INDEX

Abbreviations
polishing manuscript with good word usage, 269
of words used in journal title, 188, 189
Abstract, 229–240
building abstract, 237–238
building scheme, 235
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285
construction, 231–232
definition and need, 229–230
exercises, 236–240
finding missing abstract parts, 236
identification of high-impact words, 239–240
indicative, 229
information assisting in abstract construction, 231–232
informative, 229
manuscript preparation and getting started, 17–18
principles, 230–231
purposes, 232–233
qualities, 232–233
for reader, 232–233
structural model for building, 233–234
would you read article based on abstract, 238
for writer, 233
Accountability, 40
Accuracy, 68
Active voice for clarity, 262
Anglicized words, 273
Anticipate questions and concerns, 274
Appropriate language, 68–69
Appropriate structural format of manuscript.
See also IMRAD system
good scientific writing principles and characteristics, 66–67
Attribution, 40
Audience, 54
Authorship footnote, 244, 250–252
Authors' instructions, 51, 65–66
Bias, 130
Biochemical analyses and how conducted, 200–201
Boxed figure with two y axes, 146f
Brief communication, 53
Byline, 247–249
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285
Career development and publishing
importance, 8
Checklist of items reviewers may expect to see conclusions, 286
figures only, 286
in-house manuscript review process, 284–286
knowledge of field, 284
literature citations (references), 286
materials and methods, 285
organization, 285
originality, 284
rationale for conducting research, 284
readability, 285
results and discussion, 285–286
suitability of format and journal, 284
tables and figures, 286
technical and factual accuracy, 285
title, byline, additional index words, and abstract, 285
Checklist of what should not be present in results text, 153
Chemical analysis and how conducted, 200–201
Choice of words, 259
Choosing coauthors, 39–49
additional items to consider, 45–47
determining privilege of authorship, 48–49
exercises, 48–49
items considered, 39–44
principles and need, 39
special case for statisticians, 44–45


347
Choosing your journal, 51–61
article type, 53–54
audience accessibility, 54
audience type, 54
exercises, 57–61
format considerations, 55–56
items to consider in choosing your journal,
52–56
journal's reputation, 53
journal's scope, 53
knowing and choosing your journal, 60–61
membership requirements, 54
narrowing journal choices, 57–59
overall quality or prestige of journal, 52–53
page charges and reprint/offprint charges,
54–55
personal opinion, 54
principles and need, 51
review process, 55
similar articles, 53
time from submission to publication, 55
Citation-name system, 180–182
Citation-sequence system, 182
Clarifying concepts, 126–129
Clarity, 69
Coauthors, 42–44
choosing, 39–49
Code of ethics absence, 30
Commas, 268–269
Conclusions
checklist of items reviewers may expect
to see, 286
polishing manuscript with good word
usage, 272
Considerations for materials and methods,
201–203
Continuous treatments and data, 125
Contradictory data, 115
Convincing readers to believe, 66
Copyediting, 321
Copyright, 331
Correct spelling, 68–69
Corresponding authors, 44
Costs
figures, 133
page charges, 331
tables, 133
Council of Science Editors (CSE) Style Manual,
66, 178, 181
Cover letter preparation, 293–300
definition and need, 293
exercises, 297
find missing parts of cover letter, 297
form, 296
journal of publishing in life sciences
journals, 299–300
letter, 294–296
principles, 293–294
writing, 297
CSE. See Council of Science Editors (CSE)
Style Manual
Data
analysis, 201
collection, 122
extry, 122–123
presentation, 114–115
in results text, 145–147
Dates of year, 273–274
Day, Robert A., 243
Deception, 26
Descriptive abstract, 229
Design, 201
Developing purpose, 66
Developing statements of objectives,
213–214
Disclaimers, 121–122
Discontinuities, 71
Discrete treatments of data, 125
Discussion, 223–227. See also IMRAD system
additional suggestions to assist in starting,
228
checklist of items reviewers may expect
to see, 285–286
conclude-expand method, 226–227
definition and need, 223
issues to avoid, 224–225
key elements, 223–224
manuscript preparation and getting
started, 17
relationship with introduction section, 225
structural method for building discussion,
225–226
Document of transmission, 293–294
EC. See Enzyme Commission (EC) system for
describing enzymes
Editing, 155–174
characteristics, 160–161
definitions, 155
elements of poorly written results text, 153
exercises, 163–174
need for and principles of editing,
155–157
principles associated with reviewing,
158–160
principles associated with revising, 157–158
INDEX 349

and proofreading, 163–174
questions to address, 160–161
your manuscript being reviewed, 159–160
Einstein, Albert, 156, 231
Electronic reviewer’s comment sheet, 290
Elements of Style, 66
Enzymatic analysis, 201
Enzyme Commission (EC) system for
describing enzymes, 203
Ethical issues, 247
pertaining to authorship, 29–33
related to scientific misconduct, 26–27
Excessive words, 262–263
Exercises to help with
abstract, 236–240
choosing coauthors, 48–49
choosing your journal, 57–61
cover letter and submission form
preparation, 297
manuscript organizing and outlining, 100–111
manuscript preparation and getting started, 20–22
options for presenting results, 148–149
overview, 119
preparing written text of results section, 154
proofs, proofreading, and final steps in
publication process, 333–342
publishing ethical issues, 33–36
rationale, literature review, and statement of
objectives, 215–220
references, 192–196
revising and editing, 163–174
take-home messages and provisional title
development, 80–83
title, byline, keywords, and authorship
footnote items, 253–257
Expected instrument precision and
measurement accuracy, 206f
Experimental design
materials and methods, 201
statistically analyzed data presentation, 124
External peer review, 280
Fabrication, 26
Factual accuracy, 285
Falsification, 26
Fast starter and slow starter publication
record, 8f
Fatigue, 65
Figures, 133–134
caption, 139–140, 140f–141f
checklist of items reviewers may expect
to see, 286
construction, 138–139, 142–144
cost, 133
deleting, 152
minimum value along x- or y- axis not zero,
146f
regression analysis, 128f
representing results of regression analysis,
128f
represents results for discrete variable, 127f
Final acceptance of manuscript, 321
Final checklist for manuscript submission,
303–307
immediate postsubmission events, 306–307
initial submission and postsubmission
events, 305–307
items included in initial manuscript
submission, 303–305
need, 303
Finishing details, 320
First person for clarity, 262
First review not made by coauthors, 280–283
Following vs. after, 271
Format considerations, 55–56
Fragmented reports, 130
Fraud, 26
Galley proof, 325–326, 329–330
Getting started with data, 116–117
Good scientific writing principles, 63–72
accuracy and precision, 68
appropriate language, 68–69
characteristics, 67–72
clarity, 69
consistent writing, 70
convincing readers to believe, 66
correct spelling and correct word usage,
68–69
definition and need, 63–64
developing purpose, 66
economy of words, 69
grammar, 68
IMRAD, 66–67
knowing your limit and its cause, 65
make time for, 64–67
making writing fluid, 70–71
making writing interesting, 71–72
organization, 67–68
persuasiveness, 66
principles, 64–67
punctuation, 68
reference books use, 65–66
syntax, 68
word usage, 68–69
writing objectively, 69–70
Grammar and writing principles, 68
Handling first review not made by coauthors, 280–283
how reviewer should approach review, 281–283
nonconfrontational attitude, 281
Harvard system, 179–180
Hemingway, Ernest, 64
Hyphens for clarity, 265–267
Hypotheses, 130

Illegal acts, 26
Immediate postsubmission events, 306–307
Immorality, 26
Impact factors, 52
IMRAD system, 15, 66–67, 89–90, 91
good scientific writing principles and characteristics, 66–67
Incomplete authorship, 32
Index words, 243–244, 249
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285
Indicative abstract, 229
Indicative titles, 78
Informative, not indicative sentences, 275
Informative abstract, 229
Informative titles, 78
In-house manuscript review process, 279–290
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 284–286
electronic reviewer's comment sheet, 290
handling first review not made by coauthors, 280–283
how reviewer should approach review, 281–283
manuscript evaluation form for peer review, 287
nonconfrontational attitude, 281
nonelectronic reviewer's comment sheet, 288–289
principles and need, 279
reviewer expectations for parts or entire manuscript, 283
steps after completing manuscript drafts, 280
Initial manuscript submission, 303–307
final checklist of items included, 303–305
immediate postsubmission events, 306–307
initial submission and postsubmission events, 305–307
need, 303
Instructions to authors, 51, 65–66
Intent, 121–122
Internal peer review, 19, 280, 282–283
In-text reference citations examples, 180

Introduction. See also IMRAD system
manuscript preparation and getting started, 16–17
Introductory phrases, 271

Journal
choosing, 51–61
publishing in life sciences journals
manuscript submission form, 299–300
publishing requirements, 19
reputation, 53
scope, 53
Justified authorship, 30–32

Keywords, 243–244, 249
development, 255
Knowledge of field, 284

Laboratory reports, 11
Language and style of presentation, 126
Least publishable unit (LPU), 4–6
Listing references
procedure, 184
in references section of manuscript, 182–183

Literature citations, 286. See also References
Literature review, 209–220
definition and need, 209
editing introductions, 215
principles, 209–211
two basic models, 211–213
Little, Brown Handbook, 66
LPU. See Least publishable unit (LPU)

Manuscript
construction, 318
development, 75–111
evaluation form for peer review, 287
getting started, 11–22
good word usage, 259–275
resubmission, 315–324
revision, 318–319

Manuscript organizing and outlining, 89–111
brainstorming your most straightforward
take-home message, 100–101
brainstorming your next most straightforward
take-home message, 101–102, 102–104, 104–105
clustering your information, 105–111
exercises, 100–111
organization importance, 89–90
phase I, 90–92
phase II, 92–94
sample outline, 96–99
Manuscript preparation, 11–22
abstract, 17–18
authorship footnote, 18
byline, 18
discussion, 17
exercises, 20–22
getting started, 20
introduction, 16–17
items between completion of rough draft and submission, 19
keywords, 18
manuscript preparation procedure, 13–19
material and methods, 16
preliminary draft of provisional title, 22
preliminary draft of take-home messages, 21
principles, 11–12
procedure, 13–19
provisional title, 14
references, 15–16
results, 14–15
take-home messages, 14
time to get started, 19–22
title, 18

Mark, Edward, 179

Materials and methods, 197–207. See also IMRAD system
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285
chemical and biochemical analyses and how conducted, 200–201
considerations, 201–203
contents of usual subsections, 199–201
data analysis, 201
definition and need, 197–198
details of treatment and applications, 200
EC system for describing enzymes, 203
enzymatic analyses, 201
experimental design, 201
getting started, 16
guidelines for measuring, 204–207
handling of biological material, 199–200
important guidelines, 199
manuscript preparation, 16
physiological analyses, 201
principles, 198
reporting environmental conditions, 204–207
SL, 204
source of biological material, 199–200
statistical presentation, 201
steps to prepare organism for analysis, 200
use of significant figures when expressing data, 203–204

Means and mean-separation statistics
table, 126t
Means and regression results table, 127t
Multiplication factors for SI units, 206t

Name-year reference citation system examples, 179–180
Nonconfrontational attitude, 281
Nonelectronic reviewer's comment sheet, 288–289
Nonparallel wording, 94t
Nonsensical statements, 269–270
Nouns/subjects and verbs agree, 270
Numbers, 273

Observations and data presentation, 124–125

Offprints, 54–55
and final steps in publication process, 332
On being a scientist: Responsible conduct in research, 26, 29
One-sentence paragraphs, 274
Open-mindedness and manuscript resubmission, 317–318
Options for presenting results, 133–149
constructing additional tables and figures, 149
constructing table and figure from one set of data, 148
constructing tables, 135–136
definition refreshing, 133
every of stacked figure with broken axes, 147
exercises, 148–149
figure construction, 138–139, 142–144
making choices, 134–135
most data should appear in tables or figures, 133–134
photographs: special cases, 144–145
placing data in results text, 145–147
table construction, 136–138

Organization
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285
good scientific writing principles and characteristics, 67–68
importance, 89–90
manuscript organizing and outlining, 90–92

Originality, 284

Outlines and manuscript organizing, 92–94, 96–99

Overview, 113–119
citing literature in text, 178–179
data depiction, 119
exercises, 119
Overview (Continued)
getting started with data, 116–117
major components of results section, 114
physical arrangement and organization of
results in manuscript, 115
role and need, 113–114
tendencies to avoid, 115–116
text development guidelines, 117–118

Page charges, 331
Page proof, 325–326, 329–330
Paragraph outline, 93
Parallel wording, 94t
Pasteur, Louis, 67
Peer review, 19, 280, 282–283
definition and need, 209–310
importance, 6
process of initial submission, 309–312
recommendation for acceptance, 311–312
resubmission, 311
revision, 310–311
Periods, 268–269
Persuasiveness, 66
Photographs special cases, 144–145
Physical arrangement and organization, 115
Physical quantities fully approved SI values
and units, 205t, 206t
Physiological analyses, 201
Plagiarism, 26, 27–29, 282
definitions, 28
rules for avoiding, 28–29
writing well and avoiding plagiarism, 29
Plan ahead, 121
Points awarded for contributions
of statisticians, 45t
Polishing manuscript with good word usage,
259–275
abbreviations, 269
active voice, 262
Anglicized words, 273
anticipate questions and concerns, 274
avoid beginning sentences with ‘there is’ and
‘it is,’ 268
avoid stacked nouns and modifiers, 262
choice of words, 259
conclusions, 272
danglers, 270–271
dates of year, 273–274
excessive words, 262–263
first person, 262
following vs. after, 271
hyphens, 265–267
informative, not indicative, sentences, 275
introductory phrases, 271
nonsensical statements and statements with
multiple meanings, 269–270
nouns/subjects and verbs agree in
number, 270
numbers, 273
one-sentence paragraphs, 274
positive sentences, 267
possessives, 272–273
proper word order (syntax) denotes correct
meaning, 267
punctuation, 268–269
repeated verbs, 270
selectiveness, 273
sentence containing parenthetical
expressions, 268
specific word choices for accuracy and
precision, 259–261
specific word choices for clarity, 262–275
specific word choices for conciseness, 261
split infinitives or parts of compound
verb, 270
statements of intent, 271–272
stimulate reader’s interest, 274
subtle implications (nuances) of word
choice, 272
text vs. tables or figures, 275
that versus which, 267
using word ‘significant,’ 273
using word ‘since,’ 274
very important miscellaneous words,
263–265
what’s new, 275
while versus whereas, although, but,
and, 274
writing flow, 275
Positive sentences, 267
Possessives
polishing manuscript with good word usage,
272–273
Postscript, 33
Postsubmission events, 306–307
Precision, 68
Prefixes, 265–266
accepted for SI units, 206t
Preparing written text of results section,
151–154
characteristics of results section text,
151–153
checklist of what should not be present in
results text, 153
correct examples of portly written results
text, 153
exercises, 154
need for written text, 151
poorly written results I, 154
poorly written results II, 154
Pressure to publish, 4
Professional conduct, 26
Proofreading
and final steps in publication process, 325–342
guidelines, 329–330
marks, 328–329
process, 326–327
Proofs, 325–342
copyright, 331
definitions and need, 325–326
exercises, 333–342
galley proof exercise, 333–342
page charges, 331
precautions, 327–328
reprints/offprints, 332
sign on dotted line, 331
speed, 330–331
Proper word order denotes correct meaning, 267
Provisional title, 75–88
definition and need, 75
development, 78–79, 84
exercises, 80–83
general rules, 79
peer critiques, 88
principles, 77–78
Publishing
definition and need, 3–4
emerging definition, 4–5
good writing reasons, 6–7
importance and need, 3–9
opportunities, 4–5
publication process final steps, 325–342
quick starters and career development, 8
reasons to, 5–6
science, 6
Publishing ethical issues, 25–36
absence of code of ethics, 30
definitions, 28
definitions and need, 25–26
ethical issues pertaining to authorship, 29–33
ethical issues related to scientific misconduct, 26–27
exercises, 33–36
incomplete authorship, 32
justified and unjustified authorship, 30–32
plagiarism, 27–29
postscript, 33
publish or perish, pressure to publish, 30
rules for avoiding, 28–29
unjustified authorship, 32
wasteful publication, 32
when plagiarism occurs, 35–36
writing summary statements without plagiarizing, 34
writing well and avoiding plagiarism, 29
Publish or perish, pressure to publish, 30
Punctuation
good scientific writing principles and characteristics, 68
polishing manuscript with good word usage, 268–269
Quantities for general use in SI, 206f
Rationale, literature review, and statement of objectives, 209–220
alternate methods, 214–215
definition and need, 209
developing statements of objectives, 213–214, 219–220
in editing introductions and formulating statements of objectives, 215
exercises, 215–220
items to avoid, 211
out-of-class editing of introduction I, 216
out of class editing of introduction II, 217
out-of-class editing of introduction III, 218
principles, 209–211
two basic models, 211–213
Rationale for conducting research, 284
Readability, 285
Recommendation for acceptance or release by associate editor, 311–312
References, 175–196
abbreviations of words used in journal title, 188
avoid or watch for these things, 190
books used, 65–66
citation-name (or Vancouver) system, 180–182
citation-sequence system, 182
citation systems, 178–182
definitions and need, 175
essential or beneficial suggestions, 190
example formats from reference sections of key journals in biological sciences, 185–188
equations of in-text reference citations, including both types, using name-year (Harvard) reference citation system, 180
exercises, 192–196
generic procedure for listing references, 184
References (Continued)
listing references in references section of manuscript, 182–183
manuscript preparation and getting started, 15–16
missing citations and references, 192–196
name-year (or Harvard) system, 179–180
overview of citing literature in text, 178–179
principles, 175–178
reference citation systems, 178–182
standard abbreviations of words used in journal title, 189
typographical errors in in-text citations and list of references, 194–196
Regression analysis figures, 128f
Reprints, 54–55
proofs, proofreading, and final steps in publication process, 332
Research note, 53
Response letter
assertive, but humble, 317
characteristics, 316–318
convincing, 317
copyediting, 321
definition and need, 315
dimensionally flawless, 317
discovery, 322–324
final acceptance of manuscript, 321
finishing details, 320
manuscript construction, 318
manuscript revision, 318–319
open-mindedness, 317–318
preparation, 315–324
respectfulness, 317
resumes to themes of review, 322–324
sending, 320
structure, 319–320
thoroughness, 317
well written, 317
who reads response letter, 316
Resubmission, 311. See also Manuscript, resubmission
Results, See also IMRAD system
characteristics, 151–153
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285–286
manuscript preparation and getting started, 14–15
options for presenting, 133–149
section, 151–154
Review
in-house manuscript, 279–290
internal peer, 19, 280, 282–283
mode, 5
not made by coauthors, 280–283
process, 55, 279–290
Reviewer expectations for parts or entire manuscript
in-house manuscript review process, 283
Revising, 155–174
characteristics, 160–161
definitions, 155
exercises, 163–174
need for and principles of editing, 155–157
peer-review process of initial submission, 310–311
principles associated with reviewing, 158–160
principles associated with revising, 157–158
questions to address, 160–161
revising, editing and proofreading, 163–174
your manuscript being reviewed, 159–160
Rhythm, 70
Rough draft and submission items between completion, 19
Samples, 124–125
Scientific writing
principles, 63–72
publishing importance and need, 6
purposes, 63
Selectiveness, 273
Senior authors, 43, 46, 280
Sentences
containing parenthetical expressions, 268
informative, not indicative, 275
outline, 93
St. See Système Internationale (SI)
Significant figures, 203–204
‘Significant’ word usage, 273
‘Since’ word usage, 274
Source and handling of biological material, 199–200
Specific word choices for clarity, 262–275
active voice, 262
avoid stacked nouns and modifiers, 262
excessive words, 262–263
first person, 262
hyphens, 265–267
very important miscellaneous words, 263–265
Spelling, 68–69
Split infinitives or parts of compound verb, 270
St. Martin’s Handbook, 66
Stacked nouns and modifiers avoidance, 262
Statement of objectives, 209–220
definition and need, 209
developing, 213–214, 219–220
formulating, 215
Statements of intent, 271–272
Statements with multiple meanings, 269–270
Statistical concepts, 124–129
Statistically analyzed data presentation, 121–130
basic statistical concepts, 124–129
continuous and discrete treatments, 125
data collection, 122
data entry, 122–123
disclaimers and intent, 121–122
examples clarifying concepts, 126–129
experimental unit, 124
know your journal, 123
language and style of presentation, 126
plan ahead, 121
samples/observations, 124–125
Statistical presentation for materials and methods, 201
Statisticians and choosing coauthors, 44–45
Stimulate reader’s interest, 274
Structured abstract, 229
Style manuals, 65–66
Style of presentation, 126
Submission form, 293–294
definition and need, 293
exercises, 297
find missing parts of cover letter, 297
journal of publishing in life sciences, 299–300
preparation, 293–300
principles, 293–294
Subtle implications (nuances) of word choice, 272
Suitability of format and journal, 284
Symbols
accepted for SI units, 206t
and SI-based-derived values, 206t
Syntax, 267
principles and characteristics, 68
Systeme Internationale (SI), 14
materials and methods, 204, 205t, 206t
Tables, 117, 133–134
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 286
construction, 135–138
cost, 133
deleting, 152
example, 136t
Take-home messages, 12, 75–88
definition and need, 75
development, 76–77
development, final draft, 82–83
elements and corresponding use of data, 77
exercises, 80–83
final draft, 82–83
general rules, 79
manuscript preparation and getting started, 14
peer critiques, 88
principles, 76–77
principles behind take-home messages, 76
rough draft, 80–81
would you read article, given the title, 85–87
Team-based research, 31
Technical and factual accuracy, 285
Tendencies to avoid, 115–116
Text development guidelines, 117–118
Text vs. tables or figures, 275
That versus which, 267
Time from submission to publication, 55
Title, byline, keywords, and authorship
footnote items, 243–257
authorship footnote, 250–252
building, 246–247
byline, 247–249
checklist of items reviewers may expect to see, 285
cohere between title and abstract, 254
definitions and need, 243–244
exercises, 253–257
indicative, 78
informative, 78
keywords, 249, 255
manuscript preparation and getting started, 18
principles, 244–252
strengthening manuscript byline, 256–257
transition from provisional title to final title, 253, 254
Topic outline, 93

Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts
Submitted to Biomedical Journals, 40, 51

Unjustified authorship
publishing ethical issues, 30–32, 32

Vancouver Document, 51
Vancouver Guidelines, 41, 45
Vancouver system, 178
references, 180–182
Verbs repetition, 270
Wasteful publication, 32
While versus whereas, although, but, and, 274
Word choices, 156, 259
  conciseness, 261
  good scientific writing principles and characteristics, 68–69
  specific word choices for clarity, 263–265

very important miscellaneous words, 263–265
Writer's block, 65
Writing
  aids, 11–12
  with flow, 70–71, 275
  making interesting, 71–72
  objectively, 69–70
  well and avoiding plagiarism, 29