

A

- Abstract conceptualization, 38
- Academic advising: benefits to students of, 243–244; discussing how to achieve goals, 245–246; helping students assess their readiness, 247–248; helping students develop academic plans, 248; identifying/clarifying educational goals, 244–245
- Academic dishonesty: faculty views regarding, 189–190; formal actions taken in case of, 193; identifying and discussion forms of, 190–191; strategies for preventing, 191–193
- Academic integrity: formal actions on discovery of violation, 193; stressing value of, 190; syllabus discussion on, 76–77
- Academic Integrity at Princeton University, 190
- Academic plans: facilitating student development of, 248; reaching educational goals through, 244–246
- Accountability, 143
- Active experimentation, 38–39
- Active-reflective learners, 43
- Activities. *See* Class activities
- Allen, B., 200
- Allen, D. E., 148
- Allen, H. L., 262
- Allen, H. P., 127
- American Association of Colleges and Universities (AACU), 207, 218
- American Diploma Project (2004), 6, 7
- American Freshman Project, 4, 19
- American Philosophical Association's Website, 156
- Anderson, L. W., 62, 65, 71
- Anderson, R. S., 195
- Anderson, V. J., 171, 178, 182
- Angelo, T., 124, 250, 260
- Anonymity issue: large class size and, 221–222; strategies for overcoming, 222–225
- Appleby, D., 246
- Arrow-smith, W., 256
- The Art of Changing the Brain: Enriching the Practice of Teaching by Exploring the Biology of Learning* (Zull), 101
- Asian American students, 15
- Assessment. *See* Evaluation
- Assignments: class reading of samples of student, 228; for collaborative student work, 137–146; critical-incident, 176–177; essay questions and other writing, 167–174; final grade weight by, 184; helping students learn from reading, 121–128; for individuals student work, 132–136; jigsaw, 139–140, 210, 211; postexam reflection, 177–178; postwrite, 177; reconsidering approach to, 120–121; syllabus preview of, 74–75; time log/time management, 250–251. *See also* Coursework
- Astin, A. W., 15, 16, 157, 201, 240
- Audience response technology, 223–224

B

- Bailey, J. H., 150
- Bannister, S., 206
- Banta, T. W., 150
- Barefoot, B., 157, 256, 258, 261
- Barkley, E. F., 116, 146
- “Base groups,” 223
- Baxter Magolda, M. B., 25

- Bean, J. C., 117, 123, 125, 126, 129, 185, 196
- Beatty, I., 223
- Belenky, M. F., 26, 29, 41
- Beyond Facts: Objective Ways to Measure Thinking*
(Miller, Williams, and Haladyna), 181
- Bisexual students, 16
- Bjork, R. A., 52
- Black, K. E., 150
- Bligh, D. A., 100, 220
- Bloom, B., 62
- Bloom's Taxonomy, 62–64, 65, 181
- Boyer, E., 260
- Bransford, J. D., 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 103
- Bredehoft, D., 141
- Briggs, L. J., 62
- Briggs-Myers, P., 35
- Brookfield, S., 177
- Brown, A. L., 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 103
- Brown* (Rodriquez), 212
- Brownell, W., 174
- C**
- Campus activity sampler, 251
- Campus Compact, 156
- Carskadon, T., 42
- Casazza, M. E., 46
- Case study methods: DNA fingerprinting, 84–85; overview of, 108–109
- Center for Academic Integrity, 76, 190, 196
- Changing the Way We Grade Student Performance: Classroom Assessment and the New Learning Paradigm*
(Anderson and Speck), 195
- Cheating: faculty continuum regarding, 189–190; formal actions taken in case of, 193; identifying and discussion forms of, 190–191; plagiarism form of, 190–193; strategies for preventing, 191–193
- Chickering, A. W., 36
- Choy, S., 14
- Chronicle of Higher Education*, 194, 212
- Chunking information, 88–89
- Class activities: case studies used as, 84–85, 108–109; challenge of grading, 229; Curious Students Want to Know, 81; encouraging participation in, 228–230; experiments and demonstrations, 84; general suggestions for, 112–116; grading based on participation in, 184–185; introductory survey, 79; learning objectives of, 112; Mystery Game, 82–83; overcoming anonymity through small-group, 222–223; preparing instructions for, 227; providing student motivation for, 114; role-playing methods, 109–112; scavenger hunt, 80–81; Seven Most Important, 83–84; student introductions, 79–80; syllabus preparation of, 74–75; writing letter to next year's first-year students, 251–252; writing-to-learn, 106–108.
See also First-year instruction
- Class discussion: using difference as invitation to, 205; differences producing difficult dialogues in, 214–217; encouraging participation in, 228–230; of examples used in instruction, 93–94; facilitating inclusion in, 207–210; grading participation in, 184–185; guiding student note taking during, 95–96; on learning styles and preferences, 81–82; sampling student work as part of, 228; scheduling extra sessions in addition to, 225; "silencing" danger in, 208; small-group, 104–106, 222–223
- Class discussion examples: class discussion of, 94; selecting appropriate, 93–94
- Class Reaction Survey, 99*e*
- Class size: anonymity and large, 221–225; challenges of teaching a large, 219–221; getting students involved despite, 225–230; strategies for engaging students in large, 230–232; student perceptions on effect of, 9–10; testing and grading challenges related to, 232–234; working with teaching assistants in large, 234–237
- Classroom communication systems, 223–224
- Classroom inclusion: despite large class size, 225–230; importance of, 199–200; strategies for dealing with difficult dialogues, 214–217; student diversity and, 200–202; three-part plan for facilitating, 202–214
- Classrooms: creating inclusion in first-year, 199–217; creating involvement in the, 103–129; grading based on attendance/participation in, 184–185; note taking in, 95–96
- Claxton, C. S., 36
- Clinchy, B. M., 25, 26, 41
- Cocking, R. R., 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 103
- Collaborative Learning Techniques: A Handbook for College Faculty* (Barkley, Cross, and Major), 116, 146
- Collaborative student work: forming groups for, 143–144; a group gone wrong, 145*e*; interdependence and accountability of, 143; sampler of assignments for, 137–142; suggestions for effective, 143–144, 146
- College Connections course (University of Oregon), 152–153
- College Student Experiences Questionnaire, 11
- College Teaching* (journal), 258
- Colored People* (Gates), 202
- Commercially prepared materials, 134
- Concrete experience, 37
- Connolly, P., 127
- Constructed knowledge, 28–29
- Consulting Psychologists Press, 39
- Cooper, J. L., 237

- Cottell, P. G., Jr., 140
- Course materials: commercially prepared, 134; inclusion facilitation through, 210–211; surveying students on interests related to, 241
- Course policies: on academic integrity/dishonesty, 76–77, 190–193; listed in syllabus, 76; on office hours, 224–225, 240–243
- Coursework: assessing student readiness for, 247–248; using commercially prepared materials for, 134; designed to facilitate inclusion, 203–205; e-learning, 231–232; lack of experience anxiety over new, 8–9; learning communities and linked, 151–152; memorization demands of, 51–52; problem-based learning in writing, 149*e*; scheduling extra sessions as part of, 225; syllabus for, 67–78, 241, 248. *See also* Assignments; Curriculum; First-year instruction
- Creating Significant Learning Experiences: An Integrated Approach to Designing College Courses* (Fink), 63, 65
- Critical-incident assignments, 177
- Cross, K. P., 116, 146, 259, 260
- Cross, P., 124, 250
- Cuddy, L., 125
- Cureton, J., 201
- Curious Students Want to Know activity, 81
- Curriculum: designed to facilitate inclusion, 203–205; differences used to relate to, 205–206; learning objectives of, 52–54, 62–64, 91–92, 112, 164*e*. *See also* Coursework; Syllabus
- Cuseo, J. B., 209, 210, 211
- D**
- Data-provided microtheme, 126
- Davis, B. G., 190, 242
- Davis, J. R., 87
- de Winstanley, P. A., 52
- Deerman, M. A., 96
- Demonstration activities, 84
- Department of Education report (1999–2000), 194–195
- Developing Reflective Judgment* (King and Kitchener), 30
- DeZure, D., 96
- Diekhoff, G. M., 190, 193
- Discussions. *See* Class discussion
- Diversity: classroom/curriculum inclusion and, 200–217; of international teaching assistants (TAs), 235; as invitation to engage in discussion, 205–206; student racial, 15–16, 200–202; student religious and gender orientation, 15–16, 202
- Dixon, N., 39
- DNA fingerprinting case study, 84–85
- Donovan, S. S., 137
- Double entry note taking, 124
- Drenk, D., 126
- Dualism developmental position, 22–24, 27
- Duch, B. J., 148, 231
- E**
- E-learning courseware, 231–232
- E-mail: interaction problems inherent in, 115; Margaret's, 3–4, 5, 19
- Earlham College, 134
- Edens, K. M., 150, 151
- Educational goals: developing academic plans to attain, 248; discussing knowledge/skills to achieve, 245–246; identifying and clarifying, 244–245
- Effective Grading: A Tool for Learning and Assessment* (Walvoord and Anderson), 182
- Eight Bullets: One Woman's Story of Surviving Anti-Gay Violence*, 206
- Eison, J. A., 162
- Embedded Figures Test, 36
- Employment planning, 245
- Engaging Ideas: The Professor's Guide to Integrating Writing, Critical Thinking, and Active Learning in the Classroom* (Bean), 117, 129
- Engaging Large Classes* (Stanley and Porter), 237
- Essay questions: constructing inclusive, 211–214; evaluation using, 167–168; grading, 170–174; “less clear” and “clearer” instructions for, 169*e*; preventing cheating on, 191; suggestions for constructing, 168–170
- Evaluation: assessing contribution to group work, 174–175; described in syllabus, 72–74; essay questions and writing assignments used for, 167–174; frequency of assessing student learning, 186; issues/related problems of, 162–163; of learning communities, 154; multiple choice questions used for, 163–167; portfolios used for, 178–181; of problem-based learning (PBL), 150–151; of service learning, 156–158; of student learning through feedback, 98; of student readiness for coursework, 247–248; student self-assessment, 176–178. *See also* Feedback; Grading
- Evans, N. J., 45
- Experiment activities, 84
- Extra credit, 185–186
- Extra help sessions, 225
- Extraversion-introversion (MBTI dimension), 39–40
- Eyler, J., 157, 158
- Eyring, E., 155
- F**
- Faculty: academic advising by, 243–249; assignment support of students by, 31–32; connection between teaching and inquiry by, 260; initial investment in PBL approach by, 150–151; office hours held by,

- 224–225, 240–249; personal contacts with students by, 115; proposed bargain between first-year students and, 12–13; providing guidance in student portfolios, 179–180; supporting active study practices, 131–146; troubling trend in part-time, 262–263; views regarding cheating by, 189–190.
See also First-year instruction; Institutions
- Faculty Advising Examined* (Kramer), 253
- Faculty communities, 258–262
- Faculty Survey of Student Engagement, 8
- Fadiman, A., 211
- Farber, E. I., 134
- Feedback: Class Reaction Survey, 99*e*; requesting student, 98. *See also* Evaluation
- Feeling-thinking (MBTI dimension), 41
- Feinstein Center for Service Learning, 155
- Felder, R. M., 42
- Field experiences, 30–31
- Field independence approach, 36–37
- Field-dependent students, 36
- Field-independent students, 36, 37
- Field-sensitive students, 36–37
- FIGs (freshman interest groups), 152–153
- Fincher, C., 36
- Fink, L. D., 63, 65
- First-year instruction: building faculty communities supportive of, 258–262; case studies used in, 84–85; as “community property,” 261; implications of intellectual development research for, 31–33; learning goals classifications for, 62–64; learning how to learn and, 60–62; learning objectives of, 52–54, 62–64, 91–92, 112, 164*e*; long-term benefits of excellence of, 263; meeting the first class, 78–85; memorization demands and, 50–52; preparing the syllabus, 67–78; presenting and explaining strategies for, 87–100; strengthening commitment to, 255–263; thinking applications of, 54–60; transformed teaching used in, 147–159; troubling trends in part-time faculty affecting, 262–263. *See also* Class activities; Coursework; Faculty
- First-year seminars: campus activity sampler function of, 251; characteristics of, 249; inventory of expectations and practice of, 249–250; time logs used in, 250–251; write a letter to next year’s first-year students activity of, 251–252
- First-year students: anonymity suffered by, 221–225; assessing learning/requesting feedback from, 98; cheating by, 189–193; class introductions of, 79–80; definitions of learning by, 64–65; faculty academic advising of, 243–249; faculty assignment support provided to, 31–32; general impressions of, 4–6; initial feelings expressed by, 7–10; introductory survey of, 79; Margaret’s e-mail from one, 3–4, 5, 19; past practice indicator of successful, 8–9; personal contacts by faculty to, 115; preparation indicator of successful, 6–7; proposed bargain between faculty and, 12–13; racial, religious, gender orientation composition of, 15–16; sense of being overwhelmed by, 16–18; supporting active study practices of, 131–146; traditional and nontraditional experiences of, 10–12, 14–15
- First-year students with disabilities, 96
- Forney, D. S., 45
- Foster, C., 75
- Frank, O. M., 36
- Frankenberg, E., 200
- Frederiksen, N., 54, 58, 103
- Freshman interest groups (FIGs), 152–153
- Freud, S., 110
- Friedman, L., 156
- The Fundamental Values of Academic Integrity* (1999), 190
- G**
- Gagne, R. M., 62
- Gardner, J., 256
- Gates, H. L., 202
- Gay students, 16
- Gender intellectual development patterns, 29
- Gender orientation differences, 15–16, 202
- Genereux, R. L., 190, 192, 193
- Giles, D. E., Jr., 157, 158
- Gilmartin, S. K., 157
- Ginsberg, M., 208, 209, 213
- GLBT (gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transsexual) students, 16
- Global-sequential learners, 43
- Goldberger, N. R., 26, 41
- Goldsmith, M., 72
- Grade inflation, 194–195
- Grading: challenges related to class size, 232–234; challenging related to class activity, 229; criteria for determining, 184–188; on the curve versus setting standards, 187–188; frequency of assessment using, 186; holistic scoring guide for writing assignment, 173*e*; peer, 234; plus-check-zero grading scheme, 136; primary functions of, 183–184; problems associated with, 188–195; PTA (primary trait analysis), 171*e*–172; rubrics for portfolios, 180–181; writing assignments, 170–174. *See also* Evaluation
- “Grading Classroom Participation” (Bean and Peterson), 195
- Grading criteria: class attendance and homework, 184; class participation, 184–185; effort, improvement, and extra credit, 185–186

- Grading problems: cheating by students, 189–193;
grade inflation, 194–195; pressure to scale the grades,
188–189
- Graesser, A. C., 52
- Groh, S. E., 148
- Group meetings: example of dysfunctional process,
145*e*; writing minutes for, 174–175
- Group projects: assessing contribution to, 174–175;
as collaborative assignments, 141–142; dynamics
during, 144, 146; example of dysfunctional process,
145*e*; formation of groups, 143–144; office hour
meetings as part of, 241; peer review of contribu-
tions to, 175*e*; student self-assessment for, 176–178.
See also Small-group discussion
- Guided question writing, 124
- Guido-DiBrito, F., 45
- H**
- Habley, W. R., 243
- Hakel, M. D., 52
- Haladyna, T. M., 181
- Halpern, D. F., 52
- Hanson, G. R., 249
- “Harvard Releases Report. . .” (2001), 194
- “Helicopter parents,” 244
- Help sessions, 225
- Heppner, F., 84
- Herreid, C., 109
- High school preparation, 6–7
- Hofer, B. K., 31, 33
- Holistic scoring guide, 173*e*
- Holmes, W., 225–226, 228
- How People Learn* (Bransford, Brown, and Cocking), 57
- Hu, X., 52
- Human Rights as Politics and Idolatry* (Ignatieff), 51
- Hung, W., 150
- Hunter, M. S., 249
- I**
- Ignatieff, M., 51
- Ikedo, E. K., 157
- Ill-structured problems, 55–60
- Index of Learning Styles*, 42–43
- Individual accountability, 143
- Individual student work: sampler of individual assign-
ments, 132–134; suggestions for effective, 134–136
- Information: chunking, 88–89; suggestions for teaching
new, 112–113. *See also* Knowledge
- Information literacy assignments, 133–134
- Institutional excellence, 256
- Institutions: building supportive faculty communities,
258–262; campus activity sampler on, 251; commit-
ment to first-year programs by, 256–258; connection
between teaching and inquiry in, 260; diversity in
student composition of, 15–16, 200–217, 235; trou-
bling trend in part-time faculty use by, 262–263.
See also Faculty
- Instruction. *See* First-year instruction
- Integrated studies learning communities, 153–154
- Intellectual development: commitment in relativism,
26; dramatic differences in, 21–22; dualism position
of, 22–24, 27; gender-related patterns of, 29; helping
students with challenges to, 113–114; implications
for instruction, 31–33; multiplicity position of, 24–25;
reflective judgment model of, 29–31; relativism po-
sition of, 25–26; *Women’s Ways of Knowing* findings
on, 26–29. *See also* Knowledge
- International teaching assistants (TAs), 235
- Introduction to Rubrics*: (Stevens and Levi), 182
- Introductory survey of students, 79
- Introversion-extraversion (MBTI dimension), 39–40
- Intuition-sensing (MBTI dimension), 40–41
- Intuitive-sensing learners, 43
- IRC (Intergroup Relations Center), 215, 216
- Irving, W., 125
- Ishler, J.L.C., 14, 16
- J**
- Jensen, G. H., 39
- Jigsaw assignments, 139–140, 210, 211
- JITT (just-in-time teaching) reading assignments,
128
- Johnson, D. W., 82, 137, 140, 223
- Johnson, R. T., 82, 137, 140, 223
- Jonassen, D. H., 150
- Jones, W. T., 201
- Judging-perceiving (MBTI dimension), 41–42
- K**
- Kafka, P., 210
- Kaplan, M., 96
- Kardia, D., 209, 211
- Karp, S. A., 36
- Kerr, T. J., 243
- Kesner, L., 155
- Keup, J. R., 157
- King, A., 124
- King, P. M., 25, 29, 30, 31, 32, 243
- King, R., 202
- Kitano, M., 204, 207
- Kitchener, K. S., 25, 29, 30, 31, 32
- Kline, K. A., 150
- Knefelkamp, L., 207
- Knowing and Reasoning in College* (Magolda), 29

- Knowledge: constructed, 28–29; memorizing as part of, 50–52; multiple choice questions to assess range of, 164*e*; procedural, 27–28; received, 27; reflective judgment model and levels of, 29–31; required to achieve educational goals, 245–246; subjective, 27. *See also* Information; Intellectual development; Learning
- Kohn, A., 195
- Kolb, D. A., 37, 38, 39, 44
- Korn, W. S., 15, 16, 201
- Kozol, J., 202
- Kramer, G. L., 253
- Krathwohl, D. R., 62, 65, 71
- Kuh, G. D., 5, 11, 257, 259
- Kurfiss, J. G., 24, 25, 56, 58, 59
- L**
- Large class size: anonymity and, 221–225; challenges of teaching with, 219–221; getting students involved despite, 225–230; problem-based learning and, 230–231; strategies for engaging students in, 230–232; student perceptions on effect of, 9–10; testing and grading challenges related to, 232–234; working with teaching assistants in case of, 234–237
- LASSI (Learning and Study Skills Inventory), 249, 250
- Laufgraben, J. L., 159
- Leamson, R., 87, 100, 103
- Learner-Centered Teaching* (Weimer), 97
- Learning: continual assessment of student, 98; frequency of assessing student, 186; memorization demands and, 50–52; metacognition and, 61; PBL (problem-based learning), 148–151, 230–231; service, 30–31, 154–158; student definitions of, 64–65; surface processing approach to, 90; thinking applications of, 54–60. *See also* Knowledge
- Learning communities: described, 151; evaluation of, 154; FIGs (freshman interest groups), 152–153; integrated studies, 153–154; linked courses and, 151–152
- Learning and Development: Making Connections to Enhance Teaching* (Silverman and Casazza), 46
- Learning how to learn, 60–62
- Learning and Motivation in the Postsecondary Classroom* (Svinicki), 101
- Learning objectives: class activity, 112; classifications of, 62–64; defining instruction, 91–92; multiple choice questions to assess range of, 164*e*; understanding as, 52–54
- The Learning Portfolio: Reflective Practice for Improving Student Learning* (Zubizarreta), 182
- Learning styles: class discussion about, 81–82; definition of, 35; Felder-Silverman learning style model on, 42–43, 44; field independence approach to, 36–37; implications of, 44–45; learning style inventory approach to, 37–39; Myers-Briggs type indicator and, 39–42, 44; student self-reflection on their own, 176
- “Leaving Forever” (Levertov), 83
- Lecture instruction, 91
- Lee, C., 200
- Lee, F. D., 126
- Lesbian students, 16
- Levertov, D., 83
- Levi, A. J., 182, 185
- Levine, A., 201
- Lewis, R. B., 162
- Lieux, E. M., 150
- Light, R. J., 137, 138, 239, 240, 250
- Lin, Y., 58, 59, 87
- Lindblad, J., 154
- Linder, C., 249
- Lynchburg College, 156
- M**
- McClintock, B., 206
- MacGregor, J., 154, 182, 237
- McKeachie, W. J., 58, 59, 87, 91, 110, 165, 196, 224, 242, 259
- McKinney, W. L., 251
- McLeod, A., 233–234
- McLeod, B. A., 190, 192, 193
- Major, C. H., 116, 146
- Margaret’s e-mail, 3–4, 5, 19
- Martin, K., 126
- Marton, F., 90
- Masterton, W. L., 55, 56
- Math reading assignments, 127–128
- Mazzone, M., 200
- MBTI (Myers-Briggs Type Indicator), 39–42, 44
- Meacham, J., 202
- Mederer, H., 211
- Memorization: chunking information to facilitate, 88–89; demands for, 50–52
- Messick, S., 36
- Metacognition, 61
- Meyers, C., 133
- Michaelson, L. K., 144
- Microtheme essay, 126–127
- Midterm self-assessment, 178
- Miles, E., 149
- Miller, H. G., 182
- Millis, B. J., 140
- Milton, O., 51, 162
- Moje, E. B., 121, 127
- Montgomery, J., 109
- Moore, W., 154

- Motivation, 114
- Multiple choice questions: to assess range of objectives, 164*e*; overview of using, 163, 165; preventing cheating on, 193; suggestions for using, 166–167
- Multiplicity developmental position, 24–25
- Murrell, P. H., 36
- My Freshman Year: What a Professor Learned by Becoming a Student* (Nathan), 20
- Myers, I. B., 39
- Mystery Game, 82–83
- N**
- Nathan, R., 20
- National Assessment of Educational Progress (2002), 121
- National Center for Case Study Teaching in Science, 109
- National Center for Education Statistics, 14, 121
- National Learning Communities Project (NLCP), 154
- National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 19, 51–52, 249
- Neurons, 100
- New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 258
- Nilson, L. B., 117, 242
- Nist, S. L., 124
- Norman, D. A., 87, 93
- Note taking: guiding student class, 95–96; reading assignment, 124
- O**
- O'Brien, C., 226
- Office hour meetings: conducting, 242–243; requiring learning activity, 241
- Office hours: academic advising during, 243–249; assertively inviting students to, 224–225; benefits to students, 241; online, 242; strategies for getting students to come to, 241–242; student fears regarding, 240
- “O’Keefe, Professor,” 3, 19
- Oltman, P. K., 36
- Online office hours, 242
- Open-ended questions, 125–126
- Orfield, G., 200
- Oseguera, L., 15, 16, 201
- P**
- Palmer, D. R., 249
- Park, O., 93
- Parkyn, D., 125
- Pascarella, E. T., 240
- PBL. *See* Problem-based learning
- Pederson, S. G., 194
- PEEK (Perceptions, Expectations, Emotions, and Knowledge About College Inventory), 249–250
- Peer grading, 234
- Peer interviews, 132–133
- Peer mentor sessions, 153
- Peer surveys, 132
- Perceiving-judging (MBTI dimension), 41–42
- Perry, W. G., Jr., 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 32
- Person, N. K., 52
- Personal contact, 115
- Personal Epistemology: The Psychology of Beliefs About Knowledge and Knowing* (Hofer and Pintrich), 33
- Peters, C. B., 109, 225, 229, 233
- Peterson, D., 185, 195, 196
- Pintrich, P. R., 31, 33, 58, 59, 87
- Pittenger, D. J., 44
- Plagiarism: discussing issue with students, 190–191; formal action on discovering, 193; strategies for preventing, 191–193
- Plus-check-zero grading scheme, 136
- Point Park University (Pittsburgh), 194
- Pollio, H. R., 162
- Popular media assignments, 133
- Porter, M. E., 237
- Portfolios: described, 178; developing rubric for, 180–181; evaluated on variety in work, 178–179; student choice in creating, 179–180; student reflection on the, 180–181
- Portland State University, 153
- Positive interdependence, 143
- Postexam reflection assignments, 177–178
- Postwrite assignments, 177
- Practice tasks, 112–113
- Prereflective knowledge level, 30
- Presenting/explaining strategies: abandoning the non-stop lecture, 91; assessing learning and requesting feedback, 98; checking for understanding, 96–97; Class Reaction Survey, 99*e*; defining objectives, 91–92; discussion of examples, 94; examining past methods to adopt new, 98, 99; guiding note taking, 95–96; highlighting the major points, 93; planning an introduction, 92; processing information and constructing meaning, 88–90; selecting appropriate examples, 93–94; summarizing often, 97–98; using visual aids, 95
- Preskill, S., 177
- Problem-Based Learning Clearinghouse website, 159
- Problem-based learning (PBL): described, 148; evaluations of, 150–151; in first-year writing course, 149*e*; ill-structured issues/problems used in, 55–60; large class, 230–231; reflective thinking and, 30–31; thinking applications of, 54–60; well-structures issues/problems used in, 54–55
- Procedural knowledge, 27–28
- Proctor examinations, 193

PTA (primary trait analysis) scoring, 171e–172
Pulvers, K., 190

Q

Quasi-reflective knowledge level, 30

R

Racial differences, 15–16, 200–202
Rangachari, P. K., 231
“Rappaccini’s Daughter” (Hawthorne), 53, 54
Raskin, E., 36
Ratcliff, J. L., 162
Readiness assessment, 247–248
Reading assignments: direct instruction on procedure for, 122–123; double entry note taking, 124; guided question writing on, 124; just-in-time teaching (JITT), 128; on math and science, 127–128; micro-theme essay on, 126–127; one sentence plus three pieces of evidence writing from, 125–126; paraphrase, summarize, draw concept map from, 123; reading journals, 125; research on value of, 121–122; writing statements on meaning of, 123
Reading journals, 125
Received knowledge, 27
Reflection. *See* Student reflection
Reflective judgment model, 29–31
Reflective observation, 38
Reflective thinking level, 30–31
Reflective-active learners, 43
Reich, R., 6
Relativism commitment developmental position, 25–28, 28
Religious differences, 15–16, 202
Reynolds, N., 177, 178, 179
Richmond, J., 155
Rip Van Winkle (Irving), 125
Robinson, P., 237
Rodriguez, R., 212–213
Role-playing methods, 109–112
Rosenthal, J., 205
Ross, D., 235
Rosser, S. V., 144, 209
Rubrics (portfolio), 180–181. *See also* Grading

S

Sadker, D., 208, 209
Sadker, M., 208, 209
Säljö, R., 90
SATIQGPAABCDF (Sat IQ GPA ABCDF) experiment, 88
Saunders, S., 209, 211
Savage Inequalities (Kozol), 202
Sax, L., 4, 8, 14, 15, 16, 17, 201

Scaling the grades, 188–189
Scavenger hunt activity, 80–81
Schoonover, E., 83
Schulte, A. C., 249
Science reading assignments, 127–128
Second Annual Survey of First-Year Academic Practices, 156–157
Sedlacek, W. E., 163
Self-assessment: of readiness for coursework, 247; strategies for, 176–178
Seminars. *See* First-year seminars
Sensing-intuition (MBTI dimension), 40–41
Sensing-intuitive learners, 43
Sequential-global learners, 43
Service learning: evaluation of, 156–158; overview of, 154–156; reflective thinking and, 30–31
Service Learning and the First-Year Experience: Preparing Students for Personal Success and Civic Responsibility (Zlotkowski), 159
Seshachari, N. C., 125
Seven Most Important activity, 83–84
Shapiro, N. S., 159
Shibli, A., 128
Shilling, K. (Karen), 13
Shilling, K. (Karl), 13
Shipman, H. L., 231
Shoichet, C., 194
Shulman, L., 260, 261
“Silencing” danger, 208
Silvermann, S. L., 46
Simpson, M., 124
“Skin Deep” (documentary), 200
Skinner, B. F., 110
Slowinski, E. J., 55, 56
Small-group discussion: methods of, 104–106; overcoming anonymity through, 222–223. *See also* Group projects; Study groups
Smallwood, S., 194
Smith, D.A.F., 58, 59, 87
Smith, K. A., 137, 140, 237
Smith, P., 256
Soloman, B. A., 42
“Space-making” selection pattern, 208
Spanier, G. B., 244
Speck, B. W., 195
The Spirit Catches You and You Fall Down (Fadiman), 211
Springer, L., 137
Stanford, B. G., 82
Stanford, G., 82
Stanitski, C. L., 55, 56
Stanley, C. A., 237
Stanne, M. E., 137
Steadman, M. H., 259

- Stevens, D. D., 182, 185
- Stevenson, J., 226
- Stice, J. E., 39
- Strategies for Energizing Large Classes: From Small Groups to Learning Communities* (MacGregor, Cooper, Smith, and Robinson), 237
- Structured-controversy assignments, 140–141
- Student Development in College: Theory, Research, and Practice* (Evans, Forney, and Guido-DiBrito), 45
- Student observation assignments, 133
- Student reflection: on portfolios, 180–181; postexam, 177–178; on their own learning styles, 176
- Student Self-Evaluation: Fostering Reflective Learning* (MacGregor), 181
- Student Success in College* (Kuh and colleagues), 259
- Students with disabilities, 96
- Students. *See* First-year students
- Study groups: assessing contribution to, 174–176; collaborative assignments by, 137–139; created as “base group,” 223; dynamics of, 144, 146; example of dysfunctional, 145e; formation of, 143–144; office hour meetings with, 241; peer review of contributions to, 175e; student self-assessment of contributions to, 176–178. *See also* Small-group discussion
- Study practices: for collaborative student work, 137–146; importance of good, 13–132; for individuals student work, 132–136
- Subjective knowledge, 27
- Summarizing: following involvement activity, 115–116; as instruction strategy, 97–98
- Summary-writing microtheme, 126
- Surface processing approach, 90
- Suskie, L., 163, 178, 179
- Sustaining and Improving Learning Communities* (Laufgraben, Shapiro, and Associates), 159
- Svinicki, M. D., 39, 53, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 101
- Syllabus: academic integrity discussed in, 76–77; basic course and instructor information listed in, 68; course outline and policies listed in, 76; description of evaluation procedures listed in, 72–74; introduction to subject matter in, 69–70; investigating potential courses through, 248; listing office hours in, 241; preparing a, 67–78; preview of class activities and assignments in, 74–75; required texts listed in, 68–69; statement of course goals in, 71–72; style and tone of, 77–78. *See also* Curriculum
- “Syllabus Tutorial” (2006), 85
- Synapses, 100
- T**
- Tarule, J. M., 26, 41
- A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing* (Anderson and Krathwohl), 65
- Taylor, K., 154
- Teaching assistants (TAs), 234–237
- Teaching at Its Best: A Research-Based Resource for College Instructors* (Nilson), 117
- The Teaching Professor* (newsletter), 258
- Teaching. *See* First-year instruction
- Teaching Tips* (McKeachie), 196, 259
- Technology: audience response (or classroom communication), 223–224; e-mail communication, 3–4, 5, 19, 115; online office hours, 242
- Terenzini, P. T., 18, 240
- Testing: challenges related to class size, 232–234; constructing inclusive, 211–214; essay, 167–174, 169e, 191; multiple choice, 163–164e, 165–167, 193; proctors used during, 193; strategies for preventing cheating during, 191–193
- Thesis statement grading, 171–172
- Thesis-support microtheme, 126
- Thinking skills applications: to ill-structured issued/problems, 55–60; to well-structured issues/problems, 54–55
- Thinking-feeling (MBTI dimension), 41
- Time log/management assignments, 250–251
- Tinto, V., 240
- Topping, K., 234
- Transformed teaching: benefits of, 158; learning communities as part of, 151–154; problem-based learning (PBL) for, 148–151; service learning as part of, 154–158
- Transsexual students, 16
- U**
- Understanding: checking for student, 96–97; definition of, 52–54; multiple choice questions to assess range of, 164e
- University of Maryland’s College Park Scholars program, 155
- University of Minnesota’s Center for Teaching and Learning Services, 85
- University of Oregon, 152–153
- University of Rhode Island, 155, 226
- V**
- Vilardi, T., 127
- Visual aids, 95
- Visual-verbal learners, 43
- Vogelgesang, L. J., 157
- W**
- Wade, S. E., 121, 127
- Wager, W. W., 62
- Walvoord, B. E., 178, 182
- Warren, L., 215, 216, 217

- Watkins, B., 234
- Websites: American Association of Colleges and Universities, 207, 218; American Philosophical Association, 156; Campus Compact, 156; on case study methods, 109; Center for Academic Integrity, 196; e-learning and, 231–232; *The Fundamental Values of Academic Integrity* (1999), 190; JITT (just-in-time teaching), 128; National Center for Case Study Teaching in Science, 109; PEEK surveys, 250; Problem-Based Learning Clearinghouse, 159; “Syllabus Tutorial” (2006), 85; University of Maryland’s College Park Scholars program, 155; University of Oregon FIGs courses, 152
- Weimer, M., 97
- Weinstein, C. E., 61, 249
- Well-structured problems, 54–55
- What it does* statements, 123
- What it says* statements, 123
- What’s the Use of Lectures?* (Bligh), 100
- Whitley, B. E., 190, 192
- Williams, P., 212, 214
- Williams, R. G., 181
- Witkin, H. A., 36
- Wlodkowski, R., 208, 209, 213
- Women’s intellectual development patterns, 26–29
- Women’s Ways of Knowing (WWK)* (Belenky, Clinchy, Goldberger, and Tarule), 26–29
- Woods, D. R., 231
- Writing assignments: evaluation using, 167–168; grading, 170–174; holistic scoring guide for, 173e; “less clear” and “clearer” instructions, 169e; plagiarism of, 190–193; portfolios, 178–181; suggestions for constructing, 168–170; which promote inclusion, 216; writing letter to next year’s first-year students, 251–252
- Writing-to-learn activities, 106–108
- Y**
- Yee, J. A., 157
- Z**
- Zeakes, S., 109
- Zlotkowski, E., 159
- Zubizarreta, J., 182
- Zull, J. E., 56, 57, 87, 88, 93, 95, 101, 103