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Psychomotor learning domain: definitions of terms associated with, 48t; description of, 42; matching game activity to, 46
Punch Tab, 281
Puzzle solving games: brainstorming ideas by playing, 182; description of, 40; matching learning outcomes with, 64t; revised Bloom’s Taxonomy for understanding matched with, 45t
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