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Brainstorming: combined with individual writing, 84; facilitator tips for, 86; ground rules, 82–83; listing ideas, 120; premature
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Divergent Zone, 266; facilitating in, 267–268, 277; how has this affected me, 274; mind mapping, 272; speaking from your own perspective, 269; specifying requirements, 271; starting positions, 273; three complaints, 275; unrepresented perspectives, 276; who, what, when, where and how, 270
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Facilitator: agenda-planning role, 157; cultivating shared responsibility, 36; defined, 32; encouraging full participation, 33; fostering inclusive solutions, 35; promoting mutual understanding, 34; role of, 32–37
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Flowcharts, 72, 303
Forestry case study, 249
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Full participation, 24, 25; facilitator’s role to encourage full participation, 33
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Goals: advance thinking, 170, 189; build community, 174, 193; build team capacity, 173, 192; improve team communication, 172, 191; make decisions, 171, 190; meeting goals, 162–165; overall goals, 162–165; provide input, 169, 188; share information, 168, 187; types of meeting goals, 166–167
Gradients of agreement, 336–337; adapting the gradients of agreement scale, 345; ambiguous support, 343; enthusiastic support, 339; how to use gradients of agreement scale, 338; lukewarm support, 340; majority support with outliers, 344; methods of polling the group, 346; optimal support levels, 342; when to seek enthusiastic support, 341
Groan Zone, 19, 21, 280; couples counseling, 291; facilitating in, 281–282, 293; facts and opinions, 287; how will this proposal affect our jobs, 288; if I were you, 284; is there anything I’m not saying, 292; is this what you mean, 290; key words, 286; learning more about each other’s perspectives, 283; meaningful themes, 285; taking tangents seriously, 289
Group decision-making: how participatory values affect, 25–28; idealized process, 13; perceptions of, 4–5; realistic model, 18, 21; typical process, 14
Group energy: in everyday life, 115; keeping the energy fresh in a meeting, 184; overview, 114
Group memory: managing, 116; overview, 66. See also chartwriter; flipcharts
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Inclusive solutions, 24, 27; case studies, 240–250; facilitator’s role to foster inclusive solutions, 35
Individual writing: procedure, 129; recommended uses, 128
Inevitability of misunderstanding, 34
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Limits of tolerance, 42; stretching the limits, 43
Lind, Lenny, 20
Linking, 58
Listening: for common ground, 61; helping people listen to each other, 52; linking, 58; for the logic, 59; with a point of view, 62. See also tracking
Listing ideas: procedure, 119; recommended uses, 118; variations, 120
Lists, 72; categorizing, 89–95; mishandling a lengthy list, 98;
selecting high-priority items, 96–97; using predefined criteria to
sort, 90; what to do after building a list, 88
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Making space, 53, 104
Marshall Medical Center case study, 243
Matrixes, 72
Meeting goals. See goals
Meta-decisions, 349–350; options for choosing a meta-decision
maker, 357; real-life examples, 352–356
Mind mapping, 272
Mirroring, 46, 47; to help individuals make their points, 105
Multiple Time Frames map, 162
Mutual misunderstanding, 34
Mutual understanding, 24, 26, 34; helping people listen to each
other, 52
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Open discussion: asking for themes, 111; calling for responses,
109; as a default mode, 180; defined, 100; deliberate refocusing,
109; facilitating, 100; facilitator's challenge, 107; formats
for, 74; framing, 111; helping individuals make their points,
105; managing divergent perspectives, 106; organizing the
flow of discussion, 101–102; sequencing, 108; and stacking,
101–102; starting and ending, 112; techniques for broadening
participation, 102–104; tracking, 110–111; using strings to
enhance, 183
Orbit diagrams, 73
Osborn, Alex, 83
Out-of-context distractions, 205
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Paraphrasing, 44, 46, 47; acknowledging feelings, 54; to help
individuals make their points, 105; and linking, 58; listening
for the logic, 59
Parking lot flipchart, 58
Participation: facilitator’s role to encourage full participation, 33; value of full participation, 24, 25
Participation formats: overview, 117
Participatory decision-making: core values, 24–29
Person-in-charge: agenda-planning role, 157; overcoming tendency to defer to person-in-charge, 208
PERT charts, 303
Point of view: listening with, 62
Polling, 350–351
Popcorn, 123
Premature criticism, 80
Presentations: improving, 130
Process design: combining formats into a string, 182; introduction to, 178–180; keeping the energy fresh in a meeting, 184; making time estimates for activities, 186; options, 181; typical string for advancing the thinking, 189; typical string for building community, 193; typical string for building team capacity, 192; typical string for improving team communication, 191; typical string for making decisions, 190; typical string for providing input, 188; typical string for sharing information, 187; using strings to enhance open discussion, 183; varying participation formats, 185

Q

Quick decisions, 9
Quiet people: making space for, 53
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Reliance on authority, 36
Reports: improving, 130
Reverse brainstorming, 85. See also brainstorming
Roleplays, 134
Rolestorming, 85. See also brainstorming
Rough-draft thinking: and color-coding, 69; and premature criticism, 80
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San Jose National Bank case study, 244
Scrambler, 138
Self-censorship, 33
Sequencing, 108
Setting the frame, 142
Seven words or less, 123
Shared responsibility, 24, 28, 36
Shared understanding, 262
Silence: facilitator uncomfortable with, 222; intentional silence, 57; tolerating, 104
Skits, 135
Small groups: for multi-tasking, 127; procedure, 125; recommended uses, 124; small group jump-start, 120; variations, 126
Speakers and panels, 141
Speed dating, 126
Stacking, 48, 101; advantages and limitations of, 102; interrupting the stack, 101; and linking, 58
Sticky notes: categorizing with, 94; listing ideas, 120
Strengths, 213
Strings, 182; using to enhance open discussion, 183
Structured activity: debriefing, 143
Structured go-arounds: procedure, 122; recommended uses, 121; variations, 123
Summarizing, 63
Supportive attitude in action, 203
Supportive group norms, 204
Suspended judgment, 47, 81, 82
Sustainable agreements: facilitating, 263, 364–371; group decision-making at department store staff meeting, 234; group decision-making at property tax task force meeting, 235; mind-sets for solving problems, 236–238; shared understanding, 262; specifying requirements, 271; success story, 233; unsustainable agreements, 232; what makes an agreement sustainable, 230–231
Symbols, 70–71
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Talk, then switch, 126
Talking stick, 123
Teaching a group about group dynamics: applying to real life experiences, 318; breaking into pairs, 314; discussing implications, 319; introducing divergent thinking, 311;
introducing the Groan Zone, 316; introducing the model, 313; reconvening and debriefing, 315; setting the frame, 310; showing the whole framework, 317; showing two types of thinking, 312; tips for, 320
Themes: asking for, 111
Thinking processes: convergent thinking, 6–7; divergent thinking, 6–19
Time estimates for activities, 186
Timing, 16
Toldi, Catherine, 20
Tolerating silences, 104. See also silence
Toss the beanbag, 123
Tracking, 49, 110–111
Trade show, 131
Trigger method, 84
Two rounds or more, 126
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Unanimous agreement, 328, 332; gradients of agreement, 336–340; power of, 334; problem with “yes” and “no”, 335; silence is not an agreement, 335; unanimity and consensus, 334
Unity in diversity, 73
Unsustainable agreements, 232. See also sustainable agreements
V
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Values: benefits of participatory values, 29; full participation, 24, 25, 33; inclusive solutions, 24, 27, 35; mutual understanding, 24, 26, 34; of participatory decision-making, 24–29; shared responsibility, 24, 28, 36
VanGundy, Arthur B., 83
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Vote to vote, 350
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Walk and talk, 141
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Weisbord, Marvin, 36
Win/lose mentality, 35
Work-flow planning tools, 303