

# Index

## A

About this book: chapter overview, xxiii–xxv;  
getting most from book, xxv–xxvi; scope  
and audience of, xx–xxiii

Accommodating different learning styles, 105

Activities involving Student, Instructor, and  
Content Interactions (Role of Instructor  
Component 4), 108–109, 114, 124–125

Akerlind, G., 248

Alexander, P., 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17

Alignment of the Course Components (Role  
of the Instructor Component 2), 105–106,  
112–113, 118–120, 122–123

Alsardary, Salar, 193

Ambrosius, Lloyd, 191

American Educational Research Association, 3

American Psychological Association, 12

Ames, J., 38

Angelo, T. A., 158, 160, 161, 162, 208, 211

Annotating readings, 80

Application activities: assessment, 181–184;  
balance of power, 214–219; overcoming  
resistance to learner-centered teaching,  
254–255; responsibility for learning,  
153–155; role of instructor, 122–126

Articulation of SMART Objectives (Role of  
Instructor Component 5), 110, 114, 125–126

Assessment Within the Learning Process  
(Purposes and Processes of Assessment  
Component 1), 158, 159, 169, 174–177

Assessments: beginning transformation  
process with, 43–45; determining status of

educational programs, 51; evaluations vs.,  
157; formative, 162; instructor-centered vs.  
learner-centered approaches to, 20;  
learning logs for self, 134; Psych 101  
student learning, 71–72; purpose of in  
learner-centered teaching, 18; quizzes for,  
161; transitioning to learner-centered  
teaching, 24; using rubrics for, 43, 45, 51.  
*See also* Purposes and Processes of  
Assessment dimension

Association of American Colleges and  
Universities, xxi, 3, 11

Association of College and Research  
Libraries, 135

Austin, A. E., xx

Authentic Assessments (Purposes and Pro-  
cesses of Assessment Component 7), 168

## B

Balance of Power dimension: analyzing  
Psych 101 for, 196–201; application activity  
for, 214–219; components of, 188–196;  
determining course content, 188, 190, 191,  
197; expressing alternative perspectives,  
190–191, 197; flexibility in policies,  
assessments, learning methods, and  
deadlines, 193–195, 198, 205–208; grading  
styles and, 192, 197–198, 202–204;  
instructor-centered vs. learner-centered  
approaches to, 20; opportunities to learn  
and, 195–196, 198–199; overview, 187,  
213–214; planning curriculum-wide

- increases in, 239; rubric for, 188–190, 287–288; shift in learner-centered teaching, 18–19; transforming to learner-centered approach, 24, 199, 202–208; using open-ended assignments, 193, 198
- Banta, Larry, 134
- Barr, R. B., 3
- Beach, A. L., xx
- Bean, J., 17
- Berg, Thomas, 144
- Biggs, J., 105
- Biology, organizing schemes in, 95
- Bloom, B. S., 105
- Blumberg, P., xix, 4, 11, 14, 133, 134, 163, 193, 238, 277
- Bonwell, C., 16
- Boulton-Lewis, G., 247
- Bowman, Leslie Ann, 135
- Bransford, J., 34
- Brent, R., 253
- Brown, A., 34
- Brownlee, J., 247
- Bruner, J., 16
- Brunner, Bernard, 107
- Byrnes, D., 208
- C**
- Calvano, T. B., 161
- Candy, P., 127, 132, 133
- Case studies: Pharmacy Systems Management course, 5–10; Psychology, 101, 69–72
- Catterall, J., 196
- Cell Biology Education*, 253
- Change: high impact, 208–211; implementing with rubrics, 257; low risk, 208–211; Planning for Transformation exercise for, 44–45; process in becoming learner-centered instructors, 247–249; selecting component for change, 65–66; showing status of curriculum change, 28; strategies for implementing, 251–252; using five dimensions for, 68–69; using rubrics in, 25
- Christian, W., 107
- Classroom Assessment Techniques* (Angelo & Cross), 158
- Cocking, R., 34
- Coffman, S. J., 253
- Cohen, M., 38
- Collaborative quizzes, 196
- College Teaching*, 253
- Components: activity selecting for change, 65–66; different ones taught in different courses, 235; emphasized for lower-level courses, 234; evaluating function of content, 73–83; focusing on individual rubric, 34–35; not all learner-centered in learner-centered teaching, 223; relationships among content and, 237; selecting for transformation, 43–44. *See also specific dimension components*
- Concept maps: defined, 95; learning activities using, 108, 109
- Content: determining course, 188, 190, 191, 197; interactions among students, instructors and, 108–109; learner-centered courses and, 224, 236–237; perceived as irrelevant, 236–237. *See also Function of Content dimension*
- Contract grading, 192
- Course enrollment numbers, 224, 232–234, 236
- Courses: aligning, 105–106, 112–113, 118–120, 122–123; applying comprehension check to own, 42; applying Function of Content rubric to, 242–243; characteristics of learner-centered, 223–225, 238; choosing for transformation, 27–28, 29; content and learner-centered, 236, 237; determining content for, 188, 190, 191, 197; developing curriculum-wide learner-centered, 238–244; effect of level on learner-centered courses, 228–232, 233, 234, 235; fitting teaching methods to objectives of, 107, 123–124; objectives and design of Psych 101, 70–71; open-ended assignments in, 193, 198; selecting component for change in, 43–44, 65–66; transforming, xxi, xxiii; using dimensions to analyze, 244. *See also Components; Learner-centered courses; Pharmacy Systems Management course; Psychology 101 example*
- Creation of an Environment for Learning (Role of Instructor Component 1), 102, 104, 112, 122
- Cross, K. P., 158, 160, 161, 162, 208, 211

Cudd, Timothy, 82

Culture and learner-centered teaching, 249–250

Curriculum: developing learner-centered approach to, 238–244; rubrics emphasizing different skills for, 38–40; showing status of change with rubric, 28

## D

Deep learning, 14

Demers, Paul, 191

Demonstration of Mastery and Ability to Learn from Mistakes (Purposes and Processes of Assessment Component 4), 165–166, 170

Determination of Course Content (Balance of Power Component 1), 188, 190, 197

Determination of How Students Earn Grades (Balance of Power Component 3), 192, 197–198, 202–204

DeZure, D., 3

DiBiasio, D., 196

Differences in learning, 15–16

Dimensions. *See* Five dimensions of learner-centered teaching

Discipline-specific learning methodologies, 77, 78

Documentation to Support the Selected Status form, 51, 52–64, 292–302

Doherty, A., 11

Donovan, S., 4, 16, 17

Dorherty, A., xxi

## E

Eddy, P. L., xx

Educational programs: assessing with rubrics, 277; rubrics determining status of, 51

Eison, J., 16

Elhajj, I., 253

Epstein, B. B., 265

Epstein, M. L., 161

Evaluations, 157

Everett, J., xix, 238

Expression of Alternative Perspectives (Balance of Power Component 2), 190–191, 197

Extra-credit bingo cards, 105, 209

## F

Facts & Comparisons database, 135

Feedback: Immediate Feedback Assessment Technique, 161; mastering content with instructor's, 165–166; providing for student notes, 80, 144, 145, 146, 147; providing for student predictions, 82; providing formative, 158; timeframe for, 167, 170

Felder, R., 253

Fieldwork, 78–79

Fink, L. D., 3, 11, 105, 161, 194, 195, 253

Five dimensions of learner-centered teaching: about, xx, xxiv, 18–19, 67–68; analyzing course's characteristics with, 244; Balance of Power rubric, 188–190, 287–288; Function of Content rubric, 32–33, 74–75; process for transformation using, 68–69; Purposes and Processes of Assessment rubric, 18, 159–160, 285–286; Responsibility for Learning rubric, 129–131, 282–284; Role of Instructor rubric, 26–27, 102–104; selecting order of, 304; transitioning to learner-centered teaching, 22–25. *See also specific dimension*

Flanagan, Christine, 102

Flexibility of Course Policies, Assessment Methods, Learning Methods, and Deadlines (Balance of Power Component 5), 193–195, 198, 205–208

Formative Assessment (Purposes and Processes of Assessment Component 2), 158, 161–162, 169, 174–177

Forms: copying, 275; Documentation to Support the Selected Status, 51, 52–64, 292–302; Planning for Transformation, 46–47, 289–291. *See also specific forms*

Function of Content dimension: acquiring discipline-specific learning methodologies, 77, 78; analyzing Psych 101 course for, 83–87; application activity for, 97–100; applying to course, 242–243; changing components of Psych 101 content, 87–95; components of, 73–83; instructor-centered vs. learner-centered approaches to, 19; learner-centered functions of, 83; learning to solve real-world problems, 78–79;

overview, 18, 73, 96; ratings for Psych 101 in, 86–87; rubric for, 32–33, 74–75, 278–279; teaching students importance of content, 76–79; transitioning to learner-centered teaching, 21–22, 23; using inquiry or ways of thinking in discipline, 78; varied uses of content, 75–79, 84, 88–89, 97–98

## G

Games and student participation, 111  
 Gardiner, L. F., 3  
 Gavrin, A., 107  
 Gehrman, Philip, 79  
 Glossary, 271–276  
 Good faith activities, 151  
 Gradations in rubrics: involving subcriteria, 37–40; qualitative, 36–37; quantitative, 35–36  
 Grading: changing to learner-centered, 202–204; collaborative quizzes, 196; contract and mastery, 192; determining grade weights, 195; extra-credit bingo cards, 105; including simulations activities in, 168; online exams and, 166; Psych 101 methods for, 197–198; Psych 101 participation, 71–72; styles of, 192, 202–204  
 Graphic organizers, 95  
 Grasha, A., 17  
 Grigorenko, E. L., 17  
 Groccia, J. E., 196  
 Guidelines for rubric use, 40–41

## H

Harris, Rochelle, 135  
 Hendel, R. A., 265  
 Hertel, John, 168  
 Hietapelto, Amy, 192  
 Higher-impact changes, 211, 212  
 Hiller, Tammy, 192  
 Human development, 15–16  
 Humphries, W., 134

## I

Ideishi, R., 38  
 Immediate Feedback Assessment Technique (IF-AT), 161

Information Literacy Skills (Responsibility for Learning Component 6), 135–136, 137  
 Institutional resistance, 249–250  
 Instructor-centered teaching: defined, 3; ineffectiveness of, 4; learner-centered vs., 7–10, 19–20; rubric for, 22–25; transitioning to learner-centered teaching from, 21–22  
 Instructors: assessing role in course, 122–126; as book's audience, xxii–xxiii; components used for lower-level courses, 234; different components taught in different courses, 235; documenting teaching evolution for, 51; flexibility of, 193–195, 198, 205–208; giving open-ended assignments, 193, 198; grading styles for, 192, 197–198, 202–204; implementing learner-centered teaching, 258–259; interacting with student and content, 108–109; motivating students to learn, 110–111, 114–115; organizing schemes used by, 81; overcoming own resistance, 250–252; resistance to learner-centered approach, 4–5, 247–250; reviewing student notes, 80, 144, 145, 146, 147; training students in peer and self-assessments, 162–165; using content to facilitate learning, 81–83; using methods appropriate for student goals, 107. *See also* Role of Instructor dimension  
 Intra-teach sessions: about, 71, 199; grading, 72; preparing students for, 174–177; setting dates for, 205, 206

## J

Johnson, W. D., 11  
*Journal of Excellence in College Teaching*, 253  
*Journal of Faculty Development*, The, 253  
*Journal of Management Education*, 253  
 Just-in-Time Teaching (JiT), 107, 141, 142–143  
 Justification of Accuracy of Answers (Purposes and Processes of Assessment Component 5), 166–167, 170, 178–179

## K

Kafai, Y., 16, 108  
 Kearney, P., 38, 132

- Kinzie, J., 132  
 Knight, A. B., 161, 194, 195  
 Knowledge base, 12–13  
 Knowledge maps, 95  
 Kolb Inventory of Cognitive Styles, 15, 16  
 Kramer, P., 38, 132, 143, 144  
 Kramp, M., 134  
 Kuh, G. D., 132
- L**
- Lambert, N., 12, 16, 17  
 Lazarus, A. D., 161  
 Learner-centered courses: about, 223–224, 239, 243; characteristics of, 223–225, 238; content and, 224, 236, 237; implementation of, 225–238; large enrollment and, 224, 232–234, 236; level of course and implementation of, 224, 228–232, 233, 234, 235; type of students and, 224, 226–228, 229, 230, 231  
 Learner-centered teaching: acquiring discipline-specific methods in, 78; aligning course components in, 106; all components not learner-centered in, 223; applying flexibility in, 195, 198, 205–208; applying principles of, 27–28, 29; assessing with rubrics, 258; balance of power in, 187–188; creating learning environment with, 16–17, 102; defined, 3; demonstrating ability to learn from mistakes in, 165–166; determining course content for, 191; expressing alternative perspectives in, 191; fears and difficulties of, xix–xx; five dimensions of, xx, xxiv, 18–19; formative assessments in, 162; giving peer and self-assessments for, 162–165; grading styles for, 192, 197–198, 202–204; incremental implementation of, 257; instructor-centered vs., 7–10, 19–20; interactions among students, instructor, and content in, 108; knowledge base and, 12–13; learning to solve real-world problems with, 78–79, 134; level of engagement in content, 80; motivation and, 14–15, 111; myths about, 234; open-ended assignments for, 193, 198; opportunities to learn in, 196; organizing schemes for, 95; readiness assessment tests in, 161; research supporting, 12–18; responsibility for learning in, 132, 144; strategic processing and, 13–14; strength and weakness assessments by students in, 135; students' self-assessment of learning, 134, 151; systematically implementing, 258–259; terminology for, 4–5; transforming courses to, xxi, xxiii, 27–28, 29; transitioning from instructor-centered to, 21–22; using inquiry or ways of thinking in discipline, 78; using methods appropriate for student goals, 107; why students need to learn content, 77; widespread support for, 11–12  
*Learner-Centered Teaching* (Weimer), 18, 194  
 Learning: accommodating different styles of, 105; active, 16; creating environments for, 104–105; gaining learning-to-learn skills, 132, 144; individual differences in, 15–16; from mistakes, 165–166; motivating student, 110–111, 114–115; skills in self-directed, lifelong, 133–134, 137; students' self-assessment of, 134, 137, 148–150, 151; surface, deep, and strategic, 14; using content to facilitate future, 81–83, 85, 100  
 Learning logs, 134  
 Learning processes, 133  
 Learning situations, 16–17  
 Learning strategies, 133  
 Learning-centered teaching, 4  
 Learning-to-Learn Skills (Responsibility for Learning Component 2), 132, 136, 145–147  
 Lee, Kevin, 166  
 Legal disciplines, 168  
 Level to Which Students Engage in Content (Function of Content Component 2), 79–80, 84, 90–91, 99  
 Levels: content, 79–80, 84, 90–91, 99; course, 224, 228–232, 233, 234, 235; rubric, 35–40  
 LexisNexis, 135  
 Literacy skills: emphasizing different ones in different courses, 38–40; information literacy, 135–136, 137  
 Low-risk changes, 208–211

## M

Mahalingam, Madhu, 78  
 Maharaj, Sati, 134  
 Maritz, Carol, 163  
 Mastery grading, 192  
 Mathematical Association of America, 193  
 Mathematics, learning content in, 77  
 Matlin, M. W., 17  
 Matthews, K. A., 265  
 Maxwell, W. E., 11  
 McCombs, B., 12, 16, 17  
 McVeigh, J., 78  
 Measurable objectives, 110  
 Meta-cognitive strategies, 13  
 Michaelsen, L. K., 161, 194, 195  
 Mid-Continent Regional Educational Laboratory, 12  
 Middle States Commission on Higher Education, 12, 128, 136  
 Miller, J., 196  
 Millis, B., 168  
 Minasian, J., 196  
 Morlino, Elisabeth, 78  
 Mostrom, A., 95, 108  
 Motivation: implementing learner-centered courses and, 226–227; student learning and, 14–15, 110–111, 114–115, 126  
 Motivation of Students to Learn (Role of Instructor Component 6), 110–111, 114–115, 126  
 Moulds, Russell, 80  
 Murphy, P., 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17  
 Myers-Briggs Type Indicator, 15–16

## N

National Report on Greater Expectations, xxi  
 National Research Council, 3  
 National Science Foundation, 3, 4  
 National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE), 11  
*New Directions in Teaching and Learning*, 253  
 Nontraditional students, 227–228, 231  
 Notes: providing feedback for annotated, 80; reviewing student's, 144, 145, 146, 147  
 Novak, G., 107  
 Novak, J. D., 95, 108

## O

Oakley, B., 251  
 Occupational Therapy program: Balance of Power rubric applied to, 240–241; increasing Balance of Power in, 239; integrating changes in, 238  
 Online quizzes: grading, 166; using, 148–149  
 Open-ended assignments, 193, 198  
 Opportunities to Learn (Balance of Power Component 6), 195–196, 198–199  
 Order of dimensions, 302  
 Organizing schemes: about, 24–25; learner-centered use of, 95; using, 81, 85, 92–94, 99

## P

Partners, 251–252  
 Patel, Jeegisha, 111  
 Patterson, E., 107  
 Peck, Lois, 95  
 Peer and Self-Assessment (Purposes and Processes of Assessment Component 3), 162–165, 169–170  
 Peer assessments: training students in, 162–165; weighting in overall grade, 195  
 Perceived content irrelevancy, 224, 236–237  
 Performance outcomes, 133  
 Periodicals, 253  
 Perry, W. G., 15  
 Peterson, Andrew, 5, 6, 55–64, 223  
 Pharmacy Systems Management course: becoming learner-centered, 5–6; Documentation to Support the Selected Status form for, 52, 55–64; instructor-centered components in, 223; instructor-centered vs. learner-centered approaches in, 7–10; Planning for Transformation exercise for, 48–50  
 Piaget, J., 16  
 Pintrich, P., 15, 127  
 Planning for Transformation exercise: blank form, 46–47, 289–291; Function of Content Component 1, 88–89; Function of Content Component 2, 90–91; Function of Content Component 3, 92–94; overview, 44–45; for Pharmacy Systems Management course, 48–50; Purposes and Processes

- of Assessment Components 1 & 2, 174–177; Purposes and Processes of Assessment Components 5, 178–179; Responsibility for Learning Component 1, 141–143; Responsibility for Learning Component 2, 145–147; Responsibility for Learning Component 4, 148–150; Role of the Instructor Component 2, 118–120; suggestions for using, 68–69
- Polich, S., 247
- Policies: flexibility in course, 193–195, 198, 205–208; Psych 101, 71
- Poon, Cathy, 151
- Practice exams, 166
- Practicums, 78–79
- Preparing Future Faculty (PFF) programs, xxiii
- Presentations: for peer and self assessment, 163; preparing for research with class, 191
- PRIMUS*, 251
- Problem-solving exercises, 78
- Professional and Organizational Developers Network (POD), 303–304
- Psychology 101 example: analyzing Role of Instructor in, 111–116; Balance of Power evaluated for, 196–201; changes with higher impact, 211, 212; course features for, 69–72; course objectives and design, 70–71; describing responsibility for learning in, 136–137; Function of Content analyzed for, 83–87; implementing low risk changes to, 208–211; making assessment more learner-centered, 173–179; modifying instructor’s role for, 117; Responsibility for Learning rated, 138–140; reviewing Assessment in, 168–172; shifting responsibility for learning to learners, 140–151; student learning assessments in, 71–72; transforming course content, 87–95; using, 67–68. *See also* Planning for Transformation exercise
- Purdie, N., 247
- Purposes and Processes of Assessment dimension: about, 180; application activity for, 181–184; authentic assessments, 168; components of, 158–168; creating more learner centered, 173–179; demonstrating mastery and ability to learn from mistakes, 165–166; formative assessments, 158, 161–162; integrating assessment with learning process, 158, 159; justifying accuracy of answers, 166–167; overview, 18, 157–158; peer and self-assessment, 162–165; Psych 101’s use of, 168–172; ratings for Psych 101 course, 171–172; refining for course, 185; rubric for, 159–160, 285–286; timeframe for feedback, 167, 170
- Q**
- Qualitative gradations in rubrics, 36–37
- Quantitative gradations in rubrics, 35–36
- Quizzes: for assessments, 161; taking collaboratively, 196; using online, 148–149, 166
- R**
- Ramsden, P., 13
- Rating: Balance of Power dimension, 199–201; Function of Content dimension, 86–87; Purposes and Processes of Assessment dimension, 171–172; Responsibility for Learning dimension, 138–140; Role of the Instructor dimension, 115–116
- Readiness Assessment Test, 161, 194, 195
- Reading rubrics, 31–34
- Relevant objectives, 110
- Rescheduling classes, 144
- Research: on learner-centered teaching, 12–18; preparing students for independent, 191
- Resistance to learner-centered teaching: application activity for, 254–255; obstacles for teachers, 247–250; overcoming, 250–252; resources for overcoming, 253; students’, 252
- Resnick, M., 16, 108
- Resources for learner-centered teaching, 253
- Responsibility for Learning dimension: acquiring learning-to-learn skills, 132, 136, 145–147; application activity for, 153–155; completing for selected course, 152;

- components of, 128–136; developing students, 127–128; evaluating instructor- and learner-centered, 128, 131–132; information literacy skills, 135–136, 137; instructor-centered vs. learner-centered approaches to, 20; learning self-assessments by students, 134, 137, 148–150, 151; learning-to-learn skills, 132, 144; overview, 127, 151–152; Psychology 101's use of, 136–137; rating, 138–140; rubric for, 129–131, 282–284; self-directed, lifelong learning skills, 133–134, 137; students' self-assessment of strengths and weaknesses, 134–135, 137
- Responsibility for Learning (Responsibility for Learning Component 1), 128, 131–132, 136, 141–143
- Reynolds, Heather, 1–6
- Riordan, T., xxi, 11
- Role of Instructor dimension: aligning course components, 105–106, 112–113, 118–120, 122–123; analyzing in Psychology 101 course, 111–116; application activity for, 122–126; articulating SMART objectives, 110, 114, 125–126; components of, 104–111; creating learning environments, 104–105, 112, 122; instructor-centered vs. learner-centered approaches to, 19–20; interactions among students, instructor, and content, 108–109, 114, 124–125; modifying for Psych 101, 117; motivating students to learn, 110–111, 114–115, 126; overview, 18, 101, 117, 121; ratings for, 115–116; rubric for, 26–27, 102–104, 280–281; teaching to fit course and student goals, 107, 123–124; transitioning to learner-centered approach, 21
- Roth, J., xxi, 11
- Rubrics: assessing course transitions with, 45, 51; Balance of Power, 188–190, 242–243, 287–288; beginning course transformation with, 43–45, 277; comprehension check on, 41–42; defined, 6, 22–23, 31; determining status of educational programs with, 51; development of, 301–302; documenting teaching evolution with, 51; emphasizing different skills in different courses with, 38–40; evaluating learner-centered teaching with, 260; focusing on components of, 34–35; Function of Content, 32–33, 74–75, 242–243, 278–279; guidelines for use, 40–41; implementing change with, 22–25, 257; interpreting levels of, 35–40; Purposes and Processes of Assessment, 159–160, 285–286; reading, 31–34; Responsibility for Learning, 129–131, 282–284; Role of Instructor, 26–27, 102–104, 280–281; showing curriculum change with, 28; supporting selection and use of, 52–64; using five dimensions, 69
- S**
- Schaefer, Frederick, 78
- Scholarship of teaching and learning, 252, 253
- Schuh, J. H., 132
- Sciences: accommodating learning styles for, 105; aligning course components for, 106; learning with concept maps, 95, 108; multiple teaching methods for, 107
- Scriven, M., 247
- Self-assessment: rubrics for, 43; strength and weakness, 134–135, 137; training students in peer and, 162–165. *See also* Assessment
- Self-directed, Lifelong Learning Skills (Responsibility for Learning Component 3), 133–134, 137
- Self-efficacy, 15
- Shea, G., 38
- Shulman, L. S., 252
- Slavin, R. E., 11
- SMART objectives: articulating, 110, 114, 125–126; defined, 110; rubric for role of instructor with, 27
- Sorcinelli, M. D., xx
- Specific objectives, 110
- Springer, L., 4, 16, 17
- Stanne, M., 4, 16, 17
- STEM disciplines, 4
- Sternberg, R. J., 17
- Strategic learning, 14
- Strategic processing, 13–14

- Strength and weakness self-assessment, 134–135, 137
- Student-centered learning, 5
- Student-centered teaching, 4–5
- Students: acquiring discipline-specific learning skills, 77, 78; advance preparation for classes, 144; assessing instructor's role, 122–126; assessing own learning, 134, 137, 148–150; as audience for book, xxiii; developing responsibility for learning, 127–128; encouraging alternative perspectives for, 191; extrapolating information for future use, 82; finding course content irrelevant, 224, 236–237; gaining learning-to-learn skills, 132, 144; giving peer and self-assessments, 162–165; impact on learner-centered courses, 226–228, 229, 230, 231; instructor-centered learning for, 4; interacting with instructor and content, 108–109; justifying answers, 162, 166–167; learner-centered approach for, 11–12; learning strategies of, 13–14; letters and portfolios of writing, 135; level of engagement in content, 79–80, 84, 90–91, 99; mastering subject and learning from mistakes, 165–166; motivation for learning in, 14–15, 110–111, 114–115; nontraditional, 227–228, 231; organizing schemes used by, 81; Psych 101 expectations for, 71; relevance of learner-centered teaching for, 17–18; requiring authentic assessments from, 168; resistance to learner-centered teaching by, 249, 251; response to contract grading, 192; solving real-world problems, 78–79, 134; taking quizzes collaboratively, 196; teaching methods appropriate for goals of, 107; understanding need to learn content, 76–79; using content to facilitate learning, 81–83; using inquiry or ways of thinking in discipline, 77–78
- Students' Self-assessment of Their Learning (Responsibility for Learning Component 4), 134, 137, 148–150
- Students' Self-assessment of Their Strengths and Weaknesses (Responsibility for Learning Component 5), 134–135, 137
- Summative assessments, 157
- Surface learning, 14
- Suskie, L., 24, 157, 168
- Svinicki, M., 13, 249
- Syllabus: aligned course, 106; Psych 101, 70
- Systematic course transformation, 258–259
- T**
- Tagg, J., xxi, 3
- Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 253
- Teaching dossiers: placing rubrics in, 277; using rubrics for, 51
- Teaching or Learning Methods Appropriate for Student Learning Goals (Role of the Instructor Component 3), 107, 123–124
- Teaching Professor, The*, 253
- Teaching. *See* Instructor-centered teaching; Learner-centered teaching
- Teams and task forces, 195
- Thinking: about discipline, 78; developing critical, 80
- Tietze, Karen, 105
- Time oriented objectives, 110
- Timeframe for Feedback (Purposes and Processes of Assessment Component 6), 167, 170
- Transformation process: beginning with rubrics, 43–45, 277; changing components of Psych 101 content, 87–95; formal assessment using rubrics, 45, 51; modifying Psych 101 instructor's role, 117; Planning for Transformation exercise for, 44–45, 46–50; systematically implementing, 258–259; using five dimensions of learner-centered teaching, 68–69. *See also* Planning for Transformation exercise
- U**
- Use of Content to Facilitate Future Learning (Function of Content Component 4), 81–83, 85, 100
- Use of Organizing Schemes (Function of Content Component 3), 81, 85, 92–94, 99
- V**
- Varied uses of content (Function of Content Component 1), 75–79, 84, 88–89, 97–98

Vas, L., 77  
Vygotsky, L. S., 16

**W**

Walvoord, B. E., xxi, 24  
Weimer, M., xxi, 3, 4, 5, 18, 25, 29, 111, 127,  
157, 187, 192, 193, 194, 253, 303  
Whitt, W., 132

Wright, R., xxi  
Writing: learning logs, 135; letters and  
portfolios of student, 135; “muddiest  
point” of teaching, 161; reviewing student  
drafts, 158

**Z**

Zappin, R., 163











