

Index

A

- Academic Medical Center Consortium (AMCC) bid problem
 - background information on, 97–98
 - definition of, 131–132
 - Delta's problem solving process on, 106–120
 - hypotheses formulated for solutions to, 106
 - potential solution to, 120–123
 - storyline written on, 131–138, 139, 142
 - See also GPOs (group purchasing organizations)
- Albertson's, 182
- Allocating work, values conflicts in, 18–19
- "Analysis paralysis," 177
- Analysis. See Problem analysis
- Antiquated technology, 232
- Aristotle, 21, 22
- Aspirations
 - Mississippi BSO setting of, 67
 - as problem-solving watchword, 274–275
 - setting, 252–253, 260–261

B

- Bartkus, V., 8
- Battle, J., 32
- Baxter International, 246–248
- Benefits-cost ratio, 211–212
- Bottom-line
 - staying aware of the, 30
 - values conflicts and trade-offs of the, 20
- Brown, S., 58, 221

- BSO. See Mississippi BSO (Blood Service Organization) project
- Burns, R., 205
- Business case
 - benefits and cautions when using, 167–168
 - Capri and failure of, 163–164
 - Capri's repositioning due to, 166–167
 - definition of, 151
 - five steps to a, 152fig–163
 - gulf between fashion gurus and, 164–166
 - Honeywell case on gap of business decision and, 168–171
 - questions addressed by, 151–152
- Business case steps
 - 1: define the problem and assumptions, 156–158
 - 2: determine overall financial benefits and costs, 158–160
 - 3: estimate financial impact, alternative scenarios, sensitivity and risk, 160–162
 - 4: determine the nonfinancial impact, 162–163
 - 5: summarize and communicate findings, 163
- overview of, 152fig–165
- Business Week/Harris poll (2000), 26

C

- Capri
 - Apathetics, Fasionistas, and Stylists customers of, 158–159, 162–163, 256–258
 - business case analysis done for, 152fig–163
 - conditions leading to change at, 210

- Capri (*Continued*)
 continued disappointing performance of, 249–250
 declining sales problem faced by, 150
 “everyday cool!” solution to problem facing, 166–167
 gulf between business analysts and Fasionistas of, 164–166
 salesperson availability problems of, 256–257
 storyline developed for, 160–164
 transformed from product to customer service company, 258–268
- Capri implementation program
 cost of change due to, 267–268
 difficult trade-offs along the way, 266
 expanding program to build momentum, 262–263
 inadvertent conflict with Capri’s norms, 265–266
 leadership setting the aspirations, 260–261
 main barriers to, 257–258
 making the changes stick, 263–264
 quick wins and credibility of, 260–261
- Cardinal virtues, 21
- Carlton, D., 32
- Case studies
 Baxter International overcoming solution barriers, 246–248
 on changing dynamics of strategic alliance, 196–202
 Civil Rights Commission values problem, 32–34
 GE’s prioritization, 70–73
 Google’s problem diagnosis approach, 58–59
 Honeywell case on business case/business decisions gap, 168–171
 on leadership and problem solving as ongoing conversation, 268–271
 McKinsey & Company backward problem solving approach, 125–127
 Quest Communications use of storyline, 143–146
 “Casual Fridays” dress codes, 156
 Catholic Church, 269
 Catholic Hospital Association (CHA), 107
 Change. *See* Organizational change
 Churchill, W., 207
 Civil Rights Act (1964), 34
 Coke, 233
 Collaborative problem solving, 59
 Commitment
 assessing leadership solution, 208–213
 three sources of, 27–28
 Commitment to change
 belief that benefits outweigh the costs and, 209*fig*, 211–212
 belief that change is needed and, 209*fig*–211
 diagnostic questions to assess, 208–209*fig*
 organization capabilities and, 209*fig*, 212–213
See also Leadership
- Communication
 of business case, 163
 Capri example of failure of, 163–164
 Quest Communications case study on, 143–146
 storylines approach to, 129–143
- Competition, 22–23
- Competitive advantage
 analysis to determine sustainable, 103–104
 economic value built through, 101–102
 Green Bay technology examined as, 188
 identifying organizational, 102–104
- Conagra, 182
- Conlon, E., 8
- Cooperation, benefits of, 23–24
- Core competencies
 economic value built through, 101–102
 identifying organizational, 102–103
- Costs
 balancing benefits and, 211–212
 of Capri’s solution implementation program, 267–268
 as critical decision factor, 228
- Courage virtue, 21
- Creativity
 conscious planning approach to, 84–90
 description and process of, 7
 as difference between good and great solutions, 75
 Eli Lilly case study on, 91–96
 ET (Electric Town) analogy solution using, 80–81
 five factors impeding, 82–84
 as managerial challenge, 90–91

- Creativity barriers
 brain's efficient wiring as, 82
 conventional wisdom as, 82
 investment required to recognize good creative ideas as, 83
 listed, 82
 organizational routines as, 83
 personal risk as, 83–84
- Creativity planning/effort
 forced analogies as, 85–88
 increasing intrinsic motivation as, 89–90
 lateral thinking for, 84–85
 questions to simulate inspiration as, 85
 synergistic techniques for, 88
- Customers
 Capri's Apathetics, Fasionistas, and Stylists, 158–159, 162–163, 166
 Capri's salesperson availability problems with, 256–257
 creating economic value for, 99–100
 Mississippi BSO economic creation for, 100
- D**
- Data General, 82
 Davis, K., 26
 DeBono, E., 84
 Decision makers
 benefits and costs awareness by, 211–212
 communicating storylines to, 138–143
 identifying key, 45
 Mississippi BSO, 47*t*
See also Leadership
- Decision making
 Delta's bid decision tree used for, 122*fig*
 "discernment rules" used for, 31
 Honeywell case on gap between business case and, 168–171
 storyline application to, 142–143
- Deductive logic, 39, 274, 275–276
- Delta
 AMCC bid problem facing, 97–98
 AMCC bid problem solving process by, 106–120
 asking problem solving questions, 98
 bid decision tree used by, 122*fig*
 decision to sidestep GPOs by, 120–124
 definition of problem faced by, 131–132
 fact-based inquiry mindset used by, 124–125
 issue tree for bidding by, 109*fig*
 Omega's ability to bid more aggressively than, 115*fig*
 overall total cost of ownership advantage for hospitals provided by, 117*fig*
 potential solution to AMCC bid problem facing, 120–123
 problem solving methodology used by, 105–106
 storyline written on bidding problem faced by, 131–138, 139, 142
 value creation at, 99–100
 "Discernment rules," 31
 Dunbar, W. R., 91
- E**
- Economic value
 approaches to creating, 99–100
 captured for own organization, 100–104
 core competencies related to, 101
 Mississippi BSO creation of, 100, 101
- Edison, T., 75, 97
 Eisenhower, D., 32
 Eli Lilly, 91–96, 211
 Empathy, 220
 ET (Electric Town)
 dropping sales/marketing problem facing, 76–78
 forced analogy used to solve problem of, 80–81, 87*t*
 systematically gathering information on, 78–80
- External rewards, 27, 28
- F**
- FDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration), 64, 210
 Fellini, E., 164
The Fiefdom Syndrome (Herbold), 96
 "Five Forces" model, 103
 Food and Agricultural Organization (Rome), 187
- Forced analogies
 creativity encouraged through, 85–86
 ET's real estate analogy example of, 80–81, 87*t*
 four steps of, 86–88
See also Solutions

Forced analogies steps

- 1: define the target problem, 86
- 2: identify productive analogies, 86
- 3: make a comparison table, 87
- 4: formulate creative solutions, 88

FPE (Food Processing Equipment Company)

- background information on, 182
- buying problem faced by, 173, 181
- organizational change experienced by, 212
- overview for vegetable sorter market entrance by, 191*fig*

FPE-Green Bay sorter case

- hypothesis statement developed for, 182–192
 - issue trees for, 183*fig*, 189*fig*
 - marginal returns on analysis for, 185*fig*
 - organizational misalignment issue of, 229–230
 - problem solving and certainty for, 192–194*fig*
 - “wallow curve” experience and, 195–196
- Friedman, M., 26

G

Gage, J., 95

GE (General Electric)

- “Growth Playbook” practice of, 72
- prioritization approach of, 70–73

General Mills, 182

GlaxoSmithKline, 211

Global GasCo

- lessons learned from experience of, 245–246
- long-term solution developed at, 238
- “operational excellence program” of, 239, 242
- overcoming resistance to solution at, 239–245
- program facing, 225–226
- reworking solution toward implementation, 237–238
- solution barriers faced by, 233–234
- working toward the solution, 234–236

Goal setting

- aspirations for implementation, 67, 252–253, 259–260, 274–275
- values conflicts in, 18–19
- “Good enough” standard enhancing ability to judge, 174–175

- marginal returns of analysis in context of, 175–178

Google

- innovation focus by, 221–223
- problem diagnosis approach by, 58–59

GPOs (group purchasing organizations)

- bidding role and functions of, 98, 107
- Delta’s decision to sidestep, 120–124
- Delta’s problem solving analysis regarding, 107–120

See also Academic Medical Center Consortium (AMCC) bid problem

Green Bay (Green Bay Sorting Company)

- background information on, 182
- FPE’s hope to purchase, 173, 181
- See also* FPE-Green Bay sorter case

H

Habits of mind

- analysis and creativity, 7
- definition of, 7
- problem solving through, 7–8

Hanna, J., 32, 33, 34

Hao, Y., 168

Herbold, B., 95–96

Hesburgh, Father T., 32

Hobbes, T., 22

Honeywell, 168–171

Hypothesis

- development of, 38–39
- inductive versus deductive approaches to, 39, 274, 275–276
- iterative, 39–40, 42
- See also* Problems; Week One Answer

Hypothesis statements

- Delta versus Omega bid for AMCC, 106
- examples of good, 43
- FPE (Food Processing Equipment Company), 182–192
- Mississippi BSO problem, 43–44, 47*t*
- storylines as beginning with, 130–131
- See also* Storylines

I

Ignatius of Loyola, Saint, 31

Implementation barriers

- antiquated technology as, 232, 241
- Baxter International case study on, 246–248

- entrenched management systems/
processes as, 230–231, 241–242
Global GasCo's experience with,
233–246
insufficient talent and capabilities as,
232–233, 242–244
opposition to change as, 215–217
organizational culture as, 228–229,
242–244
organizational misalignment as,
229–230, 240
organizational norms, personal values
and, 244–245
overview of major, 226–239
- Implementing solutions
achieving quick wins, 254, 260–261
Capri experience with, 256–268
drivers of, 250–251, 253*fig*
expanding the program in stages
to build momentum, 255–256,
262–263
inspiration for change and, 251–252
setting aspirations for, 252–253,
260–261
sustaining the impact of, 255, 263–264
See also Organizational change;
Solutions
- Inductive logic, 39, 274
Industry analysis, 102–103
Integrity, 31–32
See also Values
- Internal motivation, 27, 28
Intrinsic motivation, 89–90
Iron Law of Responsibility, 26
IRR (internal rate of return), 154
Issue tree
for Delta bidding, 109*fig*
to disaggregate problems into, 48–50, 51
FPE-Green Bay sorter case, 183*fig*, 189*fig*
for the Mississippi BSO, 50*fig*
work plan derived from, 52–56
- Iterative problem solving framework
on barriers to implementation,
215–217, 226–248
on business case, 151–171
on communication, 129–146, 163–164
on creativity, 75–95
description and elements of, 39–40
on “good enough” standard, 174–178
illustrated diagram of, 10*fig*
on intelligent trade-offs, 173–175
introduction to, 8–11
on problem diagnosis, 36–59
risks of the, 42
on values and norms, 17–34
See also Problem analysis; Values-based
problem solving
- J**
- Jenkins, J., 268
Johnson & Johnson Credo, 27, 30
Johnson, L. B., 34
Justice virtue, 21
- K**
- Kahn, R., 27
Katz, D., 27
Kidder, T., 82
- L**
- Larson, R., 27
Lateral thinking, 84–85
Leadership
assessing commitment to proposed
solution by, 208–213
cautionary tale about, 1–4, 12
different styles of, 1–4, 12
ongoing conversation between
problem solving and, 268–271
problem solving as underrated skill
of, 4–5
resistance to change by, 215–220
risk, frailty, and empathy elements of
working with, 220–221
See also Commitment to change;
Decision makers; Organizations
- Lowenthal, A. E., 196
- M**
- Management systems
Global CasCo's institutionalization of
new, 241–242
solution barrier of entrenched,
230–231
three areas of, 230–231
See also Organizations
- Marginal utility, 176
Marginal utility curve, 176*fig*–177
Market dynamics
as economic value element, 102–103
“Five Forces” model on, 103
Marriott, 243

Marshall, G., 207
 McCain Potatoes, 182
 McDonald's, 182, 233, 243
 McKinsey & Company, 8, 11, 125–127
 MedTech
 challenge of change at, 213–215
 opposition to change at, 215–217
 proposed solution rejected by,
 205–206, 213
 “real reasons” for resisting change,
 217–220
 successful change at, 220
 Microsoft, 95
 Mississippi BSO (Blood Service
 Organization)
 declining revenues problem facing, 61
 economic value for hospitals created
 by, 100, 101
 hierarchy of values and norms at,
 28–29
 moving toward possible solutions for,
 38–39
 values conflicts at, 15–20
 work plan developed for, 53–56
 Mississippi BSO prioritization
 assessing potential impact of, 67–68
 criteria used for, 68
 ease of execution and degree of risk, 69
 issue tree for, 50*fig*
 potential matrix for turnaround, 65*fig*
 potential priorities for the, 63*fig*
 setting aspirations as part of, 67–68
 speed of execution and accrual of
 benefits, 69
 Mississippi BSO problem solving
 components of, 28–31
 determining the real problem of, 35–38
 disaggregating problem into issues at,
 48–52
 hypothesis statement and problem
 background for, 43–44, 47*t*
 iterative hypothesis-driven approach
 toward solution, 39–42
 steps taken for, 43–56
 Motivation
 Aristotelian versus Hobbesian views
 of, 22–23
 commitment through internal, 27, 28
 increasing intrinsic, 89–90
 “My toughest Problem: The United
 States Commission on Civil Rights”
 (Hesburgh), 32–34

N

Neoclassical economists, 23
 Nestlé, 182
 Network Solutions, 91
 Nevens, M., 125
New York Times Magazine, 25
 “Newspaper test,” 146
 Norms. *See* Organization norms
 Notebaert, R. C., 143

O

“Oasis in the storm,” 29
 Omega
 ability to bid more aggressively than
 Delta, 115*fig*
 AMCC bid competition with Delta, 98
 Delta's problem solving process for
 competition with, 106–120
 storyline written on Delta versus,
 131–138, 139, 142
 “Operational excellence program”
 (Global GasCo), 239, 242
 Optimal stopping rules, 177–178
 Organization norms
 Carpi's change conflict with, 265–266
 credo or osmosis dissemination of,
 26–28
 hierarchies of, 28–29
 historic definitions and uses of, 25–26
 Johnson & Johnson Credo as, 27, 30
 organizational commitment to, 25–30
 recognizing different, 24–25
 solution barriers related to, 244–245
 solutions influenced by, 104–105
 See also Values
 Organizational change
 belief regarding need of, 209*fig*–211
 benefits-cost ratio of, 211–212
 diagnostic questions to assess
 commitment to, 208–209*fig*
 inspiration for, 251–252
 MedTech challenge regarding,
 205–206, 213–215, 213–221
 opposition to, 215–217
 organizational capability for, 209*fig*,
 212–213
 in organizational culture, 228–229
 quick wins to build support for, 254
 setting aspirations for, 252–253
 See also Implementing solutions;
 Solutions

- Organizational culture
 Global GasCo's efforts to transform, 242–244
 as solution barrier, 228–229
- Organizational misalignment
 Global GasCo's efforts to repair, 240
 as solution barrier, 229–230
- Organizations
 benefits of cooperation within, 23–24
 capturing economic value of your own, 100–104
 “casual Fridays” dress codes adopted by, 156
 commitment to norms within, 25–30
 competencies of, 101
 interdependent nature of, 227–228
 recognizing different norms and values in, 24–25
 resiliency of, 226–228
See also Leadership; Management systems
- P**
- Parkinson, B., 246
 Patton, G., 207
 Paul's leadership, 1–2, 3–4, 12
 Personal values. *See* Values
 Peter's leadership, 1, 2–3, 12
 Pfizer, 211
 Plastic milk container metaphor, 226, 227, 245
Player Piano (Vonnegut), 223
 Porter, M., 103
 Pragmatism, 274–275
 Prejudice, facing, 15–17
 Prioritization
 assessing potential impact of, 68–69
 as beneficial managerial habit, 70
 criteria for, 68
 ease of execution and degree of risk, 69
 gathering information for, 61–68
 GE (General Electric) case study on, 70–73
 potential matrix for Mississippi BSO turnaround, 65*fig*
 potential priorities for Mississippi BSO turnaround, 66*fig*
 setting aspirations and aligning them with, 67–68
 speed in execution and accrual of benefits, 69–70
- Problem analysis
 avoiding “analysis paralysis,” 177
 business case approach to, 151–171
 on changing dynamics of strategic alliance, 196–202
 description and process of, 7
 greater or less uncertainty during, 192–194*fig*
 intelligent trade-offs between action and, 173–175
 issue tree used as, 48–56, 109*fig*, 183*fig*
 moving to problem solving from, 206–208
 optimal stopping of, 190, 192
 optimal stopping rules for, 177–178
 storyline used as, 130–146
 utility of, 175–177
 weighing incremental yield against incremental, 178*fig*–181
See also Iterative problem solving framework
- Problem solvers
 fact-based inquiry mindset used by, 124–125
 mindset required for effective, 42
 other potential solutions examined by, 41–42
 who are working solo, 56–57
- Problem solving
 art part of, 105–106
 as beginning and ending with values, 17–18
 collaborative, 59
 deductive, 39, 274, 275–276
 habits of mind used for, 7–8
 handling the limits of, 275–277
 inductive, 39, 274
 misunderstood role of values in, 5
 moving from problem analysis to, 206–208
 ongoing conversation between leadership and, 268–271
 prioritization as foundation for, 69–70
 as underrated leadership skill, 4–5
See also Values-based problem solving
- Problem-solving process
 basic questions to address in the, 180*fig*
 Delta versus Omega bid for AMCC, 106–120
 as dynamic and changing, 57

Problem-solving process (*Continued*)
 enabling sharing of thoughts and reactions during, 41
 fact-based inquiry mindset during, 124–125
 focusing and guiding the search, 40–41
 hypothesis development, 38–42
 six basic steps in, 38–39
 “wallow curve” during, 194–196

Problem-solving steps
 1: defining problem and generating hypothesis, 43–48
 2: disaggregating the problem into issues, 48–52
 3: detailed work plan, 52–56

Problems
 as containing seed of solution, 85
 defining scope of, 45
 definition of, 6
 disaggregating into issues, 48–52
 early and quick determination of, 36–38
 expanding context and background of, 44–48
 Google case study on diagnosing, 58–59
 identifying key decision makers, success criteria, and timeframe, 45
 as opportunities in disguise, 277–278
 starting to diagnose the, 36
 trap of “proving the,” 126
See also Hypothesis; Solutions

Procter & Gamble, 95, 211, 233
 “Proving the problem” trap, 126
 Prudence virtue, 21

Q

Quality of product, 99
 Quest Communications, 143–146

R

Resiliency, 226–228
 ROIC (return on invested capital), 54
 ROIC (returns on invested capital), 155
 Rule enforcement, 27–28

S

S&L (savings and loan) storyline, 142–143
 Service value, 99

Sherin, K., 70
 Sisyphus myth, 250–261
 Six Sigma process, 193
 Social responsibility doctrine, 26
 Society
 benefits of cooperation within, 23–24
 recognizing different norms and values in, 24–25
See also Norms

Solo practitioner problem solvers, 56–57

Solutions
 assessing leadership commitment to proposed, 208–213
 creativity as difference between good and great, 75
 determining accuracy of needed, 45–46
 determining constraints of, 46, 48
 examination of other possible, 41–42
 iterative hypothesis-driven approach toward, 39–42
 Mississippi BSO’s move toward possible, 38–39
 personal values which may affect, 104–105
 problem as containing seed of, 85
 values conflicts in prioritizing, 19–20
 “wallow curve” prior to finding, 194–196
See also Forced analogies; Implementing solutions; Organizational change; Problems

Sorin, Fr. E., 271
The Soul of a New Machine (Kidder), 82
 Storey, R., 32

Storyline steps
 1: define the problem and understand the context, 131–132
 2: write down one-sentence answer from hypothesis statement, 132–133
 3: group supporting points around major ones, 133
 4: divide story into situation, complication, resolution, 133–135
 5: test the storyline, 135, 137–138

Storylines
 as beginning with hypothesis statements, 130–131
 decision making application of, 142–143
 developed for Capri, 160–164
 how to communicate, 139–142

opposition to, 141–142
 Quest Communications use of, 143–146
 steps for writing, 131–138
 with whom to communicate, 138–139
 Subway, 243
 Sun Microsystems, 95
 SVP, 95
 SWOT analysis, 103
 Synergistic techniques, 88

T

Talent
 Global GasCo efforts to attract new, 242–244
 solution barrier of deficient, 232–233
 Tang marketing (1960s), 277
 Technology
 Global GasCo's targeted investment in, 241
 solution barrier of ineffective, 232
 Temperance virtue, 21
 Tesco's, 182
 Thomas Aquinas, 21
 Timeframe, 45
 "Toil of Sisyphus" metaphor, 250–251
 Total utility, 175–176

U

University of Notre Name, 8, 11–12, 268–271
 U.S. Civil Rights Commission, 32–34
 U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 64, 210
 Utility
 definition of, 175
 marginal, 176
 marginal utility curve, 176*fig*–177
 total, 175–176

V

Value creation. *See* Economic value
 Values
 articulating your own bedrock, 20–21
 four cardinal virtues and personal, 21
 hierarchies of, 28–29
 "newspaper test" to assess actions and, 146

potential solutions affected by
 personal, 104–105
 problem solving and misunderstood
 role of, 5
 problem solving efforts beginning and ending with, 17–18
 recognizing differences in norms and, 24–25
 reflections on importance of habits of mind and, 273–275
 solution barriers related to personal, 244–245
See also Integrity; Organization norms
 Values conflicts
 bottom-line trade-offs and, 20
 BSO project and, 15–17
 due to misaligned objectives, 29
 in prioritizing options and deciding on solutions, 19–20
 resolving inevitable, 28
 in setting goals and allocating work, 18–19
 "Values neutral," 5
 Values-based problem solving
 benefits of using, 6–8
 integrity basis of, 31–32
 "oasis in the storm" approach to, 29
See also Iterative problem solving framework; Problem solving
 Vonnegut, K., 223

W

WACC (weighted average cost of capital), 155
 "Wallow curve," 194–196
 Week One Answer
 definition of, 39
 iterative hypothesis-driven approach to, 39–40
 Mississippi BSO's, 52
 moving toward the, 38–39
See also Hypothesis
 Wilkins, J. E., 32
 Work plans
 derived from issue tree, 52
 example of a, 53*t*
 Mississippi BSO's, 53–56
 Working solution. *See* Week One Answer

