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Private assessments, mapping, 219–220, 227, 228
Private interviews vs. group discussions, 105–106
Private thinking. See Left Hand Column (LHC)
Problem solving, limited, 145
Productivity, lost, 72, 117
Proposing action, 143–144
Protective strategies, as a constant theme, 57–58, 103. See also Bypass strategies; Control, being in
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“Unlocking Organizational Defensive Routines” workshop, 7, 193

Unproductive behavior, escalating cycles of, caught up in, 3, 4–5

Untangling defensive routines, approach to, 104–105, 110

Upset, creating, avoidance of, 68–69

V

Value, demonstrating, issue of, 208
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