

Index

- A**
- Abbott Lab, 141, 142
 - Acquisition planning, and relevance, 15, 23–24, 172–173, 183–184
 - Alcoa, testable strategies at, 19, 29–31, 32–33, 55
 - Alcoa Business System (ABS), 30–31, 32–33
 - Analyze-execute system, 8–9
 - Aspiration-based planning, 28
 - Assumption-based planning (ABP), 31–32
 - Assumptions: and confirming evidence trap, 92; evaluating, in performance review, 132–134; evidence overturning, 92, 94; high-surprise, 39, 47–51; identifying, of eight-line strategy, 51–53, 54; key, performance indicators derived from, 97–98; mistaken, about growth, 121–122; and performance results, 125; revising, in performance reviews, 138–140; searching for better indicators for, 109–114; settled, 47, 49–50; strategic, and performance indicators, 71–74, 99. *See also* Metrics matrix
 - AT&T Capital, 37
- B**
- Baker, J., 23
 - Balanced scorecards: alternative to, 178–179; disadvantages of, 13, 178; dissatisfaction with, 63–64; news reporting analogy for, 65–69; requirements tested by, 13, 117–118; tracking red herrings, 78–83. *See also* Performance reporting
 - Balkans, NATO experience in, 73–74
 - Bayes' theorem, 114, 198n12
 - Bayesian probability, 114–115, 116
 - Bien, B., 121–122
 - Boeing, 147, 199n14
 - BP: accidents and scandals at, 17–18, 55; strategy setting system of, 19–23, 58
 - Brabeck, P., 163, 165–166
 - Bronson, J., 50
 - Browne, J., 20–21, 22–23
 - Bryan, S., 77
 - Bush, G. W., 188
- C**
- Capital One, 91–94, 96, 97
 - Carter, L., 121
 - CEOs, pay packages for, 22, 192n15
 - Chapman, D., 81–82
 - Chapman, J., 81–82
 - Christensen, C., 37
 - Chrysler, 147, 199n14
 - Cisco, 121–122
 - Coase, R., 23, 58, 183
 - Cochrane, B., 78, 79, 81, 82
 - Collins, J., 141
 - Compensation: CEO, 22, 192n15; and performance gaps, 173–174; and performance volatility, 187–188; relevance's link to, 15–16
 - Condit, P., 199n15
 - Confirming evidence trap, 92
 - Continuous process improvement programs, 5, 200n3
 - Corporate Executive Board, 46, 144
 - Customers: and GE, 36–37; and Toyota, 96
- D**
- Daimler-Benz, 147, 199n14
 - Davenport, T., 94
 - Davidson, D., 39, 57, 121, 130

Dawkins, R., 60
 Deming, E., 95
 Dewar, J., 31
 Discovery-driven planning (DDP), 28,
 33–34
 Duke Energy, 51

E

Eaton, R., 147
 Economic profit, 128, 199n6
 Economic value added, 41, 194n1
 Eight-line strategies, 12, 39–55; example
 of, 53–55; and 50-50 goals, 39, 45–46,
 194n4; and high-surprise assumptions,
 39, 47–51; how to devise, 41–42;
 identifying assumptions of, 51–53,
 54; origin of, 40–41; short-term
 milestones in, 39, 42–45
The Entrepreneurial Mindset (McGrath
 and MacMillan), 33, 34
 Entropy, 116, 198nn13, 14
 Execution: reviews driven by, 166–167;
 vs. tactics, 123
 Execution gaps: defined, 125–126, 185;
 estimating, in performance reviews,
 135; how to close, 143; problems
 indicated by, 138–139; separating
 uncontrollable gaps from, 129, 199n7;
 and strategy gaps, 127–128, 139;
 variability of, 151, 153–155
 Explanatory metrics, 157–158
 Extreme programming (XP), 44–45

F

Fairbank, R., 94
 Fallibilism, at Toyota, 94, 96, 97,
 197nn7, 9
 FedEx, 127
 Fishman, C., 197n9
 Forecasts, necessity of, 184, 201n1
 Fox Broadcasting Company, 66
From Good to Great (Collins), 141, 142

G

Gates Foundation, 5
 GE Capital, 37–38
 General Electric (GE): best practices
 shared at, 58; customer voice at, 96;
 testable strategies at, 19, 34–38, 55
 Gerstner, L., 84
 Gillette, 141, 142

Goals: cascading, 127–128; gaps between
 performance and, 125–126; missed,
 and learning, 45–46, 194n4; setting,
 147, 179
 Gould, S. J., 146
 Growth: by Alcoa, 31; by Cisco, 121–122;
 by GE, 35, 38; by Ingersoll Rand, 87;
 mistaken assumptions about, 121–122;
 and organizational learning, 26,
 177–178; stalled by persistent strategy
 gap variability, 160–161; as testing
 strategy, 60–61
 Guess-test system, 14, 19, 178–179

H

Hammond, J., 92
 Harris, J., 94
 Hedges, B., 17
 Henkel, H., 84, 85, 87, 88
 “The Hidden Traps in Decision
 Making” (Hammond, Keeney, and
 Raiffa), 92
 Horowitz, D., 19
 Horton, R., 20
 Hull, M., 3
 Hussein, S., 73

I

Iacocca, L., 147
 Indicators. *See* Performance indicators
 Information: confirming vs. disproving
 hypothesis, 92–93; demand for, with
 balanced performance reporting,
 68–69; mutual, 116–117, 197n10;
 performance reporting in pursuit of,
 75, 84–88, 89–90; value of, 6–7
 Information overload, 2–3, 4–6, 10
 Information revolution: end of, 3, 6, 8;
 and specificity, 103
 Information theory, 115–116, 198n13
 Infosys Technologies, 58
 Ingersoll Rand, 75, 84–88, 89–90
The Innovator’s Dilemma (Chris-
 tensen), 37
 International Bank for Reconstruction
 and Development, 5
 International Monetary Fund, 5
 Iraq invasion: assumptions about,
 188; friendly fire death in, 1–3;
 and NATO Balkan experience,
 73–74; news reporting following,
 66–67, 69

K

K-Mart, 147, 199n14
 Kaizen, 94
 Kaplan, R., 49–50, 63, 68, 69, 75, 77, 78, 81, 89, 178
 Keeney, R., 92
 Kerry, J., 188
 Kimberly-Clark, 141, 142
 Koch Industries, 198n5

L

Lacy, A., 147
 Leadership, and relevance, 16, 188–189
 Leading indicators, 157, 158
 Learning from experience: lack of, at BP, 19; and testable strategies, 18–19, 29–38, 175, 180–181; tips on, 175–177. *See also* Organizational learning
 Luthi, J.-D., 163–164

M

MacMillan, I., 28, 33–34, 47
 Managers, in companies without strategy reviews, 145–149
 Martinez, A., 147
 Marushin, J., 30, 31
 May, M., 94, 95
 McChesney, R., 76–77, 78
 McDonald's, 126
 McDonnell, J., 147
 McDonnell Douglas, 147, 199n14
 McGrath, R., 28, 33–34, 47
 Media General, 75–78
 Merrill Lynch, 51
 Metrics: assumption-based, 31–32; and balanced scorecards, 13, 64; irrelevant, 8; predictive, 157, 158; for requirements, 7; selecting, 181–182. *See also* Performance indicators
 Metrics matrix, 12–13; benefits of use of, 114–117, 118; combining relevance and specificity screens in, 106–109, 198n11; comparing update frequencies with, 168–169; screening for relevance with, 99–103; screening for specificity with, 103–106; and search for better indicators, 109–114; steps in using, 98
 Microsoft, 44–45, 51
 Milosevic, S., 73

The Modern Firm (Roberts), 19
 Modigliani-Miller theorem, 199n6
 Morale: and infallible strategies, 119, 145; and performance reviews, 146, 184–185; relevance's link to, 15
 Motorola, 36
 Murdoch, R., 66
 Mutual information, 116–117, 197n10

N

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 33–34
 Nelson, R., 26
 Nestlé, 162–166
 News reporting, balance in, 66–69
 Norton, D., 49–50, 63, 68, 69, 75, 77, 78, 81, 89, 178

O

O'Neill, P., 29–30, 31, 32
 Organizational learning, and growth, 26, 177–178

P

Pareto, V., 50
 Peabody, D., 199n15
 Pearlstein, S., 14
 Peer assists/challenges system, at BP, 20–21
 Perfectionism, at Toyota, 94–95, 197n7
 Performance: current state of assessing, 5; triangulating performance gaps to improve, 129–131
 Performance gaps: estimating, in performance reviews, 134–137; examples of performance results reflecting, 126–128; and executive compensation, 173–174; importance of patterns of, 151, 152–153, 162; Nestlé's system for dealing with, 162–166; and optimal scope of firm, 172–173; and organizational structure, 170–172; triangulating, to improve performance, 129–131; types of, 125–126; variability of, 153, 170, 200n1. *See also* Execution gaps; Strategy gaps; Uncontrollable gaps
 Performance indicators: derived from key assumptions, 97–98; relevance of, 12, 69–74, 99–103; searching for better, 109–114; specificity of, 103–106. *See also* Metrics; Metrics matrix

Performance management: companies with advanced approach to, 125, 198n5; guess-test system of, 14, 19, 178–179; reasons to focus on, 9–11

Performance reporting: analogy for pursuing balance in, 65–69; in continual pursuit of data, 75, 84–88, 89–90; not testing strategies, 75–78; tracking red herrings, 78–83, 89. *See also* Balanced scorecards

Performance results: and assumptions, 125; examples of, reflecting performance gaps, 126–128; need to change use of, 122–123. *See also* Performance gaps

Performance reviews: and forecasts, 184, 201n1; morale destroyed by, 146, 184–185; as strategy reviews, 131–140, 185–187

Popper, K., 59–60, 91, 92

Predictive metrics trap, 157, 158

Press, J., 95

Profit redenomination, 141–143

Q

Quine, W.V.O., 130

R

Raiffa, H., 92

Rand Corporation, assumption-based planning (ABP) of, 31–32

Red herrings: defined, 8, 97; example of tracking, 78–83, 89

Relevance: and acquisition planning, 15, 23–24, 172–173, 183–184; combining specificity with, 106–109; as complementing specificity, 6–7, 116–117; defined, 6, 182; formula for, 198n14; implications of, for solving problems, 15–16; and leadership, 16, 188–189; measuring, 182–183; of performance indicators, 12, 69–74, 99–103

Relevance revolution, 153, 174, 189–190

Requirements: mistaken for strategies, 7–8, 119; tested by balanced scorecards, 13, 117–118

Requirements-based analysis, 7–8

Reverse income statements, 28, 33–34, 47–48

Risk management, and lack of testable strategies, 22

Risks: identifying assumptions about, 51–53; increased by operating inside capabilities, 147–148; ranking, 47; rate of return on investment and, 174, 201n11

Roberts, J., 19

Roberts, K., 78, 79, 81, 82–83

Rorty, R., 9

Rowen, M., 91

S

Saatchi, C., 81–82

Saatchi, M., 81, 82

Saatchi & Saatchi, 78–83, 89

Schnall, P., 92, 101

Scope of firm, and relevance, 15, 23–24, 172–173, 183–184

Sears, 147, 199n14

Settled assumptions, 47, 49–50

Shell, 198n5, 199n7

Siegel & Gale, 87

Six Sigma, 50; at GE, 34–37

Southern Company, 51

Southwest Airlines, 51

Specificity: combining relevance with, 106–109; as complementing relevance, 6–7, 116–117; defined, 6; of performance indicators, 103–106

Stonecipher, H., 147, 199n15

Strategic job families, 49–50

Strategies: chaotic, 24, 26–29; clarity of, 24–25; execution of, 57, 195n12; growth as testing, 60–61; mistaking requirements for, 7–8, 119; performance reporting not testing, 75–78; reviews driven by, 167–170; stagnant, 24, 25–26. *See also* Eight-line strategies; Testable strategies

Strategy gaps: defined, 125, 126, 185; estimating, in performance reviews, 135–136; and execution gaps, 127–128, 139; how to close, 140–145; variability of, 151, 153, 158–162

Strategy Maps (Kaplan and Norton), 49, 75

Strategy reviews: benefits of, 13, 145, 186–187; consequences for companies without, 145–149; frequency of, 166–170; performance reviews as, 131–140, 185–187

Supervenience, 195n12

T

- Tactics, vs. execution, 123
- Testable strategies: defined, 12, 24–25;
 - lack of, at BP, 19–22; and learning from experience, 18–19, 29–38, 175, 180–181; value of, 55–61
- Toyoda, K., 94, 197n7
- Toyota, 51, 94–96, 97, 197n9
- Transaction costs, 23–24, 183

U

- Uncontrollable gaps: defined, 125, 126, 185; estimating, in performance reviews, 135; problems indicated by, 139; separating execution gaps from, 129, 199n7; variability of, 151, 153, 155–158
- U.S. Army, and assumption-based planning (ABP), 31–32

V

- Valenstein, R., 95
- Valero, 198n5
- Variability: defined, 153, 200n1; even, 170; of execution gaps, 151, 153–155; of strategy gaps, 151, 153, 158–162; of uncontrollable gaps, 151, 153, 155–158
- Vives, A., 93

W

- Walgreens, 141, 142
- Wells Fargo, 141
- Winter, S., 26
- World Bank, 5

Y

- Yoon, H., 116

