

Index

A

Action plans
advantages and disadvantages of, 51
for application/implementation data, 110–111
applications of, 48–50
calculating ROI, 49–50, 133
description and example of, 38–39
developing an, 40–42
for impact data collection, 129–133, 135
successful implementation of, 42–48

Activities, 19–20

Alreck, P. L., 1

Anonymity issue, 4, 10, 65

Application and Implementation (Level 3)
action areas for, 93, 94–95
barriers to application, 111–112
data collection methods for, 96–111
measurement issues for, 92–96
reasons for measuring, 87–92
uses of application data, 112

Attitude, knowledge, skills, 76–77, 97, 104

Audio monitoring observation, 32

B

Behavior checklist, 30–31

Business games, 17

Business performance monitoring, 35–38

C

Captive audience, 9

Case study, 18

Certification, 73

Checklists
behavior, 30–31
questionnaire use of, 2

Competency development, 73

Compliance issues, 72–73

Computer monitoring observation, 32

Confidentiality issue, 4, 10

Consistency, 82–83

Coscarelli, W. C., 14

Cost issues, 144

Criterion-referenced tests, 14, 15

Cultural bias issue, 146

Customer satisfaction, 55–56, 68

D

Data
measures of, 118–120
measures of soft, 120–121
tangible versus intangible
measures of, 121–122

148 Index

- Data collection
 - action plans for, 38–51, 110–111, 129–133, 135
 - for application data, 96–111
 - built into the program, 90–91
 - business performance monitoring for, 35–38
 - focus groups for, 25–28, 64–65, 110
 - interviews for, 23–25, 64, 110
 - of learning measurements, 79–81
 - observations for, 28–32, 110
 - performance contracts for, 51–54, 134, 136
 - questionnaires and surveys for, 1–12, 64, 96–110, 134, 137
 - reaction feedback, 55–67
 - tests used for, 13–21
- Data collection selection
 - matching exercise for, 139–143
 - selecting method appropriate for each level, 143–146
- Data sources
 - for application data, 93, 95
 - for impact data, 126–127
- Database building, 85
- Delayed report method, 31
- E**
- Electromechanical simulation, 17
- Employees
 - certification of, 73
 - consequences of unprepared, 73–74
 - developing competencies, 73
 - rewarding effective, 90
 - See also* Participants; Work activities
- End-of-conference questionnaire, 80
- Evaluation
 - considering end-of-the program, 66
 - increasing value of, 88
 - Reaction and Planned Action (Level 1), 55–69
- Exercises, 19–20
- F**
- Facilitators
 - action plan approval by, 43
 - assessment of, 20–21
 - collecting feedback on, 60
 - evaluating, 68, 85
 - focus group interviews by, 28
- Failure (test), 84
- Focus groups
 - for application/implementation data, 110
 - data collection using, 25–28
 - for gathering reaction feedback, 64–65
- Follow-up
 - action plan, 45–46
 - reminders for, 8
- Fosse, C. E., 26
- I**
- Impact and Consequences (Level 4)
 - data collection methods for, 127–137
 - effective impact measures used for, 117–127
 - reasons for measuring, 115–117
- In-basket simulation, 17–18
- Incentive methods, 7–8
- Informal tests, 19–21
- Intangible measures, 121–122
- Interviews
 - for application/implementation data, 100
 - focus group, 25–28, 64–65, 110
 - for gathering reaction feedback, 64
 - types and guidelines for, 23–25
- Introductory letters, 8
- Isolating program effects
 - action plan and, 132
 - ROI calculations adjusted for, 49
- K**
- Knowledge, skills, attitudes, 76–77, 97, 104
- Kvale, S., 23

L

- Learning
 - knowledge, skills, attitudes measurements of, 76–77
 - linking application to, 90
 - measuring levels of, 71–85
 - objectives for, 75–76
 - programs and role of, 74–75
- Learning and Confidence (Level 2)
 - administrative issues for, 81–84
 - measurement issues for, 75–81
 - reasons for measuring, 71–75
 - timing of measuring, 77–79
 - uses of learning data, 84–85
- Learning organizations, 71–72
- Lindsay, N. R., 26

M

- Managers
 - data collection method and investment of, 144
 - questionnaire support by, 7
- Marketing programs, 69
- Marsick, V. J., 72
- McDonough, J. B., 58
- Middlebrook, R. H., 26
- Mondschein, M., 35
- Monetary value units
 - assigning data, 105–106
 - basis for assigned, 106
 - impact data providing, 117
 - of program improvements, 131
- Multiple-choice questions, 2
- Myhill, M., 58

N

- Needs assessment, 91–92
- Norm-referenced tests, 13–14

O

- Objectives
 - impact, 122–123
 - performance, 53
 - program, 75–76
 - program application and implementation, 92–93
 - reaction feedback on, 60

Observations

- for application/implementation data, 100
 - described, 28
 - guidelines for effective, 28–32
- Open-ended questions, 2
 - Organizations
 - compliance issues for, 72–73
 - learning, 71–72

P

- Participants
 - action plan measurements by, 43–45
 - allowing ample response time for, 66–67
 - anonymity of, 4, 10, 65
 - improving questionnaire response rates by, 5–12
 - interviewing, 23–25
 - providing incentives for, 7–8
 - See also* Employees; Work activities
- Performance contracts, 51–54, 134, 136
- Performance data, 115–116, 127–129
- Performance tests, 14, 16
- Pharma Company Questionnaire, 98–104
- Phillips, J. J., 15, 44, 58, 82, 110
- Phillips, P. P., 44, 82, 110
- Pilot testing
 - of learning measurements, 83
 - questionnaire for, 10
 - See also* Tests
- Problems
 - identifying program, 89
 - testing by using, 19–20
- Programs
 - building data collection into, 90–91
 - evaluating planned improvements of, 68–69
 - identifying business driver of, 116–117
 - identifying problems of, 89

150 Index

- Programs (*Continued*)
 identifying strengths and weaknesses of, 68
 measuring reaction and planned action for, 55–69
 objectives of, 75–76
 obtaining data for marketing, 69
 role of learning in, 74–75
 tracking focus of, 88–89
- Q**
 Questionnaires
 for application/implementation data, 96–110
 design steps for, 2–5
 end-of-conference, 80
 example of Pharma Company, 98–104
 for gathering reaction feedback, 64
 for impact data collection, 134, 137
 improving response rate for, 5–12
 ROI Methodology use of, 137
 types of questions used for, 1–2
- R**
 Reaction and Planned Action (Level 1)
 areas of feedback on, 58–63
 data collection issues for, 55–67
 reaction and application data correlations, 57
 reasons for measuring, 55–58
 routine feedback form for, 67
 timing of data collection for, 63
 uses of data on, 67–69
 Reliability, 81–82
 Reporting learning measures, 83–84
 Robson, C., 2
 ROI Methodology
 Application and Implementation (Level 3) of, 87–112
 on data and improvement, 137
 Impact and Consequences (Level 4) of, 115–137
 Learning and Confidence (Level 2) of, 71–85
 questionnaires as used in the, 137
 Reaction and Planned Action (Level 1), 55–69
 selecting data collection method for each level of, 143–146
 ROI (return on investment)
 calculating action plan, 49–50, 133
 impact measures in applications of, 123–126
 isolating program effects
 adjustments for, 49
 measuring action plan, 46–47
 Role-playing (or skill practice), 18
- S**
 Scoring learning measures, 83–84
 Self-assessment, 20
 Senge, P., 72
 Settle, R. B., 1
 Shrock, S. A., 14
 Simulations, 16–18
 Skill practice (or role-playing), 18
 Skills, knowledge, attitudes, 76–77, 97, 104
 SMART plan, 130
 Structured interview, 24
 Subramony, D. P., 26
 Surveys. *See* Questionnaires
- T**
 Tangible measures, 121–122
 Task simulation, 17
 Tests
 failure to pass, 84
 informal, 19–21
 simulations used as, 16–18
 true-false, 81
 types of, 13–16
See also Pilot testing

- 360° feedback, 31
- Time issues
 - allowing participants response time, 66–67
 - timing of application/implementation data collection, 95–96
 - timing of impact action plans, 133
 - timing of learning measurement, 77–79
 - timing of reaction feedback collection, 63
- True-false test, 81
- Two-way questions, 2
- U**
 - Unstructured interview, 24
- V**
 - Validity, 81–82
 - video recording observation, 31–32
- W**
 - Watkins, K. E., 72
 - Work activities
 - data collection disruption of, 144–145
 - measuring changes in, 104
 - See also* Employees; Participants

