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  reconstruction products,
    214
  reduction, 174, 204–207,
    216
    matrix, 205b–206b
  results, dissemination, 74
  sets, depositing, 391–393
  sociodemographic data
    sheets, 166
  sources, 46t
  storage, 165–166, 370
  trustworthy data, search,
    35–38
  verification,
    development, 201–204
  visualization
    applications, 3
Data analysis, 46t, 72–74
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Direct observation, 87–89, 135
conducting, guidance, 88b
Discussion cessation, 125b
closure, methods, 155
conducting, 124b–125b
facilitation, moderator (role), 117–121
focus group discussions, 100, 123b–125b, 136
initiation, 123b–124b
participation, encouragement, 155
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provision, 95
reader identification, 240
Informed consent forms, 166
obtaining, 75
research, 154
Innovations, diffusion, 33–34
Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), 74, 80, 98, 156, 349
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provision, 95
strengthening, 73
subjective interpretation, usage, 97
Interpretivism, 23
Interpretivist perspective, 23–25
Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR), 391–392
Interview
background information, collection, 118b
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natural involvement (rapport building), creation, 108–109
participants, background information (collection), 118b
qualitative unstructured interview, 107
questions, levels (example), 105b
stages, 108–113
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yes-or-no question, asking, 104
Interviewing skills, 153
Interviewing (continued)  
unstructured interviewing, 107
Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion (IMRAD) format, 263–264  
example, 397–399 variations, 263–264
Introductions, provision, 95
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data display memos, writing, 199–201
example, 200b–201b
Method, distinction, 85
Methodologies, mixing methods, 62b
Mill, John Stuart, 20
Mixed-method design
qualitative data, interpretation, 210–212
strategy, 63–67
Mixed-method research, 80
design, 47
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(rapport building), creation, 108–109
Natural settings, 23
qualitative researcher usage, 4
Needs assessment, conducting, 235
Network analysis
quantitative network analysis, computer software, 134
social network analysis, 133–134, 137
usage, 3, 12
Network members, identification, 133
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cross-cultural observation, 91
direct observation, 87–89, 135
empirical observations, 18
interactive
(participatory) techniques, 89–98
nonreactive observation, 12
nonverbal cues, 155
notes
examples, 351–358
expansion, 88b
participant observation, 89–91, 135
tools, 2
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determinants, 33
Psychology & Health, 426
Publication locations,
  417–430
Public health
  applied research,
  substantive theory
    (deductive
    usage), 28
  sensitive information,
  writing, 257–258
Public health research
dissemination, short-
  term/intermediate
  outcomes (process
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Qualitative Inquiry, 426–427
Qualitative inquiry, iterative process, 66–67, 103
Qualitative intercoder reliability matrix, 187b–188b
Qualitative interviewers risk, 112
task, 151–152
Qualitative methods, usage, 27–28
Qualitative preliminary, 64b
Qualitative questions, framing, 104–107
Qualitative research characteristics, 5b
defining, 4–10
design, 47
dissemination, 219
flexibility/emergence/iteration, 6
interpretivist perspective, 23–25
iterative process, 55
language/logic, 17
methods, 1
process, initiation, 11–13
quantitative research, contrast, 6–7
questions
  asking, 101–104
evolution, 66–67, 103
types, 101b
results, reporting, 255
standards, 35
systematic discovery, 4
technology, relationship, 160b
transformative perspective, 25–27
Qualitative researchers, natural settings (usage), 4
Qualitative results, credibility, 208
Qualitative science, methods (accessibility), 2
Qualitative Sociology, 427
Qualitative software, 72, 178
packages, 197
programs, 178, 196
usage, 216
Qualitative study findings, sharing (sample briefs), 405–412
Qualitative unstructured interview, 107
Quality control review, 152
Quality, judging, 35–38
Quantitative analysis, deductive characteristic, 173
Quantitative approach, 13
Quantitative interviewer, impact, 102
Quantitative methods, 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative network analysis, computer software</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative preliminary</td>
<td>64t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative research positivist perspective</td>
<td>20–23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualitative research, contrast</td>
<td>6–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative study, initiation</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questioning, development</td>
<td>201–204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions development</td>
<td>100b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>difficult questions, asking</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experience/behavior questions</td>
<td>101b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feeling questions</td>
<td>101b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up questions</td>
<td>106, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>guides</td>
<td>119, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development</td>
<td>98–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge questions</td>
<td>101b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leading questions, avoidance</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>levels, example</td>
<td>105b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>main question</td>
<td>106b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>open-ended questions, asking</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>opinion/value questions</td>
<td>101b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probes</td>
<td>106–107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualitative questions, framing</td>
<td>104–107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualitative research questions, asking</td>
<td>101–104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semi-structured question guide, construction process</td>
<td>99b–100b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>types</td>
<td>101b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quotes**

- identification, 278
- incorporation/formatting, 278–279
- labeling, 279–280
- usage, recommendations, 277–278

**R**

- Rapport building, 145–148, 153, 169
- natural involvement, creation, 108–109

**RDS.** See

- Respondent-driven sampling

**Reading**

- flowchart, 176b

**Reasons for Contraceptive Nonuse in Rwanda, case study**

- 329–331

**Reciprocal determinism**

- 33

**Recruitment**

- 154
- feasibility, 159
- flow chart, 157
- site identification, participant observation (usage), 92b

**Redundancy**

- 60

**Reflexivity**

- 6, 13

**Relationships**

- levels (examination), research design (social-ecological perspective), 30
- Relationships, conceptual models posit, 19
- Relative advantage, 33
- Reliability, 35
- Religious beliefs, conflict, 112
- Replicability, 22
- Reports
  - acknowledgment section, 284

**analytic approach**, 264

**coding**, 196–197, 216
- example, 198b
**conclusion section**, 283–284

**data**

- analysis, 272
collection, 271–272
discussion section, 281–283
- ethics statement, 272–273
-final reports, usage, 247
-findings, presentation, 273–274
**IMRAD format**, variations, 263–264
**introduction section**, 268–270
**methods section**, 270–273
-narrative approach, 263
-organization approach, 263
**outline**, 268
-policy approach, 263–264
-problem-solving approach, 263
-results section, 273–281
-scientific reports, 255, 261b–262b
-statement of purpose, 267–268
-study context, 271
-tables/figures/maps, usage, 274–277
-writing, 267–277
-Representative quotes, usage, 277
-Representative samples, 37, 113
-calculation, 57–58
-usage, 3, 20
### INDEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reproductive Health Matters, 427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research application, 7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briefs, examples, 395, 397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-based participatory research (CBPR), 50–51, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community members, participation, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conceptual framework, example, 52b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descriptive research, 48, 49, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design considerations, 77–78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social-ecological perspective, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination, approach/activities, 223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentary research, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical issues, 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics, 46t, 74–78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination, requirement, 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training curricula, 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanatory research, 48–50, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory research, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credibility/reliability, 235b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination, 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative research, 48–59, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frameworks, 18–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals, highlighting, 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods, integration design, 64b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed-method research design, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration, 99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review, 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants, dissemination process, 243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conceptualization, 51–53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stating, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project, overview, 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol, 79, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key informants, inclusion, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health research, theoretical paradigms, 19–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions, composition, 99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research-based HIV prevention models, study (dissemination), 223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible conduct, writing role, 256–258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results, dissemination, 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing, social media (usage), 231b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformative research, 48, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-way communication, fostering, 224b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written accounts, quality, 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination process, 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study findings, accessibility, 387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research proposal, 11, 45, 46, 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations, accommodation, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background/rationale, 46t, 47–53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements, 46t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introductory section, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key informants, inclusion, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resiliency theory, 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent-driven sampling (RDS), 59t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses, clarity (absence), 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credibility, 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition, 272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyer, example, 233f–234f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative results, credibility, 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis, 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision, iterative process, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigor, maximization (strategy), 97–98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk behavior, gender dimensions, 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk log, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk management plan, 145, 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development, 145b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role awareness, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Kenya, female condom community intervention trial, lessons, 410–411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### S

| Same-sex behavior, criminalization, 77 |
| Same-sex research, 91 |
Sample
heterogeneous samples, 58
d homogeneous samples, 58
selection techniques, 57
size, 57–60
Sampling, 154
approaches, summary, 58t–59t
extreme sampling, 58t
feasibility, 159
flow chart, 157
intensity sampling, 58t
nonprobabilistic
sampling, 57
opportunistic sampling, 59t
a priori sampling, 56
probabilistic sampling, 57
purposive sampling, 57
respondent-driven
sampling (RDS), 59t
theoretical sampling, 56
Saturation
analytic saturation, 221
degree, documentation, 60
occurrence, 60
point, 28
Scheduling, 161
Scientific articles
authorship
considerations, 265–267
journal
targeting/selection, 264–265
organization process, 261b–262b
Scientific journals,
qualitative research
results (reporting), 255
Scientific reports
organization process, 261b–262b
qualitative research
results (reporting), 255
SCT. See Social cognitive theory
Selection
a priori selection, 56–57
techniques, sample, 57
theoretical selection, 56–57
Self-efficacy, 32
SEM. See Social-ecological model
Semi-structured focus
group guides, design, 369
Semi-structured interview,
24–25, 98
guides
design, 369
usage, 107
Semi-structured question
guide
construction process, 99b–100b
importance, 119
opening/closing
statements, preparation, 100b
questions, development, 100b
sequence, decision, 99b
Sensitive topics, 69, 119,
131, 137, 156–157
qualitative data
collection, 3–4
Service delivery impact, 411–412
Service providers, topic
guide consultations, 359–362
Sexual behavior, memo
(example), 200b–201b
Sexual decisions, gender
(role), 26
Sexual Health, 427–428
Sexually Transmitted Diseases, 428
Sexually Transmitted Infections, 428
Short-term outcomes,
process indicators, 247–249
Signed consent form,
requirement, 77
Skills practice, 155–156
Social cognitive theory
(SCT), 32–33
Social drivers, adoption
(example), 52b
Social-ecological model
(SEM), 30
substantive theories,
position, 31b, 31–34
Social media
management plan, 160
platform, 160
usage, 231b
Social network analysis,
133–134, 137
Social Science and Medicine,
428
Sociobehavioral Research
and Community Planning, case study,
323–325
Sociocultural matrix, 151
Sociodemographic data
sheets, 166
Sociological Quarterly,
428–429
Speaker code, association, 122
Splitters, 183
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders, 145–148, 170</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>contact, 221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissemination materials,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identification, 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>key stakeholders, 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communication,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy, 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>register, 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized open-ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interview, 68t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of purpose,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267–268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storytelling, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plan, example, 227f–229f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>text page, example,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227f–229f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural codes, 179–180, 216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural drivers, focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(case study), 315–318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>techniques, 127–134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body mapping, 132–133, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freelisting, 127–129, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>photo elicitation, 129, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>photovoice, 129–131, 136–137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pile sorts, 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>usage, 127–129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social network analysis,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133–134, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vignettes, 131–132, 137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies in Family Planning, 429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electronic study brief,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>example, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>helpfulness, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community, maps, 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexity, software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basis, 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>context, 271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>design, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-of-study activities,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220–221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>importance, 281b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>master study manual,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>materials, 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed-method studies,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(organization/report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process), 275b–276b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectives, 46t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development, 53–54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>papers, authorship roles,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>active role, 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confidentiality,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>respect, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interpretation, 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protection decisions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74–78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protocol, development,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purpose, 48–51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantitative study,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initiation, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>results, dissemination,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support, materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(usage), 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>write-ups, inclusions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(content checklist),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accessibility, 387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process, 232b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bibliographic indexing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>databases, 387–391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data sets, depositing,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391–393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissemination, 220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cataloguing, 387–388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clearinghouses, 388–391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>websites, 393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcategories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(properties), 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective interpretation,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>usage, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acknowledgment, 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>application, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concept, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consciousness, 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distortion, comparison,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>importance, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantive theory, 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conceptual models,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relationship, 28–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deductive usage, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emergence, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation, checklist,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>position, 31b, 30–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>representation, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subthemes, 100, 104, 136, 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constructs, reduction,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>differences, 204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emergence, 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtopics, identification,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision, 161–162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey questionnaire,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>content development, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained Acceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Vaginal Microbicides,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case study, 307–310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic Interaction, 429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>options, 160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Synthesis. *See* Cross-country themes; Results products, 214
representation, 274
Systematic discovery, 4

**T**
Tables, usage, 274–277
Tables
electronic tablets,
notetaking, 88
usage, 9, 96, 160
Team-based coding,
183–186
Technology
adoption, 47, 70
asynchronous options, 160
failure, 121
qualitative research,
relationship, 160b
research, 160b
synchronous options,
160
technology-related
issues, 159–160
tools, 9
women, usage, 53
Text
coded segments, 197
coding aspects,
179–182
quotes, incorporation/
formatting, 278–279
Thematic coding,
variability, 60
Thematic structure,
understanding, 258
Theme-related files,
building, 196–197
Themes
boundaries,
establishment, 206
capture, 182
categories, 27
central themes,
selection, 189
constructs, reduction,
207
data, usage, 175
discovery, 165, 180
emergence, 39, 118, 166
identification, 179
emergent themes,
identification, 178
essence, capture, 207
exploration, 6
high-level themes,
identification, 60
identification, 182, 204
location, 174
merger, 174
pattern/sequence,
99–100
questions, relationship,
100, 136
recognition, 91
relationships, 178–179
resonance, 5
subthemes, 100, 104
Theoretical codes, 180
Theoretical constructs,
identification, 214
Theoretical framework,
findings (organization),
264
Theoretical paradigms,
19–27
Theoretical sampling, 56
Theoretical selection,
56–57
Theories, research
frameworks, 18–27
Theory
development, qualitative
methods (usage), 27–28
grounded theory, 27
Time
research design
consideration, 77–78
resources, availability,
116b
Timelines, 166–167, 168b
planning, 169
Topic guides, 136, 165
development, 98–100
importance, 119
pictures, inclusion,
359–368
usage, 362–365
Topics
background/context,
study, 154
domains, identification,
99b
nuances, display,
199–201
sensitivity, 156–157
subtopics, identification,
99b
Training, 152–157
plan, 154–155
topics, components,
154–155
Transcribed interviews,
information layers, 191
Transcript
excerpt/emergent
codes, 181b
quotes, usage process,
277–278
Transcription, 67, 135,
152, 163–165
completion, 158
focus groups, 116
machines, 168
service, requirements,
189
time, sufficiency, 161,
167
Transferability, 37–38, 212, 214–215
Transformative perspective, 25–27
Transformative research, 48, 50
Translation, 152, 163–165 completion, 158 time, sufficiency, 161
Transparency, ethical issue, 272
Trialability, 33
Triangulation, 61, 212 enabling, 276
Tropical Medicine and International Health, 429
Trust, honoring, 109
Trustworthy data, search, 35–38
Two-way communication, fostering, 224b
U
Understanding, display, 110
Uniformity, 22
Unobtrusive observation techniques, 87–90
Unstructured interviewing, 107
U.S. Library of Congress (LOC), 390 information, cataloguing, 387–388
U.S. National Library of Medicine (NLM), 391 Utilization (promotion), dissemination factors, 235b
V
Value-free inquiry, 23, 27
Variables, 32, 39 demographic variables, number (decision), 115b interaction, 20 Verification, 201–204 Video recordings, information layers, 191
Vignettes, 137 usage, 131–132
Violence, gender-based violence, 9
Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC) acceptance/rejection, community member reasons, 49
Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision in Kenya, case study, 337–340
Vulnerable populations, 1, 54, 156

W
Websites, 393
Worker compensation claims, 132
World Health and Population, 430
Write-ups, inclusions, 269b
Z
Zunia, 389