

INDEX

A

Action: communicative, 265–267, 268, 269; discussion and, 6
Affiliation groups, 132–134
Affirmative action, 135–136
Affirmative responses, 97–98
Althusser, L., 248, 249
Ambiguity, tolerance for, 23–24
Anger, 136–138
Appreciation: in classroom discussion, 15–16; expressing, 285–286
Aragon, S. R., 225, 241
Asking for evidence, 85–86
Assumptions: best students talk most, 285; evaluating in critical reading, 57; participation wins teacher's favor, 66, 172; periods of assumption hunting, 47; student recognition of, 24–25
Asynchronous online discussion, 220
Attentive listening. *See* Listening
Autonomy and classroom discussion, 17
Ayers, B., 226
Ayim, M., 156

B

Balancing student voices: checklist for, 189–191; overview, 168; responding to student silence, 183–189; talking too little, 177–183; talking too much, 169–177
Balancing teachers' voices: assuming sideline role, 201–202; balanced scenario for, 211–213; checklist for, 214; failing to model expectations, 202; knowing purpose of education,

196–197, 202–203; misunderstanding knowledge acquisition, 195–196; overestimating own contributions, 199–200; overview, 192–193; saying too much, 193–195, 207–209; succumbing to expectations of others, 197–198; suggestions for, 203–207; talking too little, 200–203, 209–210; underestimating own ideas, 203; underestimating students, 199

Barber, B., 17, 18, 201
Barth, R. S., 238
Bean, J. C., 40
Belenky, M. F., 148
Bellah, R., 11
Bernstein, B., 142, 249–250
Bernstein, R., 17–18
Boal, A., 165–166
Body language and listening, 91
Bourdieu, P., 142, 174, 249
Bowles, S., 248
Boyte, H., 138
Bridges, D., 5
Britzman, D., 183
Brookfield, S., 221, 260
Bruffee, K. A., 103–104
Bullies, 173
Burbules, N., 5, 15
Buzz groups: introducing into lectures, 47; relaxed, 102–103; structured, 103

C

Cale, G., 257, 257–258
Carnoy, M., 248
Cause-and-effect questions, 88

- Chats online, 219–220, 228, 234, 241
- Checklists: for balancing student voices, 189–191; for balancing teachers' voices, 214
- Chisom, R., 261
- Christensen, C., 95–96
- Circles: “Circle of Objects” exercise, 130–131; circle of voices, 78–79, 234, 266, 268; circular response discussions, 79–81, 266, 268; discussion in, 77–78; “Naming Ourselves” activity in, 128–130; whole-group discussions and, 101–102
- Clarification: clarifier's role, 251; questions for, 86; of teacher's expectation for students, 59–61
- Clarke, S., 152
- Class distinctions, *See also* Working-class students: class-based speech patterns, 249–250; cultural capital and, 249; discussions and, 124–125; education as economic socialization, 248–249; feeling of working-class students as imposters, 143, 143–144; speech norms and, 140–146
- Class minutes, 206
- Classroom discussion: appreciation, 15–16; autonomy and, 17; benefits of, 21–35; declaring classroom speech policy, 65–66, 250; deliberation, 13–15; groupthink in, 232; hope in, 16; hospitality in, 8–9; humility in, 12; limits of, 36; mindfulness, 11–12; mutuality, 12–13; participation and, 9–10; principles for, 8–17; repressive tolerance and, 255–256; safety in, 14–15
- Clinchy, B. M., 148
- Collaborative learning habits, 33–34
- Collins, M., 14
- Color of Fear, The*, 134
- Commonplace books, 160–161
- Commonwealth* (Boyte), 138
- Communication. *See also* Speech: developing clear, 32–33; videotaping gender-based, 163–164
- Communicative action, 265–267, 268, 269
- Complexity, tolerance for, 23–24
- Conformity: Fromm's automaton, 233; groupthink in classroom discussion, 232; by women to men's speech standards, 154
- Connected teaching, 158
- Conversation: comparing with dialogue and discussion, 4–6; conversational moves, 99–100, 251, 268; as human activity, 6; protocols for critical, 114–117
- Course grades: grading online participation, 242–243; integrating discussion in, 39–40; rewarding discussion, 180, 189
- Course portfolio, 277, 279–281
- Crawford, M., 152
- Critical conversation protocols, 114–117
- Critical discussions: critical debate exercise, 111–113, 259, 266; protocols for, 114–117; teacher's responsibility in, 18–19; value of, 7–8, 20
- Critical incident questionnaires (CIQs): addressing students who talk too much, 175–177; analyzing gender influences, 162–163; balancing teacher's voice with, 204; communicative action via, 267; debriefing last week's, 68–69; discussion logs and, 282; evaluating discussion with, 16; evaluating offensive speech, 145–146; influence of gender in, 149, 150; online versions of, 221; preparing students for discussion with, 48–50; spotting imbalanced participation in, 171–172, 254
- Critical reading, 57
- Critiquing, 167
- Cultural allegiance, 125
- Cultural capital, 249
- Cultural diversity, *See also* Class distinctions; Pluralism; Race: affiliation groups, 132–134; “Circle of

- Objects" exercise, 130–131; class and speech, 140–146; "Encircled Circle" exercise, 131–132; 5-minute rule, 134–135; honoring and respecting, 127–128; keeping racist speech in check, 138–140; methodological belief, 134–136, 259, 266; monitoring insensitivity, 139; "Naming Ourselves" activity, 128–130; outlets for anger and grief, 136–138; overview, 124–127, 146–147; perception checks, 139–140; race, class, and cultural distinctions, 124–125; varying student responses and, 118–119
- Culture of cool, 171, 180, 188–189
- Curse words and offensive speech, 142–143, 145–146
- D**
- Debate: critical debate exercise, 111–113, 259, 266; in public sphere, 265
- Deliberation, 13–15
- Democracy: decline of public sphere, 264–265; definitions of, 11; discourse theory of, 272–274; discussion groups and, 263–264, 274–275; individual autonomy and, 17; learning democratic discourse, 30–31; repressive tolerance and, 256–258; role of discussion in, 3–4; teaching in undemocratic society, 19
- Democratic faith, 16
- Democratic talk, 44–48
- Designated listeners, 94–95, 266
- Detective role, 114, 115–116
- Devil's advocate role, 114, 259, 260
- Dewey, J., 3, 16
- Dews, C.L.B., 144
- Dialogue, 4–5
- Dictionary definition exercise, 159–160
- Diller, A., 156
- Dillon, J., 5, 7, 89, 96–97
- Disciplinary power, 252
- Discourse: discourse theory of democracy, 272–274; Habermas' ideal rules of, 14, 272–273; learning democratic, 30–31
- Discussant roles, 111–118; clarifier, 251; detective, 114, 115–116; devil's advocate, 114, 259, 260; evidential assessor, 274; as members of critical debate, 111–113, 259, 266; protocols for critical conversation, 114–117; "stand where you stand" exercise, 117–118; storyteller, 115–116; textual focuser, 273; types of, 113–114, 251; umpire, 114, 115, 116
- Discussion. *See also* Classroom discussion; Keeping discussions going; Online courses: asynchronous online, 220; benefits for students, 21–22; classroom principles for, 8–17; comparing with conversation and dialogue, 4–6; creating thoughtful online, 236–238; debate in public sphere, 265; defining, 6; developing clear communication, 32–33; disciplined online, 234–236, 238–241; discourse theory of democracy and, 272–274; evaluating with CIQs, 48–50; exploring diverse ideas, 22–23; framing around student questions, 67–68; guided, 23–24; Habermas' ideal rules of discourse, 14, 272–273; learning democratic discourse, 30–31; limits of, 36; mistakes when starting, 63–65; norms for maintaining status quo in, 251–254; promoting human growth, 3; purposes of, 6; recognizing assumptions via, 24–25; reflective silence in, 45–46, 178–179, 206–207; in the round, 77–81; safety in, 14–15; showing video of, 55–56; structuralist analysis views of, 249; students as cocreators of knowledge, 31–32; transforming students via, 35; unpredictability of, 1–4; validity claims of, 267–271; value of critical, 7–8, 20; weekly student audits of, 277–278

Discussion circles. *See* Circles
 Discussion inventory, 259
 Discussion leaders, *See also* Teachers:
 as judges of normality, 252–253;
 mistakes to avoid as, 63–65; talking
 too little, 200–203; talking too
 much, 193–195, 235
 Discussion logs, 281–282
 Dissenting views, 46–47
 Diversity. *See* Cultural diversity;
 Pluralism
 Drakich, J., 152
 Dramatizing discussions, 119–120, 250
 Drawing discussion, 121–122, 250
 Duckworth, E., 236

E

E-mail discussions, 68, 122–123
 Education: economic socialization
 and, 248–249; ensuring dominant
 ideology with, 249; knowing pur-
 pose of, 196–197, 202–203; struc-
 turalist analysis theories of, 248–249
 Edwards, R., 78
 Elaborated codes of speech, 249–250
 Elbow, P., 135
 Ellsworth, E., 19, 126, 132–133
 Emotions: dealing with, 19; expressing
 appreciation, 15–16, 285–286; gen-
 der and expression of, 155; ground
 rules accounting for, 38–39; increas-
 ing student empathy, 34–35; pro-
 viding outlet for anger and grief,
 136–138; responding with silence,
 98–99; working-class speech norms
 and, 142
 Empathy: developing with method-
 ological belief, 134–135, 259, 266;
 increasing student, 34–35
 End-of-course evaluation form, 282–284
 Engaged pluralism, 17–18
Escape from Freedom (Fromm), 232–233
 Evaluating discussion: appreciation
 and, 285–286; discussion logs,
 281–282; end-of-course form for,
 282–284; flaws of evaluation systems,
 276–277; including instructions in

 syllabus, 279–281; weekly student
 audits, 277–278

Evaluation system flaws, 276–277
 Evidential assessor role, 274
 Expectations: clarifying in course syl-
 labus, 59–61; combating repressive
 tolerance via, 260; failure and
 unrealistic, 37–38, 41; online
 course, 242–243; succumbing to
 others', 197–198; teachers' failing
 to model, 202
 Experience: disclosing personal,
 160–161; discussions based on,
 29–30; recalling memorable, 73–75;
 reviewing text based on, 57–58;
 traumatic discussion, 180, 187–188

F

Face-to-face classes, 219, 229
 Faculty, *See also* Professional col-
 leagues: demonstrating norms of
 participation, 51–52; presenting
 dissenting views in lectures, 46–47
 Failures in discussion: lack of ground
 rules, 38–39; reward systems for
 discussion and, 39–40; student
 unpreparedness, 38; teacher and,
 40–41; unrealistic expectations
 and, 37–38, 41
 Fear of looking stupid, 179–180,
 184–185
 Feeling unprepared, 180, 185
 Feeling unwelcome, 180, 186–187
 Fein, A. D., 223–224
 Female speech, 149, 154–155, *See also*
 Gender; Women
 Feminist approach to pedagogy,
 156–157
 Fetzer Institute of San Francisco, 130
 Finkel, D. L., 235
 Fishkin, J., 13–14
 Five-minute inventory, 258–259
 Five-minute rule, 135–136
 Foucault, M., 248, 252
 Frankfurt School of critical social
 theory, 7
 Frederick, P., 68, 71, 72

Freire, P., 16, 29, 35, 44, 133–134
 Fromm, E., 215, 232–233

G

Gadamer, H.-G., 11
 Gastil, J., 14
 Gawalek, M. A., 154
 Gender, *See also* Men; Women: analyzing classroom talk, 162–163; commonplace books, 160–161; dictionary definition exercise, 159–160; feminist approach to pedagogy, 156–157; including female and male modes of speech, 157–158; influencing discussion, 149–151; literacy narratives, 162; male and female speech patterns, 151–155; overview, 148–149, 166–167; preference list exercise, 160; reviewing media views of, 164–165; standpoint statements, 158–159; “theater of the oppressed”, 165–166; videotaping gender-based communication, 163–164
 Gender-related perspective, 155
 Gender-specific perspective, 155
 Gilligan, C., 148
 Gintis, H., 248
 Giroux, H., 7–8, 18–19
 Giving reasons, 271
 Glickman, C. D., 238
 Goldberger, N. R., 148
Good Society, The (Bellah et al.), 11
 Gordon, T., 92
 Gore, J. M., 78, 156
 Great Books Foundation, 97
 Grief, 136–138
 Ground rules: for circular response discussion, 70–80, 266; developing, 52–56; enforcing, 274; Habermas’ ideal rules of discourse, 14, 272–273; lack of, 38–39; online course discussions, 244–245
 Group size, *See also* Buzz groups; Groups: guidelines for, 103–105; relaxed buzz groups, 102–103; reporting from smaller to larger

groups, 105–107; structured buzz groups, 103; whole-group discussions, 101–102

Group talk, defining, 5–6

Groups, *See also* Circles; Discussant roles; Group size: about, 101; adding verve to, 118–119; balancing teacher’s voice with, 207; buzz, 47, 102–103; cocktail party exercise, 109; discussant roles in, 111–118, 251; discussion groups and democracy, 263–264, 274–275; dramatizing discussions, 119–120, 250; drawing discussion, 121–122, 250; e-mail discussions, 122–123; expressing appreciation for, 286; jigsaw technique, 109–111; online course, 243; reporting to larger, 105–107; rotating small group stations, 107–111; selecting and assigning, 104–105; snowballing, 108–109; theories of Habermas, 263–264, 274–275; varying size of, 101–105

Groupthink, 232

Gudykunst, W., 128

Guided discussion, 23–24

Gunawardena, C. N., 225

H

Habermas, J., 14, 263–275

Hearing subject of discussion, 92–94

Honoring cultural diversity: about, 127–128; affiliation groups, 132–134; “Circle of Objects” exercise, 130–131; “Encircled Circle” exercise, 131–132; “Naming Ourselves” activity, 128–130

hooks, b., 51, 141, 142, 194

Hope, 16

Horton, M., 30, 35

Hospitality, 8–9

Houston, B., 156

Huber, C., 81–82, 257

Human growth and discussion, 3

Humility, 12

Humphreys, W., 136

Hypothetical questions, 87–88

I

Ideals: function of, 41; ideal rules of discourse, 14, 272–273; ideal speech situation, 14, 272
 Ideological state apparatuses (ISAs), 248
 Impostership, 143–144
In a Different Voice (Gilligan), 148
 Individual autonomy, 17
 Integrating ideas, 35
 Internet, *See also* Online courses: discussions via email, 68, 122–123; online chats, 219–220, 228, 234, 241
 Introversion, 179, 183–184
 Isaacs, W., 226

J

James, D., 152
 Johnson, D. W., 55
 Johnson, R. T., 55
 Johnson, S. D., 241
 Journaling, 160–161
Justifications and Applications (Habermas), 272

K

Keeping discussions going, *See also* Balancing student voices; Balancing teachers' voices; Groups: about, 83–85, 100; conversational moves for, 99–100, 251, 268; listening and, 90–95; questions and, 85–90; responding and, 95–99
 Kingwell, Mark, 11

L

Lather, P., 156
 Law, C. L., 144
 Lawrence, R., 286
 Leadership forms, 201–202
 Learning: collaborative, 33–34; democratic discourse, 30–31; enhanced by silence, 179; in online courses, 215–216, 222, 245–246; online courses and concerns about, 245–246
 Learning pauses, 241, 254

Lectures: avoid opening discussion with, 64; avoiding impromptu, 204; introducing buzz groups into, 47–48; modeling democratic talk in, 44–48; periods of assumption hunting, 47; starting and ending with questions, 45
 “Letter to successors” technique, 61–62
 Levin, H., 248
 Limitations of discussion, 36
 Lindeman, E., 30–31, 41, 79–80
 Linking questions, 87
 Lipman, M., 4–5
 Listening: about, 90–91; attentive, 25–26, 91; body language and, 91; designated listeners, 94–95, 266; hearing subject when, 92–94; paired, 91–92, 266
 Literacy narratives, 162
 Locke, A., 134
 Logan, M. C., 223–224
 Luke, C., 156

M

Madsen, R., 11
 Maher, F., 151–152, 156
 Male speech, 148, 154–155, *See also* Gender; Men
 Marcuse, H., 232, 248, 255, 257, 258
 Mattingly, C., 156
 McLaren, P., 142
 McWilliam, E., 156
 Media views of gender, 164–165
 Meier, D., 197
 Men: including speech patterns of, 157–158; male speech patterns, 148, 151–155; report talk, 153–154; women conform to speech standards of, 154
 Methodological belief, 134–135, 259, 266
 Mills, C. W., 8, 196
 Mindfulness, 11–12
 Mistakes starting discussions: fear of silence, 64–65; misinterpreting silence, 65; opening with lecture,

64; playing favorites, 64; vagueness, 64

Mistrust of teachers, 180, 185–186

Modeling: democratic talk in lectures, 44–48; failure to model participation, 40–41, 202; norms of participation, 51–52

Monitoring insensitivity, 139

Moral leaders, 201–202

Morgan, K. P., 156

Mulquen, M., 154

Mutuality, 12–13

N

Naake, J., 117

“Naming Ourselves” activity, 128–130

Navigating online courses, 217–219

Newsprint poster summaries, 105–106, 250

Norms: participation, 251–254; speech, 140–146

O

Oakeshott, M., 5–6

Online chats: effectiveness of, 219–220, 228, 234; scheduling time for responses on, 241

Online courses: architecture of, 216–220; CIQs for, 221; circle of voices, 234; concerns about, 245–246; creating discussion in, 236–241; discussion ground rules for, 244–245; expectations and requirements for, 242–243; face-to-face classes for, 219, 229; instructional responsiveness, 223–225; linking interaction to content modules, 244; relationship building in, 227–230; research for, 220–223; respect practiced in, 225–227; small groups for, 243; teaching advantages of, 231–234; telephone office hours, 228–229; thoughtful online questions, 237–238

Online discussions via email, 68, 122–123

Open questions, 86–87

Osborne, Margery, 15

P

Paired listening, 91–92, 266

Palloff, R. M., 219, 222, 231–232, 235–236

Palmer, P., 8–9, 12, 65, 85, 91, 92

Participants, *See also* Students: incentives for participation, 10; keeping track of, 205–206; making discussion critical, 7–8; purpose of discussions for, 6

Participation, *See also* Evaluating discussion; Talking too little; Talking too much: developing online, 234–236; encouraging, 9–10; evaluating with course portfolio, 277, 279–281; failure to model, 40–41; integrating discussion in, 39–40; linking to online content, 244; norms of, 251–254; too little teacher, 209–210; winning teacher’s favor with, 66, 172

Pateman, C., 10

Paterson, R.W.K., 24

Pedagogical theories, *See also Specific theories*: feminist approach to pedagogy, 156–157; Habermas’, 263–264, 274–275; overview, 247–248, 261–262; poststructuralism, 248, 251–254; repressive tolerance, 248, 255–261; structuralist analysis, 247–251; understanding silence through, 182–183

People’s Institute for Survival and Beyond of New Orleans, 261

Perception checks, 139–140

Peterson, D., 40

Playing favorites, 64

Pluralism, *See also* Cultural diversity: appreciating continuing differences, 26–27; cultural diversity and response to discussion, 118–119; engaged, 17–18; exploring diverse ideas, 22–23

Poster-sized discussion summaries, 105–106, 250

Posting online messages, 243
 Postmodernism, 269
 Poststructuralism: defined, 251; ensuring dominant ideology with education, 249; norms of participation, 251–252, 254; overview, 248
 Pratt, K., 219, 222, 231–232, 235, 235–236
 Preference list exercise, 160
 Preparing for discussion: clarifying expectations for students, 59–61; critical incident questionnaires, 48–50; demonstrating norms of participation, 51–52; evolving ground rules, 52–56; helping students who feel unprepared, 180, 185; online courses, 237; structured prereading, 56–59; student access to materials, 42–44; using lectures to model democratic talk, 44–48; writing letter to successors, 61–62
 Preskill, S., 1
 Problem poser, 113
 Professional colleagues: critiques by, 182; demonstrating norms of participation, 51–52; presenting dissenting views, 46–47; viewing online courses of, 222–223
 Public sphere: debate in, 265; decline of, 264–265; revitalizing, 265–267
 Pyramiding, 108–109

Q

Questions: asking for more evidence, 85–86; cause-and-effect, 88; clarifying, 86; for critical reading, 57–59; framing discussions around student, 67–68; generating for discussion, 260; hypothetical, 87–88; linking, 87; open, 86–87; responding without, 96–97; starting and ending lectures with, 45; summary and synthesis, 88–89; sustaining discussion with, 85–90; from teacher, 89–90; thoughtful online, 237–238

Quotations: choosing affirming and challenging, 72–73; starting with illustrative, 72

R

Race: avoiding stereotypes of, 126–127; class and, 140–146; discussion and, 124–125; five-minute rule and affirmative action, 135–136; influencing discussion, 150, 151; keeping racist speech in check, 138–140; monitoring discussions for insensitivity, 139; outlet for anger and grief over bigotry, 136–138; perception checks, 139–140; repressive tolerance and, 257–258
 Racist speech, 138–140
 Rapport talk, 153, 158
 Recalling memorable experiences, 73–75
 Receptivity, 9
 Reflective analyst, 113, 268
 Relational talk, 157
 Relationship building online, 227–230
 Report talk, 153–154
 Repression, 7–8
 Repressive tolerance, 248, 255–261; countering, 258–261; defined, 248, 255; race and, 257–258
 Research for online courses, 220–223
 Respect, 29–30, 127–128, 225–227
 Responding: affirmative responses, 97–98; options for, 95–96; silent responses, 98–99; without questions, 96–97
 Responsiveness in online courses, 223–225
 Restricted codes of speech, 249–250
 Rewards for participation, 39–40, 180, 189
 Risk taking, 51
 Roffman, E., 156
 Rorty, R., 5
 Rose, M., 142
 Rotating small group stations, 107–111

Roulis, E., 148–167
 Ryan, J., 144

S

Sackrey, C., 144
 Safety: developing in classroom discussion, 14–15; ensuring atmosphere of, 157, 167; trust and emotional, 15–16
 Sattler, C. E., 156
 Scrounger, 114
 Selecting own groups, 104
 Self-surveillance, 252
 Sentence completion exercises, 69–70
 Shor, I., 141, 172–173, 178
 Silence: avoiding fear of, 64–65; checklist when no one speaks, 189–191; introversion and, 179, 183–184; misinterpreting, 65; periods of in discussions, 45–46, 178–179; reflective, 45–46, 178–179, 206–207; responding with, 98–99; student, 66, 82, 183–189
 Small groups. *See* Circles; Groups
 Smith, K., 55, 81–82
 Snowballing, 108–109
 Social presence, 225, 235
 Speech: analyzing gender influences in, 162–163; changing class norms of, 145–146; class and norms of, 140–146; classroom speech policy, 65–66, 250; curse words and offensive, 142–143; elaborated and restricted codes of, 249–250; giving reasons as universal feature of, 271; male and female patterns of, 151–155; racist, 138–140; rapport talk, 153, 157, 158; report talk, 153–154
 Spender, D., 150
 “Stand where you stand” exercise, 117–118
 Starting discussions: choosing concrete image, 68; debrief last week’s CIQs, 68–69; declaring classroom speech policy, 65–66, 250; discerning

reasons for student silence, 82; discussion in the round, 77–81; finding illustrative quotes, 72; framing around student questions, 67–68; generating truth statements, 71–72; “hatful of quotes” technique, 81–82; mistakes when, 63–65; recalling memorable experiences, 73–75; sentence completion exercises, 69–70; telling tales from the trenches, 76–77; using contentious opening statements, 70–71

Stewart, D., 79

Stone of gratitude, 286

Storyteller role, 115–116

Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere (Habermas), 264

Structuralist analysis: clarifier’s role, 251; defined, 247, 248; teacher’s conduct and, 250–251; views of discussion, 249

Students. *See also* Balancing student voices: appreciating differences, 26–27; assumption best talk most, 285; bad discussion experiences of, 180, 187–188; benefits of discussion for, 21–22; clear communication by, 32–33; cocreating knowledge with, 31–32; collaborative learning habits for, 33–34; connecting to topic, 28–29; developing ground rules, 52–56; encouraging participation by, 10; equal access to study materials, 42–44; exploring diverse ideas, 22–23; fear of looking stupid, 179–180, 184–185; feeling like imposters, 143–144; finding illustrative quotes, 72; increasing empathy of, 34–35; independent thinking of online, 232–234; intellectual agility, 27–28; keeping discussion logs, 281–282; learning democratic discourse, 30–31; listening attentively, 25–26; making them feel welcome, 180, 186–187;

Students (continued)

- mistrust of teachers, 180, 185–186;
- mutuality with, 12–13; participating to win favor, 66, 172; preparing for discussion, 48–50; reactions to overdominant speakers, 170–171; reasons for silence, 82; recalling memorable experiences, 73–75; recognizing assumptions, 24–25; responses to opening statements, 70–71; reward system for discussion, 39–40; selecting own groups, 104; showing respect for, 29–30, 225–227; structured prereading for discussion, 56–59; summarizing questions raised by lectures, 45; synthesis and integration skills for, 35; talking too little, 179–183; talking too much, 169–177, 172–174; teaching conversational moves, 99–100, 251, 268; tolerance in, 23–24; transformation via discussion, 35; underestimated by teachers, 199; understanding that talking is cool, 171, 180, 188–189; unprepared for discussion, 38, 180, 185; weekly audits of discussion, 277–278; writing letter to successors, 61–62
- Study, 56–59
- Sullivan, W., 11
- Summary and synthesis questions, 88–89
- Swidler, A., 11
- Syllabus: adding participation evaluation instructions in, 279–281; including course expectations in, 59–61
- Synthesis skills, 35

T

- T-charts, 55
- Tact, 11–12
- Tales from the trenches, 76–77
- Talking too little, *See also* Balancing student voices; Balancing teachers' voice: judging when students are, 178–179; teachers, 200–203; understanding why students are, 179–183
- Talking too much, *See also* Balancing student voices; Balancing teachers' voice: assumption that best students talk most, 285; behavior indicating, 170–171; dealing with garrulous students, 174–177; extended discussion vs., 170; student's reasons for, 172–174; teachers, 193–195, 235
- Tannen, D., 153, 153–154
- Tarule, J. M., 148, 154
- Teachers, *See also* Balancing teachers' voices: approach with introverted students, 179, 183–184; asking former students for critiques, 181; assigning groups, 104–105; assume sideline role, 201–202; attentive listening by, 91; avoiding impromptu lectures, 204; balancing voice, 204, 207, 211–213; calling time-outs, 206–207; contentious opening statements by, 70–71; critical discussion by, 7; critiques by colleagues, 182; dealing with garrulous students, 174–177; demonstrating norms of participation, 51–52, 252–254; discouraged about discussion, 36–41; encouraging participation, 10; enforcing ground rules, 274; ensuring atmosphere of safety, 157; evaluating performance, 282; factors preventing student participation, 179–183; failing to model participation, 40–41, 202; helping students feeling unprepared, 180, 185; humility in discussion, 12; incorporating feminist practices, 156; instructional responsiveness, 95–96, 223–225; keeping discussion inventory, 259; knowing purpose of education, 196–197, 202–203; making students feel welcome, 180, 186–187; making talking cool, 171, 180, 188–189; mindfulness in,

- 11–12; mistakes starting discussions, 63–65; misunderstanding knowledge acquisition, 195–196; modeling democratic talk, 44–48; modeling participation, 51–52, 235–236; mutuality with students, 12–13; overestimating contributions, 199–200; participation to win favor of, 66, 172; playing devil’s advocate, 260; practicing respect, 29–30, 225–227; providing access to materials, 42–44; questions for sustaining discussion, 85–90; reassuring students with bad experiences, 180, 187–188; reducing fear of looking stupid, 179–180, 184–185; relationship building online, 227–230; responding when no one speaks, 189–191; responsibilities in critical discussion, 18–19; reward systems for discussion, 39–40, 180, 189; stance of “not knowing”, 236–237; student silence, 66, 82, 183–189; students underestimated by, 199; students who talk too little, 178–179; succumbing to expectations of others, 197–198; talking too little, 200–203; talking too much, 193–195, 235; telephone office hours, 228–229; too little participation by, 209–210; too much control by, 207–209; tracking participants, 205–206; underestimating own ideas, 203; unrealistic expectations of, 37–38, 41; viewing colleagues’ online courses, 222–223
- Teaching. *See also* Online courses: connecting students to topic, 28–29; democracy in undemocratic society, 19; elusiveness of deliberation in, 14–15; online courses, 215–216, 222, 231–234, 245–246; principles of hope and faith in, 16; students as cocreators of knowledge, 31–32; videotaping discussions, 204–205
- Teaching with Your Mouth Shut* (Finkel), 235
- Telephone office hours, 228–229
- Tetreault, M.K.T., 156
- Textual focuser, 273
- “Theater of the oppressed”, 165–166
- Theme spotter, 114
- Theory of Communicative Action, The* (Habermas), 265
- Thinking: increasing intellectual agility, 27–28; independence of online students, 232–234
- Three-person rule, 254
- Time-outs in discussion, 206–207
- Tipton, S., 11
- To Know as We Are Known* (Palmer), 8
- Tolerance: appreciating differences, 26–27; developing with standpoint statements, 158–159; increasing student, 23–24; repressive, 248, 255–261; of student silence, 66
- Transformation via discussion, 35
- Trauma from discussions, 180, 187–188
- Trust: expressing appreciation and, 15–16; lacking for teachers, 180, 185–186
- Truth in advertising statements, 59–61, 250
- Truth statements, 71–72
- U**
- Umpire role, 114, 115, 116
- Usher, R., 78
- V**
- Vagueness in opening discussions, 64
- Validity claims of discussion, 267–271
- Values. *See* Assumptions
- Van Ments, M., 68, 71, 72, 86
- Verve in discussion: adding, 118–119; dramatizing discussions, 119–120, 250; drawing discussion, 121–122, 250; e-mail discussions, 122–123
- Videos: of discussions, 55–56; taping gender-based communication, 163–164; taping teaching, 204–205
- Virtual Student, The* (Palloff and Pratt), 222

W

- Walden, P., 156
 Walsh, J., 81–82
 Washington, M., 261
 “Weekend Dilemma, The”, 166
 Weiler, K., 156
 Welcome Page, 216–217
 White, J. J., 133
 Whole-group discussions, 101–102
 Willis, P., 142, 249
 Women: classroom discrimination
 against, 151–152; conforming to
 men’s speech standards, 154; dis-
 closing personal experiences,
 160–161; including female modes
 of speech, 157–158; rapport talk,
 153, 158; relational talk, 157;
 speech patterns of, 149, 154–155
Women’s Ways of Knowing (Belenky et
 al.), 148
 Woodbridge, L., 156–157
 Working-class students, *See also* Class
 distinctions: assumptions of,
 140–142; changing speech norms,
 145–146; feeling like imposters,
 143–144
 Written class minutes, 206

Y

You Just Don’t Understand (Tannen),
 153

Z

Zittle, F. J., 225