

Index

A

- Academic branch of cultural transmission, 35–36
- Accelerated Reader* log, 110
- Albany Times-Union*, 199
- Allington, R. L., 64, 65, 93
- American Psychological Association (APA), 9
- Analyzing literacy data: attribute of decoding fluently, 145–147; communicating ideas through speaking, 149; gathering data in attributes approach, 142–149; providing language arts framework with, 1, 2, 22–24; reading logs, 143, 144; samples of literacy behavior, 107–108, 109; shortcomings of, 130–141; writing, 148–149
- Aristotle, 6
- ASCD (Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development), 69
- Assessment guides, 174–176, 177–178
- Assessments: applying principles of literary, 109–111; conveying student progress in attributes framework, 90; developing new framework's system for, 172–176, 177–178; embedding in classroom instruction, 105–107; guiding principles for, 21; piloting new framework's, 176, 179; principles of literacy, 102–109; reliability and validity of, 108–109; school and community receipt of literacy, 103–104; scope of literacy, 107–108; state examinations and role in, 104–105; tracking progress toward literacy via, 1, 2

- Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD), 69
- Attributes. *See* Literacy attributes
- Atwell, Nancie, 69
- Auditing existing language arts philosophy, 152–153

B

- Balanced literacy, 205
- Balanced literacy containers, 73–74, 78
- Basal reading containers, 73, 76, 77
- Behaviors, 114, 115
- Big picture of reforms, 198–200
- Book-It, 109
- Britton, James, 39
- Brown, Rex, 39
- Bush administration, 46, 185–186, 189

C

- Canadian literacy definitions, 5
- CBM (curriculum-based management), 140
- Changing Our Minds* (Myers), 64
- Clay, Marie, 4, 193, 195
- Cliffs Notes, 110
- Clinton administration, 46
- Cognitive-development ideology, 37–38
- Communication triangle: components of literacy in, 6–10; as foundation for new frameworks, 156; illustrated, 8; social context and, 7–10
- Community: developing own language arts standards, 52–54; receiving school's student literacy assessments, 103–104
- Computerized report cards, 124, 125

Containers: balanced literacy, 73–74; balancing individual needs vs. standardized curriculum, 81; basal reading, 73, 76, 77; defined, 71–72; effectiveness in organizing instruction, 77–78; genre-based, 18, 75, 78; influence of No Child Left Behind on, 72–73; inquiry-based, 74, 77, 78–79; literacy across curriculum, 76–77; literature-based, 74; middle and high school models for, 71, 75–76; selected for elementary schools, 71, 84; theme-based, 18, 74, 77, 78–79

Content-area teaching, 19, 200–205

Contributions. *See* Instructional contributions

Conversations, 108

Conversations (Routman), 69

Cooper, J. D., 64

Critical literacy, 38

Cultural transmission: branches of, 35–36; countercultural ideologies and, 40–41

Cunningham, P.M., 64

Curriculum: effect of language arts framework on, 24; individual needs vs. standardized, 81; integrating literacy attributes with entire, 205–208; promoting literacy throughout, 18–19. *See also* Containers; Literacy across curriculum

Curriculum Inquiry, 65

Curriculum mapping, 167–168

Curriculum-based management (CBM), 140

D

D.A.R.E., 188

Data analysis. *See* Analyzing literacy data

Decoding fluently: analyzing attribute of, 145–147; non-negotiable instructional contributions to, 57–58; parents' guide to attribute, 180–182

DeOnis, Penny, 176

Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA), 22, 58, 91, 106, 146, 183

DIBELS (Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills), 139, 140, 141

DRA (Developmental Reading Assessment), 22, 58, 91, 106, 146, 183

E

Education for All Handicapped Children Act (EHA), 85

Educational ideologies, 35–41

Educational Leadership, 65, 69

Educational legislation: Goals 2000 legislation, 45–46; initiating remedial reading and special education, 85–86; reform initiated by, 188; Response to Intervention, 92–93; supporting literacy, 19–21; Title 1, 85, 89, 92, 93, 98. *See also* No Child Left Behind

Eeds, M., 37, 108

Effort vs. accomplishment, 116–117

EHA (Education for All Handicapped Children Act), 85

ELA examinations: correlating analysis of data with, 22; results of, 141, 147

Elementary School Journal, 65

Elementary schools. *See* Schools

Emancipatory ideology, 38

Emergent literacy, 4

English Journal, 69

English/language arts, 3

Envisioning Literature (Langer), 64

Even Start, 99, 172, 198

Expectations: anchoring, 118–120; articulating literacy, 1, 2; decoding fluently as, 58; literacy attributes vs., 28; reporting struggling students' accomplishments and, 116–117; for sixth grade literacy in Louisiana, 48–50

Experiences, 15

Expressive listening, 6

Expressive literacy, 4, 157

F

Federal language arts standards: Goals 2000 legislation, 45–46; No Child Left Behind, 46–47

Field trips, 13–14

Flood, J., 64

Fountas, I. C., 74, 78, 119, 205

Freire, Paulo, 38

G

General Accounting Office (GAO), 188

Genre-based instruction, 18, 75, 78

Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton school, 133–137, 152

Goals 2000 legislation, 45–46
 Grade books, 123–124
 Grade-level skills: changes in literacy attributes and grade level, 32–34; expectations for decoding fluently, 58; literacy attributes vs., 11–12; sixth-grade literacy expectations in Louisiana, 48–50; specifying non-negotiables with, 66; teachers' knowledge of other grades', 14; teaching literacy skills at all grade levels, 82–84
Grand Conversations (Peterson and Eeds), 108
 Graves, Donald, 75
 Grounding reforms in classroom, 208
Guided Reading and Writers (Fountas and Pinnell), 74

H

Handbook of Reading Research (Kamil), 64
Handbook of Research on Teaching English Arts, The (Flood), 64
 Harcourt Brace publishing company, 47, 73
 Harvey, S., 18
 Harwayne, S., 64
 Head Start, 98–99, 172
 High schools. *See* Middle and high school report cards; Schools
 Hirsch, E. D., 36, 64
 Holdaway, Donald, 15
 Hunter, Madeline, 168, 169

I

IDEA (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act), 85
 IDEA2004, 20, 85
 Ideologies: cognitive-development, 37–38; critical literacy or emancipatory, 38; cultural transmission and countercultural, 40–41; educational, 35–41; Montessori and Waldorf schools, 40; romantic, 37, 39
 IEPs (individualized instructional plans), 89–90
 Implementing attributes framework: articulating literacy attributes, 157–164; auditing existing language arts philosophy, 152–153; creating language arts committee, 155–156; creating parent guides, 179–182; curriculum mapping and, 167–168;

daily practice of principles, 182–184; determining project scope, 153–155; developing assessments and reporting, 172–176, 177–178; enforcing non-negotiables when, 168–171; enumerating instructional contributions, 164–168; laying out language arts framework, 2, 156–157; piloting assessments and report cards, 176, 179; publishing working set of literacy attributes, 164; spreading responsibility for, 171–172
In the Middle (Atwell), 69
 Incidental learning, 15
 Individualized instructional plans (IEPs), 89–90
 Individualized Reading Inventory (IRI), 91
 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 85
 Inevitability factor, 187–189, 192–193
 Informational texts, 59
 Inquiry-based language arts instruction, 74, 77, 78–79
 Instruction: as component of language art framework, 1, 2; defined, 15; effect of language arts framework on, 24; embedding assessments in classroom, 105–107. *See also* Instructional contributions; Organizing language arts instruction
 Instructional activities: defined, 56, 66–67; drafting for new frameworks, 165–166; non-negotiable instructional contributions vs., 56, 66; sources for, 69–70; supporting non-negotiable instructional contributions, 16–18, 67–69
 Instructional contributions, 13–21; articulating non-negotiable, 62–63; as component of language art framework, 1, 2; creating for new frameworks, 154–155, 164–168; defined, 158; defining, 14–16, 55–56; field trips as, 14; forms of instructional activities, 16–18; non-negotiable, 15–16, 17; promoting literacy throughout curriculum, 18–19; support programs for, 19–21; types of, 55–56; ways of organizing, 18. *See also* Instructional activities; Non-negotiable instructional contributions; Organizing language arts instruction

Instructional support for struggling students: attributes framework and, 89–92; history of remedial reading and special education, 85–88; Response to Intervention and, 88; VS-RTI model, 93–98; within attributes framework, 89–92

Instructional support programs, about, 19–21

Instructor, 69

Interim report cards: Catskills variation on high school, 126, 128–130; high school slider, 126, 127; literacy attributes-based, 126, 131; purpose of, 124–125

International Reading Association (IRA), 3, 5, 10; language arts standards with IRA, 41–43; as source for instructional activities, 69

IRI (Individualized Reading Inventory), 91

J

Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, 65
Journal of Reading, 64–65

K

K-8, 69

Kamil, M. L., 64

Keene, E. O., 18

Kieger, N. D., 64

Knowledge of world, 28, 31, 33

Kohlberg, L., 35–36, 37

Krashen, S., 65

L

Langer, J. A., 64

Language, 3

Language Arts, 65, 69

Language arts: components of framework for, 1–2; defining literacy in, 3–6

Language arts committees: articulating literacy attributes, 157–164; creating, 155–156; developing assessments and reporting, 172–176, 177–178; laying out language arts framework with, 2, 156–157; publishing working set of literacy attributes, 164

Language arts framework: analysis of data, 1, 2, 22–24; assessments in, 1, 2, 21; components of, 1–2; determining

project scope for new, 153–155; illustrated, 2; instructional contributions, 1, 2, 13–21; literacy attributes, 1, 2, 10–13; redesigning report cards in, 132–133; reports in, 1, 2, 22; requirements for containers for, 79–80; value of, 24–25; ways of organizing instruction, 18. *See also Individual components of framework*

Language arts standards: federal government's, 45–47; joint NCTE and IRA, 41–43, 45; Louisiana, 44; New York State, 43–44, 45; school and community members' judgment as, 52–54; scope-and-sequence charts, 47–52

Legislation. *See* Educational legislation

Levels of performance, 119–120

Lifetime Guarantees (Harwayne), 64

Listeners, 7, 8

Listening, 31, 33, 34

Literacy: articulating expectations for, 1, 2; assessments of progress toward, 1, 2; Canadian and New Zealand's definitions of, 5; components of, 6–10; defining, 3–6; expectations for sixth grade in Louisiana, 48–50; illustrated, 4; importance to other subject areas, 201–205; integrating literacy attributes with total curriculum, 205–208; preparing students for test-defined and genuine, 104–105; problems defining with report cards, 113–115, 138; promoting throughout curriculum, 18–19; receptive and expressive, 4; social context and, 8–10; for students with English as second language, 42; viewing and representing as components of, 5–6

Literacy across curriculum: considering implementation of, 200–205; containers for, 76–77; making better use of, 81–82

Literacy attributes: advantages of focusing on, 11–13; articulating, 157–164; cognitive-development ideology, 37–38; communicating ideas through speaking, 31, 33, 34, 149; dealing with multiple literacies, 39–40; decoding fluently, 57–58, 145–147; defined, 1, 2, 27–30, 158, 159; defining non-negotiable, 63; educational and literacy ideologies as source of, 35–41;

- examples of, 30–34; expectations vs., 28; fitting RTI within framework of, 92–98; gathering data from approach of, 142–149; grade-level skills vs., 11–12; integrating with total curriculum, 205–208; language arts standards as source for, 41–45; linking to report cards, 123–124; publishing working set of, 164; purpose of, 29; reads widely as, 61–62, 67–69, 83, 102, 142–145; romantic ideology as source of, 37, 39; RTI model and, 98–100; sources of, 10–11; supporting struggling students within framework of, 89–92; surveys of, 160, 161–162; understanding what is read, 147–148; writing, 148–149.
See also Implementing attributes framework
- Literacy attributes-based report cards, 126, 131
- Literacy* (Cooper and Kieger), 64
- Literacy reform. *See* Reforms
- Literacy skills branch of cultural transmission, 36
- Literacy Volunteers, 99, 172
- Literature-based instruction, 74
- Long-term reforms, 194–195
- Louisiana: expectations for sixth grade literacy in, 48–50; language arts standards, 44
- M**
- MacNeill, Robert, 187–188
- Manchester Elementary School, 1, 30, 151
- Marzano, R., 69
- Mayer, R., 35–36, 37
- McGraw-Hill publishing company, 73
- Middle and high school report cards: aligning grade books to attributes, 123–124; challenges in, 120–123; computerized, 124, 125
- Middle schools. *See* Schools
- Migrant Education, 172
- Modern Language Association (MLA), 9
- Moffett, J., 7, 37–38, 185
- Montessori schools, 40
- Mosaic of Thought* (Keene and Zimmermann), 18
- Multiple literacies, 39–40
- Murray, D., 75
- Myers, M., 64
- N**
- NAEYC (National Association for the Education of Young Children), 10
- National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC), 10
- National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), 5, 10; language arts standards with IRA, 41–43, 45; as source for instructional activities, 69
- National Reading Panel, 64, 65, 80, 147
- National Research Council, 64
- NCLB. *See* No Child Left Behind
- NCTE. *See* National Council of Teachers of English
- Neil, A. S., 37
- New York State: approach to poetry within, 84; comprehending big ideas in texts, 60–61; emphasis on understanding literary texts, 60; importance of understanding informational texts, 59; introduction of state learning standards, 87–88; language arts standards, 43–44, 45; non-negotiable instructional contributions from, 64
- New York Times*, 67
- New Yorker, The*, 67
- New Zealand: literacy definitions of, 5; Reading Recovery in, 193–194
- Newspapers, 199–200
- No Child Left Behind (NCLB), 5, 20, 36, 38, 108, 142; data gathered for, 139, 140; federal language arts standards in, 46–47; language arts containers affected by, 72–73; promotion of, 185–186; success of, 88
- No Quick Fix* (Allington and Walmsley), 93, 98
- Nonfiction Matters* (Harvey), 18
- Non-negotiable instructional contributions: articulating for new frameworks, 164–165; balanced literacy containers and, 78; comprehending big ideas, 60–61; decoding fluently as, 57–58; defined, 15–16, 56; enforcing when implementing attributes framework, 168–171; example of, 57; extensive reading vocabulary, 61; guidelines for articulating, 62–63; instructional activities vs., 56, 66; reads expressively, 58–59; reads widely, 61–62, 67–69, 83, 102, 142–145; single vs.

multiple sets of, 65–66; sources for, 64–65; supporting with instructional activities, 16–18, 67–69; understanding informational texts, 59; understanding literary texts, 60

O

Observations, 107–108, 109
 Opposing points of view to reforms, 189–193
 Organizing language arts instruction:
 balanced literacy containers, 73–74, 78; basal reading containers, 73, 76, 77; containers for, 71–72; effectiveness of containers for, 77–78; genre-based approach to, 18, 75, 78; influence of No Child Left Behind in, 72–73; inquiry-based instruction, 74, 77, 78–79; literacy across curriculum container, 76–77, 81–82; literature-based instruction, 74; middle and high school models for, 71, 75–76; requirements of containers and attributes in, 79–80; teaching literacy skills, 82–84; theme-based instruction, 18, 74, 77, 78–79; ways of, 18; workshop approach to, 75, 77
 Orton-Gillingham approach, 189, 191
 Ossining Union Free School District, 99

P

Pannucci, Lynnette, 56
 Parent guides, 179–182
 Parents: responsibility for implementing framework, 171–172; viewing child's progress reports, 1, 2, 22
 “Peanut-butter-and-jelly” exercise, 7–9
 Perseverance in reforms, 188–189, 208
 Peterson, R., 37, 108
 Phonics, 80, 188, 205
 Piaget, J., 37
 Pinnell, G. S., 74, 78, 119, 205
Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children (National Research Council), 64
 Principles of literacy assessment, 21, 102–111
 Project scope, 153–155
 Publicizing reforms, 185–186
 Publishing literacy attributes, 164

R

Rapid decoding, 140
 Ray, Katie Wood, 69, 75, 149
 Readers in communication triangle, 7, 8
 Reading: defined, 3; effectiveness of specialized instruction in, 90–91; expressively, 58–59; extensive vocabulary for, 61; linking to rewards, 109–110; literacy attributes and, 28, 31, 33, 34; parents' guide to attribute, 180; programs for remedial, 85–86; widely, 61–62, 67–69, 83, 102, 142–145; workshops for, 75
 Reading Department (University at Albany), 3
 Reading First, 65, 94, 147
 Reading logs: analyzing, 143, 144; errors in assessment based solely on, 109–110
 Reading readiness, 4
 Reading Recovery, 193
Reading Research Quarterly, 64
Reading Teacher, 69
 Reading/language arts, 3
 Receptive listening, 6
 Receptive literacy, 4, 157
 Redesigning report cards, 132–133
 Reforms: auditing existing philosophy prior to, 152–153; cynicism about, 163; grasping the big picture, 198–200; implementing literacy across the curriculum, 200–205; inevitability factor of, 187–189, 192–193; integrating literacy attributes with total curriculum, 205–208; opposing points of view and, 189–193; perseverance and grounded implementation of, 188–189, 208; stating simply and often, 185–186; sustainability of, 193–198
 Reliability and validity of assessments, 108–109
 Remedial reading: barriers between special education and, 87–88; defined, 3; effectiveness of instructional support in, 90–91
 Report cards: anchoring expectations, 118–120; assessing children's progress with, 1, 2, 22; challenges in middle and high school, 120–123; conveying struggling students' progress, 90; determining topics for,

- 115–116; developing new framework's assessments and, 172–176, 177–178; Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton with literacy attributes, 136–137; interim, 124–131; linking attributes to, 123–124; parent guides to new, 179–182; piloting new framework's, 176, 179; problems with, 113–115; redesigning, 132–133; reporting effort vs. accomplishment, 116–117; role in defining literacy, 138; traditional Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton, 133, 134–135. *See also* Interim report cards
- Representers in communication triangle, 7, 8
- Representing: expressive literacy and, 5, 6; literacy attributes and, 28, 32, 33
- Research in the Teaching of English*, 65
- Response to Intervention (RTI): hopes for, 88; instructional models supporting, 93; instructional support in VS-RTI model, 93–98; using with attributes framework, 92–93, 98–100
- Responsibility for implementing framework, 171–172
- Romantic ideology and literacy, 37, 39
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 37
- Routman, R., 69
- RTI. *See* Response to Intervention; VS-RTI (Vellutino/Scanlon) model
- S**
- Sample report cards: Catskills version, 126, 128–130; Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton with literacy attributes, 136–137; interim slider version, 126, 127; literacy attributes-based for interim, 126, 131; traditional Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton, 133, 134–135
- Sarason, S. B., 14
- Scanlon, Donna, 93–98
- Schools: adopting state's language arts standards, 44–45; analyzing achievement data in, 139–140; containers selected for elementary, 71, 84; developing own language arts standards, 52–54; implementing RTI in kindergarten and earlier, 96, 98; middle and high school container models, 71, 75–76; using student literacy assessments in, 103–104
- Schools That Work* (Allington and Cunningham), 64
- Schools We Need, The* (Hirsch), 64
- Science Research Associates (SRA), 73
- Scope, 67
- Scope of literacy assessments, 107–108
- Scope-and-sequence charts: example of Louisiana, 48–50; shortcomings of, 50–52
- Scott Foresman publishing company, 73
- Shannon, Patrick, 38
- 6+1 Traits, 77
- Smith, Len, 185
- Social context: acceptable communications and, 9–10; describing “peanut-butter-and-jelly” exercise in, 7–9
- Sources for instructional activities, 69–70
- Sources for non-negotiable instructional contributions, 64–65
- Sources of literacy attributes: cognitive-development ideology, 37–38; critical literacy or emancipatory ideology, 38; educational and literacy ideologies as, 35–41; language arts standards as, 41–45; romantic ideology as, 37, 39; scope-and-sequence charts, 47–52
- Speakers in communication triangle, 7, 8
- Speaking: communicating ideas through, 149; sample literacy attributes for, 31, 33, 34
- Special education: barriers between remedial reading and, 87–88; civil rights principles of, 85–86; effectiveness of instructional support in, 90–91; literacy programs and, 86–88; rise of, 85–88
- Standardized achievement tests, 139
- State examinations: gathering data with, 139; literacy attributes and, 13; role in literacy assessments, 104–105
- Struggling readers, 3, 34
- Students: acquiring literacy attributes, 12; assessing literacy for, 102–109; assessing progress of struggling, 90; independent reading linked to rewards, 109–110; individual needs vs. standardized curriculum for, 81, 89–90; literacy with English as second language, 42; reporting expectations and accomplishments of struggling, 116–117. *See also* Instructional support for struggling students

Summerhill School, 37
Surveys of literacy attributes, 160, 161–162
Sustainability of reforms, 193–198
Sustained engagement, 15

T

Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC), 121, 122
Teachers: content-area vs. reading, 19, 200–205; embedding assessments in classroom instruction, 105–107; enlarging scope of literacy assessments, 107–108; errors assessing reading log data alone, 109–110; focusing on literacy attributes, 11–12; fulfilling demands of VS-RTI model, 96; initiating reforms by grasping the big picture, 198–200; isolation from other grade levels, 14; language arts framework and professional development of, 24; practicing new framework principles, 182–184, 208; preparing students for test-defined and genuine literacy, 104–105; remedial reading and special education, 86–87; responses to proposed initiatives, 189; responsibility for implementing framework, 171–172; teaching literacy throughout grade levels, 82–84; tracking and assessing students literacy progress, 102–103; using results of standardized testing, 141. *See also* National Council of Teachers of English
Teaching Children to Read (National Reading Panel), 64
Teaching the Universe of Discourse (Moffett), 7, 185
Terra Nova, 141
Theme-based instruction, 18, 74, 77, 78–79
Title 1 (Elementary and Secondary Education Act), 85, 89, 92, 93, 98
Toffler, Alvin, 194
Topics for report cards, 115–116

U

Understanding: big ideas, 60–61; informational texts, 59; literary texts, 60; what is read, 147–148
U.S. Department of Education, 99, 193
University at Albany, 196
Utilitarian branch of cultural transmission, 35–36

V

Vellutino, Frank, 93–98
Viewers in communication triangle, 7, 8
Viewing: literacy attributes and, 28, 31, 33, 34; visual aspects of literacy, 5–6
Vocabularies, 61
VS-RTI (Vellutino/Scanlon) model: implementing in kindergarten and earlier, 96, 98; levels of instructional support in, 94, 96–98; teachers fulfillment of, 96

W

Waldorf schools, 40
Walmsley, S. A., 93
War on Poverty, 85
Web sites, literacy and, 6
What Really Matters for Struggling Readers (Allington), 64, 93
White, E. B., 105, 114
Whole Language movement, 37, 118, 186
Wondrous Words (Ray), 69
Wordstruck (MacNeill), 187–8
Workshops, 75, 77
Writers in communication triangle, 7, 8
Writing: analyzing, 148–149; genre-based approach to, 75; instructional support for struggling students in, 91; literacy attributes and, 28, 31, 33, 34; teaching skills throughout grade levels, 82–83; workshops for, 75

Y

Young Children, 69

Z

Zimmermann, S., 18