
Subject Index

A

- Access: to higher education, 156–157, 327; increased with blended learning systems, 9; Internet, 281–282, 352–353, 446–448; to K-12 education, 166; wireless, 42
- Accreditation: of online teacher education program, 210, 213; of total online university, 247, 251; World Bank course for, of staff members, 451
- Achievement: academic, in online vs. face-to-face courses, 121–122, 290; student, framework for understanding factors affecting, 131–132
- Activity-level blending, 11
- Advanced distributed learning (ADL), 528–530
- Advanced Distributed Learning Lab, 306
- Advanced Learning Infrastructure Consortium (Japan), 268
- American Federation of Teachers, 374
- American Society for Training and Development, 3
- America's Army, 521–522
- Angel, 345
- ARIADNE European Knowledge Pool, 43
- Assessment: blended approach to, at University of Pretoria, 405–406, 409; of instructional design, in China, 303–304; of learners in Cisco Networking Academy, 129–130; Microsoft Learning tool for, of organizations, 95–97. *See also* Evaluation
- Asynchronicity: in corporate training industry, 93, 477; discussion encouraged by, 173, 174; synchronicity vs., 35, 122
- Attrition rates: for blended vs. face-to-face courses, 202–203; high, with e-learning, 188; lower, with blended learning, 312–313
- Augmented reality, 535, 536–537
- Augmented virtuality, 535
- Augmented vision, 535
- Australia, authentic learning course for realtors in, 510–512. *See also* University of Wollongong (Australia)
- Authentic learning activities, 460, 502–513; blended learning approach to, 505–507, 508, 512–513; as central to designing learning experiences, 503; characteristics of, 504–505; examples of, with blending, 507, 509–512; increasing use of, 502, 562–563; suspension of disbelief needed for, 503–504
- Autonomous National University of Mexico (UNAM), 352
- Avaya, 58–59, 106–118; Customer Relationship Management (CRM) Portal of, 108–109; ESSba curriculum of, 112–118; knowledge management at, 107; shift from product to customer focus at, 106–107; Solutions Knowledge (ASK) Center of, 109–112

B

- Balance Learning, 183–184
- Battlefield Augmented Reality System (BARS), 537
- Beijing Normal University (BNU):
learning strategies of students at, 299; on-campus blended learning course at, 306–308; online course of, 304–306; SARS epidemic's effect on, 297, 309. *See also* China
- Bersin & Associates, 4, 10, 311, 313
- Blackboard, 186, 345, 355, 356
- Blended learning: benefits of, 97–98, 123–125, 156–159, 183, 196, 312–313, 346–347, 551; as currently popular trend, 3, 28, 29, 339–340; definitions of, 4–5, 185–186, 281, 311–312; as emulating business world learning, 234, 563; evolution of, 167, 476–478; literature on learning supporting, 137–138; need for, 25–26, 550–551; prevalence of, 1–2, 22–23, 550; reasons for creating, 8–10, 23–25; reasons for disappointing results with, 30, 313; review of literature on, 121–125
- Blended learning systems: categories of, 13–14; defined, 5
- Blending, levels of, 10–13
- Boeing Company, 536
- Business schools, reception of learning innovations at, 388

C

- Canada, 263, 338–349; benefits of blended learning in, 346–347; challenges for blended learning in, 340, 341, 347–348; examples of blended learning courses in, 341–345; grading online activities in, 346; rationale for blended learning in, 339–340; technology used in blended courses in, 345–346
- “Canyon or mirage?” 448
- Capella University, 222, 235–243; design of blended learning at, 238–239; as leader in growth of

- for-profit education, 245, 247, 250; online discussions at, 236, 237, 239; supplementary role for face-to-face learning at, 235–236, 237, 241–242; written communication emphasis at, 239
- Career Education network, 245
- Case Western Reserve University, broadband wireless network initiative of, 42
- Case-based learning (CBR), 503, 534, 556
- Centra software, 313
- Certification: blended learning, for University of Phoenix faculty, 229, 230–231; Cisco Networking, 125, 130
- Chat programs, social presence with, 49
- China, 262, 296–309; challenges to implementing blended learning in, 298–300; designing blended learning in, 299, 300–304; drivers of e-learning in, 297–298, 308–309; e-portfolios in, 309; School of Network Education (SNE) in, 296–297. *See also* Beijing Normal University (BNU)
- Choice, learner, as issue when designing blended learning systems, 15
- Cisco, 437; experience of e-learning adviser at, 418, 435–437; as sponsor of teacher education program in Jordan, 440
- Cisco Networking Academy (CNA), 59, 125–132; approaches to blended learning in, 130–131; background information on, 121, 125; educational components of, 126–130; evaluating, in different cultures, 437–439; structure of, 125–126, 127; understanding factors affecting student success in, 131–132
- City University (London), 164
- Class discussions. *See* Discussions
- Class management, blended instructional approach for, 276–277. *See also* Learning management systems (LMS)
- Classroom learning model, at University of Phoenix, 224–225
- Coaching: IBM's Edvisor tool for personalized, 498–499; IBM's simulator for, 62, 65; increase in, in U.K., 182; in intelligent tutoring systems, 523, 524; in learning ecology framework, 82, 83
- Coast Community College District (Costa Mesa, CA), 360, 361
- Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt, 504, 505
- Cognitive rehearsal, 23
- Collaboration for Online Higher Education and Research (CO-HERE) universities (Canada), 338; benefits of blended learning for, 346–347; challenges for blended learning in, 340, 341, 347–348; examples of blended courses in, 341–345; grading of online activities by, 346; technology used in blended courses of, 345–346
- Colleges. *See* Community colleges; Higher education; *specific colleges*
- Communication: Capella University's emphasis on written, 239; matching type of blending with, 332–333; Shannon and Shramm's model of, 51–52
- Communities of practice: at Avaya, 107, 111; knowledge-sharing in, 462, 463, 464, 465; portals as fostering, 482; trend toward development of, 95, 98, 562; in World Bank e-learning, 452
- Community building: in blended contexts, 34, 138–139, 141–143, 560; in online courses at University of Waikato (New Zealand), 172, 173; using mixed and virtual reality technologies for, 535; in virtual for-profit university, 254–255. *See also* Learning communities
- Community colleges, 360–372; distance education offered by, 360–361; earliest forms of blended learning at, 362–363; perspectives on blended (hybrid) courses at, 365–372; socialization in blended learning offered by, 362

- Computer-mediated learning. *See* Distributed learning
- Constructivist learning theory: authentic learning activities supported by, 502, 503, 533; blended learning in Europe and, 420, 429; Maths e-Curriculum as application of, 440
- Content: as consideration in selecting learning delivery technologies, 33; consistency vs. variability of, 122–123; digital, 41–43; discussed in live interactions, 37–38; as focus in first-generation learning systems, 479; multiple perspectives on, 23; of virtual for-profit university's courses, 247, 253. *See also* Learning content management systems (LCMS)
- Context: for blended learning in developing countries, 448–449; as focus of real-time work flow-based learning, 480; importance of, 24
- Control: in first-generation learning systems, 475; in learning ecology framework, 79, 81; local, of education, 120, 165; students taking, of learning, 367
- Corinthian Colleges, 245
- Corporate training. *See* Training Corporation for National Research Initiatives, 530
- Cost-effectiveness: of blended learning approach, 98; as consideration in learning ecology framework, 80; increased with blended learning systems, 10, 28; of innovative technologies, 16; of Microsoft's blended learning applications, 100, 102, 103. *See also* Return on investment (ROI)
- Course-level blending, 11
- Courses: hybrid or reduced face-time, 156, 160–161; online, study hours for, 287–288; standardization vs. individualization of, 123; Web-supplemented or technology-enhanced, 156, 159–160
- Cultural adaptation, as issue when designing blended learning systems, 16
- Culture: differences in, and evaluating Cisco Networking Academy Program, 437–439; Japanese, and development of e-learning, 268; learning, 37–38; organizational, community building affected by, 145; transforming focus of, 58–59, 106–118
- Cyber Korea 21, 268
- Cyber-universities, Korean, 282–293; areas of study offered by, 286–287; demographics of students in, 286; enrollment in, 283–285; future issues for, 291–293; history of, 282–283; perceptions of online learning through, 287–291; types of, 285–286
- ## D
- Dallas Community College District (TX), 360, 361
- Deakin University (Australia), 163–164
- Degree programs: blended, at University of Waikota (New Zealand), 173–178; blended learning enrollments in, at University of Phoenix, 228; hybrid or blended, 156, 161–162
- Department for Education and Skills (U.K.), 185
- Designing blended learning: aspects of, resulting in disappointing outcomes, 30; in China, 299, 300–304; deconstructing time when, 366; faculty skill in, 196–197, 198–201; following instructional systems design (ISD) process when, 31–34; IBM's four-tier learning model as framework for, 61–63; incorporating mixed and virtual reality, 540–545; issues relevant to, 14–16; learning ecology framework used for, 80–86; Microsoft Learning's approach to, 97–99; pedagogical techniques commonly used in, 555–557; at Shell EP, 465–466; weighing strengths and weaknesses when, 16–19
- Developing countries: challenges for blended e-learning in, 456–457; context for blended learning in, 448–449; models of e-learning in, 449–451; rates of Internet use in, 446–448; World Bank's Global Distance Learning Network (GDLN) program for, 453–455
- Digital content, 42–43
- Digital divide: growth of rates of use of Internet and, 446–448; as issue when designing blended learning systems, 15–16; in Malaysia, 320
- Discussions: asynchronous, 173, 174; with blended instructional approach, 273–274, 313; in face-to-face vs. computer-mediated environments, 17–19; female students' participation in, 290; grading, 346; online, at Capella University, 236, 237, 239
- Distance education: increasing number of higher education institutions offering, 195; offered by community colleges in pre-Internet era, 360–361
- Distributed learning: convergence of face-to-face learning with, 5, 6; dimensions of interactions in, 5–7; strengths and weaknesses of discussions in, 18
- ## E
- E-College Wales, 187–192; Evaluation Report, 188, 190
- E-learning: continuum of, at University of Glamorgan, 186; defined, 77, 185, 419; emerging technologies for, 557–559; first-generation systems for, 474–476; future of, in workplace learning settings, 552–553; growth of, 93–94, 182; high

- attrition rate with, 188; Japan's readiness for, 267–268; Khan's global framework for, 217; lessons about, from IBM's Basic Blue for Managers program, 72–74; models of, in developing countries, 449–451; overcoming technology dissonance in, 441–442; pedagogical techniques commonly used in, 555–557. *See also* Online learning
- E-portfolios: in China, 306, 308, 309; at University of Pretoria, 402
- Ecology framework. *See* Sun Microsystems, learning ecology framework
- Economic development, international, 444–446, 448. *See also* World Bank
- Economist Intelligent Unit, 267
- Education (K–12): Hawaiian blended effort to expand access in, 166; Maths e-Curriculum in, 440–441; Red Escolar program (Mexico), 353–354. *See also* Higher education
- Education Network Australia (EdNA), 43
- Education unplugged, 43–44
- EDUCAUSE, 196
- EDUSAT (Satellite Educational System) (Mexico), 352
- Edvisor learning tool, 498–499
- EEurope, 423
- ELearning Guild, 553
- Embedded learning: defined, 495–496; IBM's Edvisor as tool for, 498–499; learning expanded from individuals to organizations with, 496–497
- Embedded scaffolds, 534–535
- Employees: desire of, for more interaction with coworkers, 493; limited time for training, 494–495; link between learning and retention of, 491–492; productivity of, increased with blended learning, 312
- Enabling blends, 13
- Enhancing blends, 13–14
- Estrella Mountain Community College (AZ), 363, 364
- EUN Schoolnet, 430
- Europe, 417; current status of blended education in, 420–421; e-learning definition in, 419; E-Learning Initiative in, 425–426, 427; E-Learning Program in, 423, 426–427; eEurope launched in, 423; role of e-learning in, 424–425; self-learning in, 421–422; shift from distance to blended learning in, 424; status of adoption of blended learning in, 427–430; variation in education and training systems in, 421
- European Commission, 423, 424; Education and Training, 426; European Credit Transfer System, 427; Information Society, 419
- Evaluation: increased data for, with e-learning, 158–159; strategies for, of IBM's Basic Blue for Managers program, 66–68; in virtual for-profit university, 253–254; when designing blended learning experiences, 34. *See also* Assessment
- Experiences: interaction as, 49–52; learning from, 138; rich Internet, 50–51
- Experimentation, applications of learning ecology framework as, 85

F

- Face-to-face learning: added to online course, 188–190, 191; convergence of distributed learning with, 5, 6; dimensions of interactions in, 5–7; effectiveness of, vs. online learning, 121–122; at Open University Malaysia (OUM), 316, 317–318; perceived importance of, in blended environments, 145, 238; strengths and weaknesses of discussions in, 18; supplemental role of, at Capella University, 235–236, 237, 241–242; unique features of, 122–123
- Facilitating blended learning: aspects of, resulting in disappointing outcomes, 30; choosing right people for, 35; team approach to, 35–36; training trainers for, 36–37, 38
- Faculty: adjunct, in National University's online teacher education program, 217, 218; increased workloads for, 334, 335, 347, 407; of Jones International University, 252; of Korean cyber-universities, 292–293; new roles for, with blended learning, 332, 358; professional development on blended learning for, 178–179, 198–201, 229–231, 292–293; support for, when teaching blended learning courses, 178–179, 197, 232; of University of Phoenix blended learning courses, 227, 228, 229–231, 232–233. *See also* Tutors
- Fidelity, of online vs. face-to-face learning, 122
- Flexibility: as benefit of blended learning, 9, 28, 97, 196, 198; of online learning, 18, 122, 190
- For-profit universities: blended learning models for, 248–250; competition between traditional universities and, 256–257; factors driving growth of, 246–248; increasing number of, 245; international ventures of, 246, 258; issues for future of, 256–258. *See also* Capella University; Jones International University (JIU); University of Phoenix
- Foreign languages: e-learning courses for State of Wisconsin employees in, 100; future delivery of online learning in, 257
- Future: of blended learning systems, 7–8; emerging technologies for, 557–559; of for-profit

- universities, 256–258; growth of blended learning in, 553–555; for mixed and virtual reality technologies, 546; of online teaching and learning, 551–553; pedagogical techniques in, 555–557; questions about, and learning ecology framework, 89–90; trends in, of blended learning, 559–564
- Future Combat Systems (FCS), 540, 542–545
- Future Force Warrior (FFW), 540, 542–545
- G**
- Georgia Perimeter College, 159–160
- Government Accounting Office, 529
- Graduation, reducing time to, 158
- H**
- Handle System, 530
- Harvard Business School (HBS):
benefits of blended learning at, 183, 313; study of student satisfaction with IBM blended learning, 66, 68–69, 74
- Harvard Business School Publishing Tools, ManageMentor, 62, 64
- Harvard University, 410
- Hawaii Department of Education, initiative to expand access (K–12), 166
- Higher education: benefits of blended learning in, 156–159, 183, 196, 346–347; competition between traditional and for-profit institutions in, 256–257; drivers of blended learning trend in, 325–326; evolution of blended learning in, 167; expanding access to, 156–157, 327; future growth of blended learning in, 553, 554; future of online teaching and learning in, 551–552; growth of e-learning in, 182; increasing number of distance education courses offered in, 195; students' expectations of technology in, 157–158; trends in Japanese, 268–269; types of blended learning programs in, 156, 159–162. *See also* Community colleges; For-profit universities; *specific colleges and universities*
- Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), 184, 325
- Highlearn, 375
- Hybrid courses, 156, 160–161
- I**
- IBM, 267, 460, 491–499; challenges to training at, 493; commitment to learning initiatives by, 491–493; Edvisor learning tool of, 460, 498–499; four-tier learning model of, 57, 61–63; implementation of embedded learning at, 496; new learning approaches at, 499; transforming learning from individual to organization at, 496–497; workplace trends affecting training at, 493–495
- IBM's Basic Blue for Managers program, 63–74; lessons about e-learning from, 72–74; organizational impacts of, 71–72; phases of, 57–58, 63–66; results achieved by, 68–71; strategies for evaluating, 66–68
- Iii online (Japan), 270–271
- Information and communication technology (ICT): as basis of blended learning, 185, 186, 281; countries with government programs promoting educational use of, 267–268; as enabling local context for international development, 445–446; New Zealand teacher training using, 177–178; rates of use of, in developing vs. advanced countries, 446–448
- Information distribution, blended instructional approach for, 275–276
- Information in Place, 543
- Information technology (IT). *See* Information and communication technology (ICT)
- Instant messaging, social presence with, 49
- Institutional-level blending, 12–13
- Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey (ITESM), 352; learning management systems used by, 354, 355–357; student and faculty roles in blended learning at, 357–358; Virtual University of, 354–355
- Instructional designs, adapting, for blended learning, 278
- Instructional methods: acceptance of new, in China, 299–300; commonly used in e-learning, 555–557; improved with blended learning systems, 8–9; personality types as requiring different, 145–146; preferences for, 73, 204–205; using blended learning for different purposes, 273–277; using Internet, 374
- Instructional systems design (ISD) process, following, when designing blended learning experiences, 31–34
- Instructor-led training (ILT): declining market share for, 93, 94; study of outcomes of, vs. blended format, 140–146
- Instructors. *See* Faculty; Tutors
- Integrated Solutions Technology (IST) (Philippines), technology training at, 101–102
- Intelligent tutoring systems, 522–524
- Interactions: defined, 45; as determinant of student satisfaction, 347, 348; dimensions of, in distributed vs. face-to-face learning, 5–7; employees' desire for more, with coworkers, 493; importance of, 44–45; live, 14–15, 35, 37–38; models of, 45–52; strategies of, for blended learning, 52–53; synchronous vs. asynchronous, 35; technology as enhancing, 339–340

Interfaculty Initiative in Information Studies (Japan), 270

International Christian University (ICU) (Japan), 271–277; drivers of online education, 271–272; instructional approaches using blended learning at, 272–277; WebCT use by, 272, 273, 276, 277

International Data Corporation, 41, 48

International Digital Object Identifier Foundation, 530

Internet: growth of, in Mexico, 352–353; Korean access to and infrastructure for, 281–282; rates of use of, in developing vs. advanced countries, 446–448; used as instructional tool in higher education, 374

Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium, 214

Israel. *See* Tel-Aviv University (TAU)

IT training. *See* Technology training

J

Japan, 261–262, 267–279; e-learning readiness of, 267–268; examples of blended learning programs in, 269–271; future issues for blended learning in, 278; trends in higher education in, 268–269. *See also* International Christian University (ICU)

Jones International University (JIU), 222, 250–257; accreditation of, 247, 251; community building by, 254–255; course content of, 247, 253; financial challenge for, 255; future issues for, 256–257; history of, 250–251; iterative development model of, 252–254; quality issues faced by, 255–256; technology-based model of, 251–252; UN's Virtual Development Academy and, 247

Jordan Education Initiative (JEI), 418, 439–441

K

Kaplan College, 245, 247, 250, 258

Kirkwood Community College (IA), 363

Knowledge: blended approach for creating, 274–275; changing, as challenge for multinational companies, 462–463; in real-time work flow–based learning, 482–484, 485

Knowledge augmented reality for maintenance assistance (KARMA), 536

Knowledge divide, 448

Knowledge ecology matrix, 58, 86–89

Knowledge management, at Avaya, 107

Knowledge transfers, international economic development and, 444–446

Korea, 262, 281–293; Cyber Korea 21 initiative in, 268; cyber-universities in, 282–287; future issues for e-learning in, 291–293; growth of blended learning in, 281, 291; Internet access and infrastructure in, 281–282; knowledge transfers in, 444; trends in online learning in, 287–291

Korea Education and Research Information System (KERIS), 281, 285, 286

L

Leadership development: IBM's Basic Blue for Managers program for, 63–74; Oracle's Leader Track program for, 59, 136–147

Learners. *See* Students

Learning, 5–7, 18; characteristics of, favoring blended learning, 24–25; choosing technologies for, 32–33, 334–335; control over, in learning ecology framework, 79, 81; embedded, 495–499; from experience, 138; formal vs. informal, 25, 79–80,

112; IBM's Edvisor tool for, 498–499; IBM's four-tier model of, 61–63; link between employee retention and, 491–492; reflection needed for, 137; technologies for delivering, 28–29. *See also* E-learning; Face-to-face learning; Online learning

Learning and Study Skills Inventory (LASSI), 299

Learning communities: creating, when designing blended learning experiences, 34; development of trust in, 143–145; effects of blended environment on, 145–146. *See also* Community building

Learning content management systems (LCMS), 462, 463; limitations of, 474–476; real-time work flow–based learning contrasted to, 479

Learning ecology framework. *See* Sun Microsystems, learning ecology framework

Learning management systems (LMS): learning ecology model and, 86; limitations of, 474–476; multinationals' use of, with LCMS, 462–463; at Open University Malaysia, 319; real-time work flow–based learning contrasted to, 479; sharing, in Japan, 270–271; used by Tel-Aviv University, 375; used in Canadian universities' blended courses, 345; used in Mexico, 354, 355–357. *See also* Blackboard; WebCT

Learning styles: accommodating diverse, 97, 158, 167, 509, 561; learning ecology framework and, 87, 89; match between delivery mode and, 313, 478

LearningSpace, 355, 356

Lisbon Strategy for eEurope, 423

Live-virtual-constructive (L-V-C) simulations, 527–528

Longitudinality of learning, 24–25

M

Macromedia, 43, 50–51
 MagicBook project, 538
 Maine Technical College System (MTCS), 160–161
 Malaysia, blended and e-learning in, 314–315. *See also* Open University Malaysia (OUM)
 Maricopa Center for Learning and Instruction, 363
 Maricopa Community College District (MCCD) (AZ), 263–264; distance education offered by, 360, 361; earliest forms of blended learning at, 362–363; perspectives on blended (hybrid) courses in, 365–372; shift to blended (hybrid) course design at, 363–365; socialization aspect of blended learning at, 362
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 330
 Mathematics courses: increasing acceptance of online methods in, 403–404; scope of blended learning in, 407–412; at University of Pretoria (South Africa), 404–407, 410
 Maths e-Curriculum, 440–441
 MERLOT, 42, 552
 Mesa Community College (AZ): blended socialization at, 362; distance education offered by, 360; perspectives on blended (hybrid) courses at, 365–372; support for blended courses at, 364
 Mexico, 263, 351–358; growth of Internet in, 352–353; history of educational use of technology in, 351–352; ITESM Virtual University in, 354–355; learning management systems used in, 354, 355–357; Red Escolar program in, 353–354; student and faculty roles in blended learning in, 357–358
 Miami-Dade Community College District (FL), 361

Microsoft de Argentina, technology training at, 102–103
 Microsoft Learning, 58, 92–103; assess, learn, and apply training framework from, 95–99; blended learning experiences designed by, 97–99; case histories of training delivered by, 99–103; core pillars of, 92–93; recommendations on technology training from, 103
 Military training, 517–518, 519–531; collective, 525–528; establishment of requirements for, 520–521; future programs for, 528–530; individual, 521–525; scope of, 519–520, 521, 522; unique aspects of, 530–531; using mixed and virtual reality technologies in, 537, 540, 542–545
 Millennials, 204–205
 Ministry of Education (China), 296–297
 Ministry of Education (Korea), 282–283, 286
 Ministry of Education (New Zealand), 170
 Mixed and virtual reality technologies, 518, 533–546; applications of, 536–538; challenges of using, for training, 538–540; continuum of types of, 535–536; designing blended learning using, 540–545; future for, 546; theoretical foundations of training using, 533–535
 Mobile Augmented Reality Contextual Embedded Training and EPSS (MARCETE), 537
 Multimedia Educational Resource for Learning and Online Teaching (MERLOT), 42, 552
 Multinational companies, 459–460; blending learning and work-based activities in courses of, 463–464; changing workforce demographics as problem for, 463; keeping up-to-date with changing knowledge as problem for, 462–463. *See also specific companies*

N

National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, 214
 National Board of Employment Education and Training (Australia), 326
 National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education, 214
 National Learning Infrastructure Initiative, 201
 National Survey of Student Engagement, 157
 National University, 153, 209–219; growth of online programs at, 210–211, 212; online teacher education program of, 209, 211, 213–219; Spectrum Pacific Learning Company established by, 210
 Naval Research Lab, augmented reality simulation developed by, 537
 NetMath project, 410–411
 New Zealand. *See* University of Waikato (UOW) (New Zealand)
 Northern Arizona University, 363
 Notice board sites, 405, 411–412

O

Observability, as aid to adopting e-learning, 73
 ODLE Pedagogy Center, 318
 Ohio State University, 410
 OneCleveland initiative, 42
 Online learning: effectiveness of, vs. face-to-face learning, 121–122, 290; face-to-face interaction added to, 189–190, 191; failure of academic institutions offering only, 325; future of, 551–552; increasing acceptance of, 246–247; learner characteristics needed for, 124; at Open University Malaysia (OUM), 316, 318–319; positive and negative aspects of, 190; trends in, in Korea, 287–291; unique features of, 122–123; University of Phoenix's model of, 224–225; variations of, at University of

Central Florida, 197–198. *See also* E-learning

Open and distance learning (ODL), in Europe, 421–422

Open distance learning (ODL), at Open University Malaysia, 317, 318

Open University Malaysia (OUM), 262–263, 315–323, 319; blended learning model of, 315–317; challenges to blended learning at, 320–322; face-to-face learning at, 316, 317–318; future of blended learning at, 322–323; learning management system (LMS) at, 319; microblended options offered at, 311, 315–316; online learning at, 316, 318–319; online tutors at, 318–319, 320; self-managed learning at, 316–317

Open University (OU) (U.K.), 264, 387–398; approach to blended learning at, 387–388; blended management education courses offered by, 388–390; business schools' reception of learning innovations and, 388; e-tivities offered by, 392, 396; future of blended learning at, 397–398; online problem-based learning at, 390, 392; online tutors at, 394–397; Selinger's experience with, 436; student satisfaction with blended learning at, 390, 391; teamwork emphasis in online management education offered by, 392–394; type of courses offered by, 421–422

Oracle Corporation, Leader Track training program, 59, 136–147; blended format adopted for, 136–137; future of, 147; outcomes of blended vs. instructor-led version of, 140–146; overview of, 139–140; ROI of blended version of, 146–147; theoretical foundation for blended learning and, 137–139

Organizations: culture of, community building affected by, 145;

preparing, to support blended learning, 39

Outcomes: with blended vs. instructor-led leadership development program, 140–146; interactions as, 46–48

P

Pedagogy. *See* Instructional methods

Personality types, instructional methods required for, 145–146

Personalization: of Avaya's ASK Center, 111–112; with learning ecology framework, 85–86

Pew Charitable Trust, 10

Philadelphia, wireless access initiative in, 42

Phoenix College, 362

Pilot testing: learning ecology model applications as similar to, 85; when designing blended learning experiences, 34

Prime Minister of Japan and His Cabinet, 268

Privatization, of Japanese universities, 269. *See also* For-profit universities

Problem-based embedded training, 540, 542–545

Problem-based learning (PBL): for authentic learning, 503, 513, 534; blended approach to, 226–227; online instructors' preference for, 556, 557; at Open University (U.K.), 389, 390, 392

Productivity, increased with blended learning, 312

Professional development: for faculty, on blended learning, 178–179, 198–201, 229–231, 292–293; for online tutors at Open University (U.K.), 396–397

Program completion rates. *See* Attrition rates

Program-level blending, 11–12

Q

Quality: assuring, of blended learning in Japan, 278; improving, in

higher education, 158–159; of learning experiences, and learning ecology framework, 79; virtual for-profit universities and, 255–256

R

Real-time work flow–based learning, 460, 479–490; benefits of, 489; components of architecture for, 481–486; example of, 486–488; paradigm shift with, 479–481

Realtors, authentic learning course for, 510–512

Red Escolar program (Mexico), 353–354

Reduced face-time courses, 156, 160–161

Reflection: as component of self-regulated learning, 301; with computer-mediated learning environment, 18; needed for learning, 137; as work-based activity at Shell EP, 469

Research Initiative for Teaching Effectiveness, 340

Return on investment (ROI): from Avaya's blended learning program, 117–118; documentation of, in blended learning, 10; from IBM's blended learning program, 10, 68, 70–71; non-calculation of, from e-learning courses, 554; from Oracle's blended leadership development training, 146–147. *See also* Cost-effectiveness

Rio Salado College (AZ), 360, 361

Role of the Manager@IBM program, 498–499

Rubicon, 440, 441

Rwanda, teacher training in, 418, 433–435

S

SARS epidemic, e-learning in China and, 297, 309

Satisfaction, student: amount of interaction as determinant of,

- 347, 348; with blended learning at University of Central Florida, 203–205; with blended learning courses, 196; with face-to-face interaction added to online courses, 188–190, 191; with IBM's blended learning program, 66, 68–69, 74; with online courses in Korea, 289–290; with Open University's (U.K.) blended management education program, 390, 391
- Scaffolding, 534–535
- School of Network Education (SNE) (China), 296–297
- Self-direction: Chinese students as lacking, 299–300; as occurring late in blended courses, 367, 368
- Self-learning, in Europe, 421–422
- Self-managed learning (SML), at Open University Malaysia (OUM), 316–317
- Self-regulation, learner, as issue when designing blended learning systems, 15
- Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM), 518, 529–530
- Shell EP, 459–460, 464–472; design of blended courses at, 465–466; example of blended course at, 470–471; issues in creating blended courses at, 471–472; problems facing, 464–465; traditional learning activities in blended courses at, 469–470; work-based activities in blended courses at, 466–469
- Shinshu University (Japan), 271
- Simulations: for coaching, 62, 65; military training using, 525–528, 537; in real-time work flow-based learning, 484–485
- Singapore, 263; development of supporting academic skills in, 333; government initiatives for modernizing education in, 268, 327; knowledge transfers in, 444; overview of blended learning in, 330–331; student-centered teaching and learning strategies in, 331; technology matched to learning tasks in, 335
- Skills: academic, developing supporting, 333–334; American workforce's lack of, 493–494
- Sloan-C Consortium, 201–202
- Social experience: as benefit of blended learning approach, 25, 97; with face-to-face learning, 122, 188–190, 191; lacking with distance education telecourses, 361–362; learning as, 80, 227
- Social presence: defined, 48; interactions for establishing, 48–49
- South Africa. *See* University of Pretoria (South Africa)
- South Korea. *See* Korea
- Southern Maine Technical College, 160–161
- Spectrum Pacific Learning Company, 210
- St. Petersburg Junior Colleges (FL), 362
- Stony Brook University, 411–412
- Students: characteristics of, needed for online learning, 124; framework for understanding factors affecting success of, 131–132; generations of, instructional methods preferred by, 204–205; increased data on progress of, with e-learning, 158–159; increased workloads for, 334; increasing technological savvy of, 195–196; new roles for, with blended learning, 332, 357–358; older demographics of, 196; standardized assessment of, in Cisco Networking Academy, 129–130; technology expectations of, 157–158; working adults as, 247–248. *See also* Satisfaction, student
- Sun Microsystems, learning ecology framework, 58, 76–90; future developments and, 89–90; ideas underlying, 79–80; knowledge ecology matrix as modification of, 58, 86–89; matrix model of, 80–85; observations from applying, 85–86; rationale for using, 76–78
- Supporting blended learning: aspects of, resulting in disappointing outcomes, 30; change-initiative perspective in, 37–38; development of academic skills as, 333–334; establishing system for, in Japan, 278; models of, as issue when designing blended learning, 15; necessity of, 197, 348; organizational preparation for, 39; at University of Phoenix, 232; at University of Waikato (New Zealand), 178–180. *See also* Learning management systems (LMS)
- Surveys of European Universities Skills in Information and Communication Technology for Staff and Students (SEUISS Project), 157
- Sylvan Learning Systems, 245, 246, 250, 258
- Synchronicity: in corporate training industry, 93, 477; vs. asynchronicity, 35, 122

T

- Teacher education: in Jordan, 418, 439–441; National University's online program for, 209, 211, 213–219; in New Zealand, 177–178; online course for, in China, 304–306; in Rwanda, 418, 433–435
- Team approach, to facilitating blended learning, 35–37, 38
- Technologies: choosing, 32–33, 334–335; chronology of, for learning delivery, 28–29; emerging, for blended learning in future, 557–559; as enhancing interactions, 339–340; innovative, cost of, 16; Jones International University's learning model as based on, 251–252; permitting social presence, 49; personal and mobile digital, 41–44; students' expectations of, in higher education, 157–158; students' increasing familiarity with,

- 195–196; for University of Phoenix blended learning courses, 227; used in blended courses in Canada, 345–346; used in education in Mexico, 351–352. *See also* Information and communication technology (ICT); Mixed and virtual reality technologies
- Technology dissonance, 442
- Technology Literacy Challenge Fund, 268
- Technology training: growth of global demand for, 93–94; Microsoft Learning's assess, learn, and apply framework for, 95–103; trends in, 94–95
- Technology-enhanced courses, 156, 159–160
- Tel-Aviv University (TAU), 264, 374–384; asynchronous forums at, 382–383; content of course Web sites at, 377–379; increase in Web-supported instruction at, 376–377; overview of Virtual TAU project of, 375; transitional status of blended learning at, 383–384; use of course Web site content at, 380–382
- Telesecundaria (Mexico), 351–352
- Tertiary education. *See* Higher education
- Thomson and NETg, 183
- Thomson Corporation, 312
- Time: deconstructing, when designing blended courses, 366; limited, for employee training, 494–495. *See also* Asynchronicity; Synchronicity
- Training: benefits of using blended learning for, 97–98, 123–125, 312–313; challenges to, at IBM, 493; evolution of blended learning for, 476–478; for facilitators of blended learning, 36–37, 38; limited time for, of workers, 494–495; models for, as issue when designing blended learning systems, 15; to transform Avaya's sales culture, 112–118; trend toward blended learning in, in U.K., 183–184; using mixed and virtual reality technologies for, 533–546. *See also* Leadership development; Military training; Professional development; Technology training
- Transactions, interactions as, 45–46
- Transforming blends, 13, 14
- Trialability, as aid to adopting e-learning, 73
- Trust: building, in online environments, 172, 394; development of, in learning communities, 143–145
- Tutors: increased workloads for, with blended learning, 334; new roles for, 332; at Open University Malaysia, 318–319, 320; at Open University (U.K.), 394–397; support for, 334. *See also* Intelligent tutoring systems

U

- U.K. eUniversities Worldwide (UKeU), 183, 325
- United Kingdom: definition of blended learning in, 185–186; growth of e-learning in, 182; trend toward blended learning in, 183, 184. *See also* Open University (OU) (U.K.)
- United National Development Programme, Virtual Development Academy, 247
- Universities. *See* For-profit universities; Higher education; *specific universities*
- University National Autonomous Mexico, 351
- University of British Columbia (UBC), 155
- University of Central Florida (UCF), 153, 197–205; classroom space efficiencies at, 201; faculty professional development program at, 198–201; learning effectiveness with blended learning at, 202–203; online learning modalities at, 197–198; student satisfaction with blended learning at, 203–205
- University of Glamorgan (Wales), 152–153; blended learning experience of, 186–192; continuum of e-learning at, 186
- University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, NetMath project, 410–411
- University of Iowa, 410
- University of Maryland, 360
- University of Nebraska, 360
- University of Phoenix, 221–222, 223–234; blended learning enrollments at, 228; classroom and online learning models at, 224–225, 249; competency development in blended learning at, 231–232; faculty for blended learning courses of, 227, 228, 229–231, 232–233; international ventures of, 246, 258; as leader in growth of for-profit education, 245, 247, 250; local and distance blended learning models at, 225–227; professional preparation by, 234; research on instructional delivery modes at, 233; support for blended learning at, 232; technology for blended learning courses of, 227
- University of Pittsburgh, 410
- University of Pretoria (South Africa), 264–265, 400–413; growth of Web-supported courses at, 400–403; Web-supported calculus course at, 404–407
- University of Queensland, 333
- University of the Air (Japan), 269–270
- University of Tokyo, 270–271
- University of Waikato (UOW) (New Zealand), 152, 169–180; examples of blended learning courses at, 173–178; history of distance education at, 169–170; overview of online courses at, 170–173; technological and pedagogical support at, 178–180
- University of Washington, Magic-Book project, 538

University of Wollongong (Australia), 263; change in student body at, 326–327, 328; communication-blending match at, 332–333; increased workloads at, 334; new roles for students and faculty at, 332; overview of blended learning at, 327–329; student-centered teaching and learning strategies in, 331–332

University System of Georgia (USG), 165–166

U.S. Army: augmented reality simulation developed by, 537; Natick Soldier Center, 540; problem-based embedded training by, 540, 542–545

U.S. Department of Defense, 520, 521, 540. *See also* Military training

U.S. Department of Education, 8, 195

V

Value sorting, 24

Videoconferencing: Maricopa Community College District's experience with, 362–363; teacher-student interaction increased with, 333; technical support needed for, 335; at University of Waikato (New

Zealand), 172, 179; at Villanova, 161; World Bank e-learning using, 450, 452, 453, 454–455

Villanova University, 161–162

Virtual Development Academy, United National Development Programme, 247

Virtual reality. *See* Mixed and virtual reality technologies

Virtual TAU. *See* Tel-Aviv University (TAU)

Virtual universities. *See* Jones International University (JIU)

W

Wales. *See* University of Glamorgan (Wales)

Web conferencing systems, social presence with, 49

Web-supplemented courses, 156, 159–160

WebCT, 151–152, 155–167; Canadian universities using, 345; on enterprise-wide approaches to blended learning, 162–166; on evolution of blended learning in higher education, 167; founding of, 155; International Christian University's (Japan) use of, 272, 273, 276, 277; Southern Maine Technical College course using, 160; types of blended learning

programs identified by, 151, 156, 159–162; University of Pretoria's (South Africa) use of, 401, 402, 404, 405, 406; Villanova University courses using, 161

Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC), 210, 211

Western Cooperative for Educational Telecommunications (WCET), 552

White House, 520; Office of the Press Secretary, 494

WIDE University (Japan), 270

Wireless networks, 42

Wisconsin, State of, technology training for employees of, 99–101

Withdrawal rates. *See* Attrition rates

Workforce: American, skills gap of, 493–494; changing demographics of, 463, 494; ongoing training needed by, 247–248

Workload: for faculty, 288, 334, 335, 347, 384, 407; for students, 288, 334, 384; for tutors, 334

World Bank, 418; blended e-learning offered by, 451–453; Global Distance Learning Network (GDLN) program of, 453–455; lessons from, on blended e-learning in developing countries, 455–457

World Economic Forum, 282

