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Sexually abusive behavior has been defined as any sexual interaction with person(s) of any age that is perpetrated (a) against the victim’s will, (b) without consent, or (c) in an aggressive, exploitive, manipulative, or threatening manner. It may involve one or more of a wide array of behaviors, and multiple paraphilias (more than one type of sexual deviancy) may be exhibited by a single individual. Molestation may involve touching, rubbing, disrobing, sucking, exposure to sexual materials, or penetrating behaviors. Rape may include any sexual act perpetrated with violence or force, although legal definitions often include penetration: oral, anal, or vaginal and digital, penile, or objectile. Hands-off offenses include exhibitionism (exposing one’s genitalia); peeping or voyeurism (observing others without their knowledge or consent); frottage (rubbing against others); fetishism (such as stealing underwear, urinating on a victim, or masturbating in another’s garments); and obscene communication (such as obscene telephone calls and verbal or written sexual harassment or denigration).

Definition of the acts that constitute sexual abuse cannot be approached in terms of behavior alone. Relationships, dynamics, and impact must be considered because most of the behaviors could also be nonabusive. Definitions of rape relate primarily to force and lack of consent and often include penetration. In contrast, sexual harassment is not defined by behavior, but by the perception of unwelcome advances, words, or behaviors that cause someone to feel uncomfortable or unsafe. So the act of intercourse might be abusive or not, and what might be welcome flirting with one peer might be sexual harassment of another.

In evaluating the sexual abuse of children, when adults sexually abuse a child, age differential and behavior are adequate to define the problem. In contrast, when sexual interactions involve two juveniles, age and behavior identifiers may be inadequate definitions, and further evaluation is required.

It is clear that an older adolescent sodomizing a small child is sexual abuse, but as age differences narrow and the behaviors become less intrusive and/or less aggressive, the interaction and relationship between the two juveniles needs evaluation. In
any sexual interaction, the factors that define the nature of the interaction and
relationship, and define the presence or absence of abuse or exploitation are consent,
equality, and coercion.

Consent as a legally defined construct is based on the perceived competence or
culpability of juveniles. Even older adolescents are seldom considered competent or
responsible for legal consent in important decisions. In sexual issues, state laws use
arbitrary ages to define an “age of consent” for engaging in sexual relationships. The
age differs from state to state, but is usually between 14 and 16. These laws are not
based on any scientific evidence or understanding of human sexuality, but reflect the
values of the community and were historically aimed at preventing the exploitation
of youth by adults. In most cases, activities with willing similar-aged peers were only
charged as “statutory” crimes if a complaint was made. More recently, some states
have amended “age of consent” laws to 18, essentially attempting to legislate
abstinence for all youth. Such laws can result in charges for sexual offenses for
nonabusive activities in dating relationships. Therefore, the need to differentiate the
legal constructs from clinical concerns has become even more critical. Assessing
consent in the interactions of juveniles demands more than a legal definition or an age
identifier. The elements of consent are defined as follows:

Consent: Agreement including all of the following: (a) understanding what
is proposed; (b) knowledge of societal standards for what is being proposed;
(c) awareness of potential consequences and alternatives; (d) assumption that
agreement or disagreement will be respected equally; (e) voluntary decision/choice;
and (f) mental competence (National Task Force on Juvenile Sexual Offending, 1988,
1993). These elements are relevant in all forms of consent, not just consent for sexual
behaviors, and the term “informed consent” is used to indicate culpability/respon-
sibility for choices. Defining “informed” consent among juveniles must consider the
similarity of knowledge regarding each of these constructs, based on age, maturity,
developmental level, functioning, and experience. Unequal knowledge compromises
equality and can be exploited, but equal ignorance or naïveté does not obviate
consent.

Confusion in defining consent among juveniles can stem from a failure to
distinguish cooperation or compliance from consent. The apparent outcome may
appear identical in terms of behavior, but the intent, motivation, and perception are
quite different, so the experience and impact are not the same. Whereas consent
implies that both persons have similar knowledge, understanding, and choice,
cooperation implies active participation regardless of personal beliefs or desire and
may occur without consent; and compliance may indicate passive engagement
without resistance in spite of opposing beliefs or desires (Ryan, 1988).

Equality considers differentials of physical, cognitive, and emotional development,
passivity and assertiveness, power and control, and authority. Physical differences
such as size and strength may be assessed with some ease; cognitive and emotional
differentials may be more reflective of life experience. Thus, in similar situations, one
case of two children of the same age engaging in reciprocal genital touching may be
exploitive due to either a delay in the development of one child or precociousness in
the development of the other, whereas a case of two juveniles with an age difference
of even three or four years engaging in the same behavior may not be exploitative if
the two are developmentally equal. Similarly, power and control issues and passivity
and assertiveness may define the roles of two juveniles in an interaction and thus
clarify the equality or inequality of the two in a particular situation. In some cases
where all other factors appear equal, some subtle authority of one child over the other may exist. This authority may be explicit, as in the case of an older child who is put in charge of a younger one in a babysitting relationship or on an outing. Other examples of explicit authority are when one child is the “president of the club,” the “parent,” the “teacher,” or the “hero” in a play situation. More subtle levels of authority may exist if one child has previously been held responsible for the other’s misbehavior or due to the implications of family positions (for example, if one is the older brother, favorite child, uncle, or so on) or due to differences in self-image related to popularity, competence, talents, and success. The juvenile who feels inferior in a peer relationship may be victimized by a similar age peer, as surely as a smaller child may be victimized by an older adolescent.

Coercion, the third factor in defining abuse in juvenile sexual interactions, refers to pressures that deny the victim free choice. The factors already discussed as inequality are often the tools of coercion: perceptions of power or authority may be exploited to coerce cooperation, while size differentials may coerce compliance.

Another level of coercion involves secondary gains or losses that may result from the interaction. Secondary gains are employed in bribery to coerce cooperation or compliance in return for emotional or material gains. When money, treats, favors, or friendship are offered in return for sexual involvements, the bribe is the tool of coercion. Even more subtle secondary gains lie in nurturance and care that may be offered or withheld in abusive relationships. Secondary losses for lack of compliance may be material, but may also be perceptual in the victim’s fear of rejection or abandonment—the loss of love, friendship, or caring. Threats of secondary losses may be implicit, as when the victim thinks, “Maybe he won’t like me if I don’t do it,” or explicit, as when an offender states, “I won’t like you if you don’t.”

Finally, coercion may be expressed through the threat of force, threats of harm, or overt violence. Threats of force or violence are more common elements of coercion than are actual acts of violence. Both threats and acts of violence are less common in the sexual abuse of children than in sexual assaults against peers or adults, since it is usually possible to coerce a child without resorting to violence or force. In cases of juvenile sexual behavior, coercion may be most employed to assure secrecy and nondisclosure, following an interaction that is perceived likely to result in negative consequences.

THE MODAL SEXUALLY ABUSIVE YOUTH

There is no single profile descriptive of every sexually abusive youth—it is possible to paint a picture of the modal (or most often identified) youth and offense as a composite, and then describe the range of characteristics that may vary from the mode.

In the early literature, many samples of sexually abusive youth were identified and described. The modal factors were quite similar in the early samples (Chabot, 1987; Farrel & O’Brien, 1988; Kerr, 1986; Ryan, 1988; Ryan, Miyoshi, Metzner, Krugman, & Fryer, 1996; Wasserman & Kappel, 1985; Wheeler, 1986). The majority of those identified youth were male (91 to 93%), and the modal age of referral was 14. These youth were most often white and living with two parental figures in the home at the time of the offense. They rarely had any previous charges for sexual offense, but very often had other sexual offenses and other victims prior to being referred. There was also one chance in three that they had been adjudicated for nonsexual delinquent behavior.
More recent research often describes particular subgroups of the whole population of youth who are known for sexual offending (see Chapter 6), or particular characteristics of interest, so recent descriptive studies of the whole population are scarce. However, developments over the past 20 years suggest that the current mode of referrals may be somewhat younger (due to earlier identification), have fewer previous victims (due to earlier interventions), and may be involved in somewhat more nonsexual delinquency.

The juvenile offense scenario most often involves a significantly younger child victim, five to eight years old, and most often a female who is not related by blood or marriage. The behavior is unwanted or confusing, involves genital touching and often includes penetration (over 60%), and involves sufficient coercion or force to overcome any resistance.

THE RANGE OF CHARACTERISTICS

Sexual abuse may be perpetrated by children as young as 3 to 5 (Cavanaugh-Johnson, 1988; Isaac, 1986), and juvenile offense referrals may include youth through ages 18 and 19. A majority of work and research with sexually abusive youth to date has focused on 12- to 18-year-olds who are adjudicated for sexual offenses; however, identification of sexually exploitive and aggressive behaviors in preschool and elementary schools by prepubescent children has increased dramatically as early childhood educators and caregivers have learned to define abuse among children and become aware of the need for early intervention (Bonner, Walker, & Berliner, 1996; Gil & Cavanaugh-Johnson, 1993). Earlier referrals of younger children contribute to a broader range of characteristics in today's samples. Also, sexual “harassment” was not defined or referred to as a “sexual offense” until recently. The influx in referrals for sexual harassment (particularly from schools) adds another subgroup of offenses that may also affect the numbers in terms of similar age victims, as well as the scenarios, locations, and characteristics of juvenile offenses.

Sexual offenses are perpetrated by juveniles of all racial, ethnic, religious, geographic, and socioeconomic groups in approximate proportion to these characteristics in the general population. Although most of these youth are living in two-parent homes at the time of discovery (70%), over half report some parental loss (such as abandonment, illness, or death of a parent); disruptions/separations due to placement of a child, or divorce, hospitalization, or incarceration of a parent. Inconsistent care, parental loss, exposure to domestic violence, and/or dysfunctional child-rearing experiences are factors overrepresented in this population.

The majority of these juveniles are attending school and achieving at least average grades, although a significant number have been identified with special problems in school, such as learning disabilities, special education needs, truancy, or behavior problems. The range of social characteristics includes every type of youngster. Samples of sexually abusive youth may contain the tough delinquent, the under-socialized youth, the social outcast, the popular star, the athlete, or the honor roll student. Few have been previously diagnosed and treated for mental illness, psychosis, or developmental disabilities, although there does appear to be an over-representation of emotional and behavior disorders, affective and attentional/hyperactivity disorders, obsessive-compulsive disorders, and posttraumatic stress disorder (Becker, Kaplan, Tenke & Tartaglini, 1991; Dailey, 1996; Ryan, 1993) and many have developmental deficits. Some are well-known for chronic nonsexual
delinquency but many do not have observable personality or behavior characteristics that set them apart from their peer groups.

**THE RANGE OF OFFENSE SCENARIOS AND BEHAVIORS**

The juvenile’s stage for sexual offenses is often their own home or the home of the other child; but it may also be outdoors somewhere in the neighborhood. Sexual assaults against peers sometimes occur in the context of a date, or a victim may be sought out, stalked, and seized in a more typical rape scenario. Assaults on older persons often occur during the commission of a robbery or burglary, typically in the home of the victim. A majority of juvenile offenses (more than 65%) involve significantly younger children—45% are siblings or other children living in the same household. Children provide easy targets as they may seek out attention or be left in the care or company of an older youth by unsuspecting adults. Over 95% of child victims of sexual abuse know the perpetrator as an acquaintance, friend, neighbor, or relative.

The sexual behaviors involved in juvenile offenses include the whole range of human sexual behavior. Some hands-off offenses such as peeping, flashing, or obscene communications may precede hands-on offenses, and sometimes continue between offenses. It is important to note too, that more normative, nonabusive sexual experiences may have preceded the juvenile’s illegal behavior (Becker, Cunningham-Rathner, & Kaplan, 1986). It is clear that the sexually abusive behaviors of youth are not merely the “exploration” of curious youth, but are also not always indicative of sexual pathology. Access and opportunity may contribute to the risk.

Sometimes sexually abusive youth have abused the same victim on more than one occasion, over a period of months or even years prior to disclosure or discovery. Even with increased public awareness, they may still have multiple victims over time prior to their first arrest. The average number of victims of juvenile perpetrators in data from the 1990s was seven, and some juveniles had disclosed thirty or more. In most cases, however, an earlier age of identification is correlated with a smaller number of victims and fewer offenses. It is possible and perhaps likely that the average number of victims and/or offenses may have declined in recent years. In fact, there has been a decline in rates of child sexual abuse as a whole (Finkelhor & Jones, 2004; Finkelhor, Hammer & Sedlak, 2008). However, it is not clear whether that decline includes the rate of sexual abuse of children by other children. Reliable data regarding the incidence and prevalence of juvenile sexual offenses continues to be elusive.

Although juvenile offenses may involve similar behaviors and have many things in common, each of the youths is a unique individual. Describing the modal youth or the most common abuse scenario does not constitute a profile of the sexually abusive youth or of their abusive acts. Each case requires individual assessment to describe differential diagnoses and treatment plans.
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