Index

Ability-achievement discrepancy (AAD), 218, 230
Achievement assessment
- KTEA-II Comprehensive Form A, 501–502
- mathematics performance, 482
- oral language performance, 483
- reading performance, 481–482
- written language performance, 482–483
Achievement functioning, 511–512
ADHD. See Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
ALDs. See Assistive listening devices
Application of theory
- defined, 13
- described, 13–15
Aptitude-achievement consistency, 289–290
Arithmetic (AR) subtest
- behaviors to note on, 95
- broad and narrow classifications for, 27
  (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
- common administration errors, 100
- cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
  (Fig. 7.2)
- and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
- description of, 30 (Table 1.2)
- discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
- keys for scoring, 125–126
- location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
- reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
- starting points/reverse rules of, 63 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Arithmetic Process Approach Part A (ARPA-A), 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Arithmetic Process Approach Part A (ARPA-B), 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Arithmetic Time Bonus Process Score (ART), 222, 320 (Rap. Ref. 8.4), 401 (Rap. Ref. 8.23)
Army alpha/Army Beta, 4
Army Performance Scale Examination, 4
ART. See Arithmetic Time Bonus Process Score
Asperger’s disorder
- clinical implications for testing populations,
  249–250
- cognitive performance patterns of individuals
  with, 244–247
- differences between autistic disorder and, 244
  (Table 6.1)
- highest/lowest mean WISC-IV, 221 (Rap. Ref. 6.1)
- mean WISC-IV composite scores for, 247
  (Fig. 6.1)
- WISC-IV findings for individuals with, 247–249
- Assessment observations, 507–508
- Assistive listening devices (ALDs), 257, 258
- Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), 55, 216
  with/without learning disorders, 226–228
  highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled
  scores of, 227 (Rap. Ref. 6.5)
  WISC-IV integrated process scores with largest
  effect size for discriminating samples of
  (from matched control), 228 (Rap. Ref. 6.6)
  See also Special group studies
- Auditory Processing Disorder (APD), 403 (Rap. Ref. 8.25)
- Auditory Processing (Ga), 229, 479–480
- Auditory Processing speed, 331 (Rap. Ref. 8.9)
- Autistic disorder
  - clinical implications for testing populations,
    249–250
  - cognitive performance patterns of individuals
    with, 244–247
  - composite scores, mean WISC-IV, 247 (Fig. 6.1)
  - differences between Asperger’s disorder and,
    244 (Table 6.1)
  - highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled
    scores of, 221 (Rap. Ref. 6.1)
  - WISC-IV findings for individuals with, 247–249
  - See also Autistic-spectrum disorders; Special
    group studies
- Autistic-spectrum disorders, 242–250
  - See also Asperger’s disorders; Autistic disorders
- Basal, 63
- Base rate <10% criterion, 146
- BDMC. See Block Design Multiple Choice
- Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functions (BRIEF), 440 (Rap. Ref. 8.31), 464
- Bias, cultural or linguistic, 296–297
- Bilingual assessment
  - vs. assessment of bilinguals, 300–301
  - See also Bilingual-multicultural assessment
- Bilingual-multicultural assessment
  - bias vs. fairness, 296–300
INDEX

bilingual assessment vs. assessment of bilinguals, 300–301
CHC culture-language matrix and classifications, 302–308
conclusion, 308
fundamental objective in assessment, 301–302
Binet, Alfred, 3–4
Binet-Simon scale, 4
Block Design (BD) subtest
behaviors to note on, 73
broad and narrow classifications for, 26
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
cognitive capacities, 376–377 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
common administration errors, 98–99
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
(Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
highest standard score possible without time bonuses, 265 (Table 7.1)
keys for scoring, 115–116
location of administration, 318 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
raw/scaled scores at various age ranges, relationship, 385–387 (Table 8.4)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
seating arrangement for, 71
starting points/reverse rules of, 62 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Block Design Multiple Choice (BDMC)
cognitive capacities, 376 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
definition, 316 (Table 8.1)
location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
reducing/adding cognitive demand, 372–373 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
relationship between raw and scaled scores for various age ranges, 387–390 (Table 8.5)
Block Design Multiple Choice No Time Bonus (BDMCN), 373
process scores, 320 (Rap. Ref. 8.4)
reducing cognitive demand, 373 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
relationship between raw and scaled scores for various age ranges, 387–390 (Table 8.5)
Block Design No Time Bonus (BDN)
process scores, 320 (Rap. Ref. 8.4)
raw/scaled scores at various age ranges with BD, relationship of, 385–387 (Table 8.4)
reducing/adding cognitive demand, 372 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
Block Design Process Approach (BDPA)
definition, 317 (Table 8.1)
description, 322, 361
location of the administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach (perceptual domain), 313
(Rap. Ref. 8.2)
score tables, 375
Block Design Process Approach (BDPA) subtest, 317 (Table 8.1), 322
Boston Process Approach. See Process Approach
BRIEF. See Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functions
Cancellation (CA) subtest
behaviors to note on, 92
broad and narrow classifications for, 27
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 101
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
(Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 30 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 124–125
location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 63 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Cancellation process observations, interpretation of, 452–453 (Rap. Ref. 8.35)
Cancellation Random (CAR), 315
vs. CAS scaled score discrepancies, 452
(Rap. Ref. 8.35)
clinical samples with notable differences between scaled scores on, 239 (Rap. Ref. 6.16)
process observations, 452–453 (Rap. Ref. 8.35)
Cancellation Structured (CAS), 315
vs. CAR scaled score discrepancies, 452
(Rap. Ref. 8.35)
clinical samples with notable differences between scaled scores on, 239 (Rap. Ref. 6.16)
process observations, 452–453 (Rap. Ref. 8.35)
Cattell-Horn-Carroll (CHC) abilities
math achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
reading achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
relations between processes/academic achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
writing achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
Cheryl's indexes, 493, 496–497

CHC cognitive abilities
Ceiling effect, 273, 276
math achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
reading achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
relations between processes/academic achievement, 287 (Table 7.4)
writing achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
Clinical applications, WISC-IV integrated verbal domain subtests
administration and scoring of, 327
how multiple-choice process subtests alter/reduce cognitive demands, 335–336
interpretive worksheet for comparing (process subtest and core/supplemental subtest raw and scaled scores, 349 (Fig. 8.1)
use and interpretation of, 329–330
what do it measure, 330–334
when to use, 326, 328–329
Clinical clusters
composition of, 169–172 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)
definition, 133
general information (Gc-K0), 187
(Rap. Ref. 4.14), 479
hypotheses for observed differences between, 185–189 (Rap. Ref. 4.14)
lexical knowledge (Gc-VL) cluster, 187–188
(Rap. Ref. 4.14)
reliability coefficients and SEMS for, 173–174 (Table 4.4)
size of difference between pairs needed to be considered unusually large or uncommon, 175 (Table 4.5)
Clinical comparisons, planned. See Planned clinical comparisons
Clinical information, 67
Clinical profile analysis
criticism, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
definition, 9
intelligence test, 9–11, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
Coding (CD) subtest
behaviors to note on, 81
broad/narrow classifications for, 26
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 100–101
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 119
location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process-approach procedures by, 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Coding Copy (CDC), 222, 318 (Table 8.1)
definition, 315 (Rap. Ref. 8.2), 442
Coding Recall (CDR), 315, 318 (Table 8.1)
definition, 315 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
process subtest, 453–454
Cognitive ability analysis
CHC theory of, 133–134, 169 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)
math achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
reading achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
relations between processes/academic achievement, 287 (Table 7.4)
with the WISC-IV, 283–284
writing achievement, 287–288 (Table 7.4)
KABC-II, 495–499
integrating test scores from, 499–501
WISC-IV, 493–495
integrating test scores from, 499–501
Cognitive Assessment System (CAS), 6
Cognitive demands
how coding copy changes, 451 (Rap. Ref. 8.35)
multiple-choice response format, 335–336 (Rap. Ref. 8.10)
Cognitive Hypothesis Testing, 469, 508, 510
Cognitive Processing, 193 (Rap. Ref. 4.15)
Cognitive Proficiency Index (CPI), 1, 133, 195–196
computation, 199
definition, 219
vs. WMI, 219–220
Cohen, J., 11
Color blindness, 103 (Rap. Ref. 2.4)
Composite scales
average reliability coefficients of, 33 (Rap. Ref. 1.5)
Composite scores
defined, 106, 110
mean WISC-IV, 247 (Fig. 6.1)
selection of, 136–140, 139 (Fig. 4.2)
See also Full scale IQ
Comprehension (CO) subtest
behaviors to note on, 86
broad and narrow classifications for, 27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 97–98
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 121–122
location of the administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Comprehension Multiple Choice (COMC), 313, 316 (Table 8.1)
definition, 316 (Table 8.1)
location of the administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), 34, 209
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
Core battery test
concept, 111
defined, 444
guidelines for substituting supplemental subtests for, 110 (Table 3.1)
CPI. See Cognitive Proficiency Index
Cross-battery approach, 14
CHC, 302
defined, 14
Essentials of, 303
Crystallized Intelligence (Gc) cluster, 19
composition of, 169–170 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)

Deaf/hard-of-hearing
communication demands of the WISC-IV, 258–259
communication modalities/languages used by examinees, 255 (Rap. Ref. 6.19)
examinee variables to consider in the assessment of population in, 257–258
examiner qualifications, 260–261
historical overview; 253–254
points to remember when assessing children, 260 (Rap. Ref. 6.20)
use of WISC-IV perceptual reasoning subtests with population in, 256–257
use of WISC-IV verbal subtests with population, 255–256
variables to consider when assessing examinees, 255 (Rap. Ref. 6.18)
WISC-IV administration guidelines and caveats for, 254

Dean-Woodcock neuropsychological battery, 511
Descriptive categories
alternative (combined) type, 137
(Rap. Ref. 4.4)
normative, 136 (Rap. Ref. 4.3)
traditional, 136 (Rap. Ref. 4.2)

Diagnostic impressions, 503–505

Differential Abilities Scale (DAS), 5–6, 14, 256

Digits Backward
clinical samples with notable differences between scaled scores on, 237–238, 238
(Rap. Ref. 6.15)
definition of, 36 (Rap. Ref. 1.8)

Digits Forward
clinical samples with notable differences between scaled scores on, 237–238, 238
(Rap. Ref. 6.15)
definition of, 36 (Rap. Ref. 1.8)

Digit Span Backward (DSB) process score, 117, 314

Digit Span (DS) subtest
behaviors to note on, 78
broad and narrow classifications for, 26
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 100
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
(Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
derscription of, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 117–118
location of the administration, 319
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process-approach procedures by, 314
(Rap. Ref. 8.2)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62
(Rap. Ref. 2.1)

Digit Span Forward (DSF) process score, 117, 314

Discontinue rules
chunking, 77
definition, 65
of subtest, 66 (Table 2.3)
See also Individual subtests

Double-deficit hypothesis, 21

Education for All Handicapped Children Act of 1975, 5
Elithorn Mazes (EM), 313, 317
administration of, 397 (Rap. Ref. 8.22)
cognitive capacities, 376 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
definition, 317 (Table 8.1)
location of the administration, 319
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
reducing cognitive demand, 373 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
relationship between raw and scaled scores for various age ranges, 391 (Table 8.6)
Elithorn Mazes No Time Bonus (EMN), 313, 373
process scores, 320 (Rap. Ref. 8.4)
reducing cognitive demand, 373 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
relationship between raw and scaled scores for various age ranges, 391 (Table 8.6)

English-language learners (ELLs), 299–300, 308

Examinee
abbreviations for recording responses in, 68
(Rap. Ref. 2.2)
admission considerations, 60–61
vs another battery for 6- and 16-year-olds, 61 (Table 2.1)
keys to preparing to administer, 54
rules for starting and discontinuing subtests, 61–63

Examinee, behavior. See Chunking; Individual Subtests, behavior to note on

Examiner
administration consideration, 60–61
qualifications

Conﬁrmatory factor analysis (CFA), 34, 209
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
Examiner (Cont.)
for assessing deaf or hard-of-hearing individuals, 259–260
and bilingual-multicultural assessment, 299
history of, 12
selective testing table to identify WISC-IV, 40
(Fig. 1.5)
Executive function, 332 (Rap. Ref. 8.9), 510
of cognitive capacities, 369 (Rap. Ref. 8.18)
demands of, 336 (Rap. Ref. 8.10)
Expressive language disorders, 222–223
highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled scores of, 222 (Rap. Ref. 6.2)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with largest effect size for discriminating samples of, 224
(Rap. Ref. 6.3)
Floor items, 112–113
Fluid Reasoning (Gf) cluster, 19
composition of, 169–170 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)
CHC ability, 287 (Table 7.4)
vs. Gv, 479
Flynn effect, 268
Freedom from Distractibility (FD) index, 12, 25
(Rap. Ref. 1.2), 28, 204 (Rap. Ref. 5.1)
FSIQ. See Full scale IQ
Full-Scale IQ, 10, 11, 13, 25
appropriate situations for calculating index scores and (raw score zero), 113
calculating index score with zero raw scores, 113
child’s WISC-IV standard scores, 138 (Fig. 4.1)
consequences of normalization and smoothing procedures, 268–269
correlations with WIAT-II achievement composites, 44 (Rap. Ref. 1.11)
correlation with WISC-IV, 41 (Rap. Ref. 1.9)
definition, 106
description, 110
identification of gifted children, 263
noninterpretability of, 143 (Rap. Ref. 4.7)
 obtaining, 108–110
practice effects, 34 (Rap. Ref. 1.6)
and raw score of zero, 113
reliability coefficients of, 33 (Rap. Ref. 1.5)
special considerations for calculating, 110–112
subtests composing, 109
WISC-IV and other Wechsler scales, correlation with, 41–42 (Rap. Ref. 1.9)
Galton, Sir Francis, 3
General Ability Index (GAI), 133
determining interpretability of, 139 (Fig. 4.2), 144
(Fig. 4.3)
noninterpretability of, 143 (Rap. Ref. 4.7)
psychological report, example of description in, 142 (Rap. Ref. 4.6)

General information (Gc-K0) Cluster, 171
(Rap. Ref. 4.12), 193–194 (Rap. Ref. 4.15)
composition of, 171 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
vs. Gc-VL, 479
Giftedness learning disabilities, 270–271
Gifted students, identification of alternative composites and subtest issues, 271–273
cultural bias, 266–267
dealing with unconventional correct responses, 274, 275 (Table 7.2)
fluid reasoning, 267–268
improved standard subtest ceilings and extended norms, 273–274
and learning disabilities, 270–271
national surveys, 263
profiles on WISC-IV, 268–270
speed of performance, 264–265, 266
testing time, 264
use of specific cutoff scores, 263
G-loading
application of, 210 (Rap. Ref. 5.3)
described, 32–34
high/low, 42
Greenberg, Darielle, 242–253
Hale, James B., 507–514
Hard-of-hearing examinees
communication modalities/languages used, 255
(Rap. Ref. 6.19)
points to remember when assessing children, 260 (Rap. Ref. 6.20)
variables to consider when assessing deaf, 255
(Rap. Ref. 6.18)
Hardy-Braz, Steven T., 253–260
Hebben, Nancy, 216–242
High-Priority Concerns (HPC)
definition, 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
interpretive steps, 160 (Fig. 4.11)

Index, defined, 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
Indexes, WISC-IV
correlations with WIAT-II achievement composites, 44 (Rap. Ref. 1.11)
differences in mean standard scores (White minus African American), 47
(Rap. Ref. 1.13)
differences in mean standard scores (White minus Hispanic), 48 (Rap. Ref. 1.14)
differences required for statistical significance, 152–153 (Table 4.2)
high-priority concern, 162–163
needed to be considered unusually large or uncommon, 155 (Table 4.3)
noninterpretable, 150 (see also Interpretation of WISC-IV index)
nonunitary description in psychological report, 149 (Rap. Ref. 4.9)
normative strengths/weaknesses, 151
personal strengths/weaknesses, 151–152
practice effects for, 34 (Rap. Ref. 1.6)
special considerations for calculating scores, 110–112
subtests composing, 109
Index profile analysis, WISC-IV
classification and interpretation of, 165–168 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
clinical impressions/suggested (post hoc) clinical comparisons, 161
conduct clinical comparisons when supplemental WISC-IV subtests are administered, 164
determining best way to summarize overall intellectual ability, 137, 139–140, 142
determining normative strengths/normative weaknesses in, 151
determining personal strengths/personal weaknesses in, 151–152, 154
difference between the child’s GAI/CPI, 195–196
interpretation fluctuations in the child’s, 163
location of information in manual needed for score conversions, 135 (Rap. Ref. 4.1)
report child’s WISC-IV standard scores/subtest scaled scores, 134–137
select clinical samples, 240–242
terms used to describe fluctuations in (child), 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
Index scores
appropriate situations for calculating, 113
classified as strength, 165–166 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
classified as weakness, 166–167 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
description, 108–110
special considerations for calculating WISC-IV/FSIQ using supplemental subtests, 110–112
subtests making, 109
as unitary construct description, 167–168 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
Individualized education program (IEP), 5
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 1, 5, 279, 485, 505
definition, 280 (Rap. Ref. 7.1)
representation of academic abilities by, 280–281 (Rap. Ref. 7.1)
Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA), 270
Information (IN) subtest
behaviors to note on, 94
broad and narrow classifications for, 27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
commom administration errors, 98

cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 30 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 125
location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 63 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Information Multiple Choice (INMC), 313
definition, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2), 316 (Table 8.1)
location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Instruction/item repeating, 70–71
Intellectual ability, 137–142
Intellectual disability
current, 250–251
defined, 216
finding subtests with adequate floors, 252–253
mean composite scores for children (mild/moderate), 252 (Fig. 6.2)
mean WISC-IV composite scores for children with mild/moderate, 252 (Fig. 6.2)
WISC-IV findings on, 251–252
Intellectual giftedness
cutoff scores for identifying, 263
definition of “gifted/talented”, 262
“nontypical” profiles, 269
time needed for WISC-IV assessment, 264
WISC-IV score patterns for children with, 224 (Rap. Ref. 6.3)
See also Special group studies
Intellectually disabled, 223, 225–226
highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled scores, 225 (Rap. Ref. 6.4)
See also Intellectual giftedness
Intellectually gifted. See Intellectually disabled
Intelligence
classification
David Wechsler’s, 4
global IQ, 8
WISC-IV, 26–27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
defined, 8
g theory of (see G-loading)
quantification of general level, 8
Intelligence test
CHC abilities and processes measured by, 285 (Rap. Ref. 7.2)
development, 3–6
interpretation
application of theory, 13–15
clinical profile analysis, 9–11, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
psychometric profile analysis, 11–13, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
Intelligence test (Cont.)
quantification of general level, 8, 17 (Fig. 1.2)
thoretical wave test of, 13
Intelligence test interpretation
first wave, 8, 16–17 (Fig. 1.2)
fourth wave, 13–15, 16–17 (Fig. 1.2)
second wave, 9–11, 16–17 (Fig. 1.2)
technological development, 11
third wave, 11–13, 16–17 (Fig. 1.2)
Interindividual cognitive ability analysis, 287–288
(Table 7.4)
Interpretation of scores, 154
Interpretation, WISC-IV index
fluctuations in the child’s index profile, 163
of indexes, 142–150
normative strengths/normative weaknesses in
the index profile, 151
optional steps
control clinical comparisons when
supplemental subtests are administered, 163–199
determine whether the difference between
the child’s GAI/CPI is unusually large, 195–199
personal strengths/personal weaknesses,
151–154
quantitative/qualitative analysis of WISC-IV
data, 134
reporting of standard scores/subtest scaled
scores, 134–137
way to summarize overall intellectual ability,
137, 139–140, 142
Intervention evaluation (Jacob), 513–514
Intraindividual analysis, 15, 19–22
CHC theory of structure for, 19
clusters that are used, 19–20
effects of intervention, 20
group-data methodology in, 21–22
relative weakness test in, 20
Ipsative analysis. See Intraindividual analysis
IQ, performance. See Performance IQ (PIQ)
Item density. See Item gradients
Item gradients, 36
Items, reversal, 63
Kaufman, Nadeen L., 489–506
Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children
(K-ABC), 5, 245
Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children-
Second Edition (KABC-II)
CHC abilities, 285–286 (Rap. Ref. 7.2)
integrating test scores from, 499–501
psychometric summary, 497 (Table 9.3)
Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-BIT), 48
Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement
(KTEA-II) CHC Model, 497 (Table 9.3)
(KTEA-II), Comprehensive Form (Form A),
498 (Table 9.3)
negative reviews of, 21–22
Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement-
Second Edition (KTEA-II)
comprehensive Form A, 501–502
error analysis, 502
psychometric summary, 498 (Table 9.3)
Key Assets (KA)
definition, 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
high-priority concerns (HPC), 162–163
normative (Post Hoc) clinical comparisons, 160
(Fig. 4.1)
personal strength/personal weakness,
151–154
Language disorders
expressive
highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled
scores of, 222 (Rap. Ref. 6.2)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with
largest effect size for discriminating
samples of (from matched controls), 224
(Rap. Ref. 6.3)
mixed receptive-expressive, 222–223
scaled process scores producing largest effect
sizes when children with, 224 (Rap. Ref. 6.3)
See also Special group studies
Learning disability
assessing individuals with WISC-IV/WIAT-II,
277
assessment flowchart, 294 (Fig. 7.1)
definition, 299
operational definition of, 278 (Table 7.3), 278
(Table 7.3)
Letter-Number Sequencing (LN) subtest
behaviors to note on, 84
broad and narrow classifications for, 26
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 100
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
(Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 121
location of the administration, 319
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach procedures, 314
(Rap. Ref. 8.2)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62
(Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Letter-Number Sequencing Process Approach
(LNPA), 314, 392
definition, 317 (Table 8.1)
location of the administration, 319
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach, 312–314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Letter Span (LS)
defined, 317 (Table 8.1)
as process subtest, 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Lexical Knowledge (Ge-VL) Cluster
composition of, 171 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)
size difference for clinical clusters and, 175 (Table 4.5)
vs. Ge-K0, 479
websites, 193–194 (Rap. Ref. 4.15)
Lichtenberger, Elizabeth O., 242–253
Longest Digit Span Backward (LDSB) process
scores, 118, 208 (Rap. Ref. 5.2)
Longest Digit Span Forward (LDSF) process
score, 118, 208 (Rap. Ref. 5.2)
Longest Visual Digit Span (LVDS) process
scores, 320 (Rap. Ref. 8.4)
Long-Term Memory (Gc-LTM) Cluster
definition of, 171–172 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)
internal consistency reliability coefficients and
SEMs, 173–174 (Table 4.4)
Long-Term memory (Gt) cluster, 19
correlation with, 171–172 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
definition of, 19
size difference for clinical clusters and, 175 (Table 4.5)
vs. Gsm, 499
Mascolo, Jennifer T., 276–295, 469–488
Mathematics disorder
highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled
scores of, 229 (Rap. Ref. 6.7)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with largest
effect size for discriminating samples of, 231
(Rap. Ref. 6.9)
Matrix Reasoning (MR) subtest
behaviors to note on, 85
broad and narrow classifications for, 26
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
cognitive capacities, 376–377 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
common administration errors, 99
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
(Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 121
location of administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62
(Rap. Ref. 2.1)
McCloskey, George, 310
Measurement error, 371
Mental manipulation, 242
of auditorily presented verbal information, 405
(Rap. Ref. 8.26)
Mental manipulation tasks
comparison, 423–424 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
defined, 400
registration task vs., 427–428 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
Mental retardation, 216
Metacognitive intervention, 513, 514 (Fig. 9.2)
Mixed Receptive-Expressive Language Disorder, 222–223
Motor impairment, 218
described, 232–234
index score differences, 233 (Rap. Ref. 6.11)
National Association of Gifted Children (NAGC), 269, 272
Noninterpretable Index, 149
GAI/FSIQ, 143 (Rap. Ref. 4.7)
strength/weakness, 155
Nontypical profiles, 269
Nonverbal Fluid Reasoning (Gf-nonverbal) Cluster
correlation with, 170 (Rap. Ref. 4.12)
internal consistency reliability coefficients and
SEMs, 173–174 (Table 4.4)
sampling of educational strategies, 192–193
(Rap. Ref. 4.15)
Nonverbal reasoning, 370
Normative analysis
vs. ipsitive analysis, 133–134
See also Intraindividual analysis
Normative Strength (NS), 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
Normative Weakness (NW), 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10), 279
Notable discrepancy, 235, 236
No Time Bonus Process Scaled Scores
correlations between subtest scaled scores, 392
(Table 8.7)
correlations between subtest scaled scores and,
392 (Table 8.7)
guidelines for interpreting, 383–384
(Rap. Ref. 8.21)
Organization, WISC-IV, 31 (Fig. 1.3)
Ortiz, Samuel O., 295–308
Perceptual domain process subtests
administration and scoring of, 362
(Rap. Ref. 8.16)
case study, 395–399 (Rap. Ref. 8.22)
guidelines for interpreting comparisons,
378–384 (Rap. Ref. 8.21)
use and interpretation of, 363
what do core, supplemental measure (process
subtests), 365–374
when to use, 364 (Rap. Ref. 8.17)
Perceptual Organization Index (POI), 25 (Rap. Ref. 1.2)
Perceptual Reasoning Index (PRI), 7, 43, 149
  composite scores of VCI and, 235 (Rap. Ref. 6.13)
  composite scores relative to the combined mean of VCI, PRI, and WMI, 236 (Rap. Ref. 6.14)
  determining interpretability of, 144 (Fig. 4.3)
  index score calculation with zero raw scale, 113
  VCI compared with, 234–235
Performance IQ (PIQ), 10, 28
  and autistic-spectrum disorders, 245–246
  and bilingual-multicultural assessment, 297
  and hard-of-hearing children, 253–254
  and the intellectually gifted, 264
Personal strength (PS)
  definition, 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
  determine whether uncommon, 157 (Fig. 4.9)
  identifying statistically significant differences between index and mean of indexes, 155 (Table 4.3)
in index profile, 151–152
  personal weakness (PW) vs., 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
Phonetic coding (PC), 288 (Table 7.4)
Phonological awareness/processing, 504
Picture Completion (PCm) subtest
  behaviors to note on, 91
  broad and narrow classifications for, 27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
cognitive capacities, 376–377 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
  common administration errors, 99–100
  cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
  and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 30 (Table 1.2)
  keys for scoring, 123–124
  location of the administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
  reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
  starting points/reverse rules of, 63 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Picture Concepts (PCn) subtest
  behaviors to note on, 79
  broad and narrow classifications for, 26 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
cognitive capacities, 376–377 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
  common administration errors, 99
  cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
  and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 29 (Table 1.2)
  keys for scoring, 118–119
  reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
  starting points/reverse rules of, 62 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Picture Vocabulary Multiple Choice (PVMC)
  definition, 316 (Table 8.1)
  location of the administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
  process approach, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
PIQ. See Performance IQ
Planned clinical comparisons
  definition of, 168
  examples of interpretive statements used in, 176–178 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
POI. See Perceptual Organization Index
Post Hoc clinical comparison, 176–178 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
  clinical impression of, 160 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
  description of findings in psychological report, 176–178 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
PRI. See Perceptual Reasoning Index
Primary cognitive capacities, 330–331, 366–367 (Rap. Ref. 8.8), 405 (Rap. Ref. 8.25), 447 (Rap. Ref. 8.33)
Process Approach
ADHD, 226–228
  case example 1, 351–356 (Rap. Ref. 8.14)
  case example 2, 357–360 (Rap. Ref. 8.15)
  children who are intellectually gifted/intellectually disabled, 223, 225–226
  children with autistic disorder and Asperger’s disorder, 220–222
  children with expressive language disorders/mixed receptive-expressive language disorders, 222–223
  children with reading/mathematics/written expression disorders, 229–231
definition of, 237
  index score vs. interpretation of working memory capacities, 410–413 (Rap. Ref. 8.27)
  integration of, 310
  profile analysis, 217–220
  scaled score, interpretive, 402 (Rap. Ref. 8.24)
special group studies, 216–217
TBI and motor impairment, 232–234
utility of the process approach, 236–237
VCI compared with PRI, 234–235
WISC-IV integrated administration/scoring procedures
  to administer coding, 315
  perceptual domain, 313
  processing speed domain, 315
  process subtests, 318–319
  verbal domain, 312–313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
  working memory domain, 314
WISC-IV integrated base rates for, 323–325 (Rap. Ref. 8.5)

Process Approach interpretation
index score vs., 410–413 (Rap. Ref. 8.27)

Processing speed domain
administration and scoring of, 443 (Rap. Ref. 8.32)
case study, 461 (Rap. Ref. 8.38)
cognitive capacities, 447 (Rap. Ref. 8.34)
reflected in scaled score values, cognitive capacities likely to be assessed by, 454–456 (Rap. Ref. 8.36)
tasks
cognitive capacities, 454 (Rap. Ref. 8.36)
interpretation of, 460 (Fig. 8.4)
use and interpretation of, 444
when to use, 443–444
WISC-IV Integrated, 318 (Table 8.32)

Processing Speed Index (PSI), 7, 28
administration and scoring of, 443 (Rap. Ref. 8.32)
case study, 461–465 (Rap. Ref. 8.38)
guidelines for interpreting comparisons involving, 457–459 (Rap. Ref. 8.37)
index score calculation with zero raw scale, 113
interpretability of, 147 (Fig. 4.5)
low scores on, 236 (Rap. Ref. 6.14)
when to use, 445–446 (Rap. Ref. 8.33)

Process score
clinical perspective, 375
guidelines for interpreting comparisons, 378–384 (Rap. Ref. 8.21)
cognitive capacities, 376 (Rap. Ref. 8.20)
comparison worksheet, 393–394 (Fig. 8.2)
defined, 115
Digit Span Backward (DSB)/Digit Span Forward (DSF), 117, 314
how to reduce or add cognitive demands, 372–373 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
LDSB/LDSF, 118

Process subtests, WISC-IV
definition, 316
percipient domain
administration and scoring of, 362
how to reduce or add cognitive demands, 372–373 (Rap. Ref. 8.19)
use and interpretation of, 363–365
when to use, 363
processing speed domain
administration and scoring of, 443 (Rap. Ref. 8.32)
use and interpretation of, 444
what it does, 444–446
when to use, 443–444, 445–446 (Rap. Ref. 8.33)
supplemental measure, 365–374
working memory domain
administration and scoring of, 401 (Rap. Ref. 8.23)
to alter cognitive demands, 415–416
cognitive capacities assessed with, 405–408 (Rap. Ref. 8.26)
description, 392
use and interpretation of, 404
what it does, 404–405
when to use, 400, 402, 403 (Rap. Ref. 8.25)

Process subtests, WISC-IV integrated
overview of domains, 326
percipient domain, 316–317 (Table 8.1)
processing speed domain, 318 (Table 8.1)
verbal domain, 316 (Table 8.1)
administration and scoring of, 327
how multiple-choice process subtests alter/reduce cognitive demands, 335–336
use and interpretation of, 329–330
what it does, 330
when to use, 326, 328–329
verbal domain subtest interpretive worksheet for comparison of core/supplemental subtest raw and scaled scores, 349 (Fig. 8.1)
working memory domain, 317 (Table 8.1)

Profile analyses
classification/interpretation of index fluctuations, 165–168 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
operational steps, optional, 163–199
conducting clinical comparisons, 163–199
largeness of GAI and CPI, 195–199
qualitative vs. quantitative, 134
required steps
interpreting fluctuations, 163
intellectual ability, summarizing, 137–142
interpretabilty determination, 142–150
normative strengths/normative weaknesses, 151
personal strengths/personal weaknesses in, 151–163
reporting standard scores/subtest scaled scores, 134–137

Prompting
on LN subtest, 128 (Rap. Ref. 3.2)
See also Querying

Prorating, WISC-IV, 114

Psychological report, example of academic performance/achievement, 480 (Table 9.2)
achievement functioning, 511–512
appearance and behavioral observation, 472–474, 491–492
Psychological report, example of (Cont.)
cognitive hypothesis testing, 510
cognitive performance, 475–478
to describe an interpretable FSIQ in, 140
(Rap. Ref. 4.5)
to describe a nonunitary index in, 149
(Rap. Ref. 4.9)
to describe findings of planned/Post Hoc
clinical comparisons in, 176–178
(Rap. Ref. 4.13)
to describe the GAI in a, 142 (Rap. Ref. 4.6)
diagnostic impressions, 483–486, 503–505
evaluation procedures, 474, 492
finding of noninterpretable FSIQ/GAI, 143
(Rap. Ref. 4.7)
intellectual screening, 508–509
to interpret unitary index in, 148 (Rap. Ref. 4.8)
KABC-II performance, 495–499, 497
(Table 9.3)
KTEA-II performance, 498 (Table 9.3),
501–502
mathematics performance, 482
oral language performance, 483
planned clinical comparisons, 478–479
reading class observation, 474–475
reading performance, 481–482
recommendations for, 487–489, 505–506,
512–513
referral and background information,
469–472, 489–491, 507
sensory-motor skills, 511
team decision/intervention development,
513–514
test results and interpretation, 475–488, 492
test scores from, 499–501
WIAT-II performance, 480 (Table 9.2)
test scores from, 499–501
WISC-IV performance, 475–478, 477 (Table
9.1), 480 (Table 9.2), 493–495, 496 (Table 9.3)
WJ III cognitive-select subtests, 479-481, 480
(Table 9.2)
written language performance, 482–483
Psychological reports
cognitive capacities likely to be assessed by verbal domain tasks and reflected in, 337–338
(Rap. Ref. 8.11)
defined, 106
information to include “test results and interpretation,” 476
unitary index interpretation in, 148
(Rap. Ref. 4.8)
Psychometric Profile Analysis, 11–13, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
PVMC. See Picture Vocabulary Multiple Choice
Querying
and verbal subtest, 114–115
similarity subtest, 97
See also Prompting
Rapport with examinee
appropriate feedback and encouragement, 57
establishing rapport, 54–56
keys to establishing positive, 56
maintaining, 56
Raw score
common errors in calculating, 108
defined, 106
description, 107
and scaled scores (at various age ranges)
relationship between BD/BDN, 385–387
(Table 8.4)
relationship between BDMC/BDMCN,
387–390 (Table 8.5)
relationship between EM/EMN, 391
(Table 8.6)
of zero
appropriate situations for calculating, 113
special considerations, 113
Reading disorder
highest/lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled scores of, 229 (Rap. Ref. 6.7)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with largest effect size for discriminating samples of, 231
(Rap. Ref. 6.9)
Reading expression disorders
highest and lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled scores of, 230 (Rap. Ref. 6.8)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with the largest effect size for discriminating samples of, 232 (Rap. Ref. 6.10)
Registration tasks
defined, 400
mental task vs., 427–428 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
Relative weakness, 20
Reversal items, 63
Reynolds Intellectual Ability Scale (RIAS), 6
Scaled scores
cognitive capacities likely to be assessed by verbal domain tasks and reflected in, 337–338
(Rap. Ref. 8.11)
defined, 106
degree of unusualness of discrepancies, 425–428 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
described, 107–108
determining a significant difference between, 423–425 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
errors in obtaining, 109
and raw score (at various age ranges)
relationship between BD/BDN, 385–387 (Table 8.4)
relationship between BDMC/BDMCN, 387–390 (Table 8.5)
relationship between EM/EMN, 391 (Table 8.6)
Score differences
average percent process subtest raw score gain
when core/supplemental and process subtest
scaled scores are equal, 348 (Table 8.3)
average raw score gain percentages
excluding process subtest minus core,
345–347 (Table 8.2)
Scores
comparisons involving processing speed
domain subtests, 457–459 (Rap. Ref. 8.37)
definition, 107
description, 107–108
determining a significant difference between,
378–382 (Rap. Ref. 8.21)
errors in obtaining, 109
guidelines for interpreting comparisons
between, 339–341
how to reduce/add cognitive demands, 372–373
(Rap. Ref. 8.19)
interpretation of, 154
location of information needed for converting,
135 (Rap. Ref. 4.1)
prorating on, 114
reporting, 138 (Fig. 4.1), 321–322
scaled, 107–108
stability of over time, 20
standard (see Standard scores)
types of, 106
Scores, composite. See Composite scores
Scoring
errors
most frequently observed administration
and, 65
frequently asked questions, 127–130
(Rap. Ref. 3.2)
keys for individual subtests, 115–127
location of information in, 135 (Rap. Ref. 4.1)
subtests requiring judgment, 114–115
Secondary cognitive capacities, 331–332, 367–367
(Rap. Ref. 8.8), 405–408 (Rap. Ref. 8.25),
447–449 (Rap.
Ref. 8.33)
Sensory-Motor Skills, 511
Short-Term Memory (Gsm) factor, 269
Short-Term Memory (Gsm-WM) Cluster, 19, 172
(Rap. Ref. 4.12)
composition of, 172 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
Short-Term Memory (Gsm-WM) Cluster
internal consistency reliability coefficients and
SEMs, 173–174 (Table 4.4)
Verbal Fluid Reasoning (Gf-verbal) Cluster, 172
(Rap. Ref. 4.12)
Similarities Multiple Choice (SIMC), 316
(Table 8.1)
definition, 316 (Table 8.1)
location of the administration, 319
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
process approach, 312 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Similarities (SI) subtest
behaviors to note on, 75
broad and narrow classifications for, 26
(Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 97
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
(Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
description of, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 116–117
location of the administration, 318
(Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62
(Rap. Ref. 2.1)
SLD assessment, defined, 291
Smerbeck, Audrey M., 262–276
Spatial Span (SSp), 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2), 317
(Table 8.1)
Spearman’s g theory, 8
Special group studies
Asperger’s disorder
highest/lowest mean scaled score of
WISC-IV, 221 (Rap. Ref. 6.1)
verbal-perceptual discrepancies, 235
(Rap. Ref. 6.13)
attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder
(ADHD), 227 (Rap. Ref. 6.5)
autistic disorder
highest/lowest mean scaled score of
WISC-IV, 221 (Rap. Ref. 6.1)
verbal-perceptual discrepancies, 235
(Rap. Ref. 6.13)
and differential performance on digits forward/forward, 238 (Rap. Ref. 6.15)
expressive language disorders
highest/lowest mean scaled score of
WISC-IV, 222 (Rap. Ref. 6.2)
verbal-perceptual discrepancies, 235
(Rap. Ref. 6.13)
Special group studies (Cont.)
integrated process scores with ADHD, 228
(Rap. Ref. 6.6)
math disorder
highest/lowest mean scaled score of
WISC-IV, 229 (Rap. Ref. 6.7)
verbal-perceptual discrepancies, 235
(Rap. Ref. 6.13)
motor impairment, 233 (Rap. Ref. 6.11)
reading disorder, 229 (Rap. Ref. 6.7)
highest/lowest mean scaled score of
WISC-IV, 229 (Rap. Ref. 6.7)
verbal-perceptual discrepancies, 235
(Rap. Ref. 6.13)
traumatic brain injury (TBI), 233
(Rap. Ref. 6.11)
and index score differences, 234
(Rap. Ref. 6.12)
verbal-perceptual discrepancies, 235
(Rap. Ref. 6.13)

Specific learning disability (SLD)
assessing individuals referred with the WISC-IV
and WIAT-II, 277–279
assessment defined, 291
assessment flowchart, 294 (Fig. 7.1)
cognitive ability analysis with WISC-IV,
283–284
comprehensive framework for determination,
291–293
evaluation of exclusionary factors, 282–283
guiding questions implied by operational
definition, 292 (Rap. Ref. 7.3)
integrated ability analysis with the WISC-IV and
WIAT-II, 289–290
interindividual academic ability analysis with the
WIAT-II, 279–282
interindividual cognitive ability analysis with the
WISC-IV, 283–284
operational definition of, 278 (Table 7.3)
revaluation of exclusionary factors, 289
summary of operational definition of, 290–291,
292 (Rap. Ref. 7.3)
WIAT-II, 280 (Rap. Ref. 7.1)
WISC-IV and WIAT-II, operational definition
of, 293, 295

Standard scores
definition of, 106

metrics for, 107 (Rap. Ref. 3.1)
See also Scores, reporting
Stanford-Binet tasks, 4
Strengths/weaknesses
administration and scoring, 206–208
(Rap. Ref. 5.2)
interpretation, 212–213 (Rap. Ref. 5.4)
overview, 202–203
reliability and validity, 209–211 (Rap. Ref. 5.3)
standardization of, 214 (Rap. Ref. 5.5)
test development/content, 203–205
(Rap. Ref. 5.1)

Subtest administration
frequently asked question, 102–103
(Rap. Ref. 2.4)
modifying standardized procedures, 60
starting points/reverse rules, 62–63
(Rap. Ref. 6.2)
timing of, 69, 69 (Rap. Ref. 2.3)

Subtests, WISC-IV
abbreviation for recording responses, 68, 69
administration, FAQs for, 101–103
administration by modifying standardized
procedures, 60
administration rules
arithmetic, 93–96, 100
behaviors to note on (BD), 73
block design (BD), 71–75, 98–99
cancellation (CA), 91–92, 101
coding, 79–81, 100–101
common errors in administration (in
WISC-IV), 97–101
comprehension, 86–88, 97–98
digit span, 76–78, 100
information (in), 92–93, 98
letter-number sequencing, 83–85, 100
matrix reasoning, 85, 99
picture completion, 89–91
picture concepts, 78–79, 99
similarities, 75–76, 97
symbol search, 88–89, 101
vocabulary, 81–83, 97
word reasoning, 96–97, 98
average reliability coefficients of, 33
(Rap. Ref. 1.5)
best/worst predictors of WIAT-II achievement
composites, 46 (Rap. Ref. 1.12)
common errors in administration, 97–101
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
feedback and encouragement (see rapport)
important points to remember when using a
stopwatch for timed test, 69 (Rap. Ref. 2.3)
list of timed test, 69
querying, 70
repeating items, 70–71
reverse rules in, 64 (Table 2.2)
rules for starting points/reverse, 61–63, 62–63
   (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
scoring judgment in, 114–115
scoring keys for, 115
starting points/reverse rules, 62–63
   (Rap. Ref. 6.2)
as substitutions for core battery subtests, 110
   (Table 3.1)
supplemental
   rules of administration, 89–101
as substitutions for core battery subtests, 110
   (Table 3.1)
testing conditions
   environment, 53–54
   materials, 54
testing individuals with special needs
   modifying standardized procedures, 60
   timing, 69
Supplemental subtest
average percent process subtest raw score
   gain when subtest scaled scores are equal,
   348–349 (Table 8.3)
cognitive capacities assessed with verbal
   domain core and, 330–332 (Rap. Ref. 8.9)
interpreting differences between scores for
   core and, 342–344 (Rap. Ref. 8.13)
perceptual domain
   primary cognitive capacities, 366
   (Rap. Ref. 8.18)
   secondary cognitive capacities, 367
   (Rap. Ref. 8.18)
scaled score differences, average raw score
   gain percentages corresponding to process
   subtest minus core, 345–347 (Table 8.2)
working domain
   primary cognitive capacities, 405
   (Rap. Ref. 8.26)
   secondary cognitive capacities, 406–408
Symbol Search (SS) subtest
behaviors to note on, 89
broad and narrow classifications for, 27
   (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 101
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
   (Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
definition, 29 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 122–123
location of the administration, 319
   (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 62
   (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Termin, Lewis, 4
Testing conditions, WISC-IV
   environment, 53–54
   materials, 54
Testing individuals with special needs
   modifications in, 59–60
   modifying standardized procedures, 60
Testing the limits, 266
Timed Test
   important points to remember when using a
   stopwatch, 69 (Rap. Ref. 2.3)
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) children, 232–234
   highest and lowest mean WISC-IV subtest
   scaled scores of, 233 (Rap. Ref. 6.10)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with the
   largest effect size for discriminating samples
   of (from matched controls), 234 (Rap. Ref.
   6.12)
Unitary Ability
   concept, 168
   definition of, 143
   how to interpret in (psychological report), 148
   (Rap. Ref. 4.8)
   summary of, 144 (Fig. 4.3)
Universal Nonverbal Intelligence Test (UNIT), 6
Verbal ability, 333
   Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI), 7, 43,
   106, 139
   index score calculation with zero raw scale, 113
   interpretability of, 147 (Fig. 4.5)
Verbal domain tasks
   cognitive capacities likely to be assessed, 337–
   338 (Rap. Ref. 8.11)
   Verbal IQ (VIQ), 10, 28
   Verbal-Performance dichotomy, 9
   Verbal-Performance discrepancy, 245
Visual Digit Span (VDS), 314, 317 (Table 8.1)
definition, 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
as process-approach, 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Visual Processing (Gv) cluster
   composition of, 170 (Rap. Ref. 4.13)
   definition, 37
   vs. Gf cluster, 479
Vocabulary Multiple Choice (VCMC), 312, 316
   (Table 8.1)
definition, 316 (Table 8.1)
location of the administration, 319
   (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
   process approach, 312 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Vocabulary (VC) subtest
   behaviors to note on, 82
   broad and narrow classifications for, 26
   (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
Vocabulary (VC) subtest (Cont.)
  common administration errors, 97
  cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303
    (Fig. 7.2)
  and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
  description, 29 (Table 1.2)
  discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
  keys for scoring, 119–120
  location of the administration, 319
    (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
  reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
  starting points/reverse rules of, 62
    (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Volker, Martin A., 262

WAIS-IV, 41, (Rap. Ref. 1.5), 43 (Rap. Ref. 1.10), 63 (Table 2.1), 210 (Rap. Ref. 5.3)
WAIS-R as Neuropsychological Instrument (WAIS-R, NI), 310
Wechsler, David
  scale, 3
  test, 14
Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Third Edition (WAIS-III), 5
Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS), 11
Wechsler-Bellevue Intelligence Scale Form II (W-B II), 9, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
  Form I (W-B I), 9
  history of, 9–11
Wechsler Individual Achievement Test-Second Edition (WIAT-II), 276
  academic abilities by SLD area, 280–281
    (Rap. Ref. 7.1)
  achievement composites, 46 (Rap. Ref. 1.12)
  intraindividual analysis, 279–282
Wechsler Intelligence Scale For Children–Fourth Edition (WISC-IV)
  administration changes from WISC-III
    Arithmetic (AR) subtest administration procedures, 95 (Table 2.13)
    Arithmetic (AR) subtest scoring procedures, 126 (Table 3.10)
    Block Design (BD) subtest administration procedures, 72 (Table 2.4)
    Block Design (BD) subtest scoring procedures, 116 (Table 3.2)
    Coding (CD) subtest administration procedures, 80 (Table 2.7)
    Comprehension (CO) subtest administration procedures, 87 (Table 2.9)
    Comprehension (CO) subtest scoring procedure, 122 (Table 3.6)
    Digit Span (DS) subtest administration procedures, 77 (Table 2.6)
    Digit Span (DS) subtest scoring procedures, 118 (Table 3.4)
  Information (IN) subtest administration procedures, 93 (Table 2.11)
  Information (IN) subtest scoring procedures, 126 (Table 3.9)
  Picture Completion (PCm) subtest administration procedures, 90 (Table 2.11)
  Picture Completion (PCm) subtest scoring procedures, 124 (Table 3.8)
  Similarities (SI) subtest administration procedures, 76 (Table 2.5)
  Similarities (SI) subtest scoring procedures, 117 (Table 3.3)
  Symbol Search (SS) subtest administration procedures, 88 (Table 2.10)
  Symbol Search (SS) subtest scoring procedures, 123 (Table 3.7)
  Vocabulary (VC) subtest administration procedures, 83 (Table 2.8)
  Vocabulary (VC) subtest scoring procedures, 120 (Table 3.5)
  alternative composites, 271–273
  alternative descriptive system for, 137 (Rap. Ref. 4.4)
  average reliability coefficients of subtests, 33 (Rap. Ref. 1.5)
  best/worst predictors, 46 (Rap. Ref. 1.12)
  broad and narrow classifications of, 26–27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
  changes from the WISC-III to, 25 (Rap. Ref. 1.2)
  CHC abilities, 285–286 (Rap. Ref. 7.2)
  CHC classifications, psychological corporation’s, 28 (Rap. Ref. 1.4)
  CHC structure of, 38 (Fig. 1.4)
  classifications of, 26–27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
  common administration errors, 97–101 (Table 2.1)
  composite measure, 23 (Table 1.1)
  controlling for SES and other background variables, 48 (Rap. Ref. 1.14)
  convergent-discriminant validity coefficients in, 42, 43 (Rap. Ref. 1.10), 44
  correlation of full scale IQS of, 41–42, 41 (Rap. Ref. 1.9)
  cultural bias, 266–267
  culture-language interpretive matrix (C-LIM)
    incorporating data of, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
  culture-language test classifications (C-LTC) for subtests, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
  dealing with unconventional correct responses, 274–276
  description of, 22
  difference in mean standard scores for Whites vs. Hispanics, 47 (Rap. Ref. 1.13)
  ethnic differences on, 45–49
  FAQs for administration, 101–103
  floors, ceilings, and item gradients, 34
INDEX 549

fluid reasoning, 268
fundamental objective in assessment of, 301–302
gifted profiles, 268–270
gifted profiles on, 270
g-loadings, 32
graph of culture-language interpretive matrix (C-LIM) incorporating hypothetical data, 306 (Fig. 7.4)
individuals with special needs, testing, 58–60
information, general, 23 (Table 1.1)
integrating test scores from, 499–501
interesting facts about, 241 (6.17)
interesting facts about one-month practice effects, 36 (Rap. Ref. 1.8)
interpretive worksheet, 138 (Fig. 4.1), 139 (Fig. 4.2), 144 (Fig. 4.3), 151 (Fig. 4.7), 156–157 (Fig. 4.9), 179–181 (Fig. 4.13), 198 (Fig. 4.16)
Jacob’s profile, 508–509
key features listed in, 24 (Rap. Ref. 1.1)
normative descriptive system, 136 (Rap. Ref. 4.3)
norming information, 24 (Table 1.1)
organization of, 31 (Fig. 1.3)
perceptual domain case study, 395–399 (Rap. Ref. 8.22)
practice effects for separate scaled scores, 35 (Rap. Ref. 1.7)
practice effects of indexes/FSIQ, 34 (Rap. Ref. 1.6)
profiles of select clinical samples, 240–242
psychometric summary, 496 (Table 9.3)
quantitative/qualitative characteristics, other, 49–50
recommendation, Jacob’s difficulty, 512–513
recording responses, abbreviations for, 68 (Rap. Ref. 2.2)
relationship to other Wechsler scales, 39
reliability coefficients and SEMs for clinical clusters of, 173–174 (Table 4.4)
relationship to WIAT-III, 44–45
selective testing table for combinations of, 40 (Fig. 1.5)
score information, 23 (Table 1.1)
speed of performance, 264–266
structural validity, 37–39
structure of, 22
subtests
definitions, 29–30 (Table 1.2)
relationship to other Wechsler scales, 39
standardization of, 30–32
terms used to describe fluctuations in a child’s index profile, 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.11)
testing environment, 53–54
testing materials, 54
testing time, 264
traditional descriptive system for, 136 (Rap. Ref. 4.2)
understanding bias vs. fairness, 296
WISC-IV interpretive worksheet, 138 (Fig. 4.1)
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (WISC-R), 12
Wechsler Nonverbal Scale of Ability (WNV), 41–44
Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI), 5
Wechsler scales
development, 3–6
historical/contemporary views, 2–3, 6 (Fig. 1.1)
interpretation steps (for IQ)
application of theory, 13–15
clinical profile analysis, 9–11, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
psychometric profile analysis, 11–13, 16 (Fig. 1.2)
quantification of general level, 8, 17 (Fig. 1.2)
interpretive methods, 16–17 (Fig. 1.2)
timeline of, 16–17 (Fig. 1.2)
Wechsler test interpretation, 15, 133
Wechsler Verbal vs. Nonverbal Performance, 245–246
WISC-IV indexes. See Indexes, WISC-IV
WISC-IV integrated
base rates for, 323–325
cumulative applications of verbal domain, 325–327
cumulative percentages (base rates) for process observations, 323–325
process-approach administration/scoring procedures, 312–316
process score, 320–321
process subtests, 316–319
verbal domain, 316 (Table 8.1)
perceptual domain, 316–317 (Table 8.1)
process speed domain, 318 (Table 8.1)
working memory domain, 317 (Table 8.1)
subtest scaled score discrepancy comparisons, 321–323
keys to preparing to administer, 54
material changes and additions made to WISC-IV, 311 (Rap. Ref. 8.1)
origin of subtests, 7
process-approach administration scoring
location of directions for various process subtests, 318–319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
perceptual domain, 313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
process speed domain, 315 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
verbal domain, 312–313 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
working memory domain, 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
subtest administration, 102–103 (Rap. Ref. 2.4)
Within Normal Limits (WNL)
definition, 164 (Rap. Ref. 4.10)
See also Descriptive categories, normative
WJ III COG, 479–481
CHC abilities, 285–286 (Rap. Ref. 7.2)
Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement-Third Edition (WJ III ACH), 279
Word Reasoning (WR) subtest
beverages to note on, 96
broad and narrow classifications for, 27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
common administration errors, 98
cultural loading/linguistic demand of, 303 (Fig. 7.2)
and culture-language matrix, 305 (Fig. 7.3)
definition, 30 (Table 1.2)
description of, 30 (Table 1.2)
discontinue rules, 66 (Table 2.3)
keys for scoring, 126–127
location of the administration, 319 (Rap. Ref. 8.3)
reverse rules for, 64 (Table 2.2)
starting points/reverse rules of, 63 (Rap. Ref. 2.1)
Working memory domain
Arithmetic Process Approach (ARPA), 314
to assess capacities, 404–405
case study, 433–442 (Rap. Ref. 8.31)
cognitive capacities assessed with, 405–408 (Rap. Ref. 8.26)
description, 392
how to alter cognitive demands, 415–416 (Rap. Ref. 8.28)
index score \( \alpha \) process-approach interpretation of, 410–413 (Rap. Ref. 8.27)
letter-number sequencing process approach (LNPA), 314
letter span (LS), 314
Spatial Span (SSp), 317 (Table 8.1)
task
cognitive capacities to be assessed, 417–422 (Rap. Ref. 8.29)
comparison worksheet, 429–432 (Fig. 8.3), 435 (Rap. Ref. 8.31)
guidelines for interpreting comparisons between, 423–428 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
use/interpretation of, 404
Visual Digit Span (VDS), 314, 317 (Table 8.1)
when to use process subtests, 400–404
WMI index, 409
written arithmetic (WA) index, 314 (Rap. Ref. 8.2)
Working memory domain tasks
case study, 433–442 (Rap. Ref. 8.31)
cognitive capacities likely to be, 417–422 (Rap. Ref. 8.29)
guidelines for interpreting comparisons between, 423–428 (Rap. Ref. 8.30)
worksheet, 429–432 (Fig. 8.3)
Working Memory Index (WMI), 7, 28
administration and scoring of, 401 (Rap. Ref. 8.23)
broad/narrow classifications of, 26–27 (Rap. Ref. 1.3)
CHC classifications, psychological corporation's, 28 (Rap. Ref. 1.4)
definition, 150
index score calculation with zero raw scale of zero, 113
interpretability of, 147 (Fig. 4.5)
Written/reading expression disorders, 229–232
highest and lowest mean WISC-IV subtest scaled scores of, 230 (Rap. Ref. 6.8)
WISC-IV integrated process scores with the largest effect size for discriminating samples of, 232 (Rap. Ref. 6.10)
See also Special group studies
Zero score. See Raw scores, of zero