Chapter 1

Introduction to Occupation-centred Practice with Children

Sylvia Rodger

Learning objectives

The primary aim of this chapter is to set the scene for this book and in doing so to fulfill the following objectives, namely to:

- Briefly describe the resurgence of occupation within the occupational therapy profession.
- Outline some other global trends, which have occurred in parallel with the refocusing of the profession.
- Describe some of the challenges to traditional developmental theory that has historically informed occupational therapy practice with children, as well as emerging views and theories of occupational development that have the potential to better inform our practice with children and their families.
- Identify the impact of these professional and more global trends on occupational therapy practice for children.

Introduction

Children engage in many social and occupational roles every day. They are variously grandchildren, children, nieces/nephews, siblings, friends, peers and playmates. In addition, they are school or kindergarten students, players and self-carers/maintainers, albeit they are developing independence and autonomy in these latter roles (Rodger & Ziviani, 2006). Healthy active children engage in occupations relevant to these roles all the time: they play, dress, eat and manage their personal care needs; engage in household chores and schoolwork tasks; and extracurricular activities such as soccer, ballet, scouts, tae kwon do and playing musical instruments. Children engage in these occupations in a range of environments such as with their families at home and friends at school, and in their

Figure 1.1 Daily life and occupations of a boy aged 11 years in metropolitan Brisbane. Copyright Thomas Beirne. Reproduced with permission

communities (e.g. church, neighbourhoods, local parks and sports clubs) (Rodger & Ziviani, 2006).

The children's artworks in Figures 1.1 and 1.2 illustrate the daily occupations of two boys, one growing up in metropolitan Brisbane, Australia, and the other in a village in East Timor. Figure 1.1 illustrates the boy's daily life with family, friends and his occupations of schoolwork, playing sports, ball games, listening to music and the importance of school. By contrast, Figure 1.2 illustrates the outdoor environment in which this Timorese boy lives, his home, the hills, his village and his role in tending crops. These drawings demonstrate some of the many cultural differences in children's occupations and daily lives.

Typically, occupational therapists come into contact with children when there are concerns about their occupational performance (e.g. ability to engage fully in their roles, issues with performance of tasks or activities associated with various occupations, or environmental hindrances to their performance and participation). However, it has been previously proposed (Rodger & Ziviani, 2006) that as a profession, we also have a role in advocating for children's place and rights in society, their need for health-promoting occupations, and safe, supportive and healthy environments that can optimise their occupational performance and participation. This may be through supporting campaigns promoting healthy lifestyle choices such as having smoking banned in children's playgrounds, lobbying for traffic calming and

Figure 1.2 Daily life and occupations of a boy aged 15 years in East Timorese village. Copyright Jorge do Rosario. Reproduced with permission

pedestrian footpaths/sidewalks to enable safe walking to school, advocating for more green spaces such as parks and raising awareness about excessive involvement in virtual environments (e.g. computers and hand-held games) which may lead to decreased engagement in physical activity and social isolation.

There are many advocacy and professional groups that provide information for parents about children's health and well-being issues such as The Parents' Jury (<http://www.parentsjury.org.au/index.asp>) and the American Academy of Pediatrics (n.d., <http://www.aap.org/healthtopics/parenting.cfm>). The Parents' Jury organisation promotes activity-friendly communities (The Parents' Jury, 2008). It provides information about how to advocate at a local level for activity-friendly communities that readily support active living and family recreation as an essential part of a healthy lifestyle for both children and adults (see Figure 1.3). Such sites provide parent information as well as avenues for personal and professional advocacy regarding healthy neighbourhoods and communities for children.

In addition, we have a role as both individuals, health professionals and occupational therapists to advocate for children, whose lives are deprived of health-giving occupations and safe environments as a result of war, natural disasters, dislocation, social disadvantage, poverty and neglect/abuse (e.g. World Federation of Occupational Therapists Position Statement on Human Rights (WFOT, 2006) and Occupational Opportunities for Refugees and Asylum Seekers (OOFRAS), n.d.).

The Parents Jury's

- ✓ Active
- ✓ Community
- ✓ Checklist

How activity-friendly is your community?

Use this assessment tool to determine how activity friendly your community is and find areas for improvement.

Tick the boxes that apply and tally up your responses. If your community is lacking in adequate activity and recreation areas, we've provided some suggestions to help you advocate for improvement.

Active transport

- + Are the footpaths in your community well maintained, with adequate street lighting and shade?
- + Does your community have scenic walking/cycling tracks, which are well maintained, with adequate lighting, shade and rest stops?
- + Does your community have designated bikes lanes, on the footpath or road?

Recreation areas*

- + Are the recreation areas in your community kept clean and attractively landscaped/designed?
- + Are there enough well maintained amenities in these recreation areas, such as public toilets, seating, shade/shelter, public phones?
- + Do the recreation areas have well maintained age-appropriate playgrounds, which attract and engage children?
- + Are the recreation areas in your community easily accessible via walking or cycling?
- + Is dog-walking permitted in these recreation areas, and if so, are the areas kept clean with dog-litter facilities provided?

Community and safety

- + Do you feel that your local recreation areas and streets are safe for you and your family? Consider how open or secluded they are, street lighting, public phones and visible vandalism.
- + Are there enough pedestrian crossings at appropriate places in built-up areas of the community?
- + Does your community have sufficient and safe bike parking facilities at schools, shopping centres, recreation areas and public transport stations?

Yes:

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Tally /11

Scores

0-4

Your community seems to be lacking in activity-friendly town planning. Which areas are in most need of improvement? How can you be part of creating change? Check out The Parents Jury's Activity Friendly Communities campaign for advocacy tips and suggestions to get you started - www.parentsjury.org.au.

5-7

Your community has a moderate amount of facilities to promote active living, but residents could always benefit from more. Are there some aspects that could do with improvement? Be active in creating change! Check out The Parents Jury's Activity Friendly Communities campaign for advocacy tips and suggestions to get you started - www.parentsjury.org.au.

8-11

It seems like you live in a very activity-friendly area, congratulations. Be an 'active living family' by making use of these facilities with your children regularly. Daily physical exercise sets a good example for children and benefits their health now and into the future.

Figure 1.3 Active community checklist. www.parentsjury.org.au. Reproduced by permission

While this book focuses primarily on the occupational therapy practitioner engaging with children and their families at an individual, group or family level, it also addresses occupation-centred practice in school environments (Chapter 11), and in the context of community-based leisure pursuits (Chapter 12). The broader benefits of occupational engagement for children who are deprived of occupations are not specifically addressed; however, readers are encouraged to consider the opportunities they may have for advocacy and engagement at a societal and political level in instances where children experience poor health (Spencer, 2008), occupational deprivation, alienation and injustice (see Kronenberg, Simo Algado, & Pollard, 2005; Whiteford & Wright-St Clair, 2005).

Re-affirming occupation: the core of occupational therapy

Over the past several decades, there has been a major focus within occupational therapy on the provision of client-centred services, with its counterparts in child- and family-centred practice. Emanating from Canada, the emphasis on guidelines for enabling occupation- and client-centred practice has spread throughout the occupational therapy profession internationally (Baum & Law, 1997; Canadian Association of Occupational Therapists (CAOT), 1991; Sumsion, 1996). This will be discussed at length in Chapter 3.

There has also been a resurgence of interest in occupation at the core of occupational therapy. This occurred in response to critical reflection by a number of occupational therapy theorists and researchers (e.g. Clark, 1993; Fisher, 1998; Kielhofner, 2007; Molineux, 2004; Pierce, 2001; Yerxa, 1998). This has led to the reclamation of occupation as the defining feature of our profession and practice focused on occupation, its meaning for individuals, its importance for health and well-being (Kielhofner, 2007; Molineux, 2001; Wilcock, 1998) and the importance of an individual's occupational identity as a way of defining self within relevant social and cultural contexts (Christiansen, 1999). The centrality of occupation to occupational therapy practice has been referred to by some as the 'renaissance' of occupation (Whiteford, Townsend, & Hocking, 2000).

This has in turn resulted in a call for the use of occupation-based assessment (Coster, 1998; Hocking, 2001) as a key way of focusing our resulting interventions on the healing power of occupations (e.g. particular school-work or play activities), rather than focusing specifically on performance components (e.g. fine motor or visual perceptual skills) that may not lead to significant changes in an individual's occupational functioning. Assessments that facilitate goal setting and those that are occupation-centred will be addressed in detail in Chapters 6 and 7. Paediatric frames of reference have also been developed that specifically enhance children's occupations such as Synthesis of Child, Occupational Performance and Environment in Time (SCOPE-IT) (Haertl, 2009; Poulsen & Ziviani, 2004).

There has also been an increased interest in scholarship about occupation and the growth of a body of research in the field of occupational science. Since the start of the new millennium, there has been an emphasis on meeting the needs of underserved groups with seminal books by Kronenberg et al. (2005) and the writing of advocates of occupational justice (Townsend & Whiteford, 2005; Townsend & Wilcock, 2004; Whiteford, 2002). Townsend and colleagues described occupational alienation (where occupational choice is limited by external forces), occupational apartheid (where individuals are denied access to meaningful occupation due to organised political or social agendas) and occupational deprivation (prolonged blocking of access to meaningful occupation due to environmental restrictions) (Polatajko et al., 2007; Townsend & Whiteford, 2005; Townsend & Wilcock, 2004). Children may be caught up in war zones and refugee camps where they experience occupational alienation or are victims of neglect and impoverished environments. Coinciding with these trends within occupational therapy, a number of global influences and other changes within health/social care systems have occurred which have also impacted on our practice.

External influences impacting occupational therapy practice

Changes in health and social care impacting on occupational therapy practice include: (1) the emergence of evidence-based practice (Sackett, Rosenberg, Gray, Haynes, & Richardson, 1996; Taylor, 2007; Whiteford, 2005); (2) managed health care (Pierce, 2003) and health care reform (Trombly, 1993); (3) increased incidence of lifestyle-related diseases (e.g. Rippe, Crossley, & Ringer, 1998; Sokol, 2000); (4) diseases of meaning such as mental illness (Christiansen, 1999); (5) increasingly informed consumers; and (6) increased global awareness of human rights' abuses amongst marginalised groups, refugees and asylum seekers (many of whom are children) (Kronenberg et al., 2005). Figure 1.4 illustrates the influences both external to and within the profession that have led to the evolution of occupation-centred practice with children and families.

Several recent newspaper headlines in Brisbane, Queensland, in 2008 suggest there is a lot to be concerned about, such as adult beauty treatments for children: 'Making princesses: Beauty treats for girls aged 5-14 years' (*Courier Mail*, 4 May 2008), the impact of busy lives on children: 'We're more selfish - Busy stressful lives leave little time for others' (*Courier Mail*, 4 May 2008), and others. Such societal concerns reinforce the importance of vigilance and for our profession to contribute to the enhancement of children's health and well-being.

Furthermore, in service contexts, reduced funding, mergers and new models of care (e.g. clinical pathways, diagnostic-related groups and managed care) have changed the way allied health services are delivered in the health/human service sectors (Layman & Bamberg, 2003). From a health sector

Figure 1.4 External influences and internal evolution within the profession leading to occupation-centred practice with children and families

perspective, significant changes have occurred with respect to financing and the organisation of health care (such as programme management and regionalisation) and service delivery such as technological advances impacting on life span, quality of life and the shift of care from institutions to the community (Layman & Bamberg, 2003).

According to Wood (1998), occupational therapists have not easily implemented occupation-centred and evidence-based practices. Wood, Towers, and Malchow (2000) have challenged us to think outside the box to fully meet the occupational wants and needs of persons receiving our services. Chapter 15 highlights how professional reasoning can be utilised along with evidence-based and occupation-centred practice to better meet the needs of the children and families. The next section turns to international classifications/frameworks and declarations that have impacted on our practice.

International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)

On the international stage, the World Health Organisation (WHO, 2001) released the *ICF* which evolved from earlier iterations - *International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities and Handicaps* (ICIDH) (Wood, 1980). It was proposed as a scientific framework for understanding and studying health and health-related states, outcomes and determinants. Its authors also argued that it would enhance communication between health care workers, researchers and the public by providing a classification system for a person with a given health condition (WHO, 2001) (see Figure 1.5). This re-conceptualisation outlined the impact of a health condition on an individual's functioning at the levels of body structures and functions, activities and participation. The domains of activity and participation are of special interest to occupational therapists and include: learning and applying knowledge, general tasks and demands, communication, mobility, self-care, domestic life, interpersonal interactions and relationships, major life areas, and community, social and civic life (WHO, 2001). Equally it illustrates the importance of understanding the personal characteristics and environmental factors that impact on how a health condition may be experienced and how these may help or hinder the person's engagement in activities and participation in life situations. Under environmental factors, one needs to consider the physical, social and attitudinal environment in which people live and conduct their lives. Personal factors, though not classified in the ICF, comprise features such as a person's gender, race and age, which are features of an individual but not part of a health condition or health states.

In adopting a 'biopsychosocial' approach (WHO, 2001), the ICF acknowledges not only the bi-directional impact of body functions on the ability to perform activities and hence enable participation, but also the fact that environmental factors can impact on the performance and even modify body function and structures. The *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health for Children and Youth* (ICF-CY) (WHO, 2007) recently became available for the purpose of recording characteristics

Figure 1.5 Interactions between the components of ICF (WHO, 2001). Reproduced with permission from the World Health Organization.

of the developing child and the influence of his/her environment. For children, the mediating roles of environment and development are highly significant as their environments change across the stages of infancy, early childhood, middle childhood and adolescence. In addition, adults, usually parents/carers or teachers, exercise significant control over children's environments and opportunities for engagement. There are a number of assessments available for children that are compatible with the components of the ICF (see Simeonsson et al., 2003); however, there is still a need for more assessment tools to address the developmental needs of children, particularly at the level of participation. These will be discussed further in Chapter 7.

The ICF classification system and framework have proven useful for occupational therapists and other health team members in conceptualising where they provide the most input/expertise in assisting the individual manage and promote his/her health and well-being. In contrast to its predecessor, it provides a more global view of health and well-being that is highly consistent with occupational therapy philosophy and practice (Baum & Baptiste, 2002), particularly with its emphasis on participation (Christiansen, Baum, & Bass-Haugen, 2005). Health professionals endorse best practice interventions that effectively support a person's meaningful and satisfactory participation in real life activities and situations (Law & Baum, 1998; WHO, 2001). With the availability of ICF-CY, occupational therapists working with children and their families can use this version to consider a child's development in health, education and social sectors (WHO, 2007).

United Nations declarations

World fit for children

Other global declarations have also developed in parallel with the work of the WHO, such as the United Nations' (2002) declaration of a *World Fit for Children* (WFFC), an action plan with 21 goals and targets for improving children's welfare (e.g. eradicating poverty, caring for every child, educating, protecting from harm and war, combating HIV/AIDS, listening to children and ensuring their participation, and environmental protection). Most pertinently, the declaration acknowledges the rights of children and young people for self-expression and participation in all matters relating to themselves according to their age and maturity. Consistent with this declaration, the Canadian Association of Occupational Therapists (CAOT) produced a position statement on Healthy Occupations for Children and Youth (CAOT, 2004). This position paper recognises that children and youth have the right for opportunities to develop healthy patterns of occupations and outlines CAOT's approach to advocacy for children and youth to protect and fulfil this right. In addition, the statement recognises the inequities and occupational injustices that limit children's and young people's opportunities for engagement in healthy occupations (e.g. indigenous youth, immigrants, refugees, children with disabilities and those living in poverty or care/protection). The role of

occupational therapists - in advocacy and taking collective action at multiple levels (systems, provincial/state and national raised in this document) - is exemplary.

Millennium development goals

Another important United Nations' declaration is the *Millennium Development Goals* (MDGs; United Nations, 2000). The MDGs agreed to in 2000 range from halving extreme poverty to halting the spread of HIV/AIDS and providing universal primary education, by the target date of 2015. These have been agreed to by all the world's countries and leading development institutions. They have spurred international efforts to meet the needs of the world's poorest citizens, many of them being vulnerable children. The eight goals are to:

- (1) eradicate extreme poverty and hunger
- (2) achieve universal primary education
- (3) promote gender equality and empower women
- (4) improve child mortality (by two-thirds for children under 5 years)
- (5) improve maternal health
- (6) combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases
- (7) ensure environmental sustainability
- (8) develop a global partnership for development.

A recognition of these goals taps into occupational therapy's interest in social justice and preventing occupational deprivation and alienation (Townsend & Whiteford, 2005; Townsend & Wilcock, 2004) experienced by individuals, especially children, in countries affected by war, natural disasters and occupation forces, where issues of extreme poverty, lack of education, poor health outcomes due to sanitation issues, lack of clean water, low rates of immunisation and infectious diseases are pervasive. While in Western developed countries we do not face these issues on a daily basis, there continue to be examples of children who are disadvantaged through poverty, domestic violence, child abuse and neglect, and lack of appropriate housing in many large cities and rural locations where there are large indigenous communities. See Chapter 4 for a discussion of the cultural implications of occupation-centred practice. As a profession and as individuals, we still have an obligation to reflect and take action to improve the situations in which future generations of children grow and develop.

The evolution of occupational therapy practice with children

Paediatric occupational therapy researchers have supported the renaissance of occupation and have made very strong calls for a better understanding of the essence of children's occupations and their optimal participation. Some examples are illustrative. Lawlor (2003) called for a better understanding of the significance of 'being occupied' and the social construction of childhood

occupations, given that children do so many things 'with' significant others (e.g. parents, siblings, peers and teachers). She argued that occupations are socially co-constructed and negotiated with others. Hence, how children interpret and engage in their everyday social worlds is pivotal to our understanding of human development and childhood occupations. Understanding the social engagement of children during their 'doing' of occupations is critical so that we can optimise their participation. More recently, specific frames of reference have been described that focus on enhancing social participation (Olson, 2009) in recognition of the social nature of many occupations.

Equally Segal and Hinojosa (2006) argued that we need to better appreciate the contexts or settings in which childhood occupations occur. They researched the 'doing of homework' as an example of a productive occupation that occurred at home. In order to better assist children and parents with this, at times stressful occupation, we need to understand the activities, tasks, values and goals of children and their parents and the social interactions that occur around the task performance. Further, Larson (2004) called for an understanding of children's work/productivity occupations and children's decisions about whether activities are work or play. Her qualitative study explored chores and schoolwork tasks and how parents graded children's participation in household tasks with age. She also documented the scaffolds, supports and supervision provided to enable task completion. The application of such occupational science research focusing on understanding occupations helps occupational therapy clinicians to better support parents and children with issues related to a broad range of occupations.

Changing views of child development and maturation

A decade ago, Coster (1998) proposed that one of the largest obstacles to practitioners becoming more occupation-centred (especially in assessment) was the dominance of the developmental model. This model promulgates development as linear and emphasises performance components and abilities and was seen as a critical determinant of children's behaviour. Major criticisms of this model are that it: (1) lacks extensive consideration of the context (environment) and the characteristics of the child (person) such as a focus on personal goals, motivation and temperament; and (2) ignores multiple developmental pathways (Horowitz, 2000). The pervasive use of standardised developmental tests and interventional approaches aiming to normalise underlying developmental processes continues to feature strongly in paediatric practice 10 years later. Coster (1998) argued for a focus on the primacy of tasks/activities and occupations and the environmental context in organising a person's behaviour.

Alternate theories of development such as dynamical systems theory (Thelen, 1995) and motor behaviour/motor relearning theories (Mathiowetz &

Bass Haugen, 1994) challenge occupational therapists to reconsider their views about children's developmental progress as being reflex orientated, neuro-maturational and hierarchical in nature. They also challenge the previously accepted linear nature of development expressed as genetically pre-determined ages and stages. The traditional models also failed to address the role of the environment in motor control.

Systems models such as dynamical systems theory (Mathiowetz & Bass Haugen, 1994) have been proposed based on a heterarchical model that focuses on the interaction of a person with his/her environment and also emphasises task performance as well as the unique task and environmental constraints. Both functional tasks and the environmental context are used to organise behaviour. Use or modification of personal and environmental constraints leads to optimal strategy development for functional task performance. This approach arose from an ecological view of perception and action by Gibson (1966) and Bernstein (1967) cited in Mathiowetz and Bass Haugen (1994). This ecological approach focuses on studying the person-environment interaction during daily functional tasks. Some occupational therapy models related to these concepts include the Ecological Model of Human Performance (Dunn, Brown, & McGuigan, 1994), Person-Environment-Occupation Model (Law et al., 1996) and the Person-Environment-Occupation-Performance Model (Christiansen & Baum, 1997; Christiansen et al., 2005).

Dynamical systems (Thelen, 1995) acknowledge that order and patterns emerge from the interaction and cooperation of many systems that lead to self-organisation. This model explains the relative stability of movement patterns in the face of efficient movement requiring the least amount of energy (attractor states). The reciprocity between person and environment is also emphasised. Mathiowetz and Bass Haugen (1994) proposed a systems model of motor control for occupational therapy, illustrating the interaction between the personal characteristics or systems of the person (sensorimotor, cognitive and psychosocial) and the environment (physical, socioeconomic and cultural) that leads to occupational performance (ADL, work and play/leisure) enabling role performance. This illustrates the role of many systems in determining occupational performance outcomes (see Figure 1.6).

The traditional view of development incorporating invariable stages guided therapists' intervention using developmental milestones to mark progress and led to the extensive use of reflex testing and developmental assessment, with normal developmental sequences being the organising framework for therapy. While the emphasis was on working at the child's developmental level, it has lacked a focus on functional tasks. These were considered to result in splinter skills that would not generalise and might interfere with developmental sequences. However, contemporary theories of motor learning view nervous system maturation as only one influence with other systems having important roles to play. Motor learning relies on practice or experience leading to changes in the capabilities of the learner using random rather

Figure 1.6 A systems model of motor control emphasising that occupational performance emerges from an interaction of personal characteristics and performance contexts. In addition, any occupational performance affects the environment and the person. From Mathiowetz and Bass Haugen (1994) 48(8): 738). Reproduced with permission from the American Occupational Therapy Association

than blocked practice and practice of the whole rather than parts of the task. It also focuses on the use of physical and verbal guidance during practice and the use of feedback (e.g. intermittent, random and after multiple trials) (Mathiowetz & Bass Haugen, 1994).

Ongoing research with individuals with disabilities and in naturalistic versus lab/clinic-based settings is needed. Cognitive Orientation to daily Occupational Performance (CO-OP) (Polatajko & Mandich, 2004) is an example of an occupation-centred intervention based on contemporary views of development and motor control that has been evaluated with children with a range of occupational performance problems (see Chapter 8). The contemporary approaches to motor skill acquisition focus on the goal of helping clients to become competent problem solvers when they engage in functional tasks within relevant performance contexts. Similarly, Perceive, Recall, Plan and Perform (PRPP) (Chapparo & Ranka, 1997a, b) and Occupational Performance Coping (Graham, Rodger, & Ziviani, 2009) provide other examples of occupation-centred interventions discussed in this book (Chapters 9 and 10, respectively).

Occupational therapists such as Humphry (2002) claimed that we know little about the role of occupational engagement as both a process for and outcome of development, nor about children as developing occupational beings. She challenged us to research occupation and to foreground our occupational knowledge with respect to how early childhood health and

educational professionals are learning to view children's developmental progress. Humphry (2002) argued that there has been an over-reliance by occupational therapists on other disciplines such as psychology for our understanding of child development and maturation processes, and a lack of reliance on understanding the impact of context and dynamical systems theory. She also proposed that occupational engagement leads to the enhancement of developmental processes, skill acquisition and performance refinement. Through occupation or children's 'doing' their development progresses, skills are acquired and tasks/activities are mastered; hence, occupation is regarded as a crucible for development. Further, she cogently posited a conceptual model that development of the occupational being does not just occur within the child. Participation in family life and sharing activities with significant others have been proposed as crucial developmental mechanisms. Hence, the importance of context and social interaction are highlighted as critical to children's learning about and doing of occupations (Muhlenhaupt, 2009; Olson, 2009). These are congruent with family- and child-centred practice and the use of naturalistic settings involving the child's natural social partners.

Emerging views about occupational development

Only in the past 5 years has there been a significant focus on occupational development across the life span as distinct to traditional views of the linear stages of child and adolescent development. Davis and Polatajko (2006) described occupational development as a 'systematic process of change in occupational behaviours across time, resulting from growth and maturation of the individual in interaction with the environment' (p. 138). This development results in a life course occupational repertoire that is marked by changes in the specific occupations that individuals perform across the life course. They argued that infants are occupational beings from the outset and that the occupations engaged in develop and change over time. They are unique to the individual as they result from the interaction of the person and his/her skills, talents and interests with the opportunities and events that life presents. Typically these occupations change gradually and predictably over the course of development and as a result of transitions but change may be sudden due to loss, disease or injury (Polatajko et al., 2007). Davis and Polatajko (2006) postulated that occupational development occurs at micro, meso and macro levels.

Micro-occupational development focuses on developing occupational competence along a continuum of novice to mastery for a specific occupation (Davis & Polatajko, 2006) and is repeated for each new occupation. While the trajectory and speed is individual, it is dependent on the child's ability, capacity, growth and maturation as well as the supports and opportunities in place to enable competency development. *Meso-occupational development* focuses on developing an occupational repertoire. This repertoire of developing competence

and mastery changes across the life span expanding and shrinking. Innate drive, exposure, resources, opportunities and values influence the development of this repertoire (Wiseman, Davis, & Polatajko, 2005). *Macro-occupational development* or development of occupations results from exposure and opportunities. This development occurs across time with species evolution (Davis & Polatajko). This may be exemplified by the development of new occupations in recent years such as listening to iPods® and Nintendo Wii® activities which did not exist a decade ago. *Occupational transitions* occur when there is shift from one set of occupations to an alternative set as a result of life events or developmental processes such as moving from preschool/kindergarten to school. These occur at individual, group (e.g. nuclear to single-parent family) or societal levels (e.g. unemployment in a small town due to a particular industry closing down) (Polatajko et al., 2007).

Gender, cultural, socioeconomic, societal and other factors influence occupations across the life course such as the required time in the armed forces for young men at age 18 years, the increased age of women having their first child, leaving the labour force for child-raising purposes, etc. (Polatajko et al., 2007). Occupational loss is described as an imposed or unanticipated transition which typically results from environmental factors (e.g. parental unemployment leading to children not being able to continue with extra-curricular activities) or permanent or temporary loss of body functions due to illness/injury (e.g. child who acquires a head injury after a bicycle accident or is disfigured as a result of burns). Macro-environmental losses may occur as a result of natural disasters such as destruction after a tsunami, bushfire or earthquake leading to relocation and issues with basic survival needs (e.g. food, water, shelter and basic care routines) (Polatajko et al.). It is important for occupational therapists working with children and adults to keep abreast of this growing theoretical understanding of development from an occupational perspective, focusing on occupational roles, associated occupations and the environmental impacts on development. Further theoretical and research work in this area will enhance our capacity to be more occupation-centred in our practice.

Refocusing occupational therapy with children

Arguably in the past, the occupational therapy profession has failed to realise that one of our most significant contributions is our focus on children's roles, their occupations, the contexts in which they live, work and play, as well as our interest in their priorities and goals. Occupational therapy as a profession offers a unique approach to intervention which focuses on occupational performance and participation when children's lives are impacted by illness, disability and social or environmental deprivation or disadvantage. This book promotes an occupation-centred approach to practice with children and their families. It introduces an occupation-centred occupational therapy process for working with children based on existing processes utilised with adults.

Conceptually, occupation-centred practice for children allows occupational therapists to focus appropriately on the child (and family), the child's and family's occupations, and environments during the stages of information gathering, intervention and evaluation within a client-centred practice framework. This process is described in detail in Chapter 2.

By focusing on the person, his/her environment and occupations, the therapist is able to optimise the child's and family's participation in relevant life situations, the latter being the critical outcome of any occupational therapy intervention. One of the key messages of this book is that to be *relevant*, occupational therapy intervention must extend beyond the acquisition of skills and occupations to the optimisation of children's engagement in their life roles (Case-Smith, 2007). The ultimate aim of occupational therapy is to promote children's competence and participation at home, school and in their communities. An individual child's level of participation reflects the child's capacities, the opportunities available, the social and physical supports present (environmental affordances) and the family's and society's values about participation. Drawing from the literature, key characteristics of occupation-centred practice for children are introduced in Chapter 2. Knowing these characteristics will enable occupational therapists to evaluate whether their daily practice with children is truly occupation-centred, enabling practitioners to make informed choices about what they do and how they do it.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in applying an occupation-centred approach to practice with children, it is important that therapists are cognisant of contemporary frameworks in health care such as the ICF, concepts such as evidence-based practice and child- and family-centred practice, and are aware of the global trends that have impacted service delivery in health/human services sectors. In addition, such practice focuses on the activities and participation levels of the ICF and on occupations related to children's social and occupational roles. Therapists must also consider the evidence suggesting the theoretical limitations of traditional views of child development and neuro-maturation and be open to contemporary theories of motor behaviour and learning, occupational development and child- and family-centred practice. This chapter has also challenged occupational therapists to act as individuals as well as members of a profession to advocate for societies that better enable children's participation in safe and supportive environments and developmentally appropriate life situations. This requires a global consciousness that recognises the impacts of natural disasters, poverty, ill health, and social, cultural and temporal environmental stressors on children's optimal development and participation.

References

- American Academy of Pediatrics. (n.d.). *Children's health topics: Parenting*. Retrieved 13 March 2009 from <http://www.aap.org/healthtopics/parenting.cfm>.
- Baum, C., & Baptiste, S. (2002). Reframing occupational therapy practice. In M. Law, C. Baum, & S. Baptiste (Eds.), *Occupation-based practice: Fostering performance and participation* (pp. 3-15). Thorofare, NJ: SLACK Incorporated.
- Baum, C. M., & Law, M. (1997). Occupational therapy practice: Focusing on occupational performance. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 51(4), 277-288.
- Canadian Association of Occupational Therapists (CAOT). (1991). *Occupational therapy guidelines for client centred practice*. Toronto, ON: CAOT Publications ACE.
- Canadian Association of Occupational Therapists (CAOT). (2004). Health occupations for children and youth. CAOT Position Statement. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 71(3), 182-183.
- Case-Smith, J. (2007). Deriving practice implications from this issue's sample of pediatric occupational therapy research literature. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 61, 375-377.
- Chapparo, C., & Ranka, J. (1997a). *Occupational Performance Model - Australia monograph*. Sydney, NSW: Unpublished manuscript.
- Chapparo, C., & Ranka, J. (1997b). The perceive, recall, plan and perform system of task analysis. In C. Chapparo, & J. Ranka (Eds.), *Occupational performance model (Australia): Monograph 1* (pp. 189-198). Sydney: Total Print Control.
- Christiansen, C. (1999). Defining lives: An essay on competence, coherence and the creation of meaning. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 53, 547-558.
- Christiansen, C., & Baum, C. (1997). Person-environment-occupational performance: A conceptual model for practice. In C. Baum, & C. H. Christiansen (Eds.), *Occupational therapy: Enabling function and well-being* (2nd ed., pp. 47-70). Thorofare, NJ: SLACK Incorporated.
- Christiansen, C., Baum, C., & Bass-Haugen, J. (Eds.). (2005). *Occupational therapy: Performance, participation and well-being* (3rd ed.). Thorofare, NJ: SLACK Incorporated.
- Clark, F. (1993). Occupation embedded in a real life: Interweaving occupational science and occupational therapy. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 47, 1067-1077.
- Coster, W. (1998). Occupation-centred assessment of children. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 52, 227-344.
- Davis, J. A., & Polatajko, H. J. (2006). The occupational development of children. In S. Rodger, & J. Ziviani (Eds.), *Occupational therapy for children: Understanding children's occupations and enabling participation* (pp. 136-157). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science.
- Dunn, W., Brown, C., & McGuigan, A. (1994). The ecology of human performance: A framework for considering the effect of context. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 48, 595-607.
- Fisher, A. G. (1998). Uniting practice and theory in an occupational framework. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 52, 509-521.
- Graham, F., Rodger, S., & Ziviani, J. (2009). Coaching parents to enable children's participation: An approach to working with parents and their children. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 56(1), 16-23.

- Haertl, K. (2009). A frame of reference to enhance children's occupations: SCOPE-IT. In P. Kramer, & J. Hinojosa (Eds.), *Frames of reference for pediatric occupational therapy* (2nd ed., pp. 266-305). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Hocking, C. (2001). Implementing occupation-based assessment. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 55(4), 463-469.
- Horowitz, E. D. (2000). Child development and the PITS: Simple questions, complex answers and developmental theory. *Child Development*, 71, 1-10.
- Humphry, R. (2002). Young children's occupations: Explicating the dynamics of developmental processes. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 56, 171-179.
- Kielhofner, G. (2007). *The model of human occupation: Theory and application* (4th ed.). Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Kronenberg, F., Simo Algado, S., & Pollard, N. (Eds.). (2005). *Occupational therapy without borders: Learning from the spirit of survivors*. London, UK: Elsevier, Churchill Livingstone.
- Larson, E. A. (2004). Children's work: The less considered occupation. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 58, 369-379.
- Law, M., & Baum, C. (1998). Evidence based practice. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 65(3), 131-135 (Special Issue).
- Law, M., Cooper, B., Strong, S., Stewart, D., Rigby, P., & Letts, L. (1996). The person-environment-occupation model: A transactive approach to occupational performance. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 63, 9-23.
- Lawlor, M. C. (2003). The significance of being occupied: The social construction of childhood occupations. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 57, 424-434.
- Layman, E. J., & Bamberg, R. (2003). Coping with a turbulent health care environment: An integrative literature review. *Journal of Allied Health*, 35, 50-60.
- Mathiowetz, V., & Bass Haugen, J. (1994). Motor behavior research: Implications for approaches to CNS dysfunction. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 48, 733-745.
- Molineux, M. (2001). Occupation: The two sides of popularity. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 48, 92-95.
- Molineux, M. (2004). Occupation in occupational therapy: A labour in vain? In M. Molineux (Ed.), *Occupation for occupational therapists* (pp. 17-31). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science.
- Muhlenhaupt, M. (2009). The perspective of context as related to frame of reference. In P. Kramer, & J. Hinojosa (Eds.), *Frames of reference for pediatric occupational therapy* (3rd ed., pp. 67-95). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Occupational Opportunities for Refugees and Asylum Seekers (OOFRAS). (n.d.). *Occupational opportunities for refugees and asylum seekers*. Retrieved 13 March 2009 from http://www.oofras.com/rs/7/sites/177/user_uploads/File/OOFRAS%20Brochure.pdf (brochure).
- Olson, L. J. (2009). A frame of reference to enhance social participation. In P. Kramer, & J. Hinojosa (Eds.), *Frames of reference for pediatric occupational therapy* (3rd ed., pp. 306-348). Philadelphia, PA: Walters Kluwer, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Pierce, D. (2001). Occupation by design: Dimensions, therapeutic power and creative process. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 55, 249-259.
- Pierce, D. (2003). How can the occupation base of occupational therapy be strengthened? *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 50, 1-2.

- Polatajko, H. J., & Mandich, A. D. (2004). *Enabling occupation in children: The cognitive orientation to daily occupational performance (CO-OP) approach*. Ottawa, ON: CAOT Publications ACE.
- Polatajko, H. J., Molke, D., Baptiste, S., Doble, S., Caron Santha, J., Kirsh, B., et al. (2007). Occupational science: Imperatives for occupational therapy. In E. Townsend, & H. Polatajko (Eds.), *Enabling occupation II: Advancing an occupational therapy vision for health, well-being and justice through occupation* (pp. 63-86). Ottawa, ON: CAOT Publications ACE.
- Poulsen, A. A., & Ziviani, J. M. (2004). Health enhancing physical activity: Factors influencing engagement patterns in children. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 51, 69-79.
- Rippe, J. M., Crossley, S., & Ringer, R. (1998). Obesity as a chronic disease: Modern medical and lifestyle management. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 98, S9-S15.
- Rodger, S., & Ziviani, J. (2006). Children, their occupations and environments in contemporary society. In S. Rodger, & J. Ziviani (Eds.), *Occupational therapy for children: Understanding children's occupations and enabling participation* (pp. 3-21). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science.
- Sackett, D. L., Rosenberg, W. M., Gray, J. A., Haynes, R. B., & Richardson, W. S. (1996). Evidence based medicine: What is it and what it isn't. *British Medical Journal*, 312(7023), 71-72.
- Segal, R., & Hinojosa, J. (2006). The activity setting of homework: An analysis of three cases and implications for occupational therapy. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 60, 50-59.
- Simeonsson, R. J., Leonardi, M., Lollars, D., Bjorck-Akesson, E., Hollenweger, J., & Martinuzzi, A. (2003). Applying the international classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF) to measure childhood disability. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 25(11-12), 602-610.
- Sokol, R. J. (2000). The chronic disease of childhood obesity: The sleeping giant has awakened. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 136, 711-713.
- Spencer, N. (2008). European Society for Social Pediatrics and Child Health position statement. *Child: Care, Health & Development*, 34, 631-634.
- Sumsion, T. (1996). Implementation issues. In T. Sumsion (Ed.), *Client-centred practice in occupational therapy: A guide to implementation* (pp. 27-37). London, UK: Churchill Livingstone.
- Taylor, C. M. (2007). *Evidence based practice for occupational therapists* (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK: Wiley Blackwell.
- The Parents' Jury. (2008). *Active communities checklist*. Retrieved 13 March 2009 from http://www.parentsjury.org.au/tpj_article.asp?ContentID=afc_advocacy.
- Thelen, E. (1995). Motor development: A new synthesis. *American Psychologist*, 50(2), 79-95.
- Townsend, E., & Whiteford, G. (2005). A participatory occupational justice framework: Population based processes of practice. In F. Kronenberg, S. Simo Algada, & N. Pollard (Eds.), *Occupational therapy without borders: Learning from the spirit of survivors* (pp. 110-127). London, UK: Elsevier, Churchill Livingstone.
- Townsend, E., & Wilcock, A. (2004). Occupational justice and client centred practice: A dialogue in progress. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 71(2), 75-87.
- Trombly, C. (1993). Anticipating the future: Assessment of occupational function. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 47(3), 253-257.
- United Nations. (2000). *Millennium development goals: End poverty 2015*. Retrieved 13 March 2009 from <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/>.

- United Nations. (2002). *World fit for children*. New York: United Nations Children's Fund. Retrieved 13 March 2009 from <http://www.worldfitforchildren.com/>.
- Whiteford, G. (2002). Occupation: The essential nexus between philosophy, theory and practice. *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal*, 49, 1-2.
- Whiteford, G. (2005). Knowledge, power, evidence: A critical analysis of key issues in evidence based practice. In G. Whiteford, & V. Wright-St Clair (Eds.), *Occupation and practice in context* (pp. 34-50). Merrickville, NSW: Elsevier Australia.
- Whiteford, G., Townsend, E., & Hocking, C. (2000). Reflections on the renaissance of occupation. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 67(1), 61-69.
- Whiteford, G., & Wright-St Clair, V. (2005). *Occupation and practice in context*. Merrickville, NSW: Elsevier Australia.
- Wilcock, A. (1998). *An occupational perspective of health*. Thorofare, NJ: SLACK Incorporated.
- Wiseman, J. O., Davis, J., & Polatajko, H. J. (2005). Occupational development: Understanding why children do the things they do. *Journal of Occupational Science*, 12(1), 26-35.
- Wood, P. H. N. (1980). Appreciating the consequences of disease: The International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps. *WHO Chronicle*, 34, 376-380.
- Wood, W. (1998). Is it jump time for occupational therapy? *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 52, 403-411.
- Wood, W., Towers, L., & Malchow, J. (2000). Environment time use and adaptedness in prosimians: Implications for discerning behavior that is occupational. *Journal of Occupational Science*, 7, 5-18.
- World Federation of Occupational Therapists (WFOT). (2006). *Position Statement on Human Rights*. Retrieved 13 March 2009 from [http://www.wfot.org/office_files/Human%20Rights%20Position%20Statement%20Final%20NLH\(1\).pdf](http://www.wfot.org/office_files/Human%20Rights%20Position%20Statement%20Final%20NLH(1).pdf).
- World Health Organisation (WHO). (2001). *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- World Health Organisation (WHO). (2007). *International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF): Children and youth version*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- Yerxa, E. J. (1998). Health and the human spirit for occupation. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 52, 413-418.