

Index

- AASHO (American Association of State Highway Officials), 23
AASHTO, *see* American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
- Abutments:
integral, 79, 82
for medium- and short-span bridges, 77–82
- Addis, W., 49
- ADT (average daily traffic), 174
- ADTT, *see* Average daily truck traffic
- Advanced first-order second-moment (AFOSM) method, 144–146
- Aerodynamic instability, 92
- Aesthetics, 51–87
and computer modeling, 83–86
contrast and texture in, 64–66
defined, 52–53
function in, 53–55
harmony in, 60–63
light and shadow in, 65, 67, 68
for medium- and short-span bridges, 67, 69–82
abutments, 77–81
deck overhangs, 72–74
girder span/depth ratio, 71, 73
integral abutments and jointless bridges, 79, 82
piers, 74–80
resolution of duality, 69–72
order and rhythm in, 62–64
proportion in, 55–60
in selection of bridge type, 99
symmetry in, 259
Web references on, 83, 87
- AISC (American Institute for Steel Construction), 260
- Allowable stress design (ASD), 23
calibration with ASD criteria, 152–153
evolution of specifications, 114–116
shortcomings of, 117
and variability of loads, 116
- Alvord Lake Bridge, San Francisco, 17
- Ambassador Bridge, Detroit, Michigan, 13, 14
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), 23. *See also* Specifications
distribution factors, 310–318
and margin of safety, 114
A Policy on the Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 153–154
strip widths, 354–355
- American Association of State Highway Officials (AASHO), 23
- American Bridge Company, 10
- American Institute for Steel Construction (AISC), 260
- American Railroad Engineering Association (AREA), 23
- American Railway Engineering and Maintenance of Way Association, 24
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), 23
- Anchorage set loss of prestress (concrete), 484
- Arch bridges:
for long spans, 106–107
with main structure below deck line, 88–89
Marsh rainbow arch, 19
metal, 14–18
reinforced concrete, 17–19
span lengths for, 98
stone, 3–4
wooden, 4
- Arched trusses, 5, 9
- AREA (American Railroad Engineering Association), 23
- Arlington Memorial Bridge, Washington DC, 18–19
- ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers), 23
- ASD, *see* Allowable stress design
- Aspdin, Joseph, 17
- Assumptions:
in composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 883–884
in noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 863–864
in system analysis, 284–285
- Average daily traffic (ADT), 174
- Average daily truck traffic (ADTT), 173, 174
- Axial strain:
in flexibility and stiffness formulations, 391–394
temperature-gradient-induced, 388–390
- Barriers:
concrete barrier strength, 534–540

- Barriers (*continued*)
- crash testing of, 540
 - for uniform thickness barrier wall, 535–540
 - for variable thickness barrier wall, 540
 - concrete deck design problem, 565–578
 - bending moment force effects, 544–545
 - critical length of yield line failure pattern, 570
 - flexural resistance of wall
 - about axis parallel to longitudinal axis of bridge, 569–570
 - about vertical axis, 567–569
 - length of additional deck overhang bars, 576–578
 - nominal resistance to transverse load, 570–571
 - shear transfer between barrier and deck, 571–574
 - top reinforcement in deck overhang, 574–576
 - traffic barrier design loads, 176–177
- Bayonne Arch Bridge, New Jersey, 17
- Beam action shear resistance (I-sections), 806–807
- Beam columns, 735, 748
- Beam-line analysis:
 - box-girder bridges, 376–382
 - slab-girder bridges, 309–325
- Bearing stiffeners:
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 952–959
 - steel bridges, 836–840
- Bear Mountain Bridge, New York, 13
- Bending moment:
 - concrete deck design problem, 542–546
 - barrier, 544–545
 - deck slab, 542–544
 - future wearing surface, 545–546
 - overhang, 544
 - of I-sections, 754–755
- Bending stress profile, 284
- Ben Franklin Bridge, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 13, 14
- Betti's theorem, 235–236
- Bias factor, 132–133
- Billner, K. R., 19
- Bixby Creek Bridge, Carmel, California, 19, 20
- BMS (bridge management systems), 40
- Bosporus Straits Bridge, Istanbul, Turkey, 54
- Bowstring arch, 14
- Bowstring arch trusses, 9
- Box-girder bridges, 94–98
 - configurations, 373–374
 - gravity load analysis, 372–385
 - beam-line methods, 376–382
 - behavior, structural idealization, and modeling, 372–376
 - finite-element method, 383–385
 - modeling, 308–309
- Braking forces, gravity loads from, 186–187
- Bridges, 1. *See also specific topics, e.g.:*
 - Design of bridges
 - classification of, 87–88. *See also* Bridge types
 - as key transportation system elements, 2
 - subsystems of, 283. *See also* System analysis
- Bridge Aesthetics Around the World* (Burke), 52
- Bridge engineers, 39–41
- Bridge engineering, 2–39
 - failure of bridges, 24–39
 - during construction, 39, 40
 - Cypress Viaduct, California, 37–39
 - I-5 and I-210 interchange, San Fernando, California, 26–29
 - Mianus River Bridge, Greenwich, Connecticut, 30, 33–35
 - Schoharie Creek Bridge, Amsterdam, New York, 35–37
 - Silver Bridge, Point Pleasant, West Virginia, 25–26
 - Sunshine Skyway, Tampa Bay, Florida, 29–32
- girder bridges, 20–22
- metal arch bridges, 14–18
- metal truss bridges, 8–10
- reinforced concrete bridges, 17–20
- specifications, 21–24
- stone arch bridges, 3–4
- suspension bridges, 10–14
- wooden bridges, 4–7
- Bridge management systems (BMS), 40
- Bridgescape* (Gottemoeller), 52
- Bridge types, 87–109. *See also specific types*
 - for different span lengths, 101–108
 - girder bridges, 20–22
 - with main structure:
 - above the deck line, 89–94
 - below the deck line, 88–89
 - coinciding with deck line, 94–98
 - metal arch bridges, 14–18
 - metal truss bridges, 8–10
 - reinforced concrete bridges, 17–20
 - selection of, 96, 98–101
 - specifications, 21–24
 - stone arch bridges, 3–4
 - suspension bridges, 10–14
 - wooden bridges, 4–7
- Brittle fracture (steel bridges), 707–709
- Broadway Bridge, Daytona, Florida, 83, 84
- Brooklyn Bridge, New York, 11, 13, 16, 66, 89, 100
- BT Beam—LRFD Analysis, 271
- Buckling, 740–742
 - global, 772
 - lateral torsional, 772, 787–793
 - local, 772–773, 775–784
 - compression flange, 783–784
 - web bend buckling, 779–781
 - web buckling load shedding, 781–783
 - web vertical buckling, 776–779
- Burr, Theodore, 4, 5
- Cable-stayed bridges:
 - for extra-large spans, 107
 - with main structure above deck line, 89–94
 - span lengths for, 98
- CAD (computer-aided drafting), 47
- Calibration of LRFD code, 141–153
 - for fitting with ASD, 152–153
 - using reliability theory, 142–152
- Caltrans, 188–189
- Camber:
 - composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 882–883

- noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 863
- prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 662–666
- steel bridges, 725
- T-beam bridge design problem, 620–626
- Canyon Padre Bridge, Flagstaff, Arizona, 19
- Carbon structural steel, 698–700
- Casey, Edward, 18
- Cast-in-place (CIP) concrete:
 - box girders, 411
 - bridges, 412
 - posttensioned concrete box girder bridges, 105, 106
 - reinforced concrete box girder bridges, 105
- Cedar Creek Bridge, Elgin, Kansas, 19
- Centrifugal forces, gravity loads from, 184–186
- Charettes, 83
- Chenoweth, Lemuel, 5
- Cincinnati Suspension Bridge, Ohio, 11, 13
- CIP, *see* Cast-in-place concrete
- Coefficient of variation, 133–134
- Collision loads, 220
- Colorado Street Bridge, Pasadena, California, 19
- Columns, 735
 - compressive resistance, 742–748
 - stability of, 736–740
- Combined shear resistance (I-sections), 811–813
- Compatibility, 283, 412–414
- Composite rolled steel beam bridges:
 - design problem, 864–884
 - checking assumptions, 883–884
 - dead-load camber, 882–883
 - design sketch, 884
 - dimensions and details requirements, 882
 - flexural design, 869–879
 - force effects from non-live loads, 866–869
 - shear connectors, 879–882
 - shear design, 879
 - for medium spans, 104
- Composite sections, 753–754
 - defined, 753
 - ductility of, 796
- loss of prestress after deck placement:
 - creep loss of girder concrete, 500–502
 - shrinkage gain of deck concrete, 502–504
 - shrinkage loss of girder concrete, 498–499
- noncompact, 795
- plastic moment of, 765–768
- plastic neutral axis of, 760–764
- yield moment of, 754–760
- Composite steel bridges:
 - box girder, 105–106
 - plate girder:
 - multiple-span, bridge design problem, 886–895
 - for small and medium spans, 104–106
- Compression field theory, 510
- Compression flange:
 - local buckling, 783–784
 - requirements for specifications, 785–786
 - section requirement for, 784–785
 - slenderness specifications, 786–787
- Compression members:
 - defined, 735
 - steel bridges, 735–748
 - column stability concepts, 735–740
 - compressive resistance, 742–748
 - connections for, 748
 - inelastic buckling concepts, 740–742
- Compression softening (concrete), 420, 518
- Compressive resistance (steel bridges), 742–748
- Compressive strength:
 - of aged concrete, 431
 - of confined hardened concrete, 421–427
 - of hardened concrete, 418–421
 - testing, 10
 - of very-high-strength concrete, 416
- Computer-aided drafting (CAD), 47
- Computer modeling, aesthetics with, 83–86
- Computer software for LRFD, 987
- Concentrated loads:
 - axle, 23
 - influence functions for statically determinate beams, 229–233
- Concentric loading, 284
- Conceptual design stage, 49–50
- Concrete, 411. *See also* Prestressed concrete
 - classes of, 414–415
 - compression softening of, 518
 - creep in, 219, 395
 - fatigue of, 456–457
 - fresh, 414–417
 - hardened, 417–440
 - compressive strength and behavior, 418–421
 - concrete tensile strength and behavior, 427–430
 - confined concrete compressive strength and behavior, 421–427
 - long-term properties of, 430–440
 - modulus of elasticity for, 439–440
 - short-term properties of, 418–430
 - modulus of elasticity for, 419
 - shrinkage in, 395
 - stress limitations for, 452–454
 - stress limits for, 650–651
 - very-high-strength, 416
 - water/cement ratio, 415–416
- Concrete arch bridges, 106–107
- Concrete barrier strength, 534–540
- Concrete deck design problem, 565–578
 - critical length of yield line failure pattern, 570
 - flexural resistance of wall
 - about axis parallel to longitudinal axis of bridge, 569–570
 - about vertical axis, 567–569
 - length of additional deck overhang bars, 576–578
 - nominal resistance to transverse load, 570–571
 - shear transfer between barrier and deck, 571–574
 - top reinforcement in deck overhang, 574–576
- crash testing of, 540
- for uniform thickness barrier wall, 535–540
- external virtual work by applied loads, 536–537

- Concrete barrier strength (*continued*)
 - internal virtual work along yield lines, 537–538
 - nominal railing resistance to transverse load, 539–540
 - for variable thickness barrier wall, 540
 - Concrete bridges, 411–680
 - box girder, 94–98
 - concrete barrier strength, 534–540
 - crash testing of, 540
 - for uniform thickness barrier wall, 535–540
 - for variable thickness barrier wall, 540
 - design problems, 540–580
 - concrete deck, 541–579
 - prestressed girder bridge, 636–680
 - solid slab bridge, 579–597
 - T-beam bridge, 597–636
 - flexural strength of reinforced concrete members, 463–504
 - depth to neutral axis for beams with bonded tendons, 463–468
 - depth to neutral axis for beams with unbonded tendons, 468–471
 - ductility and maximum tensile reinforcement, 476–481
 - loss of prestress, 482–504
 - minimum tensile reinforcement, 481–482
 - nominal flexural strength, 471–476
 - fresh concrete constituents, 414–417
 - hardened concrete properties, 417–440
 - long-term, 430–440
 - short-term, 418–430
 - limit states, 449–463
 - extreme event limit state, 463
 - fatigue limit state, 454–461
 - service limit state, 449–454
 - strength limit state, 461–463
 - for long spans, 106–107
 - for medium spans, 104, 105
 - reinforced and prestressed concrete material response, 412–414
 - reinforced concrete, 17–20
 - shear strength of reinforced concrete members, 504–534
 - modified compression field theory, 510–523
 - shear design using modified compression field theory, 523–534
 - variable-angle truss model, 506–510
 - for small spans, 103
 - steel-reinforced concrete properties, 440–449
 - nonprestressed steel reinforcement, 441–445
 - prestressing steel, 445–449
 - Concrete deck design problem, 541–579
 - bending moment force effects, 542–546
 - barrier, 544–545
 - deck slab, 542–544
 - future wearing surface, 545–546
 - overhang, 544
 - concrete barrier strength, 565–578
 - critical length of yield line failure pattern, 570
 - flexural resistance of wall
 - about axis parallel to longitudinal axis of bridge, 569–570
 - about vertical axis, 567–569
 - length of additional deck overhang bars, 576–578
 - nominal resistance to transverse load, 570–571
 - shear transfer between barrier and deck, 571–574
 - top reinforcement in deck overhang, 574–576
 - cracking control, 557–561
 - deck overhang design, 563–566
 - extreme event limit state, 564–565
 - strength limit state, 564
 - deck thickness, 542
 - empirical design of deck slabs, 561–563
 - design conditions, 562
 - reinforcement requirements, 562–563
 - fatigue limit state, 561
 - reinforcement quantities, comparison of, 563
 - reinforcement selection, 553–557
 - distribution reinforcement, 556–557
 - negative moment reinforcement, 555–556
 - positive moment reinforcement, 555
 - shrinkage and temperature reinforcement, 557
 - strength limit state, 550–553
 - barrier, 552
 - deck slab, 551
 - future wearing surface, 552
 - live load, 552
 - overhang, 551–552
 - strength I limit state, 552–553
 - traditional design for interior spans, 561
 - vehicular live load, 546–550
 - maximum interior negative live-load moment, 549–550
 - maximum live-load reaction on exterior girder, 550
 - maximum positive live-load moment, 548–549
 - overhang negative live-load moment, 548
 - weights of components, 542
- Construction:
 - failure of bridges during, 39, 40
 - as type selection criterion, 99–100
- Contrast, in aesthetic design, 64–66
- Cooper, Theodore, 23
- Cooper series loading, 23, 24
- Covell, Vernon R., 19
- Cracking control:
 - in concrete bridges, 450–451
 - concrete deck design problem, 557–561
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 586–589
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 612–618
- Crash testing (concrete barriers), 540
- Creative design stage, 49–50
- Creep, 219, 395, 436–439
 - deformations due to, 219
 - loss of girder concrete:
 - in composite section after deck placement, 500–502
 - between transfer and deck placement, 496–497
 - in system analysis, 395

- Critical load placement, 260, 263
- Cross frames:
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 966–976
 - steel bridges, 725–726
- Culverts:
 - precast, 411–412
 - for small spans, 102
- Curvature:
 - in flexibility and stiffness formulations, 391–394
 - temperature-gradient-induced, 390
- Cyclic loads, 297–299
- Cypress Viaduct, California, 37–39
- Data gathering design stage, 49
- Dead load:
 - of earth fills, 164
 - of structural components and nonstructural attachments, 163, 164
 - of wearing surface, 163–164
- Dead-load camber:
 - composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 882–883
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 863
- Deck:
 - gravity loads, 174–178
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 886
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 843
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 640
 - thickness of, in concrete deck design problem, 542
- Deck analysis, influence functions for, 981–983
- Deck overhang:
 - concrete deck design problem, 563–566
 - bending moment force effects, 544
 - extreme event limit state, 564–565
 - length of additional overhang bars, 576–578
 - strength limit state, 564
 - top reinforcement in, 574–576
 - design loads, 175–176
 - for medium- and short-span bridges, 72–74
- Deck slabs:
 - bending moment force effects, 542–544
 - empirical design of, 561–563
 - design conditions, 562
 - reinforcement requirements, 562–563
- Deductive reasoning, 47–48
- Deflection:
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 662–666
 - steel bridges, 710–711
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 620–626
- Deformations:
 - concrete bridges, 451–452
 - forces due to, 216–220
 - from creep and shrinkage, 219
 - from settlement, 220
 - from temperature, 216–219
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 589–592
 - steel bridges, 711
- Delafield, Richard, 14
- De Miranda, F., 51
- Density, 163
- Department of Transportation in California (Caltrans), 188–189
- Department of Transportation of Pennsylvania (PennDOT), 187–188
- Depth to neutral axis (reinforced concrete):
 - for beams with bonded tendons, 463–468
 - for beams with unbonded tendons, 468–471
- Description of design, 46–47
- Design-bid-build model, 100
- Design-build, 100–101
- Designer sign conventions, 226
- Design lanes, 165–168
- Design lane load, influence functions for, 265–268
- Design loads, 166–173
 - deck overhangs, 175–176
 - lane, 265–268
 - tandem, 166–168, 265, 267–269
 - traffic barrier, 176–177
 - truck, 166–168, 263–265, 267, 269–271
 - vehicular, 166–173
- Design of bridges, 113–158. *See also specific types of bridges*
 - calibration of LRFD code, 141–153
 - for fitting with ASD, 152–153
 - using reliability theory, 142–152
 - geometric design, 153–157
 - goals of, 114
 - historic procedures for, 114–118
 - justification stage of, 113
 - limit states in, 113–114, 118–127
 - basic design expression for, 118–119
 - ductility factor, 119–120
 - extreme event limit state, 126–127
 - fatigue and fracture limit state, 124–125
 - load combinations and load factors, 122–124
 - load designation, 121–122
 - operational importance factor, 121
 - redundancy factor, 120
 - service limit state, 122–124
 - strength limit state, 125–126
 - modeling in, 286
 - probabilistic, 127–141
 - bias factor, 132–133
 - coefficient of variation, 133–134
 - frequency distribution and mean value, 128–129
 - levels of, 127
 - probability density functions, 129–132
 - probability of failure, 134–136
 - safety index, 136–141
 - standard deviation, 129, 130
 - safety in, 113, 114
 - structural design process, 45–51
 - description and justification in, 46–48
 - input to, 48–49
 - model of, 46
 - and regulation, 49
- Design problems:
 - composite rolled steel beam bridge, 864–884
 - concrete bridges, 540–680
 - concrete deck, 541–579

- Design problems (*continued*)
- multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge, 885–976
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge, 841–864
 - prestressed girder bridge, 636–680
 - solid slab bridge, 579–597
 - steel bridges, 840–976
 - T-beam bridge, 597–636
- Design vehicular loads, 166–173
- Diaphragms:
- multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 966–976
 - steel bridges, 725–726
- Distributed loads, 233, 283
- Distribution factors:
- for moment:
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 887–890
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 844–846
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 641–643
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 601–606
 - for shear:
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 890–895
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 846–849
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 643–644
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 606–609
 - slab-girder systems, 309–318
- Distribution reinforcement, in solid slab bridge design problem, 596
- Dominance, in aesthetic design, 64
- Double-intersection Pratt truss, 9
- Double-plate transverse stiffener design, 947–952
- Downdrag, 164
- Drag coefficient, 190
- Drip groove, 74
- Duality:
- for medium- and short-span bridges, 69–72
 - unresolved, 69
- Ductility:
- of composite sections, 796
 - in limit states, 119–120
 - in reinforced concrete, 476–481
 - steel, 688
 - and stress, 291–295
- Dunlap's Creek bridge, Brownsville, Pennsylvania, 14
- Durability:
- solid slab bridge design problem, 585–586
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 611–612
- Dynamic horizontal ice forces, 211–214
- Dynamic load allowance:
- multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 877
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 844
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 641
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 601
- Dynamic load effect, 178–184
- global, 183
 - impact factor parameters, 181–183
 - studies of, 179–180
- Eads, James B., 15–17
- Eads Bridge, St. Louis, Missouri, 15–17
- Earthquake failures. *See also* Seismic loads
- Cypress Viaduct, California, 37–39
 - and extreme event limit state, 127
 - I-5 and I-210 interchange, San Fernando, California, 26–29
 - and operational importance factor, 121
- Earth surcharge load, 164
- East Huntington Bridge, Huntington, West Virginia, 65, 89
- Economics, in selection of bridge type, 99
- Eden Park Bridge, Cincinnati, Ohio, 17
- Effective strength of ice, 204–205
- Einstein, Albert, 50
- Elastic seismic response spectrum, 402–405
- Elastic shortening, loss of prestressing from (concrete), 487–489
- Ellet, Charles, 12
- Elliot, A. L., 78
- Elmira Bridge Company, 21
- Empirical approach, in gravity load analysis, 354
- End moments, Muller-Breslau principle for, 254–257
- Equilibrium, 283
- and compatibility/material response, 412–414
 - for safe design, 288–291
- Erection, as type selection criterion, 99–100
- Erie Canal bridge, Utica, New York, 9
- Esthetics in Concrete Bridge Design* (Watson and Hurd), 52
- Euclid, 55
- Exclusion vehicles, in live-load model, 168–171
- Expansion joints, maintenance problem with, 79
- Experience, judgment and, 49
- Extra large (long) span bridges, 107
- Extreme event limit state, 126–127
- concrete bridges, 463
 - concrete deck design problem, 564–565
 - steel bridges, 724–725
- Factored loads, in noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 851–853
- Failure of bridges, 24–39
- during construction, 39, 40
 - Cypress Viaduct, California, 37–39
 - defined, 134
 - I-5 and I-210 interchange, San Fernando, California, 26–29
 - Mianus River Bridge, Greenwich, Connecticut, 30, 33–35
 - prior to specifications, 22
 - probability of, 134–136
 - Schoharie Creek Bridge, Amsterdam, New York, 35–37
 - Silver Bridge, Point Pleasant, West Virginia, 25–26

- Sunshine Skyway, Tampa Bay, Florida, 29–32
- Fatigue. *See also* Stress(es)
 defined, 454
 and safety of analysis methods, 302
 solid slab bridge design problem, 592–594
 steel, 704–706
 T-beam bridge design problem, 618–620
- Fatigue and fracture limit state, 124–125
- composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 877–878
- multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 932–934
- steel bridges, 711–722
 detail categories, 714
 fatigue design criteria, 712
 fatigue load, 712–714
 fatigue resistance, 714, 718–721
 fracture toughness requirements, 721–723
 load-induced fatigue, 711–712, 715–717
- Fatigue limit state:
 concrete bridges, 454–461
 fatigue of plain concrete, 456–457
 fatigue of prestressing tendons, 459–460
 fatigue of reinforcing bars, 457–459
 fatigue of welded or mechanical splices of reinforcement, 460–461
 concrete deck design problem, 561
 I-sections in flexure, 799–800
 prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 658–662
 steel bridge shear connectors, 816–819
 stud connectors (steel bridges), 816–819
 T-beam bridge design problem, 618–620
- Fatigue loads, 173–174, 712–714
- Federal Aid Highway Act of 1968, 26
- Fernandez-Ordóñez, J. A., 64
- FIGG Engineering Group, 83
- Finite-element analysis:
 box-girder bridges, 383–385
 slab bridges, 352–354
 slab-girder bridges, 339–344
 slabs, 360–364
- Finite-strip analysis:
 slab-girder bridges, 344–347
 slabs, 360–364
- Finley, James, 11
- First-order second-moment (FOSM)
 method, 127, 143–146
- Flexibility:
 and axial strain, 391–394
 and curvature, 391–394
- Flexural resistance of wall, in concrete deck design problem:
 about axis parallel to longitudinal axis of bridge, 569–570
 about vertical axis, 567–569
- Flexural section properties, in multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem:
 for negative moment, 909–918
 for positive flexure, 918–929
- Flexural strength (reinforced concrete members), 463–504
 depth to neutral axis for beams with bonded tendons, 463–468
 depth to neutral axis for beams with unbonded tendons, 468–471
 ductility and maximum tensile reinforcement, 476–481
 loss of prestress, 482–504
 minimum tensile reinforcement, 481–482
 nominal flexural strength, 471–476
- Flexure:
 concrete bridges, 450–451
 prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 666–668
 steel bridge I-sections, 748–805
 composite and noncomposite sections, 753–754
 depth of web in compression, 769–770
 hybrid strength reduction, 770–772
 limit states, 796–805
 moment redistribution, 751–753
 plastic moment, 748–751, 754–755, 765–768
 plastic neutral axis, 760–764
 stability related to flexural resistance, 772–796
 stiffness properties, 754
 yield moment, 754–760
- T-beam bridge design problem, 627–631
- Flooding failure, 35–37
- Fluid forces, 189–196
 water, 195–196
 wind, 190–195
- Forces:
 braking, 186–187
 centrifugal, 184–186
 due to deformations, 216–220
 from creep and shrinkage, 219
 from settlement, 220
 from temperature, 216–219
 due to live loads, *see* Live loads
 due to non-live loads, *see* Non-live load force effects
 fluid, 189–196
 ice, 204–216
 rail collision, 220
 vehicle collision, 220
 vessel collision, 29, 30, 127, 162, 220
 water, 195–196
 wind, 190–195
- Fort Morgan Bridge, Colorado, 19
- Fort Sumner Railroad Bridge, New Mexico, 21
- FOSM (first-order second-moment)
 method, 127, 143–146
- Fracture critical, 125
- Frankford Avenue Bridge, Pennypack Creek, 3
- Franklin Institute of Philadelphia, 10
- Freezing degree days, 209, 210
- Frequency distribution, 128–129
- Fresh concrete constituents, 414–417
- Freyssinet, Eugene, 19
- Friction loss of prestress, 484–487
- Function:
 in aesthetic design, 53–55
 in selection of bridge type, 98–99
- General Theory of Bridge Construction (Herman Haupt), 10
- Geometric design, 153–157
 interchanges, 156–157
 roadway widths, 154–156
 vertical clearances, 156

- George Washington Bridge, New York, 13, 14
- George Westinghouse Memorial Bridge, North Versailles, Pennsylvania, 19
- Girders:
and proportion, 56, 57
span/depth ratio for, 71, 73
- Girder bridges, 20–22. *See also* Box-girder bridges; Slab-girder bridges
cantilever span, suspended span, cantilever span systems, 120
limit states for, 114
for long spans, 106
with main structure coinciding with deck line, 94–98
for medium spans, 105–106
span lengths for, 98
- Girder-line analysis, influence functions and, *see* Influence functions
- Global buckling (steel bridges), 772
- Global load dynamic effects, 183
- Golden Gate Bridge, San Francisco, California, 13, 14
- Golden ratio/proportion/section/number, 55
- Gottomoeller, F., 52
- Grant, A., 64
- Gravity loads, 162–189
analysis of, 302–385
for box-girder bridges, 372–385
for slab bridges, 347–354
for slab-girder bridges, 303–347
for slabs in slab-girder bridges, 354–372
braking forces, 186–187
centrifugal forces, 184–186
deck and railing loads, 174–178
defined, 162
design lanes, 165–166
dynamic effects, 178–184
fatigue loads, 173–174
multiple presence, 177, 178
pedestrian loads, 174, 175
permanent, 162–164
permit vehicles, 187–189
transient, 164–189
vehicular design loads, 166–173
- Greater New Orleans Through-Truss Bridge, 93
- Grillage analysis:
slab bridges, 349–352
slab-girder bridges, 326–339
slabs, 360–364
- Hardened concrete properties, 417–440
compressive strength of aged concrete, 431
creep of concrete, 436–439
long-term, 430–440
compressive strength of aged concrete, 431
creep of concrete, 436–439
modulus of elasticity for permanent loads, 439–440
shrinkage of concrete, 431–436
short-term, 418–430
compressive strength and behavior, 418–421
concrete tensile strength and behavior, 427–430
confined concrete compressive strength and behavior, 421–427
shrinkage of concrete, 431–436
- Hardness, steel, 688
- Harmony, in aesthetic design, 60–63
- Haupt, Herman, 10
- Heat-treated low-alloy steel, 700–701
- Heat treatments (steel), 693–694
- Hell Gate Bridge, New York, 17
- High-strength heat-treated alloy steel, 701–704
- High-strength low-alloy steel, 700
- Hildenbrand, Wilhelm, 13
- Hilton, Charles, 23
- HL-93, 166
- Holston River Bridge, Tennessee, 82
- Horizontal ice forces:
dynamic, 211–214
static, 214
- Howe, William, 8
- Howe truss, 8
- H series loading (AASHTO), 23
- Hybrid sections (steel bridges), 770–774
- I-5 and I-210 interchange, San Fernando, California, 26–29
- I-15 West Lilac Road overpass, California, 62
- I-82 Hinzerling Road undercrossing, Prosser, Washington, 64, 66, 70
- I-90 Cedar Falls Road overpass, King County, Washington, 69
- I-beam girder bridges, 94–98
- Ice forces, 204–216
dynamic horizontal, 211–214
effective strength of ice, 204–205
field measurement of, 205–207
snow loads on superstructure, 215–216
static horizontal, 214
thickness of ice, 206, 208–211
vertical, 214–215
- Ice load, extreme event limit state and, 127
- Imposed support deformations, 220
- Incremental collapse, 297
- Inductive reasoning, 47–48
- Inelastic buckling (steel bridges), 740–742
- Influence functions (lines), 225–276
AASHTO vehicle loads, 260, 263–274
for deck analysis, 981–983
defined, 226
influence surfaces, 275
integration of, 248–249
Muller-Breslau principle, 235–240
Betti's theorem, 235–236
qualitative influence functions, 240
theory of, 236–239
normalized, 259–262
relationship between, 250–253
for statically determinate beams, 228–234
concentrated loads, 229–233
uniform loads, 233–234
for statically indeterminate beams, 241–259
automation by matrix structural analysis, 257–259
integration of influence functions, 248–249
Muller-Breslau principle for end moments, 254–257
relationship between influence functions, 250–253
Influence surfaces, 275
- Integral abutments, 79, 82
- Integral piers, 76

- Interchanges, in geometric design, 156–157
- I-sections (steel bridges), 801, 806–815
 beam action shear resistance, 806–807
 combined shear resistance, 811–813
 in flexure, 748–805
 composite and noncomposite sections, 753–754
 depth of web in compression, 769–770
 hybrid strength reduction, 770–772
 limit states, 796–805
 moment redistribution, 751–753
 plastic moment, 748–751, 754–755, 765–768
 plastic neutral axis, 760–764
 stability related to flexural resistance, 772–796
 stiffness properties, 754
 yield moment, 754–760
 shear resistance of, 801, 806–815
 beam action shear resistance, 806–807
 combined shear resistance, 811–813
 tension field action shear resistance, 807–811
 for unstiffened webs, 813–815
 tension field action shear resistance, 807–811
 for unstiffened webs, 813–815
- Jacob's Creek bridge, Uniontown, Pennsylvania, 11
- James J. Hill Stone Arch Bridge, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 3–4
- Jamiset, Dr., 15, 16
- Jointless bridges, medium- and short-span, 79, 82
- Judgment, experience and, 49
- Justification of design, 47–48, 113
- Key Bridge, Washington, DC, 18
- Keystone Bridge Works, 10
- Knight's Key Bridge, Florida, 21
- Lancaster, S. C., 19
- Lane loads, design, 265–268
- Lateral bracing (steel bridges), 726–727
- Lateral loads, 189–216
 analysis of, 395–408
 seismic load analysis, 400–408
 wind loads, 395–400
 fluid forces, 189–196
 ice forces, 204–216
 seismic loads, 196–204
 water forces, 195–196
 wind forces, 190–195
- Lateral torsional buckling (LTB), 772, 787–793
- Lattice truss, 6–7
- Lee Roy Selmon Crosstown Expressway, Tampa Florida, 83, 85
- Legal issues, in selection of bridge type, 101
- Leonhardt, F., 50, 51, 69
- Lever rule, 315–316
- Light, in aesthetic design, 65, 67, 68
- Limit states, 113–114, 118–127. *See also specific types, e.g.:* Extreme event limit state
 basic design expression for, 118–119
 concrete bridges, 449–463
 extreme event limit state, 463
 fatigue limit state, 454–461
 service limit state, 449–454
 strength limit state, 461–463
 ductility factor, 119–120
 extreme event limit state, 126–127
 fatigue and fracture limit state, 124–125
- I-sections in flexure, 796–805
 fatigue limit state, 799–800
 service limit state, 797, 799
 strength limit state, 800–801
- load combinations and load factors, 122–124
- load designation, 121–122
- operational importance factor, 121
- redundancy factor, 120
- service limit state, 122–124
- steel bridges, 709–725
 extreme event limit state, 724–725
 fatigue and fracture limit state, 711–722
- I-sections in flexure, 796–805
 service limit state, 710–711
 strength limit state, 722–724
 strength limit state, 125–126
- Linear elastic method, for gravity load analysis, 354–356
- Linear material response, 288
- Linn Cove Viaduct, North Carolina, 61, 63
- Live loads. *See also* Vehicle live loads; Vehicular live load
 concrete deck design problem, 552
 multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 886–895
 distribution factor for moment, 887–890
 distribution factor for shear, 890–895
 dynamic load allowance, 877
 multiple presence, 877
 number of lanes, 887
 reactions to substructure, 895
 stiffness, 895
 wind effects, 895
- noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 844–849
 distribution factor for moment, 844–846
 distribution factor for shear, 846–849
 dynamic load allowance, 844
 multiple presence, 844
 reactions to substructure, 849
 stiffness, 849
 wind effects, 849
- prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 641–647
 distribution factors for moment, 641–643
 distribution factors for shear, 643–644
 dynamic load allowance, 641
 multiple presence factor, 641
 shears and moments due to live loads, 644–647
- solid slab bridge design problem, 581–584
- T-beam bridge design problem, 601–610
 distribution factors for moment, 601–606
 distribution factors for shear, 606–609
 dynamic load allowance, 601

- Live loads (*continued*)
 - multiple presence, 601
 - number of lanes, 601
 - reactions to substructure, 609–610
- Live-load model, 168–173
- Live-load strip width (solid slab bridge design problem), 579–581
 - one lane loaded, 579–580
 - two lanes loaded, 580
- Loads, 161–221
 - collision, 220
 - designations for, 121–122
 - forces due to deformations, 216–220
 - from creep and shrinkage, 219
 - from settlement, 220
 - from temperature, 216–219
 - gravity, 162–189
 - braking forces, 186–187
 - centrifugal forces, 184–186
 - deck and railing loads, 174–178
 - design lanes, 165–166
 - dynamic effects, 178–184
 - fatigue loads, 173–174
 - multiple presence, 177, 178
 - pedestrian loads, 174, 175
 - permanent, 162–164
 - permit vehicles, 187–189
 - transient, 164–189
 - vehicular design loads, 166–173
 - lateral, 189–216
 - fluid forces, 189–196
 - ice forces, 204–216
 - seismic loads, 196–204
 - water forces, 195–196
 - wind forces, 190–195
 - permanent, 161
 - seismic:
 - analysis of, 400–408
 - combination of seismic forces, 203–204
 - lateral loads from, 196–204
 - minimum seismic design connection forces, 201–203
 - seismic design procedure, 197–201
 - transient, 161
 - variability of, 116–117
 - vehicle, *see* Vehicle loads
- Load and resistance factor design (LRFD), 23–24, 117–118. *See also* LRFD Bridge Design Specifications
 - advantages and disadvantages of, 118
 - calibration of LRFD code, 141–153
 - for fitting with ASD, 152–153
 - using reliability theory, 142–152
 - computer software for, 987
 - Load combinations:
 - load factors for, 122–124
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 843–844
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 640–641
 - service limit state, 122–124
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 583
 - strength limit state, 125–126
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 601
 - Load factors:
 - calculating, 148–150
 - for load combinations, 122–124
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 886
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 843–844
 - vehicular live load, 125
 - Load factor design, 23
 - Load modifiers:
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 886
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 843
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 640
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 583
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 601
 - Local buckling (steel bridges), 772–773, 775–784
 - compression flange, 783–784
 - web bend buckling, 779–781
 - web buckling load shedding, 781–783
 - web vertical buckling, 776–779
 - Locked-in erection stresses, 164
 - Lognormal probability density functions, 131–132
 - Loma Prieta earthquake, 37–38
 - Long, Stephen H., 7
 - Long-span bridges:
 - girder, 21
 - reinforced concrete, 19
 - span lengths for, 106–108
 - steel arch, 15–17
 - stone arch, 3–4
 - suspension, 11–14
 - wooden, 4–5
 - Lower bound theorem, 288–291
 - shakedown load, 298–299
 - ultimate strength limit state, 302
 - LRFD, *see* Load and resistance factor design
 - LRFD Bridge Design Specifications (AASHTO), 24
 - basic design expression in, 118–119
 - compression behavior, 774
 - cross section resistance to bending and shear, 412
 - NCHRP projects related to, 416–417
 - temperature effects in, 395
 - LTB, *see* Lateral torsional buckling
 - Luten, Daniel, 19
 - MacCauley's notation, 245
 - McComas, William, 13
 - McCullough, Conde B., 19
 - McKim, Mead, and White, 19
 - Magnan Viaduct, Nizza, France, 56, 57
 - Maintenance, as bridge type selection criterion, 99
 - Mancunian Way Bridge, Manchester, England, 55, 56
 - Manhattan Bridge, New York, 14
 - Marsh, James B., 19
 - Marsh rainbow arch bridges, 19
 - Material properties, 283
 - densities, 163
 - hardened concrete, 417–440
 - long-term, 430–440
 - short-term, 418–430
 - steel bridges, 688–709
 - brittle fracture, 707–709
 - classification of structural steels, 694–704
 - heat treatments, 693–694
 - production of finished products, 691–692
 - repeated stress (fatigue) effects, 704–706
 - residual stresses, 692
 - steel-making processes, 688–691

- steel-reinforced concrete, 440–449
 - nonprestressed steel reinforcement, 441–445
 - prestressing steel, 445–449
 - typical resistance statistics, 133
 - Material response:
 - and equilibrium/compatibility, 412–414
 - linear, 288
 - nonlinear, 288
 - reinforced and prestressed concrete, 412–414
 - Mathematical models, 285–288
 - Matrix structural analysis, 257–259
 - Maximum negative moment, 242
 - Mean value, 128
 - Mechanical splices of reinforcement,
 - fatigue of, 460–461
 - Medium-span bridges, 67, 69–82
 - abutments, 77–81
 - deck overhangs, 72–74
 - girder bridges, 20
 - girder span/depth ratio, 71, 73
 - integral abutments and jointless bridges, 79, 82
 - piers, 74–80
 - resolution of duality, 69–72
 - span lengths for, 104–106
 - Meigs, Montgomery, 15
 - Melan, Joseph, 17
 - Mendota Bridge, Mendota, Minnesota, 19
 - Mentalities for design process, 51
 - Metal bridges. *See also* Steel bridges
 - arch, 14–18
 - truss, 8–10
 - Metal reinforcement, 984–986
 - Mianus River Bridge, Greenwich, Connecticut, 30, 33–35, 120, 125
 - Michael Baker, Jr., Inc., 17
 - Millen, T., 17
 - Mini mills (steel), 689–690
 - Models:
 - design-build, 100–101
 - mathematical vs. numerical, 285–288
 - and safety of methods, 288
 - of structural design process, 46
 - Modeling:
 - box-girder bridges, 308–309
 - computer, 83–86
 - slab-girder systems, 308–309
 - Modified compression field theory, 7, 510–534
 - equilibrium conditions, 515–518
 - Mohr strain circle, 513–515
 - Mohr stress circle, 510–513
 - shear design using, 523–534
 - stresses, 518–522
 - Modulus of elasticity (concrete), 419, 439–440
 - Moisseiff, Leon, 14
 - Moment diagrams, sign conventions for, 226, 251
 - Moment gradient correction factor, 793–795
 - Monier, Joseph, 17
 - Morison, George, 18
 - Muller-Breslau principle, 235–240
 - Betti's theorem, 235–236
 - end moments of statically indeterminate beams, 254–257
 - qualitative influence functions, 240
 - theory of, 236–239
 - Multiple king-post truss, 7
 - Multiple presence:
 - gravity loads, 177, 178, 317
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 877
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 844
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 641
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 601
 - Multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 885–976
 - bearing stiffeners, 952–959
 - constructibility, 929–931
 - deck, 886
 - design sketch, 976
 - diaphragms and cross frames, 966–976
 - dimension and detail requirements, 966–976
 - double-plate transverse stiffener design, 947–952
 - fatigue and fracture limit state, 932–934
 - flexural section properties for negative moment, 909–918
 - flexural section properties for positive flexure, 918–929
 - force effects from non-live loads, 895–908
 - exterior girders, 896
 - interior girders, 895–896
 - uniformly distributed load, 896–908
 - general section, 886
 - live-load force effects, 886–895
 - distribution factor for moment, 887–890
 - distribution factor for shear, 890–895
 - dynamic load allowance, 877
 - multiple presence, 877
 - number of lanes, 887
 - reactions to substructure, 895
 - stiffness, 895
 - wind effects, 895
 - load factors, 886
 - load modifiers, 886
 - resistance factors, 886
 - service limit state, 931–932
 - shear connectors, 959–966
 - shear design, 934–942
 - strength limit state, 934
 - transition points, 929
 - transverse intermediate stiffener design, 942–947
 - typical section, 886
- Munro, T., 67
- Napa River Bridge, California, 22, 61
- National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), 26, 34
- National Bridge Inventory (NBI), 26
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP):
 - 12–33 Project Team, 988–990
 - AASHTO LRFD specification revisions projects, 416–417
- Natural Bridge of Virginia, 3*n*
- NBI (National Bridge Inventory), 26
- NBIS, *see* National Bridge Inspection Standards
- NCHRP, *see* National Cooperative Highway Research Program
- Negative space, 55
- New River Gorge Bridge, West Virginia, 17, 18, 88
- Niagara River Bridge, 11, 12

- Nominal flexural strength (reinforced concrete), 471–476
- Noncomposite rolled steel beam
 bridge design problem, 841–864
 checking assumptions, 863–864
 dead-load camber, 863
 deck, 843
 design sketch, 864
 dimensions and details, 861–863
 factored loads, 851–853
 force effects from non-live loads, 849–851
 general section, 843
 live-load force effects, 844–849
 distribution factor for moment, 844–846
 distribution factor for shear, 846–849
 dynamic load allowance, 844
 multiple presence, 844
 reactions to substructure, 849
 stiffness, 849
 wind effects, 849
 load combination, 843–844
 load factors, 843–844
 load modifiers, 843
 resistance factor, 843
 shear design, 861
 trial section, 853–861
 typical section, 843
- Noncomposite sections, 753–754
 compactness requirements, 795
 defined, 753–754
 plastic moment of, 768
 plastic neutral axis of, 764
 yield moment of, 760
- Nonlinear material response, 288
- Non-live load force effects:
 composite rolled steel beam bridge
 design problem, 866–869
 multiple-span composite steel plate
 girder beam bridge design
 problem, 895–908
 exterior girders, 896
 interior girders, 895–896
 uniformly distributed load,
 896–908
 noncomposite rolled steel beam
 bridge design problem, 849–
 851
 prestressed concrete girder bridge
 design problem, 647–649
 solid slab bridge design problem,
 585
 T-beam bridge design problem,
 610–611
- Nonprestressed steel reinforcement,
 441–445
- Normalized influence functions,
 259–262
- Normal probability function, 129–131
- Notation, span point, 241–242
- Numerical models, 285–288
- Oakland-Bay Bridge, California, 14
- Operational importance factor, 121
- Order, in aesthetic design, 62–64
- Overloads, repetitive, 295–301
- Palmer, Timothy, 4, 5
- Paneled bridge truss:
 metal, 8
 wooden, 7
- Panhorst, F. W., 19
- Panther Hollow Bridge, Pittsburgh,
 Pennsylvania, 15
- Pedestrian loads, 174, 175
- PennDOT, 187–188
- People factor, in bridge design, 1
- Permanent loads, 121, 161
 defined, 162
 gravity, 161–164
 lateral, 161
 load factors for, 124
- Permit vehicles, gravity loads and,
 187–189
- Personal knowledge, in design
 process, 48–49
- Philippi Covered Bridge, West
 Virginia, 5, 6
- Piers:
 integral, 76
 for medium- and short-span
 bridges, 74–80
 proportions for, 60
 styles of, 75
- Pigeon Key Bridge, Florida, 21
- Plastic moment (steel bridge I-
 sections), 748–751, 754–755,
 765–768
- Plastic neutral axis (steel bridge
 I-sections), 760–764
- Plate girders, 20–21
- Poisson's effect, 284
- A Policy on the Geometric Design of
 Highways and Streets* (AASHTO),
 153–154
- Portland cement, 17
- Portland Company, 20
- Posttensioned concrete segmental
 construction, 106
- Pratt, Caleb, 8
- Pratt, Thomas, 8
- Pratt truss, 8, 9
- Precast concrete bridges:
 for medium spans, 104
 for small spans, 103
- Prestressed concrete:
 loss of prestress, 482–504
 anchorage set loss, 484
 approximate estimate of time-
 dependent losses, 490–491
 creep loss of girder concrete
 in composite section after deck
 placement, 500–502
 between transfer and deck
 placement, 496–497
 elastic shortening loss, 487–489
 friction loss, 484–487
 lump-sum estimate of time
 dependent losses, 491–492
 refined estimates of time-
 dependent losses, 492–493
 relaxation loss of prestressing
 strands
 after deck placement, 502
 between transfer and deck
 placement, 497–498
 shrinkage gain of deck concrete
 in composite section after
 deck placement, 502–504
 shrinkage loss of girder concrete
 in composite section after deck
 placement, 498–499
 between transfer and deck
 placement, 494–496
 total loss, 483–484
 material response, 412–414
- Prestressed concrete girder bridges,
 19, 21
 design problem, 636–680
 conventionally reinforced
 concrete deck, 640
 design sketch, 680
 fatigue limit state, 658–662

- force effects from non-live loads, 647–649
- general section, 538
- live-load force effects, 641–647
 - distribution factors for moment, 641–643
 - distribution factors for shear, 643–644
 - dynamic load allowance, 641
 - multiple presence factor, 641
 - number of lanes, 641
 - shears and moments due to live loads, 644–647
- load combinations, 640–641
- load modifiers, 640
- resistance factors, 640
- service limit state, 649–666
 - choices of prestressing tendons, 651–655
 - deflection and camber, 662–666
 - fatigue limit state, 658–662
 - girder stresses after total losses, 658
 - girder stresses at transfer, 657–658
 - prestress loss evaluation, 655–657
 - stress limits for concrete, 650–651
 - stress limits for prestressing tendons, 649–650
- strength limit state, 666–680
 - flexure, 666–668
 - shear, 668–680
 - typical section, 538–639
- Sunshine Skyway, Tampa Bay, Florida failure, 29–32
- Prestress effects, in system analysis, 385–386
- Prestressing steel, 445–449
- Prestressing tendons, fatigue of, 459–460
- Probabilistic design, 127–141
 - bias factor, 132–133
 - coefficient of variation, 133–134
 - frequency distribution and mean value, 128–129
 - levels of, 127, 144
 - probability density functions, 129–132
 - probability of failure, 134–136
 - safety index, 136–141
 - standard deviation, 129, 130
- Probability density functions, 129–132
- Probability of failure, 134–141
- Proportion, in aesthetic design, 55–60
- Public knowledge, in design process, 48
- Purcell, C. H., 19
- Qualitative influence functions, 240
- Rail collision forces, 220
- Railing loads, 174–178
- Ransome, Ernest, 17
- Ray, George, 19
- Redundancy factor, 120
- Regulation of design, 49
- Reinforced concrete, 17
 - fatigue of reinforcing bars, 457–459
 - fatigue of welded or mechanical splices of reinforcement, 460–461
 - flexural strength of members, 463–504
 - depth to neutral axis
 - for beams with bonded tendons, 463–468
 - for beams with unbonded tendons, 468–471
 - ductility and maximum tensile reinforcement, 476–481
 - loss of prestress, 482–504
 - minimum tensile reinforcement, 481–482
 - nominal flexural strength, 471–476
 - material response, 412–414
 - shear strength of members, 504–534
 - modified compression field theory, 510–523
 - shear design using modified compression field theory, 523–534
 - variable-angle truss model, 506–510
 - stress-strain response for, 518–519
- Reinforced concrete bridges, 17–20
- Reinforced concrete deck, 600
- Reinforcement quantities, comparison of, 563
- Reinforcement selection, 553–557
- distribution reinforcement, 556–557
- negative moment reinforcement, 555–556
- positive moment reinforcement, 555
- shrinkage and temperature reinforcement, 557
- Relaxation loss of prestressing strands (concrete):
 - after deck placement, 502
 - between transfer and deck placement, 497–498
- Reliability analysis, failure in, 134
- Reliability theory, in calibration of LRFD code, 132–152
- Repetitive overloads, 295–301
- Residual stresses:
 - columns, 738–739
 - from rolling, 284
 - and safety of methods, 291–295
- steel bridges, 692
- Resistance, typical statistics for, 133
- Resistance factors:
 - calculating, 148–150
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 886
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 843
 - prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 640
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 583
 - T-beam bridge design problem, 600
- Rhetorical design stage, 50–51
- Rhythm, in aesthetic design, 62–64
- Roadway widths, in geometric design, 154–156
- Rock Creek bridge, Maryland, 14–15
- Roebing, John A., 11, 12, 100
- Roebing, Washington, 16, 100
- Rolled steel beam bridges:
 - composite, 864–884
 - checking assumptions, 883–884
 - dead-load camber, 882–883
 - design sketch, 884
 - dimensions and details requirements, 882
 - flexural design, 869–879
 - force effects from non-live loads, 866–869

- Rolled steel beam bridges (*continued*)
 for medium spans, 104
 shear connectors, 879–882
 shear design, 879
 noncomposite, 841–864
 checking assumptions, 863–864
 dead-load camber, 863
 deck, 843
 design sketch, 864
 dimensions and details, 861–863
 factored loads, 851–853
 force effects from non-live loads, 849–851
 general section, 843
 live-load force effects, 844–849
 load combination, 843–844
 load factors, 843–844
 load modifiers, 843
 resistance factor, 843
 shear design, 861
 trial section, 853–861
 typical section, 843
 for small spans, 104
 Roman bridge builders, 3
 Rouge River Bridge, Gold Beach, Oregon, 19
 Route 8/805 interchange, San Diego, California, 79
- Safety:
 of analysis methods, 288–302
 and equilibrium, 288–291
 fatigue and serviceability, 302
 repetitive overloads, 295–301
 stress reversal and residual stress, 291–295
 in design, 113, 114
 quantitative measure of, 134
 Safety index, 136–141
 estimating, 143–146
 observing variation of, 146–148
 target, selecting, 148
 St. Regis River Bridge, New York, 205, 206
 Salginatobel Bridge, Switzerland, 88, 89
 Schematic design stage, 50–51
 Schoharie Creek Bridge, Amsterdam, New York, 35–37
 Scour, 196
 SDOF (single-degree-of-freedom) systems, 403–405
- Seismic design response spectra, 405–408
- Seismic loads:
 analysis of, 400–408
 elastic seismic response spectrum, 402–405
 minimum requirements for, 401–402
 seismic design response spectra, 405–408
 combination of seismic forces, 203–204
 lateral loads from, 196–204
 minimum seismic design connection forces, 201–203
 seismic design procedure, 197–201
- Serviceability, safety of analysis and, 302
- Service limit state, 122–124
 composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 876–877
 concrete bridges, 449–454
 control of flexural cracking in beams, 450–451
 deformations, 451–452
 stress limitations for concrete, 452–454
 stress limitations for prestressing tendons, 454
- I-sections in flexure, 797, 799
 load combinations for, 122–124
 multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 931–932
 prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 649–666
 choices of prestressing tendons, 651–655
 deflection and camber, 662–666
 fatigue limit state, 658–662
 girder stresses after total losses, 658
 girder stresses at transfer, 657–658
 prestress loss evaluation, 655–657
 stress limits for concrete, 650–651
 stress limits for prestressing tendons, 649–650
 solid slab bridge design problem, 585–594
 cracking control, 586–589
 deformations, 589–592
- durability, 585–586
 fatigue, 592–594
 steel bridges, 710–711
 T-beam bridge design problem, 611–626
 crack control, 612–618
 deflection and camber, 620–626
 durability, 611–612
 fatigue, 618–620
- Settlement, deformations due to, 220
 Seven Mile Bridge, Florida, 21
 Shadow, in aesthetic design, 65, 67, 68
 Shakedown load, 298–301
- Shear:
 concrete deck design problem, 571–574
 prestressed concrete girder bridge design problem, 644–647, 668–680
 steel bridges, 816–828
 fatigue limit state for, 816–819
 strength limit state for, 819–828
 T-beam bridge design problem, 631–636
- Shear connectors:
 composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 879–882
 multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 959–966
- Shear design:
 composite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 879
 multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 934–942
 noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 861
 using modified compression field theory, 523–534
- Shear diagrams, sign conventions for, 226
- Shear resistance of I-sections, 801, 806–815
 beam action shear resistance, 806–807
 combined shear resistance, 811–813
 tension field action shear resistance, 807–811
 for unstiffened webs, 813–815
- Shear strength (reinforced concrete members), 504–534

- modified compression field theory, 510–523
 - shear design using modified compression field theory, 523–534
 - variable-angle truss model, 506–510
 - Shepperd's Dell Bridge, Latourell, Oregon, 19
 - Short-span bridges, 67, 69–82
 - abutments, 77–81
 - deck overhangs, 72–74
 - girder bridges, 20
 - girder span/depth ratio, 71, 73
 - integral abutments and jointless bridges, 79, 82
 - piers, 74–80
 - resolution of duality, 69–72
 - span lengths for, 102–104
 - Shrinkage:
 - analyzing effects of, 385–386, 395
 - of concrete, 395, 431–436
 - deformations due to, 219
 - gain, of deck concrete in composite section after deck placement, 502–504
 - loss of girder concrete:
 - in composite section after deck placement, 498–499
 - between transfer and deck placement, 494–496
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 596
 - Sign conventions, 225–226
 - for moment diagrams, 226, 251
 - for shear diagrams, 226
 - for slabs, 356
 - for strains and stresses, 412
 - Silver Bridge, Point Pleasant, West Virginia, 25–26, 120, 125
 - Single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) systems, 403–405
 - Single-load paths, 120
 - Slabs (slab-girder bridges), gravity load analysis, 354–372
 - analytical strip method, 354–364
 - empirical approach, 354
 - linear elastic method, 354–356
 - yield-line analysis, 364–372
 - Slab bridges:
 - gravity load analysis, 347–354
 - for small spans, 102
 - solid slab bridge design problem, 579–597
 - design sketch, 597
 - distribution reinforcement, 596
 - force effects from other loads, 585
 - live-load force effects, 583–584
 - live load for decks and deck systems, 581–583
 - live-load strip width, 579–581
 - load combinations, 583
 - load modifiers, 583
 - minimum recommended depth, 579
 - resistance factors, 583
 - service limit state, 585–594
 - shrinkage and temperature reinforcement, 596
 - strength limit state, 594–596
 - span lengths for, 98
 - Slab-girder bridges, gravity load analysis, 303–347
 - beam-line method, 309–325
 - behavior, structural idealization, and modeling, 308–309
 - finite-element method, 339–344
 - finite-strip method, 344–347
 - grillage method, 326–339
 - Slenderness ratio:
 - columns, 737
 - tensile members, 735
 - Smart Road Bridge, Blacksburg, Virginia, 83, 86
 - Smearred steel tensile stresses, 512
 - Smith, Andrew H., 16
 - Snow loads on superstructure, 215–216
 - Solar radiation zones, 218
 - Solid slab bridge design problem, 579–597
 - design sketch, 597
 - distribution reinforcement, 596
 - force effects from other loads, 585
 - live-load force effects, 583–584
 - live load for decks and deck systems, 581–583
 - live-load strip width, 579–581
 - one lane loaded, 579–580
 - two lanes loaded, 580
 - load combinations, 583
 - load modifiers, 583
 - minimum recommended depth, 579
 - resistance factors, 583
 - service limit state, 585–594
 - cracking control, 586–589
 - deformations, 589–592
 - durability, 585–586
 - fatigue, 592–594
 - shrinkage and temperature reinforcement, 596
 - strength limit state, 594–596
- Span/depth ratio (girders), 71, 73
- Span lengths, 96, 98
 - bridge types for, 101–108
 - extra large span bridges, 107
 - long-span bridges, 106–108
 - long-span wooden bridges, 4–5
 - medium-span bridges, 104–106
 - ratios for, 259–260
 - small-span bridges, 102–104
 - steel bridges, 725
- Span point notation, 241–242
- Specifications, 21–24. *See also specific topics*
 - calibrating, 141. *See also Calibration of LRFD code*
 - evolution of, 114–118
 - influence of bridge failures on, 24–39
 - LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*, 24. *See also Load and resistance factor design*
 - Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges*, 24
- Spring Street Bridge, Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin, 19
- Stagnation pressure, 190
- Standard deviation, 129, 130
- Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges (AASHTO)*, 24
- Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges and Incidental Structures (AASHTO)*, 23
- Starrucca Viaduct, Lanesboro, Pennsylvania, 3
- Statically determinate beams, influence functions for, 228–234
 - concentrated loads, 229–233
 - uniform loads, 233–234

- Statically indeterminate beams,
 - influence functions for, 241–259
 - automation by matrix structural analysis, 257–259
 - integration of influence functions, 248–249
 - Muller-Breslau principle for end moments, 254–257
 - relationship between influence functions, 250–253
 - Static horizontal ice forces, 214
 - Steel:
 - heat treatments of, 693–694
 - structural, 694–704
 - carbon steel, 698–700
 - chemical composition of, 695, 698
 - classification of, 694–704
 - heat-treated low-alloy, 700–701
 - high-strength heat-treated alloy, 701–704
 - high-strength low-alloy, 700
 - mechanical properties of, 694–697
 - tensile strength, 688, 729
 - Steel bridges, 687–976
 - arch, 106–107
 - box girder, 94–98
 - compression members, 735–748
 - column stability concepts, 736–740
 - compressive resistance, 742–748
 - connections for, 748
 - inelastic buckling concepts, 740–742
 - design problems, 840–976
 - composite rolled steel beam bridge, 864–884
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge, 885–976
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge, 841–864
 - general design requirements, 725–727
 - I-sections in flexure, 748–805
 - composite and noncomposite sections, 753–754
 - depth of web in compression, 769–770
 - hybrid strength reduction, 770–772
 - limit states, 796–805
 - moment redistribution, 751–753
 - plastic moment, 748–751, 754–755, 765–768
 - plastic neutral axis, 760–764
 - stability related to flexural resistance, 772–796
 - stiffness properties, 754
 - yield moment, 754–760
 - limit states, 709–725
 - extreme event limit state, 724–725
 - fatigue and fracture limit state, 711–722
 - service limit state, 710–711
 - strength limit state, 722–724
 - for long spans, 106–108
 - material properties, 688–709
 - brittle fracture, 707–709
 - classification of structural steels, 694–704
 - heat treatments, 693–694
 - production of finished products, 691–692
 - repeated stress (fatigue) effects, 704–706
 - residual stresses, 692
 - steel-making processes, 688–691
 - for medium spans, 104–106
 - plate girder, 94–98
 - shear connectors (stud connectors), 816–828
 - fatigue limit state for, 816–819
 - strength limit state for, 819–828
 - shear resistance of I-sections, 801, 806–815
 - beam action shear resistance, 806–807
 - combined shear resistance, 811–813
 - tension field action shear resistance, 807–811
 - for unstiffened webs, 813–815
 - for small spans, 104
 - stiffeners, 828–840
 - bearing stiffeners, 836–840
 - transverse intermediate stiffeners, 828–836
 - tensile members, 727–735
 - strength of connections, 735
 - tensile resistance, 729–735
 - types of connections for, 727–729
 - truss, 107, 108
- Steel-making processes, 688–691
- Steel-reinforced concrete properties, 440–449
 - nonprestressed steel reinforcement, 441–445
 - prestressing steel, 445–449
- Stiffeners:
 - bearing:
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 952–959
 - steel bridges, 836–840
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem:
 - bearing stiffeners, 952–959
 - double-plate transverse stiffener design, 947–952
 - transverse intermediate stiffener design, 942–947
 - transverse intermediate stiffeners, 942–947
 - steel bridges, 828–840
 - bearing stiffeners, 836–840
 - transverse intermediate stiffeners, 828–836
 - for webs, 828–840
 - bearing stiffeners, 836–840
 - transverse intermediate stiffeners, 828–836
- Stiffening, tension, 442–444
- Stiffness:
 - and axial strain, 391–394
 - and curvature, 391–394
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 895
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 849
 - steel bridge I-sections, 754
- Stone arch bridges, 3–4
- Strain:
 - axial:
 - in flexibility and stiffness formulations, 391–394
 - temperature-gradient-induced, 388–390
 - Mohr strain circle, 513–515
 - reinforced concrete stress-strain response, 518–519
 - sign conventions for, 412

- Strength limit state, 125–126
 composite rolled steel beam bridge
 design problem, 878–879
 concrete bridges, 461–463
 concrete deck design problem,
 550–553
 barrier, 552
 deck overhang design, 564
 deck slab, 551
 future wearing surface, 552
 live load, 552
 overhang, 551–552
 strength I limit state, 552–553
 ductility factor, 119–120
 I-sections in flexure, 800–801
 multiple-span composite steel plate
 girder beam bridge design
 problem, 934
 operational importance factor for,
 121
 prestressed concrete girder bridge
 design problem, 666–680
 flexure, 666–668
 shear, 668–680
 redundancy factor for, 120
 solid slab bridge design problem,
 594–596
 steel bridges, 722–724
 steel bridge shear connectors,
 819–828
 T-beam bridge design problem,
 626–636
 flexure, 627–631
 shear, 631–636
 Stress(es). *See also* Fatigue
 allowable stress design, 23
 calibration with ASD criteria,
 152–153
 evolution of specifications,
 114–116
 shortcomings of, 117
 and variability of loads, 116
 bending stress profile, 284
 and ductility, 291–295
 locked-in erection stresses, 164
 modified compression field theory,
 518–522
 repeated, for steel bridges, 704–
 706
 residual stresses, 692
 columns, 738–739
 from rolling, 284
 and safety of methods, 291–295
 sign conventions for, 412
 smearing steel tensile stresses, 512
 steel bridges:
 repeated stress (fatigue) effects,
 704–706
 residual stresses, 692
 working stress design, 23
 Stress limits:
 for concrete, 452–454, 650–651
 for prestressing tendons, 454,
 649–650
 Stress relieving, 445
 Stress reversal, safety of methods and,
 291–295
 Strip method (gravity load analysis),
 354–364
 Structural analysis, 257–259. *See also*
 System analysis
 Structural design process, 45–51
 description and justification in,
 46–48
 input to, 48–49
 model of, 46
 and regulation, 49
 stages of, 49–51
 Structural steels:
 carbon steel, 698–700
 chemical composition of, 695, 698
 classification of, 694–704
 heat-treated low-alloy, 700–701
 high-strength heat-treated alloy,
 701–704
 high-strength low-alloy, 700
 mechanical properties of, 694–
 697
 minimum thickness of, 725
 Subsurface conditions, 98
 Subsystems of bridges, 283
 Sunshine Skyway, Tampa Bay, Florida,
 29–32
 Superstructure:
 continuity of, 57–59
 deformations due to temperature
 change, 216–219
 piers integral with, 76
 snow loads on, 215–216
 Suspension bridges, 10–14
 for extra-large (long) spans, 107
 failure of:
 Silver Bridge, Point Pleasant,
 West Virginia, 25–26
 Tacoma Narrows Bridge, 12, 195
 Wheeling Suspension Bridge,
 West Virginia, 11–13
 with main structure above deck
 line, 89–94
 span lengths for, 98
 Symmetry, 259
 System analysis, 283–409
 assumptions in, 284–285
 creep, 395
 gravity load, 302–385
 for box-girder bridges, 372–385
 for slab bridges, 347–354
 for slab-girder bridges, 303–347
 for slabs in slab-girder bridges,
 354–372
 lateral load, 395–408
 seismic load analysis, 400–408
 wind loads, 395–400
 mathematical models for, 285–288
 numerical models for, 285–288
 prestress effects, 385–386
 safety of methods used in, 288–302
 and equilibrium, 288–291
 fatigue and serviceability, 302
 repetitive overloads, 295–301
 stress reversal and residual stress,
 291–295
 shrinkage effects, 385–386, 395
 temperature effects, 385–395
 AASHTO temperature specifica-
 tions, 388
 temperature-gradient-induced
 axial strain, 388–390
 temperature-gradient-induced
 curvature, 390
 using strain and curvature
 formulas, 391–394
 Tacoma Narrows Bridge, 14, 195
 Taft Bridge, Washington, DC, 17, 18
 Tandem loads:
 design, 166–168
 influence functions, 265, 267–269
 Taylor, D. O., 17
 T-beam bridges:
 design problem, 597–636
 fatigue limit state, 618–620
 force effects from non-live loads,
 610–611
 general section, 597, 598
 live-load force effects, 601–610

- T-beam bridges (*continued*)
- distribution factors for
 - moment, 601–606
 - distribution factors for shear, 606–609
 - dynamic load allowance, 601
 - multiple presence, 601
 - number of lanes, 601
 - reactions to substructure, 609–610
 - load combinations, 601
 - load modifiers, 601
 - reinforced concrete deck, 600
 - resistance factors, 600
 - service limit state, 611–626
 - crack control, 612–618
 - deflection and camber, 620–626
 - durability, 611–612
 - fatigue, 618–620
 - strength limit state, 626–636
 - flexure, 627–631
 - shear, 631–636
 - typical section and design basis, 597–600
- girder, 94–98
- for small spans, 102–103
- Temperature:
- analyzing effects of, 385–395
 - AASHTO temperature specifications, 388
 - temperature-gradient-induced axial strain, 388–390
 - temperature-gradient-induced curvature, 390
 - using strain and curvature formulas, 391–394
 - deformations due to, 216–219
- Temperature-gradient-induced axial strain, 388–390
- Temperature-gradient-induced curvature, 390
- Temperature reinforcement, in solid slab bridge design problem, 596
- Temperature specifications, 388
- Tensile (tension) members:
- net area, 732–734
 - slenderness requirements, 735
 - steel bridges, 727–735
 - strength of connections, 735
 - tensile resistance, 729–735
 - types of connections for, 727–729
- Tensile reinforcement (concrete):
- maximum, 479–481
 - minimum, 481–482
- Tensile strength:
- hardened concrete, 427–430
 - steel, 688, 729
 - testing, 10
- Tension field action, 7
- Tension field action shear resistance, 807–811
- Tension field theory, 510
- Tension stiffening, 442–444
- Texture, in aesthetic design, 64–66
- Thickness of ice, 206, 208–211
- 3D finite-element model, 341–342
- Through-truss bridges, 89–94
- Tied-arch design, 14
- Time-dependent prestress losses (concrete):
- approximate estimate of, 490–491
 - lump-sum estimate of, 491–492
 - refined estimates of, 492–493
- Total loss of prestressing (concrete), 483–484
- Toughness, steel, 688
- Town, Ithiel, 4, 6
- Traffic barrier system design loads, 176–177
- Traffic lanes, 165
- Transient loads, 121–122, 161
 - gravity, 161, 164–189
 - lateral, 161
- Transition points, in multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 929
- Transportation Research Board (TRB), 166
- Transportation systems, bridges in, 2
- Transverse intermediate stiffeners:
- multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 942–947
 - steel bridges, 828–836
 - slenderness, 828–829
 - stiffness, 829–831
 - strength, 831–836
- TRB (Transportation Research Board), 166
- Truck loads, 24
 - design, 166–168
 - fatigue limit state for, 125
 - influence functions, 263–265, 267, 269–271
- Truck train loads, 23
- Trusses:
- arch, 5
 - bowstring arch, 9
 - Howe, 8
 - lattice, 6–7
 - metal truss bridges, 8–10
 - multiple king-post, 7
 - Pratt, 8, 9
 - for suspension bridges, 12
 - variable-angle truss model, 506–510
 - for wooden bridges, 5–7
- Truss-arched bridges, 88–89
- Truss bridges:
- for long spans, 107, 108
 - with main structure above deck line, 89–94
 - span lengths for, 98
- TS & L (type, size, and location) report, 96
- Tunkhannock Creek Viaduct, Nicholson, Pennsylvania, 19, 63
- Turner, C. A. P., 19
- 2D finite-element model, 340–341
- Type, size, and location (TS & L) report, 96
- “Typical Specifications for the Fabrication and Erection of Steel Highway Bridges” (USDA), 24
- Uniform loads:
- influence functions for statically determinate beams, 233–234
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 896–908
 - repetitive overloads, 295–297
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 14, 15
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of Public Roads, 24
- Unresolved duality, 69
- Unstiffened webs, shear resistance for, 813–815
- Upper bound theorem, 299
- Variability of loads, 116–117
- Variable-angle truss model, 506–510
- Variation, coefficient of, 133–134

- Vehicle collision forces, 220
- Vehicle collisions:
 - concrete barrier strength, 534–540
 - crash testing of, 540
 - for uniform thickness barrier wall, 535–540
 - for variable thickness barrier wall, 540
 - and extreme event limit state, 127
- Vehicle live loads:
 - fatigue limit state for, 125
- Vehicle loads:
 - AASHTO, 166–174, 260, 263–274
 - design fatigue load, 173–174
 - design lane load, 166–173, 265–268
 - design tandem load, 166–173, 265, 267–269
 - design truck load, 166–173, 263–265, 267, 269–271
 - concrete deck design problem, 546–550
 - maximum interior negative live-load moment, 549–550
 - maximum live-load reaction on exterior girder, 550
 - maximum positive live-load moment, 548–549
 - overhang negative live-load moment, 548
 - design gravity loads, 166–173
 - live, 125
 - repetitive overloads, 295–301
- Velocity profile, 191–194
- Verrazano-Narrows Bridge, New York, 13
- Vertical clearances, in geometric design, 156
- Vertical ice forces, 214–215
- Very-high-strength concrete, 416
- Vessel collision forces, 29, 30, 127, 162, 220
- Von Emperger, Fritz, 17
- Waddell and Harrington, 19
- Walnut Lane Bridge, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19
- Washington Bridge, New York, 15
- Water forces, lateral loads from, 195–196
- Webs (steel bridge I-sections):
 - cross-sectional shape classifications, 773–774
 - depth of, in compression, 769–770
 - stiffeners for, 828–840
 - bearing stiffeners, 836–840
 - transverse intermediate stiffeners, 828–836
 - unstiffened, 813–815
- Web (Internet) resources, for
 - aesthetics, 83, 87
- Weigh-in-motion (WIM) studies, 168
- Welded splices of reinforcement,
 - fatigue of, 460–461
- Wernwag, Lewis, 4, 5
- Wheeler, Walter, 19
- Wheeling Suspension Bridge, West Virginia, 11–13
- Whipple, Squire, 10
- Wide-flange beam girder bridges, 94–98
- Widths, roadway, in geometric design, 154–156
- William Sallers and Company, 10
- Williamsburg Bridge, New York, 13, 14
- WIM (weigh-in-motion) studies, 168
- Wind forces:
 - lateral loads from, 190–195, 395–400
 - multiple-span composite steel plate girder beam bridge design problem, 895
 - noncomposite rolled steel beam bridge design problem, 849
- Wobble effect, 485–486
- Wood bridges, 4–7
 - creep in, 219
 - for small spans, 103
- Working stress design, 23. *See also* Allowable stress design
- A Work on Bridge Building* (Squire Whipple), 10
- Wyeth, Nathan C., 18
- Yield, 284
- Yield-line analysis, 364–372
- Yield-line failure pattern, 570
- Yield moment, 754–760
- Yield strength, steel, 688