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Meta-analysis, 35–36
Method section: research proposal, 438; tips on writing, 441e
Methods of Data Collection subsection, 439
MMY (Mental Measurement Yearbook), 108
Mode, 55
Montessori, M., 330t
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National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 84b–85b
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No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB): archival data of school report cards, 83; AYP (adequate yearly progress) requirement of, 3b, 7–9t; criticism and debate over results of, 6–9t; disaggregated information requirement of, 83; educational reform through the, 2b–4b; experimental study validity on ELA test, 252b–256; graduation rate calculations under, 84b–85b; reporting percentage of ethnic groups requirement of, 95. See also Educational reform
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Participatory-oriented evaluation, 388–389
Pavlov, I., 264
Pearson r: correlational study use of, 292 t; description of, 73, 75 e
Pedagogy, 217
Pedagogy of the Oppressed (Freire), 16
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“Princess identity” study, 165
Principal investigator (P.I.), 216
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Reactive effects, 254 t, 263–264
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Realistic populations, 222 b
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Research problems: action research identification of, 337–339 e; example of using critical reflection to define, 338 e–339 e
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Research topics: causal-comparative research, 280; descriptive survey research, 209; experimental research, 241; identifying a, 165, 172 t, 400–403; list of sample, 403 b; literature review used to refine, 406–411; refining as you search, 403–406; when to decide you have information on, 422–424
Research vignettes: action research, 326–327; descriptive survey research, 206; English language learners (ELL) qualitative, 146; experimental research, 238; nonexperimental research, 278; program evaluation, 378–379
Researchable questions: development of, 407 fig; refining research topic through, 404
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