

# INDEX

- AAHE (American Association for Higher Education), 7, 70
- Accountability: performance reporting as part of, 53; public concerns with higher education, 65–66
- Accreditation: developing institutional culture/practice of, 71–72; practical guidance for institutions, 68–70; as primary means of quality assessment, 66; student learning and, 66–68
- Accrediting agencies: increasing public pressure on, 66; online assessment policy set by, 75; recommendations for, 103–104, 106, 107–108; using results of assessment, 22
- ACT Alumni Survey, 49
- ACT Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency (CAA), 6
- AFT (American Federation of Teachers), 81, 82
- AFT “Guidelines for Good Practice,” 81
- Alfred, R., 5, 13
- Anandam, K., 20
- Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, 75
- Angelo, T. A., 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 38, 77
- Assessing student learning: cost of, 25; developing meaning to results of, 67; educational purposes, learning objectives, and institutional assessment, 17–22; embedding process in individual courses, 7; expertise and skills for, 23–25; implications of state performance indicators for, 53–63; importance of using multiple measures when, 39–40; new focus on, 6–7; using online education, 73–83; at Parkland College (Illinois), 29–41; using principles of good practice in, 7–14; recommended criteria for, 22; reporting and using results of, 22–23
- Assessment: of basic skills education, 18–20; of general education, 20–21; portfolio, 47; program-level, 43–51
- Astin, A. W., 22, 23, 24
- Austin Community College (Texas), 51
- Background Knowledge Probe CAT, 34
- Baker, R. L., 99
- Balck, K. E., 7
- Banks, M. L., 9, 13
- Banta, T. W., 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 43, 54, 102
- Barr, R. B., 6
- Basic skills education, 18–20
- Bedard-Voorhees, A., 3, 73, 78, 79, 85, 102
- Benner, P., 47
- Beno, B. A., 3, 65, 72
- Berger, D., 13
- Bers, T. H., 3, 21, 43, 51, 52, 105
- Best Practices for Electronically Offered Degree and Certificate Programs* (WCET), 76, 80, 81
- Black, K. E., 2, 5, 16, 102
- Blackboard, 81
- Boland, D. L., 47
- Borden, V.M.H., 54
- Boyer, C., 53
- Burke, J. C., 3, 25, 53, 54, 59, 64, 108
- Butler County Community College (Kansas), 6, 87, 92, 94
- CAAP (ACT Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency), 6
- California State University (Monterey Bay), 70
- Callan, P., 63
- Cambridge, B., 79
- Capstone course approach, 46
- Carlsen, C. J., 91
- CATs (Classroom Assessment Techniques) [Parkland College]: examples of outcomes assessed by, 34; faculty engagement in, 32–33; framework for using, 30–31; improved student learning and, 40–41; linking classroom and program assessment using, 36–37; student engagement in, 31–32
- Central Piedmont Community College (North Carolina), 87, 90
- CEUTT (Cost Effective Uses of Technology in Teaching) program, 75
- CHEA (Council for Higher Education Accreditation), 66, 75, 82
- Classroom Assessment and Research courses, 34–35

- Client or Customer-Centered model, 59, 62
- Cohen, P. A., 34
- College Student Experiences Survey, 21
- Community colleges: collaboration between high schools and, 19; developing meaning to learning assessment results, 67; difficulty of assessment in setting of, 106–108; early adoption of online education by, 73; external pressure to demonstrate results on, 87; general education assessment by, 21; transfer as learning indicator, 48; 21st Century project participation by, 23, 87–99. *See also* Higher education; Institutions
- Community Colleges of Colorado Online, 79
- Competencies: development of new sets of core, 92–93; online education assessment use of, 77–78; revalidation or amendment of existing sets of core, 90–92
- Coppola, B. P., 38
- Cross, K. P., 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 38, 77
- Crowther, E. H., 50
- Culminating project, 48
- Curriculum: online education and changes in, 78–79; 21st Century project on development/mapping of, 93
- Cuyahoga Community College (Ohio), 87, 90
- Daedalus software system, 92
- Davis, B. D., 21
- Davis, B. G., 22
- De Alva, J. K., 78
- de los Santos, A., 13
- DETC (Distance Education and Training Council), 81
- Devier, D. H., 8, 50
- Diamond, R. M., 77
- Diaz-Lefebvre, R., 32, 38
- E-Transcript Summit (2001), 96
- Eaton, J., 74, 75
- Education. *See* Higher education
- Eisenbach, R., 32
- Elwert, B., 82
- Empty Outlines CAT, 34
- ERIC Clearinghouse on Adult, Career, and Vocational Education (ERIC-ACVE), 79
- Ewell, P. T., 5, 10, 22, 23, 35, 37, 38, 54, 66
- Fabry, V., 32
- Faculty: assessment recommendations for, 105–106; CAT engagement by, 32–33; concurrence on key learning outcomes by, 48–49; difficulty of gaining goal/objective consensus among, 105; making outcomes assessment visible to, 10; online education and changing roles of, 78–79; planning outcomes assessment role by, 7–8; using results of assessment, 22; value of assessment courses for, 34–35
- Family Education Rights and Privacy Act, 49
- Fisher, S., 75
- Foothill College (California), 87, 92, 94, 95
- Ford, M. E., 82
- Friedlander, J., 4, 101, 109
- Gabriner, R. S., 80, 82
- General education: assessment of, 20–21; associate degree component of, 44
- Gordon, V. L., 32
- Gray, J., 9, 13
- “Guidelines for Good Practice” (AFT), 81
- Heady, J. E., 38
- Higher education: assessing students’ personal/social development, 21–22; assessment on online, 73–83; assessment and understanding goals/values of, 17–18; basic skills, 18–20; general, 20–21, 44; impact of collaboration between community colleges/high schools on, 19; public concerns with accountability of, 65–66; using report-card format for accomplishments, 5. *See also* Community colleges; Institutions
- Higher Education Program (Rockefeller Institute of Government), 62
- Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Association (NCA), 29
- Hitch, L., 82
- Hjelm, M., 99
- Hocking College (Ohio), 87, 90, 91, 95, 97

- Holm, A., 3, 29, 42  
Huang, L., 74  
Hudgins, J., 5  
Hutchings, P., 7
- Implementing outcomes assessment principles, 9–11  
Improving outcomes assessment principles, 11–14  
Institutional or departmental testing, 46–47  
Institutions: assessment expertise and skills available at, 23–25; developing accreditation culture/practice by, 71–72; difficulty of defining effectiveness indicators, 13; educational purposes, learning objectives, and assessment of, 17–22; increasing support from external stakeholders, 5; practical accreditation guidance for, 68–70; reporting and using assessment results, 22–23; student learning outcomes addressed by, 70–71. *See also* Community colleges; Higher education
- Inver Hills Community College (Minnesota), 87, 90, 91, 96, 97  
INVEST system, 19
- Jackson, J. E., 2, 5, 16, 102  
Jamison, T. M., 82  
Jansak, K. E., 80  
Johnson County Community College (Kansas), 87, 90, 91, 97  
Jones, E. A., 78  
Jones, K., 9, 13
- Kahn, S., 2, 5, 16, 102  
Keup, J. R., 18, 19  
Kingsborough Community College (South Carolina), 87, 92–93  
Kluber, A., 13
- Laidig, J., 47  
Lane Community College (Oregon), 11, 12–13  
League for Innovation in the Community College (the League), 87, 88, 98  
Learning communities, 20, 80  
Learning outcomes. *See* Student learning outcomes  
Learning PACT skills (BCCC), 92  
LeGuardia Community College (New York), 50
- Lewis, D. R., 25  
Lewis, L., 73  
Lindahl, S., 91  
Lopez, C., 33  
Lund, J. P., 7
- McClenney, K. M., 5, 87, 97  
McKnight, R., 78  
Maki, P. L., 67  
Maricopa Community College District (Phoenix), 80  
Massy, W. F., 5  
*Measuring Up 2000*, 63  
*Measuring Up 2002*, 63  
Mee, G., 71  
Mellon Foundation, 75  
Memory Matrix, 32  
Merisotis, J. P., 82  
Mesa Community College (Arizona), 70–71, 87, 90, 91, 95  
Meyer, K. A., 74  
Miami-Dade Community College (Florida), 19  
Midlands Technical Community College (South Carolina), 13–14, 87, 90, 91, 97  
Milam, J., 3, 73, 85, 102  
Miles, C. L., 3, 87, 100  
Minassians, H. P., 3, 53, 54, 59, 64, 108  
Montgomery College Learning Outcomes Team, 91–92  
Montgomery College (Texas), 87, 90  
Moore, A., 19, 20  
Motivational Systems Theory, 82  
Mt. Hood Community College (Oregon), 9  
Muddiest Point CAT, 34  
Murphy, K. L., 81
- Narratives, 47–48  
National Center for Education Statistics survey (2000), 18  
National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education, 63  
National Postsecondary Education Cooperative, 77  
National Student Clearinghouse Enrollment Search program, 48  
National Survey of Student Engagement, 21  
North Harris Montgomery Community College District, 91  
Nova Scotia Community College System (Canada), 19

- Oakton Community College (Illinois), 21, 51
- Oblander, F. W., 7
- Obler, S., 80, 82
- Online education: changing faculty roles/curriculum in, 78–79; implications of increasing use of, 73–74; student satisfaction/retention in, 81–82
- Online education assessment: changing faculty roles/curriculum issue of, 78–79; measuring student/faculty engagement in, 80–81; physical vs. virtual dynamics issue of, 76–77; policy research issues regarding, 74–76; practice issues regarding, 76; principles/competencies used in, 77–78; tools used in, 79–80
- Online portfolios, 79–80
- Owens Community College (Ohio), 8, 50
- Palomba, C. A., 17, 20, 43
- Parkland College (Illinois): academic achievement documented at, 29; assessing both process and outcomes at, 37–39; CATs and improved student learning at, 40–41; using CATs to link classroom/program assessment at, 36–37; classroom assessment process/Feedback Loop at, 30, 31; classroom assessment techniques (CATs) used at, 30–31; examples of outcomes assessed at, 34; faculty engagement as part of CATs at, 32–33; importance of using multiple measures at, 39–40; student engagements as part of CATs at, 31–32; values of assessment courses for faculty of, 34–35
- Parkland College Intensive ESL program, 36–37; *fig*
- Parkland College *Introduction to Classroom Assessment and Research Notebook*, 35
- Parkland's Center of Excellence in Teaching and Learning (the Center), 30
- Paulson, K., 78
- Performance manuals, 47
- Performance reporting. *See* State performance reporting
- The Pew Charitable Trusts, 87, 88
- Pew Grant Program in Course Redesign, 75
- Phipps, R. A., 82
- Planning assessment principles, 7–9
- Portfolio assessment, 47
- Precollegiate courses, 45
- Principles of good assessment practice: implementation of, 9–11; improving and sustaining, 11–14; planning, 7–9
- Program-level assessment: focus of, 43; good practices of, 49–51; implementation challenges of, 48–49; unique challenges of, 44–46; various approaches to, 46–48
- Programs: assessing, 43–52; defining elements/types of, 44–46
- Project SYNERGY, 19–20
- Rappahannock Community College (Virginia), 50
- Remedial courses, 45
- Resource and Reputation model, 59
- ReVISIONing Learning Project (Hocking College), 90–91
- Richardson, R. C., 57
- Rio Saldo College (Phoenix), 80
- Robertson, S. N., 21
- Rogers, G., 71
- Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, 71
- Rouseff-Baker, F., 3, 29, 42
- Russell, T. L., 74
- SACS (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools), 82
- St. Charles Community College (Missouri), 8–9, 13
- Salmon, G., 77
- San Diego Community College District, 19
- San Diego Miramar College (California), 87, 92, 95
- Santa Fe Community College (Florida), 87–88, 90
- Satisfaction surveys, 47
- Schoolcraft College (Michigan), 88, 90, 97
- Schubert, M., 13
- Seattle Central Community College, 50
- Serban, A. M., 2, 4, 17, 25, 27, 101, 109
- Seybert, J. A., 6, 13
- Shavelson, R. J., 74
- Simpson, C. A., 21
- Sinclair Community College, 10, 12

- Skagit Valley College (Washington), 88, 90, 91, 94
- Slark, J., 80, 82
- Slobodzian, K. A., 78
- Smith, L. S., 50
- South Suburban College (Illinois), 18
- Speary, P., 6, 7
- Special programs, 45
- Stakeholders: increasing support from external, 5; making outcomes assessment visible to all, 10; planning outcomes assessment role by internal/external, 7–8
- Standardized testing: to assess learning, 6; assessments using alternatives to, 6–7
- State performance indicators: attitudes toward, 60; characteristics of, 56–59; described, 55; models of excellence and, 58–59*fig*; policy values reflected by, 57–58*fig*; ranking by community college directors of institutional research, 60*t*; recommendations regarding, 62; twenty most common, 55–56*t*; types of, 56–57*fig*
- State performance reporting: accountability through, 53; familiarity and use of, 61; findings of, 61–62; methods used for, 54; questions asked regarding, 54–55; widespread use of, 54
- Strategic Investment model, 59, 62
- “Strategic Learning Initiative” (Lane Community College), 11
- Student attendance indicator, 13
- Student learning: accreditation and, 66–68; collecting evidence regarding, 69; community college transfer programs as indicator of, 48; Parkland CATs and improved, 40–41
- Student learning outcomes: accreditation quality and role of, 65–72; addressed by institutions, 70–71; difficulty in establishing indicators of, 13; interest in models/measures of, 1–2; literature on, 1; new focus on assessing, 6–7; nontraditional documentation of, 96–97; requests for documentation of, 1; setting goals for, 68. *See also* 21st Century Learning Outcomes Assessment Project
- Student learning outcomes challenges: difficulty of gaining faculty consensus on objectives, 105–106; implementing/sustaining assessment in community college setting, 106–108; lack of evidence that assessment affects learning, 102–104; lack of knowledge about assessment processes, tools, and models, 104–105
- Student satisfaction: online education and, 81–82; surveys on, 47
- Students: assessing personal and social development of, 21–22; CAT engagement by, 31–32; online education and satisfaction/retention of, 81–82; “swirling” attendance by, 13
- Sullivan, P., 76
- Sustaining outcomes assessment principles, 11–14
- Tagg, J., 6
- Taylor, B., 21
- Terkla, D. T., 76
- Testa, A., 78
- Thach, L., 81
- Titterton, L. C., 38
- Townsend, B. K., 13
- Transportation Technologies Department (Owens Community College), 50
- 21st Century Learning Outcomes Assessment Project: college participants in, 87–88; curriculum development/mapping examined by, 93; definition of learning outcomes identified in, 89–93; difficulties faced by some colleges involved in, 23, 97; difficulty of assessment work identified during, 98; five institutional objectives focused on by, 88–89; implementation of learning outcomes goal of, 93–96; nontraditional documentation of learning outcomes during, 96–97; recommendations of, 98–99; unexpected outcomes of, 97. *See also* Student learning outcomes
- “21st Century skills,” 89–90, 92
- Twig, C., 75, 79, 80, 82
- Van Middlesworth, C., 11
- Vendor or industry certification examination, 46
- Visual Communication Design (VCD, Austin Community College), 51
- Volkwein, J. F., 17, 18, 20, 21, 102
- Voorhees, R. A., 3, 73, 78, 85, 102

Waits, T., 73

Waukesha County Technical College  
(Wisconsin), 88, 90, 91, 93, 96, 97

Waukesha's Critical Life Skills Assessment Rubrics, 96

WCET (Western Cooperative for Educational Telecommunications), 76, 80, 81, 82

WCET's *Best Practices*, 76, 80, 81

WebCT, 81

Western Governors University (WGU,  
Utah), 77–78

Wilson, A. M., 19

Wilson, C. D., 3, 87, 90, 100

Wright, I., 13

Yamasaki, E., 18, 19