg(CN)_2}\) (1:2 stoichiometry) in THF forms a 2D coordination polymer, \([\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2] \cdot 2\text{Hg(CN)_2} \cdot 2\text{THF}\), not the expected oxidative-addition product of the type formed with the ylides. White crystals and a yellow powder are formed.
The white crystals change to yellow powder upon grinding, presumably with loss of THF and possibly some AuCN formation. Thermal gravimetric analysis of \([\text{Au}_2(2,6\text{-Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]_2\text{Hg(CN)}_2\cdot 2\text{THF}\) showed the release of THF gradually at >120 °C followed by decomposition at >200 °C. The powder diffraction pattern of the yellow residue after heating above 265 °C showed a pattern typical of AuCN (IR 2236 cm\(^{-1}\)) as confirmed by comparison with the powder diffraction pattern of a sample of AuCN obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Co.

The behavior of Hg(CN)_2 toward the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complexes requires comment. In the case of the dinuclear gold(I) ylide, oxidation of the Au(I) to Au(II) resulted in the formation of a reduced mercury(0) product, Figure 1.19(a) [36]. In the mercury(II) cyanide reaction with the dinuclear gold(I) dithiophosphinate, Figure 1.19(b), the stability of the gold(I)-carbon bond compared

![Figure 1.19 Schematic representation of the reactions between Hg(CN)\(_2\) and the (a) dinuclear gold(I) ylide with loss of Hg(0), (b) dinuclear gold(I) dithiophosphinate with loss of AuCN, and with (c) dinuclear Au(I) 2,6-Me\(_2\)formamidinate complexes.](image-url)
with that of mercury(II)-carbon bond and the strength of the Hg(II)–S bonds compared with the labile Au(I)–S bonds appear to lead to the metathesis products observed. With the adduct to the amidinate ligand complex, Figure 1.19(c), the cyanide IR stretching frequency shifts from 2192 cm$^{-1}$ in Hg(CN)$_2$ to $\sim$2147 cm$^{-1}$, a value very near to the CN stretching frequency found (2145 cm$^{-1}$) in the dinuclear Au(II) ylide dicyanide [37]. However, the oxidation of the dinuclear Au(I) amidinate by the Hg(CN)$_2$ is much more difficult than the oxidation of the dinuclear Au(I) ylide. Cyclic voltammetric studies bear this out (vide infra) [38].

The differences observed in the chemistry of these dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complexes compared with dinuclear gold(I) complexes with sulfur and carbon ligands may be understood by examining their respective highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)s and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)s of the species. In the ylide complexes the HOMO is a metal-metal $\sigma^*$ antibonding orbital, and the LUMO is a bonding $\sigma$ orbital directed along the metal-metal axis. In the dinuclear gold(I) amidinates the HOMO is $\delta^*$ with regard to the $\pi$ orbitals of the N ligands [39] and the LUMO is also largely ligand based.

Gold(I) ylides are oxidized in 0.1 M [Bu$_4$N]BF$_4$/THF at low potentials of $+0.11$ and $+0.23$ V vs. Ag/AgCl (quasi-reversible). The dinuclear amidinate oxidizes under the same conditions at $+1.24$ V vs. Ag/AgCl (reversible). These large differences in chemical character of the dinuclear gold(I) complexes appear to explain the widely different behavior of these compounds and especially toward the reaction with mercury cyanide.

The adduct formation of Hg(CN)$_2$ to the [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$] increases the Au···Au distance from 2.7 Å in the dinuclear complex to 2.9 Å in the adduct, Figure 1.20. The gold centers are coordinated by four nitrogen atoms with Au–N distances in the range 2.09–2.51 Å. The N-Au-N angles associated with the amidinate ligands decreased from $\sim$170° in the dinuclear starting material to $\sim$161°. The N-Au-N angles are in the range 95–100° (angles from the cyanide groups). The 2D lattice contains two THF solvent molecules in the large cavities ($\sim$10.2 $\times$ 13.7 Å), Figure 1.20(b). The Hg–O distance is $\sim$4.33 Å indicating that the interaction between the Hg centers and THF is not significant.

### 1.5 Formation of Mixed-Ligand Tetranuclear Gold(I) Nitrogen Clusters

Density functional theory (DFT) modeling calculations show that a dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex is less stable than the tetranuclear gold(I) amidinate cluster, [Au$_4$(HNC(H)NH)$_4$]. However, replacing C by Si in the backbone reduces ring strain and makes the energies similar, Figures 1.21 and 1.22 [39].

Attempts to introduce less bulky anionic ligands to the dinuclear complex [Au$_2$(2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form)$_2$] cause the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex to rearrange and form tetranuclear gold(I) amidinate complexes [40]. The ligand exchange of the sterically bulky ligand, 2,6-Me$_2$Ph-form, in the dinuclear gold(I) amidinate complex with less bulky anionic ligands such as [ArNC(H)NAr]$^-$, Ar=C$_6$H$_4$-4-Me, Ar=C$_6$H$_4$-4-OMe,
and 3,5-diphenylpyrazolate, to form mixed-ligand species provides a facile procedure for the synthesis of mixed-ligand complexes along with the increased nuclearity, Figure 1.23. Tetranuclear gold(I) complexes with amidinate and pyrazolate ligands can be formed, such as [Au₄(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂]₂Hg(CN)₂·2THF and [Au₄(3,5-Ph₂pz)₃(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)]₂Hg(CN)₂·2THF showing the THF solvent in the voids.

Figure 1.20 (a) Thermal ellipsoid plot and bond distances and angles of [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂]₂Hg(CN)₂·2THF. (b) 2D of [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂]₂Hg(CN)₂·2THF showing the THF solvent in the voids.
mixed-metal Au–Ag complexes with pyrazolate and amidinate ligands \([\text{Au}_2(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2 \text{Ag}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\)\[^{[40]}\]. This complex is the only tetranuclear amidinate complex observed with the two bulky amidinate ligands facing each other. Apparently, the long Au···Ag distances, \(\sim 3.3 \text{ Å}\), allow the bulky amidinate ligands to be in this syn arrangement.

Reacting the amidinate salt, K[4-MePh-form], with the dinuclear gold(I) complex, \([\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\), in a 1 : 1 stoichiometry in THF forms the dinuclear-tetranuclear complex \([\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2][\text{Au}_4(4-\text{MePh-form})_4]\)_2THF, Figure 1.24, with one tetranuclear and one dinuclear molecule in the same unit cell. Adjusting the reaction ratio to 2 : 1 formed the tetranuclear complex \([\text{Au}_4(4-\text{MePh-form})_4]\).

The reaction of the diphenylpyrazolate salt, Na[3,5-Ph₂pz], with the dinuclear gold(I) complex \([\text{Au}_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\) in a 1 : 1 stoichiometric ratio resulted in the formation of two tetranuclear products, observed as blocks, \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\)_2THF, Figure 1.25, and as needles, \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})]\)_3THF, Figure 1.26. Adjusting the reaction ratio to 1.5 : 1 resulted in the

![Figure 1.21 HOMO and LUMO of the dinuclear and tetranuclear gold amidinate species.](image-url)
isolation of the tetranuclear mixed-ligand complex \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})]^-\\text{THF}\). These exchange reactions of the bulky amidinate ligand, \([2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-form}]^-\), by the less steric ligands \([4-\text{MePh-form}]^-\) and \([3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz}]^-\), are irreversible, Figure 1.23. These results validate the calculations which indicate that
The tetranuclear structure is favored over the dinuclear arrangement with these nitrogen ligands. The structures of the mixed ligand, dinuclear-tetranuclear \( \text{Au}_2\text{Au}_4 \) complexes show similar bond distances and angles, \( \text{Au} \cdots \text{Au} = 2.7 \text{ Å, (dinuclear)} \) and \( 3.0 \text{ Å (tetranuclear)} \), to their parent complexes [19, 23, 34]. In the complexes \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{Ph-form})_2]\) and \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-form})]\), the \( \text{Au} \cdots \text{Au} \) dis-
Distances range from 3.02–3.20 Å. Each pyrazolate ring in \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-form})_2]\)–2THF is facing an amidinate ligand, that is, \textit{anti}. This avoids the steric bulk of the amidinate ligand, Figure 1.25. In the tetranuclear gold(I) pyrazolate complex, \([\text{Au}_4(\text{t-Bu-pz})_4]\), the Au–Au distance ranges from 3.11 to 3.18 Å [16]. The Au–Au distances linked by the pyrazolate ligands are slightly longer than those linked by the amidinate ligands, as expected from the required orientation of the Au–N sigma bonds of the different ligands.

**Figure 1.25** Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-form})_2]\).

**Figure 1.26** Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Au}_4(3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3(2,6-\text{Me}_2\text{-form})]\).
Solvent Influences on Oxidation and Nuclearity of Gold Guanidinate Derivatives

Work with the Hhpp ligand was pioneered by Cotton and co-workers who showed that the di-metal complexes with Cr(II), Mo(II), or W(II), ionize readily, the latter more readily than cesium [41]. Recent work with the anionic hpp ligand has produced the compound $[\text{Au}_2\text{(hpp)}_2\text{Cl}_2]$ with a short Au–Au (2.47 Å) distance [17, 18].

Although it is known that the direction of the lone pairs of electrons on nitrogen ligands can influence the nuclearity of the complexes formed, as for example, with the 3,5-diphenylpyrazolate ligand which normally forms trinuclear complexes with Au(I) or Ag(I), Figure 1.27, Raptis and coworkers [16] were able to obtain a tetranuclear Au(I) pyrazolate complex by using bulky groups at the 3 and 5 positions of the ring.

While we have been unsuccessful with many attempts by direct synthesis or reduction to isolate the gold(I) $[\text{Au}_2\text{(hpp)}_2]$, we have discovered that solvent conditions determine whether oxidation to the dinuclear Au(II) species, $[\text{Au}_2\text{(hpp)}_2\text{Cl}_2]$, occurs or a tetranuclear Au(I) species, $[\text{Au}_4\text{(hpp)}_4]$, forms. It appears that the nuclearity of the gold(I) hpp compound depends on factors such as the disproportionation rate of the Au(I) in a given solvent and the presence of oxidants. The short ligand $\text{N} \cdots \text{N}$ distance should promote tetranuclear product formation over dinuclear species but in the presence of oxidizing solvents and solvents supporting rapid disproportionation and in the presence of coordinating ligands like chloride, a gold(II) product is isolated.

This solvent role regarding the formation of a dinuclear Au(II) or a tetranuclear Au(I) product is noted when Na[hpp] is reacted with (THT)AuCl. In THF the product is the dinuclear Au(II) species, $[\text{Au}_2\text{(hpp)}_2\text{Cl}_2]$, along with gold metal. In oxidizing solvents such as the chlorocarbon dichloromethane, $[\text{Au}_2\text{(hpp)}_2\text{Cl}_2]$ is produced in high yield without Au(0) formation, Figure 1.28. If ethanol is used as the solvent, the product is the tetranuclear Au(I) species, $[\text{Au}_4\text{(hpp)}_4]$. A plausible rationalization of the different behavior in the two solvents is that ethanol solvates both the [(THT)Au]$^+$ and the Cl$^-$, reducing the potential of the cation for oxidation and allowing solvation of the sodium chloride. Several reducing agents were used in attempts to reduce the Au(II) complex to form the Au(I) product, including reagents such as KC₈ and K, but each produced gold metal. Using silver benzoate in a CH₃CN/THF solution to
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Figure 1.27 Structure of the anionic, bidentate nitrogen ligands [hpp]$^-$ and [tbo]$^-$. 

1,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydro-2H-pyramido[1,2-a]-pyridinolate

1,3,6-triazabicyclo[3.3.0]oct-4-ene

$[\text{hpp}]^-$  $[\text{tbo}]^-$
remove the chlorides formed, a green hexanuclear product with mixed metals and ligands was achieved. The gold(II)-silver(I) complex [(PhCOO)₆Au₄(hpp)₂Ag₂] crystallized and was structurally characterized. It has a very short Au–Au distance, 2.4473(19) Å, and normal Au–Ag, 3.344(3) Å, and Ag–Ag, 2.771(6) Å distances [18].

The X-ray crystal structure of [Au₂(hpp)₂Cl₂] revealed a Au(II)–Au(II) distance of 2.4752(9) Å, Figure 1.29, which is shorter than the Au–Au distance observed in the amidinate, [Au₂(2,6-Me₂Ph-form)₂Cl₂] (2.617 Å) [23, 24]. This decrease in distance is

![Figure 1.28 Synthesis of [Au₂(hpp)₂Cl₂] and [Au₄(hpp)₄].](image1)

![Figure 1.29 Structure of [Au₂(hpp)₂Cl₂].](image2)
dramatic, and results in a stable d⁰-d⁰ system with the Au(II) atoms in a square planar arrangement. The coordination angles range from 86.07–94.25° and sum to 359.42°. The dihedral angle between the N(1)-Au(1)-N(3A) and N(1A)-Au(1A)-N(3) plane in \([\text{Au}_2(\text{hpp})_2\text{Cl}_2]\) is 13.3°. The structure is puckered with deviation from the mean plane of 0.35 Å.

The molecular structures of Mo₂(hpp)₄ and W₂(hpp)₄ studied by Cotton show M–M distances of 2.067(1) and 2.162(1) Å, respectively [41]. These two complexes contain the shortest Mo₂⁴⁺ or W₂⁴⁺ quadruple bonds known. The ready oxidation of these complexes with the electron-rich bicyclic guanidinate ligand, hpp⁻, and of the dinuclear gold species, clearly shows that ligands which favor short metal-metal distances promote reduction of the electron density between the metal atoms by electron loss.

The gold-gold distances in the \([\text{Au}_4(\text{hpp})_4]\) complex, range from 2.8975(5)–2.9392(6) Å, and are similar to those found in the tetranuclear gold amidinate complexes, Figure 1.30. The hpp ligand apparently shows a different behavior with different group 11 elements, forming a tetranuclear complex with gold and silver but to date only a dinuclear complex of copper(I) has been reported [42]. With the related smaller ring guanidinate \([\text{Au}_4(\text{tbo})_4]\), the average Au⋯Au distance is 3.16 Å, Figure 1.31. The angles at Au⋯Au⋯Au in this complex are acute 66.03(3)–66.12(3)° and obtuse 111.92.64(3)–115.82(3)°.

Density functional theory and MP2 calculations on \([\text{Au}_2(\text{hpp})_2\text{Cl}_2]\) show that the HOMO is predominately hpp and chlorine-based with some Au-Au δ⁺ character and that the LUMO has metal-to-ligand (M-L) and metal-to-metal (M-M) σ⁺ character (approximately 50% hpp/chlorine, and 50% gold). DFT calculations on \([\text{Au}_4(\text{hpp})_4]\)
show that the HOMO and HOMO-1 are a mixture of metal-metal antibonding character and metal-ligand antibonding character and that the LUMO is predominately metal based s character (85% Au and 15% hpp).

The calculated thermodynamics for the reduction of \([\text{Au}_2(\text{hpp})_2\text{Cl}_2]\) to \([\text{Au}_2(\text{hpp})_2]\) and \(\text{Cl}_2(\text{g})\) suggest the reaction is endothermic with a \(\Delta H^\circ\) of 50.0, 48.6, 45.8, and 69.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and a \(\Delta G^\circ\) of 38.3, 37.5, 35.3, and 57.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) depending upon the level of theory used [18]. The fact that the reaction is thermodynamically unfavorable is consistent with the difficulty in obtaining the Au(I) compound \([\text{Au}_2(\text{hpp})_2]\).

1.7 Cyclic Trinuclear Gold(I) Nitrogen Compounds

Trinuclear 9-membered rings can be formed by the reaction of gold(I) ions with exobidentate C,N or N,N monoanionic ligands. They are generally slightly irregular and puckered unless the metalloccycle is imposed by intramolecular crystallographic symmetry. Gold-gold intramolecular interactions are always present and the complexes exhibit a roughly C\(_{3h}\) or with symmetrical ligands a D\(_{3h}\) symmetry. Crystal structures of these trinuclear complexes demonstrate formation of individual complexes, dimers, supramolecular columnar species or more complex supramolecular aggregates, Table 1.4 [43]. Dimers and supramolecular structures are held together by aurophilic intermolecular gold-gold interactions. Bulky substituents on the ligands can prevent intermolecular metal-metal interactions and the formation of supramolecular architectures.
The first cyclic trinuclear compound of gold(I) was reported by Vaughan in 1970 [44]. The complex \([\mu-N^1,C^2-pyAu]_3\) was obtained in a very good yield by adding triphenylarsine gold(I) chloride to a THF solution of 2-pyridyllithium at \(-40^\circ C\), Figure 1.32.

Some other cyclic trinuclear gold(I) pyridine complexes, CTCs, were obtained by the same procedure using various substituted pyridines [44]. All the complexes except \([\mu-N^1,C^2-pyAu]_3\) have a very low solubility in common organic solvents. In 1972, the synthesis of another CTC gold(I) complex \([\mu-C(OCH_3)=N(C_6H_{11})Au]_3\) was reported. The complex was obtained by the reaction of chloro(triphenylphosphine)gold(I) with cyclohexyl isocyanide in a methanolic potassium hydroxide solution, Figure 1.33 [46]. Using the same or similar synthetic approaches, many other analogous carbeniate cyclic gold(I) complexes have been described [47, 49]. They have the general formula \([\mu-C,N-carbAu]_3\) (carb is \(C(OR)=NR')\) where \(R'\) is an aliphatic, alicyclic, alkyl aromatic or aromatic group, Figure 1.33.

Another family of gold(I) CTCs, having a C-Au-N environment, was described in which the bridging ligand between gold atoms is an alkyl-2-imidazolate anion (alkyl group = \(CH_3\) or \(CH_2Ph\)) [51]. A typical reaction is carried out at \(-40^\circ C\) in THF using Vaughan’s method, Figure 1.34, but in this case the crude brown solid is extracted

### Table 1.4 Trimeric cyclic gold(I) compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N^1,C^2-pyAu]_3)</td>
<td>[44, 45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-C(OMe)=N(C_6H_{11})Au]_3)</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-C(OMe)=N(Me)Au]_3)</td>
<td>[47, 48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-C(OEt)=N(C_6H_4-p-Me)Au]_3)</td>
<td>[49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N^1,C^2-bzimAu]_3)</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N,N-3,5-Ph_2pzAu]_3)</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N,N-3,5(CF_3)pzAu]_3)</td>
<td>[53, 54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N,N-3,5(4-MeOPh)_2pzAu]_3)</td>
<td>[55, 56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N,N-pzAu]_3)</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\mu-N,N-4-MepzAu]_3)</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*py = pyridinate; bzim = 1-benzylimidazolate; pz = pyrazolate.

---

**Figure 1.32** Synthesis of \([\mu-N^1,C^2-pyAu]_3\).
overnight at room temperature with hexane. The reaction can be carried out using (CH₃)₂SAuCl as a starting material instead of Ph₃PAuCl. In this way the CTCs [μ-C(OEt)=N(C₆H₁₁-p-Me)Au]₃ and [μ-N₁,C₂-bzimAu]₃ are immediately formed in a good yield, but the reaction is delicate and slow and often colloidal gold(0) is formed. The methyl analog of [μ-N¹,C²-bzimAu]₃ is quite soluble in the common organic solvents.

Gold(I) CTCs having a N-Au-N environment are also known and have the general formula [μ-N,N-pzAu]₃ (pz⁻ = pyrazolate or various ring substituted pyrazolates) [15b,52,53,55]. In these compounds the bidentate anion ligands bridging the gold atoms are obtained by deprotonation of a pyrazole ring with a base such as KOH or NaH, Figure 1.35 [15b]. It is noteworthy that when Na[3,5-Ph₂pz] and AgO₂CPh are added to a THF solution of Ph₃PAuCl a hexanuclear gold cycle having a 18-atom ring is formed [15b].
The cyclic trimeric nature of the carbeniate Au(I) complexes was realized when the structure of \([\mu - \text{C(}\text{OEt}) = \text{N}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-p-\text{Me})\text{Au}]_3\) was reported [50]. This structure is a 9-membered ring formed by three carbeniate ligands bridging the gold(I) atoms through the N and C atoms. The deviation of the C-Au-N angles from the linearity as well as the puckering of the rings are due to the presence of intramolecular (average Au···Au = 3.272(1) Å) and intermolecular gold-gold interactions. Only two short Au···Au contacts of 3.244(1) Å are found between two CTCs, and the dimer is arranged in the crystal structure to give a characteristic Au₆ chair. The packing diagram of \([\mu - \text{C(}\text{OEt}) = \text{N}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-p-\text{Me})\text{Au}]_3\) shows additional weak Au(I)···Au(I) interactions at 3.824 Å.

The Au–Au distance in \([\mu - \text{C(OMe}) = \text{N(Me)}\text{Au}]_3\) of 3.308(2) Å suggests an intramolecular interaction between the metal atoms [48]. The most important and unique feature of the complex \([\mu - \text{C(}\text{OEt}) = \text{N}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-p-\text{Me})\text{Au}]_3\) is the ability of the CTCs to aggregate in the solid state along the c axis to form ordered and disordered columnar stacks. In each unit cell, the two types of stacks occur in a 2 : 1 ratio. In the ordered stacks the intermolecular Au···Au distance is 3.346(1) Å and the gold centers are arranged to form an infinite trigonal prismatic array. In the disordered stacks there are two sets of positions for each gold triangle. The ability of the complex \([\mu - \text{C(}\text{OEt}) = \text{N}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-p-\text{Me})\text{Au}]_3\) to aggregate through gold-gold intermolecular interactions forming these supramolecular arrays confers to its extraordinary luminescent properties which have been described by Balch as solvoluminescence [48].

The complex \([\mu - \text{N}^1, \text{C}^2-\text{pyAu}]_3\) shows an interesting and unusual crystal structure. It is located on a crystallographic mirror plane that lies perpendicular to the molecular plane. Each CTC is planar with gold-gold intramolecular interactions of 3.309(2) and 3.346(3) Å. The intermolecular Au···Au contacts are shorter than the intramolecular Au···Au contacts and fall in the range 3.105(2)-3.143(3) Å. Both CTC complexes \([\mu - \text{N}^1, \text{C}^2-\text{pyAu}]_3\) and \([\mu - \text{C(OMe}) = \text{N}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})\text{Au}]_3\) form dimers with a chair conformation of the gold atoms, but complex \([\mu - \text{N}^1, \text{C}^2-\text{pyAu}]_3\) is further assembled by the apical gold atoms of the chairs to form extended stepwise chains.

Recently, gold pyrazolate CTCs have been described which produce room-temperature columnar mesophases [55]. These complexes have long chain substituents in the 3 and 5 positions of the pyrazolate ring. X-ray powder diffraction measurements have demonstrated that the supramolecular columnar arrangement is present in the crystalline solids as well as in the mesomorphic phase. The X-ray crystal structure of complex \([\mu - \text{N}, \text{N}^3, \text{N}^5-\text{3,5}-\text{MeOPh})_2\text{pzAu}]_3\) which has an anisole unit on the pyrazolates, yields a unit cell which contains two independent CTCs. They are slightly different in the twist about the central metallacycle core and more markedly in the relative conformations of the phenyl substituents [56]. In the complex \([\mu - \text{N}, \text{N}^3, \text{N}^5-\text{MeOPh})_2\text{pzAu}]_3\) the intramolecular Au···Au average distance is 3.3380(7) Å. The intermolecular Au···Au distance is greater than 4.252 Å with a mean stacking separation between two consecutive trimers of 4.54 Å. The packing mode observed appears to be controlled by van der Waals forces (i.e., no Au···Au interactions).

A more complex supramolecular architecture has been discovered for the complexes \([\mu - \text{N}, \text{N}-\text{pzAu}]_3\), Figure 1.36, and \([\mu - \text{N}, \text{N}-\text{4-MepzAu}]_3\) [57]. Intramolecular
Aurophilic gold-gold interactions are present with Au···Au distances of 3.372(1)-3.401(1) Å. Complex [μ-N,N-pzAu]₃ forms a two-dimensional network by self-assembly of the CTCs through intermolecular aurophilic interactions. Each [μ-N,N-pzAu]₃ forms a dimer similar to those found in other CTCs, with two gold-gold interactions of 3.313(1) Å. Moreover, each dimer interacts with four other dimers through a single Au···Au contact 3.160(1) Å to form a 2-dimensional net.

1.8 Oxidative-Addition Reactions to the Cyclic Trinuclear Gold(I)-Nitrogen Compounds

Gold CTCs undergo oxidative-addition reactions of halogens at the metal centers [58]. There is evidence that electronic factors influence the reactivity of the gold atoms in these compounds. In fact, except for [μ-C(OMe)=N(Me)Au]₃, only one metal center appears to be oxidized to give mixed-valence Au⁺/Au°⁺ metallocycles. Surprisingly, aqua regia also fails to give complexes beyond the Au⁺/Au°⁺ oxidation state with the unoxidized pyrazolates. Thus an unusual stability of the d⁰d⁰d⁸ configuration for these pyrazolate gold CTCs is observed. The electronic communication between the gold atoms may be the origin of this effect. The oxidation of the first gold atom may improve the π-acceptor ability of the two ligands coordinated to it so that they remove sufficient electron density from the remaining two Au⁺ atoms and prevent...
The oxidation process, however, is not corroborated by crystallographic data since no change is observed in the gold-ligand bond lengths. The effect may involve Au-N π interactions with subsequent little atom movement. The complex \([\mu-C(OMe)=N(Me)Au]_3\) appears to be unique in the family of gold(II) CTCs and to date it is the only CTC of gold(I) that gives the stepwise addition of halogens, resulting in the formation of either mixed-valent or completely oxidized trinuclear gold complexes, Figure 1.37. The X-ray structures of these derivatives were recently reported many years later after their synthesis [58].

Crystallographic studies of the iodine oxidized carbeniate \([\mu-C(OMe)=N(Me)Au]_3\) confirm the structures originally proposed [58]. All the structures retain the frame of the starting complex \([\mu-C(OMe)=N(Me)Au]_3\), Figure 1.38. The variation in the intramolecular Au⋯Au separation is small. However, there is a trend toward increased Au⋯Au distance as more iodine is added to the complex. The Au–I distances fall in the range of 2.614(6)-2.633(7) Å. As a consequence of the repulsive intramolecular I⋯I contacts, the I-Au-I angles deviate significantly from linearity. They become smaller and smaller with increased number of iodide atoms bonded to the gold centers. The structure of \([\mu-C(OMe)=N(Me)Au]_3I_6.CH_2Cl_2\) shows the formation of columns with short intermolecular I⋯I interactions ranging from 3.636(2) to 3.716(2) Å. The interaction between terminal iodide ligands appears to have a directional component.
Oxidative-addition of iodine also was investigated for the complex $[\mu-\text{N}^1, C^2\text{-bzimAu}]_3$. This complex behaves like most of the CTCs since it adds iodine at only one gold center to yield $[\mu-\text{N}^1, C^2\text{-bzimAu}]_3I_2$ [59]. The X-ray structure shows that it consists of discrete trinuclear units with the three gold atoms bridged by 1-benzylimidazolates.

1.9
Supramolecular Entities of Trinuclear Gold(I) Complexes Sandwiching Small Organic Acids

Extended linear chain inorganic compounds have special chemical and physical properties [60, 61]. This has led to new developments in fields such as supramolecular chemistry, acid-base chemistry, luminescent materials, and various optoelectronic applications. Among recent examples are the developments of a vapochromic light emitting diode from linear chain Pt(II)/Pd(II) complexes [62], a luminescent switch consisting of an Au(I) dithiocarbamate complex that possesses a luminescent linear
chain in the presence of vapors of organic solvents [31], mixed-metal (Ag/Au or Tl/Au) [63] compounds that exhibit different colors and emissions when different organic solvents are introduced or removed, and the discovery of solvoluminescence [48] in a Au(I) CTC with an extended chain structure.

Recent results have demonstrated that the electron-rich trinuclear Au(I) complexes can interact with neutral electron-acceptor entities such as C₆F₆, C₁₀F₁₄, TCNQ, or Hg₃(µ-C₆F₄)₃, and cation species such as Ag(I) and Tl(I) to produce infinite linear chain complexes, Figure 1.39 [64–66]. Balch and co-workers also demonstrated that trinuclear Au(I) compounds with alkyl-substituted carbeniate bridging ligands can interact with the large organic acceptors nitro-9-fluorenone [67]. DFT calculations clearly show that the donor regions in the trinuclear Au(I) compounds are located at the center of the 9-membered ring and that they extend to regions in space above and below the ring plane [63].

The TCNQ molecule in [TR(bzim)]₂·TCNQ is sandwiched between two units of [µ-N₁,C₂-bzimAu]₃ in a face-to-face manner so that it is best represented by the formula (π-[µ-N₁,C₂-bzimAu]₃)(µ-TCNQ)(π-[µ-N₁,C₂-bzimAu]₃). The cyanide groups clearly are not coordinated to the gold atoms. The distance between the centroid of TCNQ to the centroid of the Au₃ unit is 3.964 Å. The packing of [TR(bzim)]₂·TCNQ shows a stacked linear-chain structure with a repeat pattern of -(Au₃)(Au₃)(µ-TCNQ)(Au₃)(Au₃)(µ-TCNQ)- an ABBABB repeat. The complex [TR(bzim)]₂·TCNQ contains two very short intermolecular Au···Au distances of 3.152 Å (identical for the two aurophilic bonds). The intermolecular Au···Au distance is even shorter than the intramolecular distances in the starting compound, which are 3.475, 3.471, and 3.534 Å. The adjacent Au₃ units in [TR(bzim)]₂·TCNQ form a chair-type structure rather than the face-to-face (nearly eclipsed) pattern reported in Balch’s studies of the nitro-9-fluorenone adducts with the trinuclear Au(I) alkyl-substituted carbeniate complexes.
The shortened intermolecular Au–Au distances in \([\text{TR}(\text{bzim})]_2\text{TCNQ}\) may be associated with charge-transfer from the electron-rich Au center to the known electron acceptor TCNQ. A partial oxidation of the Au(I) atoms leads to the observed shortening of Au–Au distances. In the limit of complete oxidation to Au(II), a gold-gold single bond forms with \([\text{TCNQ}]^-\). The presence of \([\text{TCNQ}]^-\) impurity in the crystals may be the origin of the dark color of this complex since \([\text{TR}(\text{bzim})]_2\) itself is colorless while TCNQ is light orange. Thin crystals and films are dark green as are solutions. It remains possible that charge transfer is the cause of the dark color, with adduct formation remaining intact in solution.

The crystal structure of \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]_2\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) shows a columnar stack consisting of alternating \(\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) and \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]\) molecules [65]. The \(\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) molecule is sandwiched between two units of \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]\), in a face-to-face manner so that a molecule of \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]_2\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) is best represented by the formula \((\pi-\text{TR}(\text{carb}))_0.5(\mu-\text{C}_6\text{F}_6)(\pi-\text{TR}(\text{carb}))_0.5\). The distance between the centroid of \(\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) to the centroid of the Au(III) unit is 3.565 Å. The packing of \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]_2\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) shows a stacked linear-chain structure with a repeat pattern of \((\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{C}_6\text{F}_6))(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{C}_6\text{F}_6))\) an ABAB pattern. The crystal structure of \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]\) by itself shows a dimeric structure with intermolecular Au–Au bonds. Therefore, the \(\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) Lewis acid disrupts the intermolecular aurophilic bonding in \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]\) chain with loss of visible luminescence [65]. These pi-acid, pi-base results with gold CTCs are similar to but opposite from the interactions reported by Gabbaï and co-workers, between the Lewis acid \(\text{Hg}_3(\mu-\text{C}_6\text{F}_4)_3\) and benzene, in which benzene acts as a Lewis base coordinating in a \(\mu-6\) manner to six Hg centers, three from each side [68, 69]. The two Au(III) units interacting with \(\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) in \([\text{TR}(\text{carb})]_2\text{C}_6\text{F}_6\) are eclipsed with respect to each other (nearly \(D_{3h}\)) whereas the two \(\text{Hg}_3\) units in \(\text{Hg}_3(\mu-\text{C}_6\text{F}_4)_3\) benzene are nearly staggered (\(D_{3d}\)).

The Lewis acid \(\text{Hg}_3(\mu-\text{C}_6\text{F}_4)_3\) also forms a pi-acid/pi-base interaction with \(\text{TR}(\text{carb})\). In addition to the crystal structure demonstrating the ABBABB pattern observed in other stacked materials which retain the aurophilic Au–Au interactions between four of the six basic Au(I) atoms of the BB moieties, studies have shown that the oligomeric acid/base interaction is retained in solution. Pulsed gradient diffusion NMR studies [70] suggesting the oligomeric sizes and \(^{13}\text{C}-^{19}\text{F}\) coupling between units demonstrate that the interactions are stronger than solvation of the CDCs.

The nucleophilic trinuclear Au(I) ring complex \(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{tolN}=-\text{COEt})_3\), Figure 1.40, forms sandwich adducts with the organic Lewis acid octafluoronaphthalene, \(\text{C}_{10}\text{F}_8\) [66]. The \(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{tolN}=-\text{COEt})_3\cdot\text{C}_{10}\text{F}_8\) adduct has a supramolecular structure consisting of columnar interleaved 1:1 stacks in which the \(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{tolN}=-\text{COEt})_3\) \(\pi\)-base molecules alternate with the octafluoronaphthalene \(\pi\)-acid molecules with a distance between the centroid of octafluoronaphthalene to the centroid of \(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{tolN}=-\text{COEt})_3\) of 3.458 and 3.509 Å. The stacking with octafluoronaphthalene completely quenches the blue photoluminescence of \(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{tolN}=-\text{COEt})_3\), which is related to inter-ring Au–Au bonding, and leads to the appearance of a bright yellow emission band observed at room temperature. The structured profile, the energy, and the lifetime indicate that the yellow emission of the \(\text{Au}_3(\mu-\text{tolN}=-\text{COEt})_3\cdot\text{C}_{10}\text{F}_8\) adduct is due to gold influenced phosphorescence of the octafluoronaphthalene.
The 3.5 ms lifetime of the yellow emission of \( \text{Au}_3(p\text{-tolN} = \text{COEt})_3 \) is two orders of magnitude shorter than the lifetime of the octafluoronaphthalene phosphorescence which is observed at low temperature, thus indicating a gold heavy-atom effect.

1.10 Gold(I) and Silver(I) Mixed-Metal Trinuclear Complexes

Attention has been given to the synthesis of bimetallic silver-gold clusters [71] due to their effective catalytic properties, resistance to poisoning, and selectivity [72]. Recently molecular materials with gold and silver nanoclusters and nanowires have been synthesized. These materials are considered to be good candidates for electronic nanodevices and biosensors [73].

Based on the fact that π-acids interact with the trinuclear gold(I) π-bases, TR(carb) and TR(bzim), the trinuclear 3,5-diphenylpyrazolate silver(I) complex was reacted with each. Mixing \([\text{Au}_3(\text{carb})_3]\) or \([\text{Au}_3(\text{bzim})_3]\) with \([\text{Ag}_3(\mu-3,5\text{-Ph}_2\text{pz})_3]\) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) in stoichiometric ratios of 1:2 and 2:1 produced the mixed metal/mixed ligand complexes in the same gold-silver ratios. The crystalline products were not the expected acid-base adducts. It is suspected that the lability of the M–N bond (M=Au, Ag) in these complexes results in the subsequent cleavage of the cyclic complexes to produce the products statistically expected from the stoichiometry of materials used [74]. As a result of the lability of Au–N and Ag–N bonds, and the stability of
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**Figure 1.40** Thermal ellipsoid drawing of the stacked octafluoronaphthalene with \( \text{Au}_3(p\text{-tolN} = \text{COEt})_3 \).
Au–C bonds, mixed metal gold-silver dimers of planar, trinuclear complexes are readily formed by mixing gold(I) carbeniates and gold(I) benzylimidazolates with silver(I) pyrazolates in stoichiometric ratios. The complexes retain the ligands associated with the metal atoms of the starting materials.

The two trinuclear moieties of the dimer of \([\text{Ag}_3(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3]\) are rotated \textit{anti} to each other [75], but this arrangement is less apparent in \([\text{Au(carb)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\), Figure 1.41. The shortest Ag⋯Ag interactions within the metallocycle rings of the dimer of \([\text{Ag}_3(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3]\) are about 3.4 Å, while between the trinuclear units the Ag⋯Ag distance is 2.9712(14) Å. The Au⋯Ag distances between trinuclear units in \([\text{Au(carb)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\) are 3.311(2) Å and 3.082(2) Å. The metallocycles in \([\text{Au(carb)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\) are irregular and puckered similar to those in the dimer of \([\text{Ag}_3(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3]\). The structure of \([\text{Au}_3(\text{carb})_3\text{Ag}(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})]\), Figures 1.42 and 1.43, shows one intermolecular interaction between the trinuclear gold units, with a Au⋯Au distance of 3.33 Å. This is slightly longer than Au⋯Au distances, 3.224–3.299 Å, in the irregular and puckered nine-membered ring of the dimer of \([\text{Au}_3(\text{carb})_3]\). The Au⋯Ag distances in \([\text{Au}_2(\text{carb})_2\text{Ag}(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})]\) are 3.22–3.28 Å. The average distance of the two closest Au atoms between the trinuclear units of each dimer is 3.2 Å. A packing diagram shows a Au⋯Au interaction, 3.857 Å, between the dimer units, similar to the distance observed in \([\text{Au}_3(\text{carb})_3]\), 3.824 Å. The inter-trinuclear Au⋯Ag interactions in \([\text{Au(bzim)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\) is 3.1423(8). The intermolecular distances, Au⋯Ag, 3.53 and 3.38 Å and Ag⋯Ag 3.35 Å are longer than those in the dimer of \([\text{Au(carb)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\).

A few additional structural comparisons between the homonuclear gold and silver complexes and the mixed gold and silver complexes are of interest. In the dimer of the
trinuclear silver(I) 3,5-diphenylpyrazolate, \([\text{Ag}_3(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_3]\), the six silver atoms are arranged as two triangles connected by only one short interaction. This drastically changes when a gold atom is introduced into the trinuclear unit as in \([\text{Au(carb)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\). An irregular square is formed by two Ag and two Au atoms with M-M distances in the range 3.08–3.40 Å. The other two silver atoms are above and below the plane of the square. A metallophilicity is observed in \([\text{Au(carb)}\text{Ag}_2(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\) in which each of the two gold atoms interact with three silver atoms. Three

Figure 1.42 ORTEP diagram of \([\text{Au}_2(\text{carb})_2\text{Ag}(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})]\).

Figure 1.43 Packing diagram of \([\text{Au}_2(\text{carb})_2\text{Ag}(\mu-3,5-\text{Ph}_2\text{pz})_2]\).
Au atoms and one Ag atom form a nearly regular square with distances range 3.21–3.42 Å.

Ligand bridged metal-metal distances display longer M–M distances than in the unbridged complexes. In the compounds studied, the non-bridged intermolecular M–M distances follow the order: Ag–Ag < Au–Ag < Au–Au while the intra-metallo-cycle M–M distances with bridging ligand bonding follow the order: Au–Au < Au–Ag < Ag–Ag. The synthesis of these mixed gold-silver compounds represents a new approach to cluster mixed metal synthesis with potential use in mixed-metal catalysis.

1.11 CO Oxidation Over Au/TiO₂ Prepared from Gold Nitrogen Complexes

Metal-organic and organometallic complexes have been widely used in the synthesis of catalysts, however, the use of metal-organic or organometallic gold complexes as catalyst precursors has been limited [76]. Gates and coworkers have reported that a supported mononuclear gold complex is active for ethylene hydrogenation at 353 K [77].

A series of Au/TiO₂ catalysts have been prepared from precursors of various metal-organic gold complexes (Auₙ, n = 2–4) and their catalytic activity for CO oxidation studied. The Au/TiO₂ catalyst synthesized from a tetrannuclear gold complex shows the best performance for CO oxidation with the TEM image of this catalyst indicating an average gold particle size of 3.1 nm.

Several factors may contribute to the high activity of our Au/TiO₂ catalysts. First, the use of metal-organic complexes as precursors can avoid the use of chloride. HAuCl₄ is widely used as a gold precursor in catalytic studies, invariably leaving a chloride residue in the catalyst after preparation. Recently, both experimental and theoretical studies have shown that chloride can poison the catalytic performance of gold catalysts for CO oxidation. Oh et al. have shown that chloride residue on a catalyst can promote agglomeration of Au particles during heat treatment, and can inhibit the catalytic activity by poisoning the active site [78]. Density functional calculations show that chloride can act as a poison by weakening the adsorption of O₂ and lowering the stability of the CO₂ intermediate complex [79]. Clearly metal-organic precursors provide an attractive route for the preparation of chloride-free gold catalysts. Another explanation for the high activity of our Au/TiO₂ catalysts also relates to the use of metal-organic precursor complexes. Upon deposition onto the oxide support, these complexes interact with the surface OH groups and become less mobile compared with gold atoms deposited using HAuCl₄. The catalyst particles appear to form at defect sites on the oxide as established by studies with MgO as the oxide surface. The defect sites may serve as calcination sites for the metal-organic catalyst precursors and perhaps inhibit agglomeration of gold particles during calcination. Factors preventing the sintering of gold lead to a narrow particle size distribution compared to the deposition-precipitation method of catalyst formation.
1.12 Miscellaneous Observations

The nearly simultaneous observation by the Schmidbaur [80] and Fackler [81] groups of the easy transmetallation of gold(I) with tetraphenylborate, which can be done in water, has caused Gray to develop this chemistry [82] in a general way with boronic acids. The considerable interest in the use of gold compounds as homogeneous catalysts [83] has prompted these studies. Recently Gray has described boronic acid transammination procedures to synthesize 3-coordinate azadipyromethene complexes of gold(I) in order to examine their low energy absorption and emission properties. While the emission in the reported Au–N compound is comparable to what had been observed with the free azadipyromethene ligand, the quantum yield is much lower. However, as Gray states [84], “The controlled auration of aromatic molecules affords access to broad classes of triplet-state luminophores and provides opportunities in materials design and organometallic photochemistry.”
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