PART I

Overview of the American Psychological Association's Multicultural Guidelines: Implications for Multicultural Competence
People of color, including those of multiracial and multiethnic heritage, represent an increasing proportion of the U.S. population (Jones & Smith, 2001; U.S. Census Bureau, 2003). According to the 2000 U.S. Census (U.S. Census Bureau, 2003), approximately 40% of the nation’s population consists of people of color (Jones & Smith, 2001). The landscape of racial and ethnic diversity across the United States indicates particularly high cultural diversity in coastal and border states, especially California, Texas, Arizona, New Mexico, Washington, Florida, New York, and Louisiana, and a general growth in cultural diversity in the midwestern, northwestern, and southern regions of the United States (U.S. Census Bureau, 2003). These demographic statistics underscore the need for professional psychologists to have a vested interest in addressing cultural diversity issues as practitioners, educators, researchers, and policymakers. Thus, it behooves psychologists and the larger field of psychology to reflect on potential monocultural biases to foster cultural relevance in research, practice, education, and training (Sue, 2001).
Recently, the American Psychological Association (APA) as a professional organization has responded to the increased diversification of the United States, in part, with explicit statements endorsing the importance of cultural competence for psychologists. Specifically, the “Guidelines on Multicultural Education, Training, Research, Practice, and Organizational Change for Psychologists” (APA, 2003), herein referred to as the APA Multicultural Guidelines, is a compilation of six prescriptive statements that reflect the evolution of the psychology profession with regard to recognizing that cultural competence is necessary in meeting the varied needs of individuals belonging to diverse cultural groups or historically marginalized groups. These multicultural competencies reflect a response to several APA divisions’ calls for recognition and integration of multicultural initiatives within the larger psychological community, as well as the exponentially growing representation of people of color in the United States (Sue, Bingham, Porche-Burke, & Vasquez, 1999). As a living document, this set of competencies was designed to be expanded alongside future empirical and conceptual psychological contributions and as broader social movements influence public interests.

**RELEVANT BACKGROUND**

The APA Multicultural Guidelines (APA, 2003) were published with the goal of affecting current and future psychological practice, training, education, and research and had been preceded by nearly 40 years of attention to multicultural issues in certain subfields of applied psychology. Social movements such as the Civil Rights Movement in the 1950s and 1960s represented forums for political action and subsequent public policy initiatives that addressed explicit differential access to human rights and power based on race and ethnicity. In the social context of change, structural and functional changes occurred within the psychology profession that affected the development of organizational bodies focused on cultural diversity issues. Specifically, momentum from the sociopolitical activism in the late 1960s created an atmosphere in which leading African American psychologists mobilized to increase representation of Black people in psychology and in leadership roles in professional psychological organizations, eliminate racially biased research from professional journals, and establish training programs in which cultural issues were included (Robinson & Morris, 2000). This kind of activism marked the beginning of the Association of Black Psychologists (ABP); other subgroups of psychologists of color, such as the Asian American Psychological Association (AAPA), were formed in the early 1970s.

Greater visibility of psychologists of color in the profession facilitated the development and disbursement of research related to people of color
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For example, in 1971, the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) established an Office of Minority Research; NIMH reorganized 15 years later to support research that included populations of color in all research. With financial and instrumental support from NIMH, organizations such as ABPsi and AAPA were able to support and publish research pertinent to populations of color. Additionally, interfacing with NIMH gave psychologists of color the opportunity to represent and increase visibility for multicultural issues within the profession.

Significant contributions to the multicultural psychology literature emerged from several counseling psychologists’ commitment to enhancing mental health professionals’ competence in working with clients of color (Constantine, 2002; Robinson & Morris, 2000). Sue and his colleagues’ seminal work and development of a tripartite model of multicultural counseling competence (i.e., Sue et al., 1982) has laid the foundation for much of the existing literature on multicultural counseling (Constantine & Ladany, 2001). Sue and his colleagues defined the tripartite model in terms of counselors’ (1) recognizing their personal attitudes and values around race and ethnicity, (2) developing their knowledge of diverse cultural worldviews and experiences, and (3) identifying effective skills in working with clients of color.

Ten years later, under the leadership of Dr. Thomas Parham, members of the Professional Standards Committee of the Association for Multicultural Counseling and Development (i.e., Sue, Arredondo, & McDavis, 1992) expanded the tripartite model to include three desired characteristics of multiculturally competent counselors: awareness of personal assumptions, values, and biases; understanding the worldviews of culturally diverse clients; and developing abilities to use and create culturally appropriate intervention strategies. The three counselor characteristics were crossed with the three dimensions of competence from the first iteration of the tripartite model to yield nine competency areas in which 31 total statements were offered. Arredondo and her colleagues (1996) produced a supplement to Sue et al.’s competencies that served to formally define constructs and competencies that had been hard to implement in the previous version.

The third major revision of the multicultural competencies (Sue et al., 1998) reflected major empirical and theoretical emphases in the literature, namely, research in racial and ethnic identity models (see Helms & Cook, 1999), and expanded the range of professional helping roles, such as social change agent and advocate (Atkinson, Thompson, & Grant, 1993). This was evident in the inclusion of three new competencies under the skills dimension, two of which speak to racial and cultural identity models and the third to adopting helping roles other than those of counselor or psychotherapist. Further, characteristics of multiculturally-competent
organizations were described and operationalized (Sue et al., 1998). Eleven operationalization statements concerning multiculturally inclusive organizations stressed commitment to diversity in all levels of personnel (including formal and informal mentorship), mission statements, and action plans. These competencies promoted the inclusion of diversity agendas in all facets of organizational management such that culture was now regarded as central rather than peripheral in multicultural organizational settings. The third iteration of the tripartite model of multicultural counseling competence also underscored the role of psychologists in addressing the effects of interpersonal and institutional racism from mesocosmic levels, including therapy and the classroom, to systemic levels that include the field of psychology itself (Sue et al., 1998).

**FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES OF THE MULTICULTURAL GUIDELINES**

The APA Multicultural Guidelines are grounded in six principles that “articulate respect and inclusiveness for the national heritage of all groups, recognition of cultural contexts as defining forces for individuals’ and groups’ lived experiences, and the role of external forces such as historical, economic, and socio-political events” (APA, 2003, p. 382). In their philosophical underpinnings, the principles of the APA Multicultural Guidelines encourage psychologists to see themselves as potential leaders of social justice in teaching, research, and clinical capacities and as active advocates of multiculturalism against the deleterious effects of racism, discrimination, and oppression. The principles are designed to influence the planning and actualization of education, research, practice, and organizational change informed by multiculturalism. Although all of the principles encourage psychologists to reflect on their own professional stances, Principles 5 and 6 specifically address organizational and social change roles that psychologists may engage to benefit clients, students, trainees, and the broader society.

**Principle 1:** Ethical conduct of psychologists is enhanced by knowledge of differences in beliefs and practices that emerge from socialization through racial and ethnic group affiliation and membership and how those beliefs and practices will necessarily affect the education, training, research, and practice of psychology.

In accordance with ethical principles related to respecting all individuals (APA, 1992: Principle D; APA, 2002: Principle E) and social responsibility (APA, 1992: Principle F; APA, 2002: Principle D), it is clear that greater
knowledge of cultural differences will guide psychologists’ understanding of their roles as teachers, trainers, researchers, and practitioners, such that their behavior in these capacities would reflect multicultural sensitivity. In particular, psychologists who engage social justice work that derives from knowledge of contextual influences on a group of marginalized individuals may exhibit appreciation and respect for others’ broader social and cultural conditions.

**Principle 2:** Understanding and recognizing the interface between individuals’ socialization experiences based on ethnic and racial heritage can enhance the quality of education, training, practice, and research in the field of psychology.

Psychologists should be aware of how their own cultural identities might affect interpersonal dynamics in practice, teaching, training, and research contexts. Additionally, psychologists’ understanding of collective experiences based in race and ethnicity may contribute to greater sensitivity to intra- and intercultural group dynamics.

**Principle 3:** Recognition of the ways in which the intersection of racial and ethnic group membership with other dimensions of identity (e.g., gender, age, sexual orientation, disability, religion/spiritual orientation, educational attainment/experiences, and socioeconomic status) enhances the understanding and treatment of all people.

An appreciation of how cultural identities interface, in addition to recognition of within-group differences along varied dimensions of identity, can inform research, treatment, and organizational interventions for given cultural groups. The integration of various dimensions of identity may lead to richer understandings of individuals’ experiences and contribute to complex and innovative research in psychology.

**Principle 4:** Knowledge of historically derived approaches that have viewed cultural differences as deficits and have not valued certain social identities helps psychologists to understand the underrepresentation of ethnic minorities in the profession and affirms and values the role of ethnicity and race in developing personal identity.

Historical knowledge of the institutional uses of psychology to promote oppressive systems, such as academic segregation, institutionalization in mental illness facilities, slavery, and immigration restrictions, may lead psychologists to reflect on the systemic implications of research, treatment,
conceptualization, and education models. Additionally, recognizing that traditional models of psychology and psychotherapy were derived in specific social contexts that may not have validated the humanity of people of color can allow psychologists to adopt or create novel approaches to psychology that may better suit clients’ concerns.

**Principle 5:** Psychologists are uniquely able to promote racial equity and social justice. This is aided by their awareness of their impact on others and the influence of their personal and professional roles in society.

Sensitivity to racism, oppression, and mechanisms of social injustice related to race and ethnicity affords psychologists opportunities to address inequality at individual, group, and political levels. For example, at the individual level, psychologists may work with clients in naming certain experiences as discriminatory and finding personal advocacy resources. Psychologists may be able to address injustices at the group level through encouraging collegial faculty members to recruit prospective graduate students of color into majority-White graduate programs. At the political level, psychologists may develop research programs that address psychological and academic benefits of affirmative action and use this research to promote public policy and law.

**Principle 6:** Psychologists’ knowledge about the roles of organizations, including employers and professional psychological associations, are potential sources of behavioral practices that encourage discourse, education and training, institutional change, and research and policy development that reflect rather than neglect cultural differences. Psychologists recognize that organizations can be gatekeepers or agents of the status quo, rather than leaders in a changing society with respect to multiculturalism.

Psychologists may be able to utilize their connections to organizations, specifically professional psychological associations, to promote multicultural initiatives and contribute to ongoing pushes for integrating multiculturalism. For example, groups of psychologists may become involved as consultants with secondary school educational boards to increase retention, graduation, and college enrollment rates of students of color. Further, psychologists may be involved in psychological organizations, such as the Society for the Psychological Study of Ethnic Minority Issues (APA, Division 45), to develop professional strategies that explicitly target enrollment, retention, and graduation rates of students of color at secondary and postsecondary educational levels.
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Guideline 1: Psychologists are encouraged to recognize that, as cultural beings, they may hold attitudes and beliefs that can detrimentally influence their perceptions of and interactions with individuals who are ethnically and racially different from themselves.

The APA Multicultural Guidelines state that interactions between any two people are multicultural in that individuals’ cultural perspectives shape perceptions of life experiences (Arredondo et al., 1996; Sue & Sue, 2003). Knowledge of cultural influences on worldview orientations may inform psychologists’ understanding of how their norms and values may contrast with those of clients, trainees, and research participants. Additionally, primary awareness of personal race-based stereotypes may allow psychologists the opportunity to reflect on the origin and reinforcement of these stereotypes on social and psychological levels, addressing how, when, and to whom stereotypes are conjured; this may be a critical step in developing cultural sensitivity. Psychologists are not immune from tendencies to differentiate in-groups from out-groups; however, it is when power is distributed unequally, favoring psychologists, that psychology may be a medium for exploitation, insult, and ignorance. Mental health professionals may de-emphasize racial and ethnic group membership through the adoption of color-blind approaches or the focus on universal aspects of human behavior over racial or ethnic differences. Values endorsing assimilation with the White majority group may be masked by a color-blind approach, though psychologists may be unaware of pernicious effects of color blindness, including maintaining a harmful status quo and ignoring potentially salient race-related factors (Ridley, 1995). Once aware of attitudes and values related to race, ethnicity, and culture, psychologists may process and reduce their biases through various strategies, including building a “we” conceptualization of human interaction from an “us versus them” conceptualization (Gaertner & Dovidio, 2000) or increasing contact with people of color to foster connection and empathy.

Guideline 2: Psychologists are encouraged to recognize the importance of multicultural sensitivity/responsiveness to, knowledge of, and understanding about ethnically and racially different individuals.

Cultural empathy for the experiences of people of color may foster psychologists’ understandings of clients’, students’, and research participants’ worldviews and perspectives. In particular, appreciation of others’ perceptions of psychologists as cultural beings may facilitate their understanding of others’ willingness to seek help and their level of trust (Terrell & Terrell, 1981). Knowledge of racial identity (e.g., Atkinson, Morten,
& Sue, 1998; Cross, 1971; Helms, 1984; Root, 1998), ethnic identity (e.g., Phinney, 1990, 1992), and spiritual identity (e.g., Myers et al., 1991) models may provide insight into the psychological experiences of people of color. Additionally, psychologists are encouraged to research the history of and legislative attention to culturally diverse populations to build contextual knowledge of potential experiences of clients, students, and research participants of color. The development of a cultural knowledge base in concert with cultural self-awareness may in turn facilitate cross-cultural communication between psychologists and clients, peers, students, research participants, and organizations.

Guideline 3: As educators, psychologists are encouraged to employ the constructs of multiculturalism and diversity in psychological education.

Psychologists as educators may not adequately address multiculturalism and diversity in psychological education out of fear of perpetuating stereotypes of portraying themselves as racist (Ridley, 1995), discomfort with multiculturalism (Helms & Cook, 1999; Sue & Sue, 2003), or the belief that multiculturalism is not a legitimate area of psychological study. Psychologists who operate from these beliefs may take a color-blind approach to race and culture, exhibit ethnocentric monoculturalism, or willfully omit the role of culture in psychological development and theory, respectively. Because multicultural training has been associated with the development of multicultural competence (e.g., Constantine & Gainor, 2001; Constantine, Juby, & Liang, 2001), trainees’ competence in working with culturally diverse people may be compromised when educators do not address multicultural issues in psychology. Although training programs in applied fields of psychology increasingly have included multiculturalism in their curricula (Bernal & Castro, 1994; Hills & Strozier, 1992; Suarez-Balcazar, Durlak, & Smith, 1994), psychology educators may take steps toward comprehensive integration of multiculturalism in the classroom.

Support for multiculturalism and diversity in psychological education may be exhibited through the process, as well as the content, of education. Model programs of minority retention efforts can encourage psychologists to develop strategies to increase enrollment and retention of students of color in training programs. Psychology educators may include explicit statements valuing multiculturalism and diversity in the syllabi, offer experiential opportunities related to cultural diversity, and employ various modalities of teaching to reach students’ varied learning styles. Last, psychology educators may participate in faculty committee searches for prospective faculty of color.
Guideline 4: Culturally sensitive psychological researchers are encouraged to recognize the importance of conducting culture-centered and ethical psychological research among persons from ethnic, linguistic, and racial minority backgrounds.

Psychologists are encouraged to pursue and respect research that is relevant to national demographic changes. Populations that are increasing rapidly include bilingual individuals and non-English speakers, aging people of color, and multiracial individuals (U.S. Census Bureau, 2003). However, limitations to multicultural research include the omission of culture as a nuisance variable, predominance of White participants or overuse of culturally diverse convenience samples, and the ignorance of within-group differences among populations of color (Quintana et al., 2001). To address these limitations, psychologists are encouraged to be mindful of potential culture-boundness in research design, assessment procedures, and interpretation of data (Quintana et al., 2001). Culture-centered researchers are encouraged to ground research design in theories that complement the worldview and experiences of the population of study. Additionally, culture-centered researchers use assessment strategies with specific cultural populations for which sound psychometric evidence is available and have knowledge of linguistic, conceptual, and functional equivalence of measurement constructs across diverse cultures (Rogler, 1999). Moreover, culture-centered researchers are encouraged to incorporate relevant cultural value-based hypotheses into interpretations of research results (Quintana et al., 2001). Last, culture-centered researchers report the racial, gender, and age characteristics of their sample and address possible limitations to the generalizability of their results based on sample characteristics.

Guideline 5: Psychologists are encouraged to apply culturally appropriate skills in clinical and other applied psychological practices.

Cultural self-awareness and knowledge about worldview variables and experiences of people of color predicate the application of culturally appropriate skills in psychological practice (Sue et al., 1992; Sue et al., 1998). Although formulaic skill sets specific to cultural groups may be counterproductive (Helms & Cook, 1999; Sue & Sue, 2003), the development of a multiculturally informed skill set may facilitate psychologists’ adaptations of traditional interventions to suit clients’ needs more appropriately. Eager practitioners who are motivated to implement culture specific interventions might find that a certain dimension of cultural identity is not necessarily salient for their clients in a certain therapeutic context. Similarly, visible group membership (e.g., race or ethnicity) may
overshadow within-group variations (e.g., racial identity status) that may require further psychological processing. Moreover, psychological literature may not provide detailed intervention strategies for specific cultural populations, such as multiracial individuals or aging people of color. Psychologists are thus encouraged to adopt holistic and ecological perspectives of their clients, with reference to cultural and sociopolitical factors such as gender, generational status, language ability, parental migration histories, neighborhood of origin, educational attainment, and availability of community resources (Root, 1998) when considering potential intervention strategies. Additionally, it may be valuable for psychologists to include indigenous healers and community support networks, such as herbalists, religious groups, and respected elders, in intervention strategies (Atkinson et al., 1993).

Guideline 6: Psychologists are encouraged to use organizational change processes to support culturally informed organizational (policy) development and practices.

The parameters of psychologists’ roles may shift in accordance with the interests of the diversifying population of the United States. Psychological services that are constrained to an office setting might not adequately meet the mental health needs of individuals who are unfamiliar with social institutions (including mental health services), have limited English proficiency, or have experienced systematic discrimination or oppression in social institutions (Atkinson et al., 1993; Sue et al., 1998). Evidenced in the history of the multicultural movement in particular (Arredondo & Perez, 2003), psychologists have been instrumental in the development of professional and public policy changes related to experiences of people of color through their involvement in professional organizations. Culture-centered psychologists are encouraged to participate in local, state, and national legislative efforts devoted to promoting equality across dimensions of identity (Vera & Speight, 2003). However, despite the availability of models of multicultural organizational development (e.g., Sue, 2001; Sue et al., 1998), many psychologists have limited experience and training in social justice and organizational development issues (Prilleltensky & Prilleltensky, 2003). The APA Multicultural Guidelines provide examples of “best practice” approaches to organizational change, although the reflection of such approaches in organizational settings may be gradual.

CONCLUSION
The APA Multicultural Guidelines represent a hallmark in the movement toward including multicultural initiatives in the field of psychology. These
Multicultural Guidelines imply that all psychologists should engage in culturally relevant education, training, research, practice, and organizational development. Therefore, psychologists who traditionally had not endorsed these practices may experience direct or indirect pressure to do so; in other words, psychologists who endorse more traditional models of training, research, and practice may be taken out of their comfort zones. Training institutions also might need to employ multicultural consultants to address potential professional resistance. Further, it behooves psychologists to become more adept at understanding how to impact large organizational bodies with regard to multicultural organizational issues.
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