

INDEX

A

Aaronson, K., 112–113
Accommodation, 186–193; with bosses, 190; as delaying tactic, 191–192; disadvantages of, 193; as negotiation style, 32, 36; reasons for using, 192–193; when to use, 185, 186, 189–191; why we use, 187–189
Acting upset: as coercive tactic, 79, 118, 123; example of, 13, 15; if information concealed, 123
“After you” tactic, 167
Agents, 47–50; defined, 47; disadvantages of using, 48–49; real estate purchase using, 287–290; tips on working with, 49–50; when to use, 48
Agreement, as stage of multiparty negotiations, 212, 216–217
Agreements, reaching into future, 30–31
Alcohol, negotiating while drinking, 244–245
Alliances, negotiating strategic, 153–154
Allies, used to manage impression of your concerns, 123
Alternatives: with avoidance, 197–198; in competitive negotiation, 80, 84–86; with compromise, 168; example of value of, 231–232; generating, in collaboration, 142–147; of other party, 63
Anger, 66, 117, 123
Antique dealer Web site, 163

Art gallery opening, negotiating showing at, 264–266
Aspirations. *See* Interests
Attorneys, when to consult, 79, 125
Authority: of agents, 50; giving impression of not having, 122; of other party, 64–65
Authority trap, 176–177
Avoidance, 193–201; active vs. passive, 200; examples of, with customers, 194–196, 198; moderate methods of, 197; as negotiation style, 32, 36; in order to pick time for battles, 199; potential benefits of, 200–201; by using withdrawal-threat tactic, 196–197; value of alternatives with, 197–198; when to use, 185–186, 194, 197, 201

B

Bait-and-switch tactic, 173–174
Bargaining, viii
Bargaining mix, 82
Bargaining range, 80–83
Bargaining stage, 44
Begin, M., 57
Better Business Bureau, 123
Bidding process, 44
Blocking, 211
Body language, 58–59, 63, 122
Bogey issue tactic, 116
Bosses: accommodation with, 190; collaborating with, 154–156; compromising with, 177–181; defending yourself against power plays by, 242; with poor negotiation skills, 73–75

Brainstorming, to generate alternative solutions, 145
 Breakout groups, to generate alternative solutions, 146
 Bridging solutions, 144

C

Cars: purchasing new, 271–277; purchasing used, 79–84, 85, 90–91, 99, 267–271; relationship and outcome concerns when buying, 26, 29–30; teenager wanting to borrow, 263
 Carter, J., 57
 Cascading yeses tactic, 239–241
 Caving in, 10
 Cell phone, bait-and-switch when purchasing, 174
 Characterization, 226, 234, 236
 Children, negotiation learned by, 7
 Ciba Corning Diagnostics, 153–154
 Ciba-Geigy, 153–154
 Closing stage, 44–45; in competitive negotiation, 125–126; when compromising, 170
 Coalitions, 202, 205–210; advantages of, 206; forming, 206–207; interacting with members of, 209–210; power gained from, 207–209
 Coercive tactics, 118
 Collaboration, 127–156; to achieve win-win solution, 16–17; with bosses, 154–156; commitment needed for, 136–137, 138, 151; focus on underlying interests in, 53–54; four-step process for, 140–150; goals in, 128–131, 137, 139, 150; in multiparty negotiations, 213–214; negative connotation of term, 127; as negotiation style, 32–33, 36–37; obstacles to, 137–139, 151–152; overcoming breakdown in, 152–153; problem-solving orientation of, 127–128; relationship building in, 131–133; requirements for, 134–137, 139–140; suggested tactics for, 150–151; switching to competitive negotiation from, 152, 153; use of time in, 136, 140, 149; when to use, 133–134
 Columbo, 104
 Commitment, 107–111; final offers as statements of, 109–110; getting out of, 110–111; required for collaboration, 136–137, 138, 151; signals of, 107–108; types of statements of, 108–109
 Commitment trap, 173–176
 Communication: choosing medium for, 255–256, 257; example of need for planning, 4; of strong influence message, 252–260; when using agents, 49
 Competitive negotiation, 92–126; closing in, 125–126; commitment in, 107–111; concessions in, 98–104; excitement and drama in, 90; general guidelines on, 105–107; haggling as form of, 181–183; how to cope with tough tactics in, 123–124; impact of, on relationships, 76–78; importance of mastering, 75–76; managing other party's impressions of your concerns in, 120–123; in multiparty negotiations, 213–214; as negotiation style, 32, 36–37; opening offers in, 79–80, 92–98; power of alternatives in, 84–86; preparing for, 79–84; self-fulfilling prophecies in, 89–90; tactics used in, 13, 14, 78–79, 111–118; time used tactically in, 13, 14, 107, 118–120; when not to use, 87–89; when to use, 77, 86–87; winning as goal of, 11–15
 Compliance trap, 171–172
 Compromise, 159–183; as blend of negotiating styles, 165–166; with

- bosses, 177–181; costs and benefits of, 166–167; dangers to avoid in, 171–177; example of, 163–165; haggling as form of, 181–183; making concessions in, 166–167, 168, 169, 170, 171; as negotiation style, 32, 33, 36–37; suggested tactics for, 167–171; value of spirit of, 159–160; when not to use, 162–163; when to use, 161–162
- Concerns: in collaboration, 139, 140, 141–142; in compromise, 165, 170; managing other party's impression of your, 120–123; of other party, 106. *See also* Interests
- Concessions, 98–104; defined, 98–99; irregular, 103–104; packaging, 101–102; pattern of, 102–103; rules on, 105–106; when collaborating, 140; when compromising, 166–167, 168, 169, 170, 171
- Conflict management, viii, 34
- Conflict resolution, viii
- Consistency, in competitive negotiation, 98
- Cooperative negotiation. *See* Collaboration
- Corning, 153–154
- Costs: of agents, 49, 50; cutting, as tactic for generating alternative solutions, 144; effect on, considered in choosing negotiation style, 30–31; of failure of multiparty negotiations, 215; fixed, importance of negotiating, 31; used to manage other party's impressions of your concerns, 122
- Crucial conversations, 1–2
- Cultural differences: in comfort with silence in negotiations, 113; in length of stages in negotiation, 45–46; in time for building support within organizations, 154; in time spent building relationship in competitive negotiation, 96; in willingness to haggle, 182
- Customers, using avoidance with, 194–196, 198
- ## D
- Deadlines: in collaboration, 136, 140; as source of power, 252; as tool in competitive negotiation, 13, 14, 119; when compromising, 168–169
- Deception, in competitive negotiation, 79, 114–117
- Dickering. *See* Haggling
- Difficult conversations, 1–2
- Digging in, 10
- Directive approach, to power, 247–248
- Divorce, negotiating, 292
- Dominating, 211
- Drinking, negotiating while, 244–245
- ## E
- E-mail, conducting negotiations by, 256, 257
- Emotional goals, 5, 7
- Emotional signaling, 13, 14
- Emotional stupidity, 188–189
- Emotions: escalated, in collaboration, 149; intangible goals and, 52; reacting with, 219, 221; used to manage other party's impression of your concerns, 122, 123
- Empathy, 236–237
- Employees: accommodation by, with bosses, 190; focuses of negotiations between managers and, 178–179; negotiating claim by former, 24–25; supervisor's time spent dealing with, 29; underlying interests in dispute between supervisor and, 53–54
- Employers: current, negotiating raise with, 112–113, 285–287; negotiating new job with, 279–284

Ethics, of influence and power, 238–239
 Excitement, in competitive negotiation, 90
 Expand-the-pie tactic, to generate alternative solutions, 143
 Expert proof, 175–176

F

Fairness, in collaboration, 148–149
 Final offers, as commitment statements, 109–110
 Formula-detail, 44
 Frames: common types of, 226–229; tips for managing, 235–237; value of understanding, of other party, 223–224, 229
 Framing, 219–237; defined, 220; example of transforming, to resolve conflict, 229–235; landlord-tenant conflict resolved by managing, 219–223; of strong influence message, 252–260. *See also* Reframing

G

Game, negotiation as, 8–9, 40–41, 46–51
 Goals: in collaboration, 128–131, 137, 139, 150; of competitive negotiation, 11–15, 86, 87; as concern in negotiation, 5, 6, 7; inexperienced negotiators' mistakes with, 9–10; prioritizing, 52, 168; researching, of other party, 61–62; setting, 42–43, 51–52, 69. *See also* Outcomes
 Goleman, D., 59, 188
 Good cop–bad cop tactic, 114–115, 176

H

Haggling, viii, 181–183
 Hardball bargaining. *See* Haggling
 Hardball tactics, 111–118, 123–124

Having seat at table, as source of power, 252
 “Helping the other party” tactic, 112–113
 HFG Expansion Fund, 57
 Highball-lowball tactic, 115
 Highland Energy Group, 56–57
 Home purchase, 287–290
 Home repair contract, 290–292
 Honesty, required for collaboration, 135–136

I

Ideas, fresh, as source of power, 246
 Identity, as frame, 226–227, 236–237
 “If . . . then” statements: as commitment signals, 108–109; when compromising, 156, 180; when making concessions, 100–101
 Implementation stage, 45
 Inexperienced negotiators: common errors made by, 9–10; competitive negotiation by, 88–89; examples of, 3–4, 73–75; extreme opening positions of, 92–94
 Influence: delivering convincing message to gain, 252–260; ethics of, 238–239; tactics of, to protect yourself against, 239–245. *See also* Power
 Information: concealing, 122–123; incomplete, suboptimal results due to, 3; listening to obtain, 106–107; misrepresenting, 122; as source of power, 250–251
 Information gathering: on other party, 60–66; in preparation stage, 41–42, 43
 Information sharing: with coalition members, 209; in collaboration, 137; with other parties, 59–60
 Intangibles: in collaboration, 140, 148–149; in competitive negotiation, 86, 100; in compromise, 165–166; as concern in negotia-

tion, 6; as consideration in planning, 51–52
 Interests: determining underlying, 52–56, 69; differences in, in collaboration, 129–130; as frame, 227, 232, 235, 249–250; of other party, 62–63, 141–142. *See also* Concerns
 Intimidation, 117–118

J

Job hunting, power of alternatives when, 85–86
 Jobs, new, negotiating with employers about, 279–284
 Joukowsky, T., 57

K

Kennedy, J. F., 190
 Khrushchev, N., 66

L

Lauder, L., 164
 Lawyers, when to consult, 79, 125
 Learning how to negotiate, 7–8
 Lease negotiations: misdirection used in, 121–122; multiparty, for office space, 203–205, 210
 Likability trap, 176
 Limits, identifying your, 69–70
 Listening: in competitive negotiation, 107, 113–114; when asking questions, 63
 Logrolling tactic, to generate alternative solutions, 143
 Lose to win. *See* Accommodation
 Lose-lose. *See* Avoidance

M

Master negotiators: example of skills of, 73–75; slow initial steps of, 10–11; style flexibility of, 17, 19–22
 Misdirection, 121
 Multiparty negotiations, 202–218; coalitions in, 202, 205–210; decid-

ing on role to play in, 210–212; negotiation styles used in, 203–205, 213–214; stages of, 212, 214, 216–217; types of, 202; unique aspects of, 214–215, 218

N

Needs, researching, of other party, 62–63
 Negotiation: as daily activity, 2–4; by e-mail, 256, 257; five-stage model of, 41–46; as game, 8–9, 40–41, 46–51; learning, 7–8; main concerns in, 5–7; participants in, 46–51; prevalence of, 1–2; as stage of multiparty negotiations, 212, 216; terminology for, viii, 1; to win, 11–15. *See also* Multiparty negotiations; Negotiation styles; Personal negotiation
 Negotiation strategies. *See* Negotiation styles; Tactics
 Negotiation styles: compromise as blend of, 165–166; considerations in choosing, 22–31, 32, 33; determining your preferred, 17–18, 34–35, 38–39; interactions between negotiators of different, 35, 36–37; in multiparty negotiations, 203–205, 213–214; need for flexibility in use of, 17, 19–22; no-strategy approach to choosing, 35, 38; overview of types of, 32–33; researching other party's likely use of, 65–66. *See also* Accommodation; Avoidance; Collaboration; Competitive negotiation; Compromise
 Negotiators: agents as, 47–50; interactions between, using different negotiation styles, 35, 36–37. *See also* Inexperienced negotiators; Master negotiators
 New cars, purchasing, 271–277
 New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission, 163–165

Nibble tactic, 14, 116, 124
 Nixon, R., 66
 No, saying, 172–173, 209
 Nonverbal cues, 58–59, 122
 Normative power, 208

O

Objections, handling, after presenting proposal, 258–259
 Objectives. *See* Goals
 Opening offers: in competitive negotiation, 79–80, 92–98; extreme, 92–94, 96; setting tone with, 97–98; who makes first, 94–96
 Opening stage, in negotiation, 43
 Opponents. *See* Other parties
 Other parties, 56–67; considering concerns of, when formulating proposal, 254–255; considering relationship with, 60; dealing with tough tactics used by, 123–124; example of anger of, 66; face saving by, 111; importance of understanding, 56–57; information sharing with, 59–60; making opportunities to study, 57–58; managing impressions of, of your concerns, 120–123; nonverbal cues of, 58–59; planning negotiation based on needs of, 59; researching, 60–66, 68–69; role reversal with, 67; value of understanding frame of, 223–224. *See also* Multiparty negotiations
 Outcomes: as concern in negotiation, 5, 7; as consideration when choosing negotiation style, 22–25, 28–31; as frame, 227. *See also* Goals

P

Participation, as source of power, 252
 Payoff, offering, to generate alternative solutions, 143–144
 Persistence: of multiparty negotiators, 214–215; as source of power, 246

Personal negotiation: of divorce, 292; example of, with suboptimal results, 3–4; of home repair contract, 290–292; of house purchase through agent, 287–290; leaving too much on table in, 264–267; for new job with employers, 279–284; of parents with teenagers, 263; prevalence of, 1–2; of raise with current employer, 112–113, 285–287; terminology for, 1; when buying new car, 271–277; when buying used car, 267–271; when planning wedding, 277–279
 Piano, R., 163, 164–165
 Piggybacking, to generate alternative solutions, 145–146
 Planning, 40–71; analysis steps for, 68–70, 71; considering participants when, 46–51; determining underlying interests when, 52–56, 69; determining what other party wants when, 56–67; importance of, 43, 68; setting goals and, 42–43, 51–52, 69. *See also* Preparation
 Playing chicken tactic, 117
 Position, as source of power, 251
 Power: choice of approaches to, 247–250; ethics of, 238–239; as frame, 228–229; sources of, 245–246, 250–252; testing, 246–247; types of, gained from coalitions, 207–209. *See also* Influence
 Power plays, defending yourself against, 241–242
 Prenegotiation, as stage of multiparty negotiations, 212, 216
 Preparation: for competitive negotiation, 79–84; as stage in negotiation, 41–43. *See also* Planning
 Primacy effect, 253
 Prioritizing: alternative solutions, 146–147; goals, 52, 168
 Problem solving: four-step collabora-

tive process for, 140–150; as orientation of collaboration, 127–128

Problems: generating alternative solutions to, 142–147; identifying, 141; selecting solution to, 147–150; understanding issues behind, 141–142

Process, as frame, 229, 236

Promises, as commitment statements, 108–109

Proposals: choosing communication medium for, 255–256, 257; considering other party's perspective when formulating, 254–255; handling questions and objections after presenting, 258–259; one-sided vs. two-sided approach to, 256–258; planning structure and style of, 253–254; reducing concentration on counterarguments to, 259–260

Pruitt, D., 143

Publishing: competition and collaboration required in, 16–17; negotiation of testimonial in, 266

Purchasing, negotiating per-unit costs in, 30

Q

Questions: for determining underlying interests, 55; handling, after presenting proposal, 258–259; in opening conversation of competitive negotiation, 96; for researching other party, 61–65; silence bracketing, 114

R

Real estate: avoiding selling, to undesirable customer, 198; competitive negotiation of sale of, 12–15; framing used in negotiating conflicts over, 219–223, 225–229, 230–235; multiparty negotiating leases for, 121–122, 203–205, 210; negotiat-

ing home repair contract for, 290–292; negotiating purchase of, through agent, 287–290; outcome and relationship concerns in negotiating rent for, 23–24

Reasonableness, as source of power, 245

Recency effect, 253

Reciprocity trap, 172

Recognition seeking, 211

Reframing: around interests, 249–250; around rights, 250; example of, to resolve conflict, 229–235

Rejecting offer, when compromising, 172–173

Relationship-based power, in coalitions, 208–209

Relationship-oriented role, in multiparty negotiations, 211–212

Relationships: accommodation for sake of, 190–191, 192, 193; avoidance and, 200; building, in collaboration, 131–133; competitive negotiation and, 76–78, 86; as concern in negotiation, 5–6, 7; as consideration when choosing negotiation style, 16, 23–28, 32, 60; extreme offers as risking destroying, 94; in multiparty negotiations, 215, 218; valued, tips on negotiation involving, 27–28

Rent negotiations, outcome and relationship as concerns in, 23–24

Resources: control over, as source of power, 251; as focus in employee-manager negotiations, 178–179

Rights, as frame, 228, 235, 250

Risk tolerance, in collaboration, 130

Rituals, closing, 45

Rogers, W., 191

Role reversal, with other parties, 67

Rubylane.com, 163

Rules: for competitive negotiation, 105–107; discussing, before

negotiating, 78; written and unwritten, 40–41. *See also* Tactics

S

Sadat, A., 57
 Salary negotiations: with new job, 279–284; outcome as concern in, 30; for raise from current employer, 112–113, 285–287
 Saving face, helping other party with, 111
 Saying no, 172–173, 209
 Scarcity bias, when compromising, 177
 Self-fulfilling prophecies, 89–90
 Self-oriented role, in multiparty negotiations, 210–211
 Silence, in competitive negotiation, 107, 113–114
 Situation, as consideration when choosing negotiation style, 33
 Skelton, R., 128
 Small business owners, caution on competitive negotiation by, 89
 Social proof, 175–176
 Social value orientation, 187
 Solutions: generating alternative, 142–147; selecting, 147–150; writing down, 150
 Specifications, as focus in employee-manager negotiations, 178
 Split the difference. *See* Compromise
 Spokespersons, in team negotiations, 51
 Stoner, T., 56–57
 Strange requests tactic, defending yourself against, 242–244
 Strategic power, 207
 Strategies. *See* Negotiation styles; Tactics
 Straw man tactic, 78–79, 116
 Styles. *See* Negotiation styles
 Summarizing, 152–153
 Sun-Tzu, 199
 Surveys, to generate alternative solutions, 146

T

Tactics: for collaborating, 150–151; for competitive negotiation, 13, 14, 78–79, 111–118; for compromising, 167–171; for generating alternative solutions, 143–146; hardball, 111–117, 123–124; of influence, protecting yourself against, 239–245; for interacting with coalition members, 209–210; in multiparty negotiations, 211, 212; to overcome breakdown in collaboration, 152–153; researching, likely to be used by other party, 65–66; time pressure as, 13, 14, 107, 118–120. *See also* Rules
 Target point, 79, 105
 Task-oriented role, in multiparty negotiations, 212
 Team negotiation, 50–51
 Technique, as source of power, 246
 Threats: as commitment statements, 108, 109; as way of using power, 248–249
 Time: accommodation to buy, 191–192; as focus in employee-manager negotiations, 178; future, agreements reaching into, 30–31; picking, for battles, 199; required for competitive negotiation, 87–88; required for multiparty negotiations, 214–215; required for negotiation stages, 45–46; as source of power, 252; used in collaboration, 130–131, 136, 140; used in competitive negotiation, 13, 14, 107, 118–120. *See also* Deadlines
 Tone, setting, 97–98
 Toughness, in competitive negotiation, 98
 Trust: building, in negotiation, 135; possibility of destroying, 88; required for collaboration, 134–135
 Trustworthiness: importance of, 41; researching, of other party, 64

U

Ultimatums, as way of using power,
248–249

Used cars, purchasing, 79–84, 85,
90–91, 99, 267–271

V

Vacation, collaboration to determine
location for, 143, 144

W

Walkaway points: alternatives as, 85;
concealing, 105; defined, 80, 83;
indicators of proximity to, 83–84;
knowing, when compromising,
168

Web service provider, “accidental”
negotiation with, 107

Weddings, planning, 277–279

Whitney Museum of American Art,
163–165

Wiggle room, 97

Win-lose. *See* Competitive negotiation

Winning, as goal of negotiation, 11–15

Win-win. *See* Collaboration

Withdrawal-threat tactic, 196–197

Y

“Yes, and . . .,” 180–181, 242

Yeses, cascading, 239–241

Z

Zigzagging, 10

