

Index

A

Aaker, D. A., 21, 51
Acura, 57
Adversaries, 104, 105
Advertising: Balanced Conversation and, 132–133, 134, 136, 138, 141, 144–147; benefits of, 32–33, 145; consistent, 146–147; departmental responsibility for, 145–147; as narrative, 134, 136; pitfalls in, 144–147, 151; repetitive, 42, 45, 134
Advertising and public relations agencies, 145, 156, 158
Advisory groups, 141
Advocates, 104, 105
Age of company, brand personality and, 56
Agora, Inc., 21
Airlines, 143
ALDI, 26
Alignment, in Values Pyramid, 68. *See also* Stakeholder values alignment
Alignment outcomes measurement, 89–91
Alsop, R. J., 4
America Online, 118
Analyst briefings, 141
Andersen Corporation, 83–84
Anecdotes, in Core Narrative, 135
Annual report, stated values in, 52
Anti-Americanism, 159, 160
Anti-globalization movement, 160
Apologizing, 29
Apple Computer, 26, 56–57
Arrogance, 4
Arthur Andersen, 3
Aspiration values, 69–73; in business-to-business purchasing, 71–72; defined, 49–40, 67, 85; departmental responsibility for, 84; examples of, 69–70; points

of contact for, 138, 139; 20% rule and, 72–73; in watch-buying, 70–71

Associated Press, 6

Atari, 10

Authentic Leadership (George), 65

B

Backlash, 41, 68, 93, 155

Balanced Conversation, 129–151; advertising and, 132–133, 144–147; breakdown in, 143, 151; changing the subject in, 141, 151; Core Narratives in, 133–137; in customer service calls, 141–143; defined, 45, 120, 150, 167; direct *versus* indirect, 129; emotionally charged, 141; inflection in, 140–141, 151; initiating, 138, 150; internal, 132, 136; overview of, 42–43; points of contact for, 45, 138–144; public relations and, 147–149; relentless, 143–144, 151; sales-oriented conversations *versus*, 131–132, 150; sustaining, 138, 140–144, 151; technology for, 140; timeline for, 157, 158–159; traditional business discussions *versus*, 129, 130–131

Balanced Culture, 103–126; advocates, adversaries, and fence sitters in, 104, 105; in Cargill example, 106–110; defined, 44, 125, 167; evolution *versus* change in, 103–105, 125; guidelines for, 105–106, 125, 162; incentives and recognition in, 122–123, 126; maintaining, 123–124; manifesto for change in, 111–116, 125, 159; monitoring change for, 123–124; overview of, 41–42; stakeholder alignment and, 116–124; timeline for, 157, 158;

- Unifying Customer Experience document for, 119–122, 126, 159
- Balanced Value Pyramid, 39–40, 67–69, 85, 167
- BalancedBrand: concepts of brand and reputation in, 3–6; defined, 37, 167
- BalancedBrand System: Balanced Conversation in, 42–43, 129–151; Balanced Culture in, 41–42, 103–126; Brand Assessment in, 38–39, 51–60; components of, 44; defined, 167; global application of, 159–160; guidelines for, 155–161; overview of, 37–45; purpose of, 37–38; Stakeholder Assessment in, 39–41, 63–85, 89–98; timelines in, 156–159, 162
- Baseball, 9, 10, 82–83
- Basketball, 9, 10
- Berry, L., 15
- “Black box” techniques, 22
- Blaming, 29, 30, 31
- Blue box, Tiffany’s, 11, 13
- BMW, 26
- Body Shop, 26
- Bogusky, A., 132–133
- Bosworth, D., 12
- Boycotts, 41, 68
- Brand: defined, 167; relationships and, 54–55, 59; reputation and, 3–16. *See also* Strong brand; Weak brand
- Brand architecture, 51
- Brand Assessment, 51–60; brand personality and, 55–57, 59; corporate values and, 38–39, 51–53; customer relationship levels and, 54–55; defined, 44, 51, 59, 167; overview of, 38–39, 59–60; positioning and, 57–58, 60; reference books for, 51; timeline for, 157–158; vision and, 51, 59
- Brand development, fatal pitfalls of, 31–33, 34
- Brand Finance, 21–23
- Brand personality, 55–57, 59, 168
- Brand strength: defined, 168; factors affecting, 4–6, 31–33; measurement of, 21–23, 34; reputation strength and, 3–16; shifts in, 4–6. *See also* Strong brand; Weak brand
- Brand valuation framework, 21–23
- BrandEconomics, 21
- Branson, R., 24
- Breakfast at Tiffany’s* (Capote), 11
- Bribery, 28
- Budweiser, 56–57
- Builders, 64, 85, 89, 134, 168
- Building Strong Brands* (Aaker), 51
- Burns, K., 135
- Business-to-business (B-to-B) purchases, 71–72
- Buyers, 63, 134, 168
- ## C
- Campaign-based *versus* conversation-based market, 132
- Capote, T., 11
- Car rentals, 143
- Career aspirations, 70
- Carefour, 26
- Cargill, Inc., 106–110
- Category preconceptions, 56
- CBS, 9
- Celebration, 122, 126
- Change: Balanced Conversation and, 141; Balanced Culture and, 41–42, 103–126, 158, 162; employee reactions to, 41, 43, 103, 104, 112–113; evolution *versus*, 103–105, 125; manifesto for, 111–116, 125, 159; resistance to, 103, 112–113; in stakeholder values, monitoring, 94–96, 98, 123–124, 158; timeline for, 158
- Changing Minds* (Gardner), 111
- Characters, in Core Narrative, 135
- Chief executive officer (CEO) buy-in, 110–111
- China, competition from, 160
- Citizenship, perception of, 24
- Clapton, E., 8
- Class action suits: impact values and, 80; stakeholder values and, 41; Wal-Mart’s, 6–8, 93
- Coca-Cola Company, 24, 26
- Coffee growing, 81
- Collaboration: in solutions-driven customer relationships, 109–110; work environments with, 14–16, 74
- Collection agencies, 142–143
- Collins, J., 156
- Commodity mindset, 106–107, 108

- Communications: with Balanced Conversation, 42–43, 129–151, 158–159; in Balanced Culture, 42, 106, 108, 112–113; reciprocal, 138, 140. *See also* Balanced Conversation
- Community and community involvement: aspiration values and, 70; impact values and, 78–80, 82–84; reputation and, 82–84
- Competitors, positioning and, 57–58
- Complexity, simplicity *versus*, 76
- Computer disposal, 80–81
- Conclusion, of Core Narrative, 136
- Consistency: in advertising spending, 146–147; in operating values, 28, 30, 53; in strategy, 5–6
- Consumer value attitudinal models, 21
- Content delivery, 133, 168
- Content development, 168
- Contingency plans, 155
- Continuous improvement, 74
- Conversations. *See* Balanced Conversation
- Core Narrative. *See* Narratives
- Corporate values: Balanced Culture and, 41–42, 103–126; defining, 52–53; internal alignment of, 38–39, 52–53, 103–126; reputation and, 53; stated *versus* operating, 38–39, 52–53, 59; “the right thing” and, 51–52. *See also* Operating values; Stakeholder values; Stakeholder values alignment
- Craftsmanship, process values and, 74, 75
- Creative content, 132–133, 138, 144, 150
- Credibility, in public relations, 148–149
- Crisis management: defined, 168; media relations *versus*, 26; planning for, 28–29, 34
- Crispen Porter + Bogusky, 132–133
- Culture: Balanced, 41–42, 103–126, 158, 162; collaborative, 14–16. *See also* Balanced Culture
- Culture scan, 107
- Customer relationships: Balanced Conversation for, 42–43, 129–151; continuum of involvement and, 63; defining brands and, 54–55, 59; post-purchase, 72–73; sales *versus*, 131–132, 150; solutions-driven, 109–110
- Customer satisfaction, process values and, 75
- Customer service centers, 141–143
- Customers: antagonistic view of, 42, 142–143; positioning and, 57–58
- ## D
- Danone, 26
- Debes, H., 114
- Decisions, continuum of involvement and, 63
- Declaration of Independence, 112
- Dell, 81
- Disney, 4
- Displaced workers, 77, 78, 160
- Dominant values, 91–92, 97, 168
- Dot.com companies, 33
- Dow Jones & Reuters, 96
- ## E
- East Coast, preconceptions about, 56
- Education, competitive, 159–160
- 18 Immutable Laws of Corporate Reputation, The* (Alsop), 4
- Empathy, 142, 143
- Employee feedback and surveys, 108, 112–113, 132, 158
- Employee satisfaction, Return on Involvement and, 89
- Employees: aspiration values of, 70; Balanced Culture and, 41–42, 43, 103–116, 120–123, 158; conversations with, 132; corporate values and, 13, 14–16; exploitation of, 6–8, 76, 77; incentives and recognition for, 122–123, 126; manifesto for change and, 112–113, 125; process values and, 74, 75, 83; return on involvement of, 89; Unifying Customer Experience document and, 120–122, 126; volunteerism of, 83
- Enron, 3, 40
- Enterprise software systems, 113; midmarket, 114–115, 136–137
- Environmental responsibility: designing for, 81–82; impact values and, 78–82, 83–84; influence of, on reputation, 12–13, 78–79, 83–84; perception of, 24
- Eskew, M., 159–160
- Evolution, 103–105, 125

F

Factiva Insight, 96, 124
Fame and Fortune (Fombrun and van Riel), 23
 Fast food restaurants, 72
 “Features frenzy,” 76
 Federal Express (FedEx), 4, 74
 Fence sitters, 104, 105
 Fender, L., 8–9
 Fender guitars, 8–9
 Firestone, 31
 Flashpoints, 68, 93–94, 97, 124, 168
 Fombrun, C., 23
 Football, 9, 10
 Ford, 31
 Foreign affairs, 160
 Forest Service, 12
 France, high-reputation companies in, 24, 26
 Front-line employees, culture change with, 112–113
 FSC-certified lumber standards, 83–84
 Full panic mode, 32
 Functionality: aspiration values and, 70, 71; impact values and, 79; process values and, 74, 76; simplicity and, 76
 Funders, 64, 85, 134, 169
 Future Brand, 21
 Future cash flows, 21

G

Gardner, H., 111
 Gates, B., 118
 Gateway, 81
 GE, 4
 Generic product: aspiration values and, 70, 71; impact values and, 79; process values and, 74
 George, B., 65, 66
 Germany: high-reputation companies in, 24, 26; workforce reduction in, 78
 Global balance, 159–160
 Global companies: consistent operating values for, 28; perceptions and reputations of, 24–26
 Global competition: issues of, 159–160; outsourcing and, 73–74, 78
 Globalization, 159–160
 Godiva Chocolate, 71
Good to Great (Collins), 156

Gossip, 148
 Governance, perception of, 24
 Grant, General, 11
 Great Seal of the United States of America, 11
 Greed, 4
 Guitar industry, 8–9

H

Habitat for Humanity, 83
 Haigh, D., 22–23
 Happy Meals, 65
 Harris Poll, 10
 Haymarket, J., 109
 Health care industry: monitoring stakeholder values in, 94, 95; strong brand, strong reputation example in, 13–16; 3R Brand Equation for, 90–91
 History, in Core Narrative, 135
 Hockey, 9–10
 Home Depot, 4

I

IBM, 72
 IBM Global Services, 78
 IKEA, 132
 Immutable laws, 4
 Impact values, 78–84; defined, 39–40, 67, 85; departmental responsibility for, 84; points of contact for, 138, 139; social/environmental responsibility and, 78–84; user communities and, 78–80; in watch-buying, 79
 Incentives, 122–123, 126
 India, competition from, 160
 Inflection, 140–141, 151
 Influencers, 64, 85, 134, 169
 Innovation: for global competition, 160; perception of, 24
 Intenia-Lawson merger, 114–116, 136–137
 Interbrand, 21
 Internet: stakeholder conversations on, 140; user groups on, 78–80, 147
 Internet Explorer, 117–118
 Interview method, 94
 Investment: aspiration values and, 70; in community, 82–84
 Involvement: continuum of, 63; flash-points and, 93–94; measuring values’ strength and, 91–94

J

- Japan, competition from, 160
- Java, 118
- Jernigan, D., 82, 83
- Jewelers of America, 13
- Johnson & Johnson, 24, 26

K

- Keller, K. L., 21
- Kmart, 10
- Kowalski, M., 12–13

L

- Labor practices: influence of, on reputation, 6–8, 155; Latent Values and, 93; process values and, 74–78
- Lands' End, 142
- Language: influence of, 129; traditional business, 129, 130–131
- Latent Values, 91, 92, 97, 169
- Lawson Software: Core Narrative of, 136–137; initial public offering (IPO) of, 113–114; Intention merger of, 114–116, 136–137; Manifesto in Action of, 120–122; Manifesto of, 115–116, 137
- Leadership: compelling narratives from, 111; perception of, 24
- Lifetime ownership, 80–81
- Lincoln, A., 11
- Listening, 138, 140, 141–142
- Literacy, global trade, 160
- Local governments, impact values and, 80
- L'Oreal, 24, 26

M

- Major League Baseball (MLB), 9, 10
- Malfesance, public intolerance for, 40–41. *See also* Scandals
- Manifesto for Change, 111–116, 125, 159, 169
- Manifesto in Action* (Lawson Software), 120–122
- Marketing: Balanced Conversation and, 42–43, 129–151; brand and reputation in, 3; campaign-based *versus* conversation-based, 132; positioning and, 57–58; 20% rule and, 72; weak, 32–33

- Marketing departments, aspiration values and, 84
- Mayo, C., 14
- Mayo, W., 14
- Mayo Clinic, 13–16
- Mayo Model of Service, 15
- May's School of Business, 15
- McDonald's, 24, 69
- McKinsey & Co., 72, 77
- McKinsey Global Institute, 77
- Measurement: of brand strength, 21–23, 34; of reputation, 23–26, 34; of stakeholder return on investment, 89–98, 94, 95, 97; of values strength, 91–94
- Media relations, 26, 28, 40, 41; Balanced Conversation approach to, 133, 147–149
- Medtronic, 65
- Memphis Redbirds, 82–83
- Memphis Redbirds Baseball Foundation, 82–83
- Mental short lists, 57, 60
- Messaging, 134, 138, 140
- Methodology, 94–96, 97
- Microsoft, 24, 26, 117–118
- Midwesterners, preconceptions about, 56
- Milestones, 122, 126
- MINI Cooper, 132–133
- Mission-driven organizations, 65
- Mistakes, handling, 29–30
- Monitoring system, 94–96, 98, 123–124, 158, 171
- Moser, M., 51
- Motion, balance in, 123–124, 126

N

- Narratives: Core, 133–137, 150, 168; elements of, 135–136; Lawson Software example of, 136–137; in *Manifesto for Change*, 111, 112; power of, 133–134; in *Unifying Customer Experience*, 119
- National Basketball Association (NBA), 9, 10
- National Football League (NFL), 9, 10
- National Hockey League (NHL), 9–10
- Net present value, 21
- Netscape, 118
- Nike, 77, 155
- Northwestern University, 21
- Nurses, turnover rates of, 15–16

O

Oldsmobile, 10
 1,000/100-Day Plan, 116, 122, 126, 169
 Online user groups, 78–80, 147
 Operating values: consistency in, 28, 30, 53; defined, 169; stated values *versus*, 38–39, 52–53, 59. *See also* Corporate values
 Operational functions, process values and, 84
 Oracle, 113, 114
 Outsourcing, 73–74, 77
 Ownership, lifetime, 80–81

P

Patient care values, 13–16
 Pentagon procurement scandal, 27–28
 PeopleSoft, 113
 Perceptions: brand personality and, 55–57; dimensions of, 24; geographic diversity in, 24–26; measurement of reputation and, 23–26
 Performance, perception of, 24
 Performance reviews, 108
 Perretti, E., 11
 Peters, T., 160
 Philanthropy: community-level investment and, 82–84; as reputation enhancer, 12, 82–83
 Picasso, 147
 Pinnacle events, in Core Narrative, 135
 Points of contact: for Balanced Conversations, 45, 138–144; defined, 150, 170; techniques for mapping, 138, 139; for value dimensions, 138, 139
 Porsche, 24, 26, 69
 Positioning, 57–58, 60, 169
 PowerPoint presentations, 133
 Price: environmental responsibility and, 81; quality and, 73–74; status and, 71
 Process values, 73–78; defined, 39–40, 67, 85; departmental responsibility for, 84; labor practices and, 75–78; points of contact for, 138, 139; quality expectations and, 73–74; simplicity and, 76; in watch buying, 74–75
 Procter & Gamble Company, 26
 Product literature, 136
 Products and services: aspiration values and, 70–71; designing, for environmental sustainability, 81–82, 83–84;

impact values and, 79; increasing expectations for, 73–74; perception of, 24; positioning of, 57–58; process values and, 74–75, 76, 81–82; weak, 31–32, 143

Profit-sharing, 83

PSA Peugeot Citroen, 26

Public relations, 53; Balanced Conversation and, 132–133, 134, 136, 138, 141, 144, 147–149; as creative content, 132–133; as narrative, 134, 136; partners in, 156; pitfalls of, 148–149, 151. *See also* Media relations

Public scrutiny: preparing for, 27–29; stakeholder values alignment and, 40–41, 124

Q

Qualitative research, 94, 169
 Quality: failed initiatives of, 110; increasing expectations for, 73–74; price and, 73–74
 Quantitative research, 94, 169

R

RBI (Returning Baseball to the Inner City), 82–83
 Reagan, R., 111
 Recalls, 75
 Recognition, 122–123, 126
 Recruitment, 15–16
 Recycling, computer, 80–81
 Redemption, 30–31
 Regionality, brand personality and, 56
Relationship, in 3R Brand Equation, 89–81
 Relationships: Balanced Conversation for, 129–151; continuum of involvement and, 63; levels of, 54–55, 59; sales *versus*, 131–132, 150
Relevance, in 3R Brand Equation, 89–81
 RepTrack®, 23–26, 34, 170
 Reputation: brand and, 3–16; corporate values and, 53, 59; defined, 170; factors affecting, 4–6, 24–30; global perceptions and, 24–26; measurement of, 23–26, 34; shifts in, 4–6; third party validation and, 27–30; time and, 33, 59
 Reputation, strong: strong brand and, 11–16; weak brand and, 8–10
 Reputation, weak: strong brand and, 6–8; weak brand and, 10

- Reputation Institute (RI), 23–26, 34, 124
 Reputation loss: crisis management for, 28–29; media relations and, 26, 28; mistakes and, 29–30; redemption and, 30–31; scandals and, 3–4, 25, 27–28, 31
 Research: importance of, 32; in Stakeholder Assessment, 94; types of, 94, 169
 Responsibility, taking, 29–30
 Return on Investment (ROI), 89–98, 94, 95, 97
 Return on Involvement, 89
 Reuters, 96
 Rock Creek Mine, 12–13
 Rolls Royce, 57
 Rumors, 53
 Rust, R., 21
- S**
- St. Louis Cardinals, 82, 83
 Sales: Balanced Conversation in, 131–132, 144; language of, 130; relationships *versus*, 131–132, 150
 Salmonella poisoning, 30
 Samuel Adams, 71
 SAP, 113, 114
 Scandals: redemption and, 30–31; reputation and, 3–4, 25, 27–28; stakeholder values and, 40–41
 Schultz, D., 21–23
 Schwan Food Company, 29–30, 34
 Scott, L., 7
 SEC hearings, 4
 Senior management: getting buy-in of, 110–111; public relations and, 147, 148
 Services. *See* Products and services
 Sex discrimination suit, Wal-Mart's, 6, 93
 Shareholders, 65
 Sherman, Gen., 11
 Siemens, 26
 Silver mining industry, 12–13
 "\$640 Toilet Seat" story, 27–28
 Size of company, brand personality and, 56
 Social responsibility: impact values and, 78–84; management group for, 84; perception of, 24; process values and, 74, 76, 77; reputation and, 12–13, 78–79, 82–84
 Software, unused, 76
 Software customer support, 143
 Solutions focus, cultural change for, 106–110
 Sony, 26
 Southern Center for International Studies, 160
 Southerners, preconceptions about, 56
 Special interest groups, 65, 141; Internet and, 79–80
 Spin, 148–149
Spokesman Review, 12
 Sports leagues, 9–10
 Squirt, 10
 Stability and instability, in Values Pyramid, 68
 Stakeholder(s): in brand valuation model, 21–23; defined, 170; identifying and classifying, 63–64, 84, 85; prioritizing, 65–67, 85, 155
 Stakeholder Advocates and Adversaries Chart, 104, 105
 Stakeholder agendas, 65–66, 85, 116, 170
 Stakeholder alignment. *See* Stakeholder values alignment
 Stakeholder Assessment, 63–85; classification and prioritization in, 63–67, 85, 155; defined, 44, 170; dimensions of values in, 67–84; measurement and monitoring techniques in, 89–98; methodology of, 94–96, 97; overview of, 39–41, 85; timeline for, 157, 158
 Stakeholder Assessment Pyramid, 39–40, 67–69, 85
 Stakeholder values: aspiration type of, 39, 40, 67, 69–73, 84; assessment of, 39–41, 63–85, 89–98; conflicting, 66, 92, 94, 116, 124; continuum of involvement and, 63; departmental responsibilities for, 84; dimensions of, 39–40, 66–84; diverse agendas and, 65–66, 85, 116; impact type of, 39, 40, 67, 78–84, 84; importance of, 38; managing, 68–69; monitoring change in, 94–96, 98, 123–124, 158; personalized conversations around, 140–141; post-purchase, 72–73; process type of, 39, 40, 67, 73–78, 84; Return on Investment in, 89–98, 94, 95, 97; strength of, measurement of, 91–94
 Stakeholder values alignment: Balanced Conversation for, 42–43, 129–151; Balanced Culture for, 41–42, 103–126; BalancedBrand System for, 37–38, 39–43, 44; defined, 170; importance of, 155, 162; at Mayo Clinic, 13–16;

Return on Investment for, 89–98, 94, 95, 97; Stakeholder Assessment and, 63–85; at Tiffany's, 12–13; Unifying Customer Experience document for, 119–122, 126, 159; at Wal-Mart, 8

Stakeholder Values Maps, 91–94; defined, 40, 44, 97, 170; timeline for, 158

State governments, impact values and, 80

Stated values, 38–39, 52–53, 59, 170

Statistical models, 94

Status: aspiration values and, 70, 71; cost and, 71; impact values and, 79; process values and, 75

Steelcase, 72

Stereotypes, 56

Storage USA Inc., 82

Stories, 133–134, 150. *See also* Narratives

Strategic partners, 156

Strategic planning, 32; for advertising, 145; for Balanced Culture, 110–111, 122; for public relations, 147; for quality initiatives, 110

Strategic readiness, 109

Strategy: culture change and, 109; inconsistent, 5–6; pitfall of poor, 32

STRIPES (Sports Teams Returning In the Public Education System), 82–83

Strong brand: strong reputation and, 11–16; weak reputation and, 6–8

Super Bowl trophy, 11

Super-sized portions, 72

Sustainability, environmental, 81–82, 83–84. *See also* Environmental responsibility

Sweatshops, 77, 155

T

Target, 8, 56–57

Texas A&M University, 15

Thatcher, M., 111

Third party validation, 27–30, 147–149, 170

3M Company, 26

3R Brand Equation, 89–91, 97, 171

360-degree feedback, 108

Tiffany & Co., 11–13

Time, importance of, 33, 34

Timelines, in BalancedBrand System, 156–159, 162

Toxic waste, 80–81

Trading up, 71

Truth, 148–149

20% rule, 72–73

U

Unifying Customer Experience, 119–122, 126, 159, 171

United Food and Commercial Workers, 6

United Kingdom: perceptions of company reputations in, 24, 25, 26; workforce reduction in, 78

United Parcel Service (UPS), 26, 159

United States: global balance and, 159–160; high-reputation companies in, 24, 26; perceptions of company reputations in, 24, 25, 26, 124

United We Brand (Moser), 51

U.S. Chamber of Commerce, 159

User groups, 78–80, 147

V

Values, defined, 171. *See also* Corporate values; Operating values; Stakeholder values; Stakeholder values alignment

Values monitoring, 94–96, 98, 123–124, 158, 171

Values Pyramid, 39–40, 67–69, 85, 167

Van Riel, C., 23

Victoria's Secret, 71

Virgin Group, 24, 26

Vision, 51, 59; in Core Narrative, 135; culture change and, 103; manifesto for change and, 112; 1,000-Day Plan and, 122, 126

Volvo, 26

Voting, 92

W

Wal-Mart, 6–8, 93

Wall Street, 5, 40–41, 65, 123

Walton, S., 6

Wang, 10

Washington Post, 12

Watches: aspiration values and, 70–71; impact values and, 79; process values and, 74–75

Weak brand: causes of, 31–33; strong reputation and, 8–10; weak reputation and, 10

Web browsing software, 117–118
Welch, J., 111
West Coast, preconceptions about, 56
Willie's American Guitar Shop, 54–55
Windows, 117
Word of mouth, 15–16, 53, 129
Workforce reductions, 78

Workplace perception, 24
WorldCom, 3

Y

Yamanoto, Admiral, 118

