

Index

A

accessibility, qualities of usability, 4–6
accuracy statistics, performance data, 249–250
activity component, Bailey’s Human Performance Model, 7
actors, end users as, 118
ambiguity, moderator comfort wit, 50
analyzing data. *See* data analysis
assessment (summative) tests, 34–35
 for first-time users, 201
 iterative testing in development lifecycle, 41–42
 methodology for, 35
 objectives of, 34–35
 when to use, 34
assistance
 how to assist participants, 211–212
 when to assist participants, 211
associations, sources for participant selection, 137
attention span, of test moderators, 51
attitudes
 discovering with pre-test questionnaires, 175–177
 mental preparation for test sessions, 218
audio recordings, debriefing sessions, 236

B

background questionnaire, 162–164
administration of, 163–164
ease of use, 163
focus of, 163
overview of, 162
participants filling out preliminary documents, 220

pilot testing, 163
purposes of, 162–163
Bailey’s Human Performance Model, 7
“bare attention”, test moderators practicing, 61
behavior
 performance data, 165–166
 rationales for, 208–209
behavioral measurements, of product usability, 13
benchmarks
 as means of developing user profile, 119
 for measuring usability, 4
 profitability and, 22
 test plans and, 80–82
 validation tests and, 36
“best case” testing, 133
between subjects design, for test plan, 75
“big picture” view, of test moderators, 51–52
biometric data, gathering, 112
blueprint, test plan as, 66
body language, of test moderators, 203
branching questions, 198–199
BRD (business requirements documents), 118
bugs, assisting participants and, 212
business requirements documents (BRD), 118

C

card sorting, for findability of content or functionality, 18
catastrophe, validation tests as, 36
categorizing user profiles, 124
cause-and-effect relationships, in experimental method, 23
checkbox questions, 198

- checklist 1 (approximately a week before test), 214–216
 - checking equipment and test environment, 216
 - conducting pilot test, 215
 - freezing further development, 216
 - making revisions, 215–216
 - taking test yourself, 214
- checklist 2 (one day before test), 216–217
 - assembling test materials, 217
 - checking equipment and test environment, 217
 - checking product software and hardware, 217
 - checking status of participants, 217
 - checking video equipment, 216–217
- checklist 3 (day of test), 217–225
 - closing session, 224–225
 - debriefing observers, 225
 - debriefing participants, 224
 - distributing/reading task scenarios, 224
 - filling out post-test questionnaires, 224
 - filling out preliminary documents, 220
 - filling out pretest questionnaires, 220
 - greeting participants, 219–220
 - mental preparation of moderator, 218–219
 - moving to test area and preparing for test, 220–221
 - organizing data collection and observation sheets, 225
 - overview of, 217–218
 - providing adequate time between sessions, 225
 - providing prerequisite training if part of test plan, 223–224
 - reading orientation script, 220
 - setting decorum for observers present, 221–223
 - starting data collection, 224
 - starting recordings, 221
- checklists, preparing for test sessions, 213–214
- churches, sources for participant selection, 136–137
- classic laboratory, 108–110
 - advantages of, 109–110
 - disadvantages of, 110
 - overview of, 108–109
- classifiers
 - matrix test design and, 125
 - participant selection and, 121–122
- closing test sessions, 224–225
- clubs, sources of participant selection, 136–137
- code of ethics, 52
- coding schemes, for note-taking, 171
- college campuses, sources of participant selection, 139–140
- common sense, usability design and, 9
- communication
 - orientation script as communication tool, 154
 - skills of test moderators, 52
 - test plan as communication vehicle, 66, 74
- community groups, sources of participant selection, 136–137
- comparison tests
 - exploratory studies conducted as, 34
 - iterative testing in development lifecycle, 39–41
 - methodology for, 38
 - objectives of, 37
 - of prototypes, 264
 - when to use, 37
- compensation, of test participants, 150–151
- competitive edge, usability and, 23
- compiling data, 246–247
 - other measures for, 256
 - overview of, 246–247
 - while testing, 247
- complaints, as reason for testing products, 69
- components, testing individual components vs. integrated systems, 201–202
- comprehensive analysis, 245–246. *See also* data analysis
- conditions, comparing product versions and, 76
- confirmation, of test participants, 148–149
- consent forms
 - participants filling out, 220
 - recording, 173–174
- consultants, as test moderators, 47–48
- content
 - card sorting for finding, 18
 - post-test questionnaire, 192–193, 195
- context component, Bailey’s Human Performance Model, 7
- control groups, in experimental method, 24
- controls
 - in experimental method, 23–24
 - in usability testing, 25
- coordination skills, of test moderators, 52
- co-researchers, observers as, 241
- counterbalancing technique
 - avoiding biases with, 183
 - within-subjects design and, 75–76
- coworkers, sources of participant selection, 137–138
- Craigslist, sources of participant selection, 138–139
- criterion tests
 - for checking proficiency, 129
 - establishing prerequisite knowledge prior to product use, 181
- criticality
 - prioritizing problems by, 261
 - prioritizing tasks by, 86
- cues
 - avoiding in task scenarios, 184
 - moderator sensitivity to nonverbal, 208
- customer support
 - exploratory studies and, 31

improving profitability and, 22
 customers
 increasing repeat sales, 22
 sources of participant selection, 135

D

data

compiling, 246–247, 256
 deciding what type to collect, 167–168
 organizing raw data, 248–249
 overview of, 245–246
 performance data, 165–166
 preference data, 166
 summarizing performance data, 249
 summarizing preference data, 254–256
 summarizing scores by group or version,
 256–258
 task accuracy statistics, 249–250
 task timings statistics, 250–254
 data analysis
 comparing differences between groups or
 product versions, 264–265
 identifying tasks not meeting success criterion,
 258–259
 identifying user errors and difficulties, 260
 inferential statistics and, 265–267
 overview of, 258
 prioritizing problems, 261–263
 source of error analysis, 260–261
 data collection
 biometric data, 112
 deciding what type of data to collect, 167–168
 fully automated data loggers, 168–169
 list of basic information covered, 168
 manual data collection, 170
 methods for, 168
 online data collection, 169
 organizing, 225
 other methods for, 170–173
 overview of, 165–167
 research questions, 167
 starting during test session, 224
 test moderators overinvolvement with, 57
 test plan and, 88
 user-generated data collection, 169–170
 data gather, lab setup and, 112
 data loggers
 fully automated, 168–169
 summarizing collected data, 249
 debriefing
 audio recording of debriefing session, 236
 “devil’s advocate” technique, 238–240
 guidelines for, 231–235
 guides, 199
 locations for, 231

manual method, 235–236
 observers, 223, 225, 241–242
 overview of, 229
 participants, 224, 230–231
 reasons for, 229–230
 replaying the test (retrospective review), 235
 reviewing alternate product versions, 236
 as source of preference data, 254
 video method, 236
 “what did you remember” technique, 236–238
 decorum, setting for observers, 221–223
 deliverables, 245
 descriptive statistics, 249, 265
 design
 accessibility and, 5
 design expertise compared with technical
 expertise, 11
 generating user profiles and, 118
 goals of usability testing and, 22
 hard-to-use products and, 9
 implementation not matching, 11–12
 iterative development and, 14
 not soliciting design ideas from participants,
 234
 participatory process for, 17
 preparing for test session and, 214
 test plans and, 74
 designers, test plan as vehicle of communication
 with, 66
 developers
 neglecting human needs, 7–8
 test plan as vehicle of communication for, 66
 test plans and, 74
 development lifecycle
 assessment or summative tests and, 34–35
 comparison tests and, 37–38
 exploratory or formative studies and, 29–34
 freezing development during test sessions, 216
 involving users in, 13
 iterative testing and, 39
 nonintegrated approach to, 9–10
 test 1: exploratory/comparison test, 39–41
 test 2: assessment test, 41–42
 test 3: verification test, 42–44
 types of tests and, 27–29
 user input included in, 14
 validation or verification tests, 35–37
 “devil’s advocate” technique, 238–240
 example of, 239–240
 how to implement, 238–239
 overview of, 238
 disaster insurance, validation tests as, 36
 “discount” usability testing, 73
 documentation
 reasons for testing and, 69
 requirements documents, 117–118

documentation (*continued*)
 specification documents, 117–118
 tasks lists and, 80
 topics in post-test questionnaire, 195
 user profile and, 122–123

E

early adopters, 8
 early analysis/research. *See* exploratory (formative) studies
 ease of learning
 informed design and, 22
 measuring, 13
 ease of use
 measuring, 13
 products and, 175
 effectiveness
 informed design and, 22
 as performance criteria in validation testing, 36
 qualities of usability, 4
 efficiency
 informed design and, 22
 as performance criteria in validation testing, 36
 qualities of usability, 4
 specialization and, 9
 electronic observation room, 107–108
 advantages of, 107
 disadvantages of, 108
 overview of, 107
 electronic observation room setup, 107–108
 elements, of usability testing, 25
 empathy, characteristics of test moderators, 51
 employment agencies, sources of participant selection, 141–142
 enabling vs. leading, by test moderators, 57
 end users. *See also* test participants; users
 as actors, 118
 differentiated from purchasers, 116–117
 end-to-end study, of product component integration, 36
 environment
 checking a week before test, 216
 checking one day before test, 217
 classic laboratory setup, 108–110
 data gather/note taker and, 112
 electronic observation room, 107–108
 equipment, tools, and props, 111
 experimental method and, 24
 gathering biometric data and, 112
 getting help, 112
 large single-room setup, 105–107
 limitations of usability testing and, 26
 location selection, 94–96
 modified single-room setup, 103–105
 multiple geographic locations, 96–98

overview of, 93–94
 portable test lab, 100–101, 110–111
 product/technical experts, 113
 simple single-room lab setup, 101–103
 test observers, 113–114
 in test plan, 87
 timekeeper, 113
 user sites, 98–99
 environment (emotional), nonjudgmental, 219
 equipment
 checking a week before test, 216
 checking one day before test, 217
 lab setup and, 111
 in test plan, 87
 ergonomics. *See* UCD (user-centered design)
 errors
 analyzing differences between groups or product versions, 264
 conducting a source of error analysis, 260–261
 identifying user errors and difficulties, 260
 ethnographic research, 16
 evidence-gathering, 35
 expectations, explaining in orientation scripts, 160–161
 experience design, 5
 experimental design
 reasons for not using classical approach, 24–25
 usability testing and, 23–24
 expert (heuristic) evaluations, 18–19
 expertise
 criterion tests for checking, 129
 defining/measuring in participant selection, 119–121
 design expertise compared with technical expertise, 11
 ensuring minimum expertise of participants, 187–188
 establishing prerequisite knowledge prior to product use, 181
 techniques for rating participants, 179–180
 test moderators acting too knowledgeable, 57
 exploratory (formative) studies, 29–34
 conducted as comparison tests, 34, 38
 example of, 32–34
 internal participants and, 133
 iterative testing and, 39–41
 methodology for, 30–32
 objectives of, 29–30
 when to use, 29
 external consultants, as test moderator, 47–48
 eye-tracking, gathering biometric data, 112

F

family, sources of participant selection, 133
 feedback, creating test plans and, 65

field tests
 in-session tips for, 99
 locations for test lab, 98–99

fill-in questions, 198

first impressions, pre-test questionnaires and, 175–177

fixed test lab
 classic laboratory setup, 108–110
 electronic observation room setup, 107–108
 large single-room setup, 105–107
 modified single-room setup, 103–105
 overview of, 101–111
 simple single-room lab setup, 101–103

flexibility, of test moderators, 50–51

focal point, test plan as, 66–67

focus groups, for researching and evaluating concepts, 17

follow up studies, UCD techniques, 20

formative studies. *See* exploratory (formative) studies

formats
 post-test questionnaire, 192
 questions. *See* question formats

forms
 for collecting data, 170, 172
 for compiling data, 247
 explaining in orientation scripts, 161
 lab equipment and, 111
 nondisclosure forms, 173–174

frequency
 prioritizing problems by, 262
 prioritizing tasks by, 85–86

friends, sources of participant selection, 133

frustration
 allowing participants time to work through hindrances in testing, 55
 assisting participants and, 212
 eliminating design problems and, 22
 measures of usability, 4

fully automated data loggers, 168–169. *See also* data loggers

functional specification documents, 117–118

G

geographic locations, for test lab, 96–98

goals, 21–23
 design-related, 22
 organizational, 16
 overview of, 21
 profit-related, 22–23
 reviewing in test plan, 67–68

groups. *See also* user groups
 analyzing differences between groups or product versions, 264–265

summarizing scores by group or version, 256–258

guidelines, for debriefing, 231–235

guidelines, for moderating test sessions
 body language and tone of voice, 203
 ensuring participants complete tasks before moving on, 210–211
 how to assist participants, 212–213
 impartiality, 202–203
 making mistakes and, 210
 not rescuing participants when they struggle, 209–210
 objective, but relaxed approach, 209
 overview of, 201–202
 probing/interacting with participants appropriately, 206–209
 “thinking-aloud” technique and, 204–206
 treating participants as individuals, 203–204
 when to assist participants, 211–212

guidelines, for observers, 154–155

H

hard-to-use products
 design-related problems, 9
 implementation not matching design, 11–12
 machine or system focus instead of human orientation, 7–8
 overview of, 6
 specialization and lack of integration, 9–11
 target audience expands and adapts, 8–9

hardware
 checking one day before test, 217
 exploratory studies and, 30–31
 topics in post-test questionnaire, 196

helpers, lab
 data gather/note taker, 112
 overview of, 112
 product/technical experts, 113
 test observers, 113–114
 timekeeper, 113

heuristic evaluations, UCD techniques, 18–19

horizontal representation, prototypes and, 31

hot spots, data analysis and, 245

human component, Bailey’s Human Performance Model, 7

Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 52

human factors engineering. *See* UCD (user-centered design)

human factors specialists, as test moderator, 46

humor, moderator/participant interaction and, 209

hypothesis
 in experimental method, 23
 in usability testing, 25

I

identity, protecting privacy and personal information of participants, 151
 impartiality, of test moderators, 202–203
 implementation, not matching design in
 hard-to-use products, 11–12
 in house list, sources of participant selection, 135
 independent groups design
 for test plan, 75
 testing multiple product versions and, 76–77
 inferential statistics, 265–267
 information, regarding users, 117
 information-gathering, assessment tests and, 35
 integration
 specialization causing lack of, 9–11
 testing techniques for ensuring, 201–202
 internal participants, sources of participant selection, 132–133
 international users, as factor in lab location, 97
 intervention, when to intervene, 225
 interviews. *See also* pre-test questionnaires
 interviews, screening questionnaire, 145–146
 introductions. *See also* orientation scripts
 observers, 220
 during orientation, 159
 setting decorum for observers present at test session, 221–222
 invisibility, usability and, 6
 ISO (International Organization for Standardization)
 SUS, 194
 UCD and, 12
 iterative testing
 benefits of, 28
 development cycles and, 14
 overview of, 39
 power of, 28
 test 1: exploratory/comparison test, 39–41
 test 2: assessment test, 41–42
 test 3: verification test, 42–44

J

jargon, avoiding in task scenarios, 184
 jumping to conclusions, test moderators and, 58

K

Keynote data logger, for remote tests, 169
 knowledge, test moderators acting too knowledgeable, 57

L

lab setup options
 classic laboratory, 108–110
 electronic observation room, 107–108

large single-room, 105–107
 modified single-room, 103–105
 portable test lab, 100–101, 110–111
 simple single-room, 101–103
 LCUs (least competent users), 146–147
 leading vs. enabling, by test moderators, 57
 “learn as you go” perspective, in UCD, 15–16
 learnability, qualities of usability, 4
 learning
 measuring ease of, 13
 mediation skills, 60
 taping sessions as learning tool, 59
 test moderators as quick learners, 48–49
 test moderators learning basic professional principles, 59
 test moderators learning from watching, 59
 least competent users (LCUs), 146–147
 Likert scales, 197
 limitations, of usability testing, 25–26
 listening skills, of test moderators, 49–50
 locations, for debriefing, 231
 locations, for test lab
 factors in selection of, 94–96
 multiple geographic locations, 96–98
 overview of, 94
 user sites, 98–99
 loggers. *See* data loggers
 logistics
 lab setup and, 95
 test plan and, 87

M

machine focus, reasons for hard-to-use products, 7–8
 machine states, for tasks, 79–80
 malfunctions, assisting participants and, 212
 management, role in UCD, 15
 manual data collection, 170
 manual debriefing method, 235–236
 marketing research firms, sources of participant selection, 140–141
 marketing specialists, as test moderator, 46
 marketing studies, sources of participant selection, 118
 materials
 assembling one day before test, 217
 background questionnaire, 162–164
 data collection tools. *See* data collection
 debriefing guide, 199
 ensuring minimum expertise, 187–188
 getting view of user after experiencing the product, 188–189
 guidelines for observers, 154–155
 nondisclosures, consent forms, recording waivers, 173–174

- optional, 187
- orientation scripts. *See* orientation scripts
- overview of, 153–154
- post-test questionnaire. *See* post-test questionnaire
- prerequisite training, 190–192
- pre-test questionnaires. *See* pre-test questionnaires
- prototypes and products, 181–182
- question formats, 197–199
- task scenarios. *See* task scenarios for tasks, 79–80
- testing features for advanced users, 189–190
- matrix test design, 125
- mean time to complete, timing statistics, 251
- median time to complete, timing statistics, 251–252
- mediation skills, learning, 60
- memory skills, of test moderators, 49
- mental preparation, of test moderator on day of test, 218–219
- mentors, test moderators working with, 59–60
- methodology, data collection
 - fully automated data loggers, 168–169
 - manual data collection, 170
 - online data collection, 169
 - other methods, 170–173
 - overview of, 168
 - user-generated data collection, 169–170
- methodology, test
 - assessment tests, 35
 - comparison tests, 38
 - experimental design, 23–24
 - exploratory studies, 30–32
 - reasons for not using classical approach, 24–25
 - restricting interaction with test moderator, 24
 - test plan and, 73–74
 - validation tests, 36
- milestones, test plan as, 66–67
- mistakes
 - acceptability in testing environment, 205–206
 - continuing despite, 210
 - not blaming participants, 213
- modeling, exploratory studies and, 30–31
- moderators
 - acting too knowledgeable, 57
 - allowing participants time to work through hindrances, 55
 - ambiguity, comfort with, 50
 - assessment tests and, 35
 - attention span of, 51
 - “big picture” view of, 51–52
 - characteristics needed, 48
 - communication skills, 52
 - controlling observers during sessions, 223
 - degree of interaction with, 27–28
 - empathetic, 51
 - encouraging participants, 55–56
 - ensuring participants complete tasks before moving, 210–211
 - exploratory studies and, 31
 - external consultants as, 47–48
 - flexibility of, 50–51
 - getting most out of participants, 52–53
 - how to assist participants, 212–213
 - human factors specialists, 46
 - improving skills, 58–59
 - jumping to conclusions, 58
 - leading vs. enabling, 57
 - learning basic professional principles related to, 59
 - learning from watching, 59
 - learning mediation skills, 60
 - listening skills, 49–50
 - marketing specialists, 46
 - memory skills, 49
 - minimizing the differences between different moderators, 158
 - not rescuing participants when they struggle, 209–210
 - organization and coordination skills, 52
 - overinvolvement with data collection, 57
 - overview of, 45
 - practicing “bare attention”, 61
 - practicing moderation skills, 60
 - probing/interacting with participants appropriately, 206–209
 - quick learners, 48–49
 - rapport with test participants, 49
 - relational problems with participants, 58
 - restricting interaction with, 24
 - retrospective review by participants, 54–55
 - rigidity with test plan, 58
 - role in selecting test format, 53
 - role outlined in test plan, 87–88
 - sit-by vs. remote observation, 53–54
 - taping sessions as learning tool, 59
 - team members as, 47
 - technical communicators, 47
 - test plan as vehicle of communication, 66
 - “thinking-aloud” by participants, 54
 - treating participants as individuals, 203–204
 - troubleshooting typical problems, 56
 - UCD in background of, 48
 - validation tests and, 37
 - value of test plan to, 74
 - when to assist participants, 211–212
 - who should moderate, 45–46
 - working with mentors, 59–60
- monitoring software, for compiling data, 248

Morae
 as fully automated data logger, 168
 for recording lab sessions, 112
 multidisciplinary team approach, in UCD, 14–15

N

newspaper advertisements, sources of
 participant selection, 142–143
 “non-association” guideline, for products, 159
 nondisclosure forms
 participants filling out, 220
 as test material, 173–174
 nonjudgmental approach, 219
 nonverbal cues, moderator sensitivity to, 208
 note-taking
 collecting data and, 165
 compiling data and, 247
 lab equipment and, 111
 lab setup and, 112
 shorthand or codes for, 171
 novice users, matching tasks to experience of
 participants, 184
 number of participants, 125–126

O

objectives
 assessment tests, 34–35
 comparison tests, 37
 exploratory studies, 29–30
 organizational, 16
 reviewing as part of session preparation, 218
 reviewing purpose and goals in test plan, 67–68
 validation tests, 35–36
 objectivity, moderating and, 45–46, 209
 observation sheets, organizing, 224, 225
 observers
 debriefing at end of study, 243
 debriefing between sessions, 241–243
 debriefing following test sessions, 225
 decorum of, 221–223
 guidelines for, 154–155
 inconspicuousness of, 222
 introducing, 220
 lab setup and, 113–114
 manual data collection by, 170
 moderators controlling participation in
 debriefing, 234–235
 reasons for debriefing, 229–230, 241
 reducing amount of writing required of, 171
 role during sessions, 223
 sit-by vs. remote, 53–54
 online data collection, 169
 open-ended interview, 143–144
 organizational skills, of test moderators, 52

organizations
 constraints on use of experimental method, 24
 eliminating design problems and frustration, 22
 goals and objectives, 16
 “learn as you go” perspective, 15–16
 management role, 15
 multidisciplinary team approach, 14–15
 overview of, 14
 profitability, 22–23
 usability labs and, 93
 user input into development, 14
 orientation scripts
 asking for questions, 161
 describing test setup, 160
 expectations and requirements explained,
 160–161
 forms explained, 161
 introductions in, 159
 offering refreshments, 159
 overview of, 155–161
 professional/friendly tone, 156
 reading to participants, 157–158, 220
 session purpose explained, 159–160
 shortness of, 156–157
 writing it out, 158
 outliers, range statistics and, 252
 Ovo Logger, 168

P

paper prototyping, UCD techniques, 18–19
 participants
 allowing time to work through hindrances, 55
 assessment tests and, 35
 background questionnaire screening, 162–163
 characteristics, in test plan, 72–73
 checking status one day before test, 217
 completing tasks before moving to next,
 210–211
 debriefing, 224, 230–231
 establishing prerequisite knowledge prior to
 product use, 181
 explaining what is expected, 160–161
 exploratory studies and, 31
 failure to show up, 226
 filling out post-test questionnaires, 224
 filling out preliminary documents, 220
 greeting on day of test, 219–220
 how to assist, 212–213
 lab setup and, 95–96
 learning if product valued by, 177–178
 matching tasks to experience of, 184
 moderators encouraging, 55–56
 moderators getting most out of, 52–53
 moderators not rescuing when they struggle,
 209–210

- moderators probing/interacting with
 - appropriately, 206–209
- moderators rapport with, 49
- moderators relational problems with, 58
- moderators treating as individuals, 203–204
- orientation. *See* orientation scripts
- qualifying for inclusion in test groups, 179–181
- reading orientation script to, 157–158
- reading task scenarios, 186–187
- reading task scenarios to, 185
- reasons for debriefing, 229–230
- retrospective review by, 54–55
- “thinking-aloud”, 54
- validation tests and, 37
- what not to say to, 227–228
- when to assist, 211–212
- participants, selecting. *See also* user profiles
 - answer sheet for screening questionnaire, 131
 - benchmarks as means of developing user profile, 119
 - categorizing user profiles, 124
 - characterization of users, 115–116
 - classifying user groups, 119
 - college campuses as source, 139–140
 - compensating participants, 150–151
 - completing screening questionnaire always or when fully qualified, 144
 - Craigslist as source, 138–139
 - documenting user profile, 122–123
 - employment agencies as source, 141–142
 - expertise, defining/measuring, 119–121
 - formulating screening questions, 128–131
 - in house list of customers as source, 135
 - identifying specific criteria for, 127–128
 - including least competent users (LCUs) in testing samples, 146–147
 - information regarding users, 117
 - internal participants as source, 132–133
 - marketing research firms or recruiting specialists as source, 140–141
 - matrix test design and, 125
 - newspaper advertisements as source, 142–143
 - not testing only “best” end users, 147–148
 - number of participants, 125–126
 - ordering screening questions, 129
 - overview of, 115
 - personal networks and coworkers as source, 137–138
 - protecting privacy and personal information of participants, 151
 - purchasers differentiated from end users, 116–117
 - qualified friends and family as source, 133
 - questionnaire vs. open-ended interview for screening, 143–144
 - requirements and classifiers in selection process, 121–122
 - requirements and specification documents and, 117–118
 - reviewing user profile to understand user backgrounds, 127
 - role of product manager (marketing) in, 118–119
 - role of product manager (R&D) in, 118
 - sales reps list of customers as source, 136
 - scheduling and confirming participants, 148–149
 - screening considerations, 143
 - screening interviews, 145–146
 - screening questionnaire, 126–127
 - societies and associations as source, 137
 - sources of participants, generally, 131–132
 - structured analyses or marketing studies and, 118
 - testing/revising screening questions, 131
 - tradeoffs, 148
 - user groups, clubs, churches, community groups as source, 136–137
 - visualizing/describing, 116
 - Web site sign up as source, 133–134
- participatory design process, UCD techniques, 17
- passwords, protecting privacy and personal information of participants, 151
- performance
 - background questionnaire focusing on, 163
 - measures in test plan, 88–89
- performance data
 - accuracy statistics, 249–250
 - advantages of “thinking aloud” technique, 204
 - data collection and, 165–166
 - list of examples, 166
 - summarizing, 249–250
 - timings statistics, 250–254
- personal information, protecting, 151
- personal networks, participant selection and, 137–138
- phone screener, background questionnaire compared with, 162
- pilot testing
 - approximately a week before test session, 215
 - background questionnaire, 163
 - post-test questionnaire, 196–197
- planning. *See* test plan
- “playing dumb”, as technique for test moderators, 57
- portable test lab
 - advantages of, 100
 - disadvantages of, 100–101
 - overview of, 100
 - as recommended testing environment, 110–111

- post-test questionnaire, 192–197
 - areas and topics for, 195–196
 - brevity and simplicity of, 196
 - debriefing and, 231–232
 - distributing before or after sessions, 193
 - filling out during test sessions, 224
 - marking areas to explore during debriefing, 233
 - overview of, 192
 - pilot testing, 196–197
 - research questions for, 193
 - reviewing, 232
 - sources of preference data, 254
 - subjective preferences and, 193–194
 - practice, test moderators, 60
 - preconceptions, 202
 - preference data
 - advantages of “thinking aloud” technique, 204
 - data collection and, 166
 - list of examples, 167
 - post-test questionnaire and, 193–194, 254
 - summarizing, 254–256
 - preference measures, in test plan, 90
 - preliminary analysis, comprehensive analysis
 - compared with, 245–246
 - prerequisite training
 - comprehensiveness of, 190
 - providing on day of test, 223–224
 - purpose of, 188–190
 - questions regarding, 191–192
 - testing functionality and, 190
 - user learning as focus of, 191
 - pre-test questionnaires, 174–181
 - attitudes and first impressions discovered by, 175–177
 - filling out on day of test, 220
 - learning if participants value the product, 177–178
 - overview of, 174
 - prerequisite knowledge established by, 181
 - qualifying participants for inclusion in test groups, 179–181
 - principles, of UCD
 - focusing on users and tasks, 13
 - iteration in design/testing development cycles, 14
 - measuring ease of learning and ease of use, 13
 - overview of, 13
 - prioritizing issues, from test sessions, 243
 - prioritizing problems
 - by criticality, 261
 - data analysis, 261–263
 - by frequency of occurrence, 262
 - by severity, 262
 - prioritizing tasks, 85–87
 - by criticality, 86
 - by frequency, 85–86
 - overview of, 85
 - by readiness, 86–87
 - by vulnerability, 86
 - privacy, protecting privacy of participants, 151
 - problem solving
 - debriefing vs., 234
 - moderator/participant interaction and, 209
 - prioritizing problems and, 261–263
 - product experts, lab setup and, 113
 - product manager (marketing), role in participant selection, 118–119
 - product manager (R&D), role in participant selection, 118
 - product requirements documents, 117–118
 - products
 - complaints as reason for testing, 69
 - ease of use, 175
 - first impressions, 175–176
 - learning if participants value, 177–178
 - “non-association” guideline, 159
 - reviewing alternate versions in debriefing process, 236
 - revisions during test process, 215–216
 - as test materials, 181–182
 - testing multiple versions, 76–77
 - user opinion after experiencing, 188–189
 - user satisfaction with, 194
 - product/technical experts, lab setup and, 113
 - professionalism
 - code of ethics, 52
 - orientation scripts and, 158
 - proficiency. *See* expertise
 - profiles. *See* user profiles
 - profit, goals of usability testing and, 22–23
 - props, lab setup and, 111
 - prototypes
 - comparison tests and, 264
 - exploratory studies and, 30–31
 - exploratory/comparison test and, 39–40
 - paper prototyping, 18–19
 - as test materials, 181–182
 - public relations, lab setup and, 95
 - purchasers, differentiated from end users, 116–117
- Q**
- qualifying participants, for inclusion in test groups, 179–181
 - qualitative approach
 - performance data and preference data, 166
 - test plan and, 90
 - types of tests and, 27
 - validation tests and, 37
 - quantitative approach
 - manual data collection and, 170

performance data and preference data, 166
 types of tests and, 27
 validation tests and, 37

question formats
 branching questions, 198–199
 checkbox questions, 198
 fill-in questions, 198
 Likert scales, 197
 overview of, 197
 semantic differentials, 197–198

questionnaires
 background, 162–164
 post-test. *See* post-test questionnaire
 pre-test. *See* pre-test questionnaires
 screening. *See* screening questionnaire
 user expertise, 180
 for user-generated data collection, 170
 wrong questions in, 226

questions
 neutral questions to ask test participants, 208
 oral questions for debriefing participants, 192
 orientation and, 161

R

random sampling
 in experimental method, 23
 in usability testing, 25

range (high and low) of completion times, timing statistics, 252

raw data, organizing, 248–249

readiness, prioritizing tasks by, 86–87

recordings
 audio recording debriefing session, 236
 checking recording equipment one day before test, 216–217
 permissions, 173–174, 220
 starting on day of test, 221

recruiting. *See* participants, selecting

recruiting specialists, 140–141

refreshments, offering during orientation, 159

relational problems, test moderators and test participants, 58

relaxed approach
 greeting participants, 219–220
 guidelines for moderating test sessions, 209

remote observation, styles of test moderation, 53–54

remote usability testing
 data collection tools for, 169
 overview of, 97

replaying the test (retrospective review), 54–55, 235

reports, in test plan, 90–91

requirements

explaining session requirements in orientation scripts, 160–161
 participant selection and, 121–122

requirements documents, participant selection and, 117–118

research questions
 data collection and, 167
 examples for Web site, 70–71
 exploratory/comparison test and, 40–41
 post-test questionnaire and, 193
 in test plan, 69–72
 unfocused and vague, 70

research tool, usability testing as, 21

resources, listing required resources in test plan, 66

retrospective review (replaying the test), 54–55, 235

revisions, approximately a week before test, 215–216

rigidity, test moderators, 58

risk minimization, usability and, 23

rolling issues lists, observers creating, 241–243

S

sales, increasing repeat sales, 22

sales reps, role in participant selection, 136

sample size
 constraints on use of pure experimental method, 24
 in experimental method, 24
 in usability testing, 25

satisfaction
 determining user satisfaction with a product, 194
 informed design and, 22
 qualities of usability, 4–5

SCC (successful completion criteria), 80

scheduling test participants, 148–149

screen representations, exploratory studies and, 30

screening questionnaire, 126–131
 answer sheet for, 131
 completing always or when fully qualified, 144
 conducting interviews, 145–146
 considerations regarding, 143
 formatting for ease of use, 130–131
 formulating questions, 128–129
 identifying specific criteria, 127–128
 vs. open-ended interview, 143–144
 ordering questions, 129
 overview of, 126–127
 testing/revising, 131

SD (standard deviation), of completion time, 253–254

semantic differentials, 197–198

- sequencing task scenarios, 183
 - service, improving profitability and, 22
 - sessions
 - checklist for a week before test. *See* checklist 1 (approximately a week before test)
 - checklist for day of test. *See* checklist 3 (day of test)
 - checklist one day before test. *See* checklist 2 (one day before test)
 - guidelines for moderating. *See* guidelines, for moderating test sessions
 - overview of, 201–202
 - scripts or checklists, 154
 - what not to say to participants, 227–228
 - when to deviate from test plan, 226–227
 - when to intervene, 225
 - severity, prioritizing problems by, 262
 - single-room lab setup, large, 105–107
 - advantages of, 106
 - disadvantages of, 107
 - overview of, 105–106
 - single-room lab setup, modified, 103–105
 - advantages of, 105
 - disadvantages of, 105
 - overview of, 103–105
 - single-room lab setup, simple, 101–103
 - advantages of, 102–103
 - disadvantages of, 103
 - overview of, 101–102
 - sit-by, styles of test moderation, 53–54
 - social security numbers, protecting privacy and personal information of participants, 151
 - societies, participant selection and, 137
 - software
 - checking one day before test, 217
 - topics in post-test questionnaire, 195
 - specialization, reasons for hard-to-use products, 9–11
 - specification documents, participant selection and, 117–118
 - spreadsheets, for organizing raw data, 248
 - standard deviation (SD), of completion time, 253–254
 - statistics
 - deciding which technique to use, 266
 - descriptive, 249, 265–267
 - expertise required for use of, 24
 - inferential, 265–267
 - task accuracy, 250
 - timing, 250–254
 - structured analyses, participant selection and, 118
 - subjective preferences, post-test questionnaire and, 193–194
 - success criterion, identifying tasks not meeting, 256, 258–259
 - successful completion criteria (SCC), in test plan, 80
 - summarizing data
 - other measures for, 256
 - overview of, 249
 - performance data, 249, 265
 - preference data, 254–256, 265
 - scores by group or version, 256–258
 - summary sheets, transferring collected data to, 249
 - summative tests. *See* assessment (summative) tests
 - surveys
 - sources of preference data, 254
 - UCD techniques, 17–18
 - SUS (System Usability Scale), for determining user satisfaction with a product, 194
 - system focus, reasons for hard-to-use products, 7–8
 - System Usability Scale (SUS), for determining user satisfaction with a product, 194
- T**
- taping sessions
 - to gain awareness of use of voice tones, 203
 - as learning tool, 59
 - target audience. *See also* user profiles
 - expansion and adaptation as reason for hard-to-use products, 8–9
 - informed design and, 22
 - limitations of usability testing and, 26
 - task scenarios, 182–187
 - avoiding jargon and cues, 184
 - distributing/reading during test session, 224
 - letting participants read, 186–187
 - matching to experience of participants, 184
 - overview of, 182
 - providing substantial amount of work in each, 184–185
 - reading to participants, 185
 - realistic and with motivations to complete, 183
 - sequencing, 183
 - timing issues, 227
 - when to deviate from test plan, 226
 - tasks
 - accuracy statistics, 249–250
 - describing in test plan, 79
 - development process focusing on, 13
 - example, 83–85
 - listing, 82
 - materials and machine states in test plan, 79–80
 - materials for, 79–80
 - not meeting success criterion, 258–259
 - prioritizing, 85–87
 - timings statistics, 250–254

- team members, as test moderator, 47
 - teams
 - multidisciplinary team approach in UCD, 14–15
 - test plan as vehicle of communication between, 66
 - technical communicators, as test moderator, 47
 - technical expertise, vs. design expertise, 11
 - technical experts, lab setup and, 113
 - techniques, usability
 - card sorting, for findability of content or functionality, 18
 - ethnographic research, 16
 - expert (heuristic) evaluations, 18–19
 - focus groups for research and evaluation, 17
 - follow up studies, 20
 - overview of, 16
 - paper prototyping, 18–19
 - participatory design, 17
 - surveys, 17–18
 - testing, 19–20
 - walk-throughs, 18
 - terminology test, 176–177
 - test groups, qualifying participants for inclusion in, 179–181
 - test labs. *See* lab setup options
 - test materials. *See* materials
 - test moderators. *See* moderators
 - test observers. *See* observers
 - test participants. *See* participants
 - test plan
 - as blueprint, 66
 - as communication vehicle, 66
 - data collection in, 88
 - environment, equipment, and logistics, 87
 - example of, 91
 - example task in, 83–85
 - as focal point and milestone in testing process, 66–67
 - independent groups design, 75
 - logistics of testing at user site, 98–99
 - materials and machine states for tasks, 79–80
 - methodology for, 73–74
 - moderator's role, 87–88
 - for multiple product versions, 76–77
 - for multiple user groups, 77–78
 - overview of, 65
 - participant characteristics and, 72–73
 - parts of, 67
 - performance measures, 88–89
 - preference measures, 90
 - prioritizing tasks, 85–87
 - purpose and goals of, 67–68
 - qualitative data, 90
 - reasons for creating, 65–66
 - reports, 90–91
 - research questions, 69–72
 - resource requirements, 66
 - SCC (successful completion criteria), 80
 - task descriptions, 79
 - task list, 82
 - timing and benchmarks, 80–82
 - when not to test, 68–69
 - when to deviate from, 226–227
 - when to test, 69
 - within-subjects design, 75–76
 - test sessions. *See* sessions
 - test setup, describing, 160
 - test types
 - assessment tests, 34–35
 - comparison tests, 37–38
 - exploratory studies, 29–34
 - overview of, 27–29
 - validation tests, 35–37
 - The Observer data logger, 168
 - “thinking-aloud” technique, 204–206
 - advantages of, 54, 204–205
 - disadvantages of, 54, 205
 - enhancing, 205–206
 - organizing raw data and, 248
 - overview of, 204
 - timekeeper, lab setup and, 113
 - timing, in test plan, 80–82
 - timing statistics, 250–254
 - mean time to complete, 251
 - median time to complete, 251–252
 - range (high and low) of completion times, 252
 - SD (standard deviation) of completion time, 253–254
 - tools, lab setup and, 111
 - topics, for post-test questionnaire, 195–196
- U**
- UCD (user-centered design), 12–16
 - accessibility and, 5
 - background of test moderators in, 48
 - card sorting, 18
 - ease of learning and ease of use, 13
 - ethnographic research and, 16
 - expert (heuristic) evaluations, 18–19
 - focus groups, 17
 - follow up studies, 20
 - goals and objectives, 16
 - iterative development, 14
 - “learn as you go” perspective, 15–16
 - management's role, 15
 - multidisciplinary team approach, 14–15
 - organizations practicing, 14
 - overview of, 12–13
 - paper prototyping, 18–19
 - participatory design process, 17

- UCD (user-centered design), (*continued*)
- surveys, 17–18
 - techniques, 16
 - testing and, 19–20
 - user input included in development, 14
 - users and tasks as focus of, 13
 - walk-throughs, 18
- usability engineering. *See* UCD (user-centered design)
- Usability Professionals' Association, 52
- usability testing
- basic elements of, 25
 - defined, 21
 - goals of, 21–23
 - limitations of, 25–26
 - methodology for, 23–25
- Usability Testing Environment (UTE), 169
- usefulness, qualities of usability, 4
- user expertise questionnaire, 180
- user groups. *See also* groups
- classifying in participant selection, 119
 - expertise, defining/measuring, 119–121
 - matrix test design and, 125
 - participant selection and, 136–137
 - test plan for multiple, 77–78
- user interface
- design and implementation not always matching, 11–12
 - exploratory studies and design of, 29
- user profiles
- benchmarks as means of developing, 119
 - categorizing, 124
 - characterization of users, 115–116
 - documenting, 122–123
 - expertise defined by, 119–121
 - finding information for, 117
 - matrix test design and, 125
 - overview of, 115
 - purchasers vs. end users, 116–117
 - requirements and specification documents and, 117–118
 - requirements and specifiers for fleshing out, 121–122
 - role of product manager (marketing) in generating, 118–119
 - role of product manager (R&D) in generating, 118
 - understanding user backgrounds, 127
 - visualizing/describing, 116
- user sites, locations for test lab, 98–99
- user support. *See* customer support
- user-centered design. *See* UCD (user-centered design)
- user-generated data collection, 169–170
- users
- “best” users and, 147–148
 - characterization of, 115–116
 - development process focusing on, 13
 - early adopters vs. ordinary users, 8
 - information regarding, 117
 - input into development phases, 14
 - international, 97
 - least competent users (LCUs) and, 146–147
 - reasons for testing and, 69
 - testing features for advanced users, 189–190
 - user-oriented questions in exploratory study, 30
- UserVue data logger, 168
- UserZoom data logger, 169
- UTE (Usability Testing Environment), 169
- V**
- validation (verification) tests, 35–37
- iterative testing in development lifecycle, 42–44
 - methodology for, 36
 - objectives of, 35–36
 - when to use, 35
- verbal protocol, advantages/disadvantages in testing, 54
- verification tests. *See* validation (verification) tests
- versions
- analyzing differences between product versions, 264–265
 - summarizing scores by, 256–258
- video equipment, checking one day before test, 216–217
- video method, for debriefing, 236
- voice tone, guidelines for moderating test sessions, 203
- vulnerability, prioritizing tasks by, 86
- W**
- waivers, as test material, 173–174
- walk-throughs
- participants walking through a product with moderators, 31
 - UCD techniques, 18
- Web site sign up, participant selection and, 133–134
- Web sites, topics in post-test questionnaire, 195–196
- “what did you remember” technique, 236–238
- within-subjects design, 75–76