

# Index

- Ap refers to material in the Appendices  
Cs refers to material in case studies
- Figures are in *italic* and tables are in **bold**
- A
- accuracy 23, 62
  - adaptive management 32, 63, 203–4, 243Cs, 248, 281, 292
    - as an approach to restoration 280
    - combined with best practices 235
    - as a concept, definitions 234
    - emphasis on post-project appraisal 275
    - examples in practice 234–5, **236**
    - flexible approach 153
    - incorporating elements into everyday practice to reduce uncertainty 235–46
      - adaptation, construction and immediate post-construction phases 244, **244**, 246
    - a catchment context 240–1
      - personnel 237, **239**
    - project communication 237, 240
    - setting success criteria/indicators 236, **237**
    - step-wise project implementation 240
    - using best available information 235
  - the key to sustainability 299
  - monitoring/feedback, drivers of management 295
  - reactive and passive approaches 236
  - strategies 291
  - successful, six steps needed 234, 235
  - use of best possible available option 290
- Adaptive Water Management Framework 87
- affordable risk concept 289
  - ‘alternative futures’ approach 87, 88Cs, 89Cs
  - armo(u)ring 46, 46, 73
- B
- baseline studies, inadequate 188–9
    - costs of collecting high quality data 189
  - Baxter Creek, El Cerrito, California case study 55, 55
    - post-project appraisals 56
  - bedload transport, deterministically uncertain 211
  - Bere stream, Dorset, UK 129–30, 131, 132, 133
  - best available information, use of 235
  - best practice, recommendations for 200
  - bias/biases 6, 18
  - biodiversity 96, 148
  - biodiversity targets, EU Habitats and Birds Directive 81
  - bioenergetics 132–3
  - biological monitoring 194
  - biotic indices 114
  - biotopes 117–20
  - Blackwater R., UK 238Cs
  - Brundtland Report 298
  - buffers, barriers and blankets 261
  - building block functional habitat approach 140
  - Building Block Methodology 83, 114–15
- C
- South Africa, complying with 1998 Water Act 115
  - Catchment Flood Management Plans, UK 81, 241
  - catchment health 293
  - catchment models 273
  - catchment and reach-based approaches 241, **242**
  - catchment restoration 241, 297
  - catchment run-off modelling 107
  - catchment scale planning 31, 248
  - catchment sediment models 274
  - catchment-scale management 84–90
    - flow allocation methodologies 87–90
    - ‘indirect’ disturbance management 84–5
    - managed releases below impoundments 85–7
    - sediment management 90
  - catchment-scale modelling 127, 273
  - catchments, hierarchy of fluvial elements 257
  - channel conditions, pre-disturbance, restoration 44
  - Channel Evolution Model (CEM) 210
  - channel form
    - post-implementation monitoring 225, 227
    - uncertainties arising from changes 255, **255**
  - channel hierarchy, knowledge of 257
  - channel management 257, 259
  - channel margin, urban encroachment, ‘naturalness’ in restoration 44–5
  - channel migration 83–4
- 
- River Restoration: Managing the Uncertainty in Restoring Physical Habitat* Edited by Stephen Darby and David Sear  
© 2008 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

- creates diversity of habitats 84  
 natural, may threaten riverside developments 53
- channel modification 149
- channel planform change analyzed 267
- channel response, under different climatic conditions (what-if scenarios) 272
- channel restoration designs, categories of methods reducing uncertainty 110  
 desk top analysis 111–14  
 functional analysis methods 114–15  
 holistic approach 115  
 look-up tables 110–11
- channel restoration, hydraulic aspects and uncertainty in 116–33  
 alternatives to physical habitat models 133  
 connecting flows, sediments and ecological response: . . . 116–17  
 hydraulic habitat simulation and modelling 120–7  
 more complex hydrodynamic modelling and habitat simulation 129–33  
 the PHABSIM model 127–9  
 survey and visual methods: biotopes . . . 117–20
- channel restoration, hydrological aspects and uncertainty in 107–16, **116**
- channel straightening 210
- channel system response, in active geomorphological environments 267
- channelization 210
- channels, affected by storm water drainage 260
- chemical monitoring 194, **201**
- Chicago Metropolitan Region case studies 211–25  
 aerial photography analysis 216, 217, 218, **226**  
 alleviation of uncertainty 225, **226**  
 channel stability analysis 213–16, **226**  
 geomorphological stability relationships 213, 215  
 meandering vs. braided streams threshold 215–16  
 potential for remeandering 216
- field reconnaissance and survey control 216, 218, 219, **226**  
 bank protection structure placement 218, 218  
 visual assessment of channel conditions 213, 216, 218
- hydrological analysis 212–13, **226**  
 Poplar Creek 212–13, 214, 215
- naturalization projects (WFNBCR) 211–12  
 installation of pool – riffle structures 211–12, **212**, 213, 214
- numerical modeling 214, 219–21, **226**  
 FLOW – 3D® simulations 220–1, 222  
 Poplar Creek, MEANDER model 221, 223  
 program HEC – RAS, step-backwater modeling 220, 221
- physical modeling 219  
 design of pool – riffle sequences 219, 219  
 experiments 219, 220  
 models of naturalization designs 219
- Poplar Creek 212, 214, 255
- post-project evaluation of morphological integrity 221–5  
 concern about stability of a naturalized system 221–2  
 potential for morphological adjustment 222  
 WFNBCR, surveys 223, 224, 225
- stream – channel stability 225, 227
- uncertainty reflects concern about risk 224  
 WFNBCR, focus of uncertainty concerns 225
- Clean Water Act, USA 43
- climate models 271–2
- climatic variations, past influence on river system response **270**, 271
- cluster analysis, R. Cole and R. Tern, UK 118–20, 119  
 able to indicate degree of ‘biotope subtlety’ 118–19, 119  
 clustering/patchiness of physical habitat 118–19
- role for hydraulic and hydrodynamic flow modelling 119–20
- Coalburn catchment, UK, pulse number, pulse duration and average pulse duration 112, 113
- coarse particulate matter (CPOM) 140
- coarse sediment, sources, budgets and transport 260
- coarse woody debris (CWD) 140
- Cole, R., UK 123, 124, 125, 126, 173, 296  
 ‘Act of God’ 179  
 first meander acquired a straight section 175–6
- colonisation 149–50, 151
- communication 173–8  
 appropriate use of machinery 176–7  
 major river diversion, southern Scotland 177
- difficulties relating to 180
- pre-requisite of good river restoration practice 173–4
- stakeholders 177–8  
 R. Quaggy, London, use of volunteer labour 177
- translation of concept into design 174  
 Contra Costa County, California, USA 174, 174
- translation of design into practice 174–6
- uncertainty in, issues 18  
 understanding need for specific supplies 176  
*see also* project communication
- complex systems theory 145–6
- complexity 142
- compliance monitoring 297
- confidence, relating to uncertainty 24
- connecting flows, sediments and ecological response . . . 116–17
- fundamental aspects of eco-hydraulic approach 116, 117
- physical habitat defined 116
- connectivity, keys to switching on or off 261
- connectivity, disturbance and the ecological flow regime in channels 107–10
- dams, and river restoration 107–8
- ecological response to the stream hydrograph 108, 108

- ‘Hydrograph Component Analysis’ **109**, 110
- ‘natural flow’ 110
- remediation of flows 108
- uncertainty as an essential design criterion 107
- connectivity drivers **258**, 260–1
  - exhaustion effects 261
  - range of sedimentary cascades 260
  - Redwood Creek, California **278**
  - sediment waves or slugs 260, **261**
    - potentially destabilizing phenomena (PDP) 261
- conservation, site-based, in the CSM process 95
- Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (CDM), UK 230, 231
- construction phase
  - adaptation during 244, 244, **244**, 246
  - management alternatives 237, **239**
- ‘continuous improvement’ 229, 234
- contractual agreements 170–1
  - contractual arrangements 170
  - design and build contract 171
  - details of payment release 170
  - evaluation questions 171, **172**
  - financial contingency 183
  - method statements 171
  - snagging list 170
  - specification and design drawings 170–1
  - standard documentation usually adopted 170
  - tender document **182**
  - variation in 170
- controls, tightened to secure better policy delivery 99–100
- fit, interplay and scale 100
- policy implementation modes becoming more restrictive 100
- corrective interventions, possibility of vii
- costs and time scales 179–80
  - costs, affected by contractor reliability 180
  - lack of funds and site supervision 179
- cumulative watershed impacts (effects) analysis 274
- dams 3, 22
  - construction/decommissioning 260–1
  - and extreme change to a flow regime 107–8
  - river channel responses to 254
- D
- dating techniques and climate
  - change data 257, 266, 269–71
  - fluvial sediments, dating methods 269, **270**, 271
  - system memory 269
- dendrochronology 269, **270**
- design discharge, restoration project 54–5
- design drawings 170–1, 189–90
- desk top analysis 111–14
  - characterisation of high flows in rivers 111
  - flow variability or hydrological disturbance 111–12
  - hydrograph characteristics prediction 112, 114
  - Lotic Invertebrate Index for Flow Evaluation (LIFE) 114, 115
  - pulses above thresholds and pulse duration 112, 112
  - relating river flow directly to ecological data 114
- deterministic uncertainty 211
- digital elevation models and remote sensing **258**, 272
- disturbance cascades 259, 260
- disturbance, rate of recovery 149
- divergence 24
- drivers, long term and catchment scale 266–74
  - broadening the spatial analytical context **258**, 272–3
  - extending the reference time horizon 266–72
  - climate models 271–2
  - dating techniques and climate change data 269–71
  - historical time series and models 267–9
  - increasing understanding of sensitivity of channel morphological change **252**, **262**, 266
  - making sense of the outcomes, projecting the hydrosystem trajectory 273–4
- Drôme River, France 90, 267
- dryland river theory 15
- dynamic equilibrium 279, 291
- E
- eco-hydraulic simulations, alternatives to 133
- eco-hydraulics 107, 116
  - uncertainties in modelling 125, 127
- ecohydrology 290
- ecological monitoring, strengths and weaknesses **201**
- ecological pioneers 96
- ecological river restoration 140, 141
- ecological stability 146, 147
- ecological sustainability 293
- ecological uncertainty 151
- ecological uncertainty, sources of 140–6
  - goals and target setting 143–5
    - some commonly overlooked factors 143
    - use of reference sites 143
  - inherent variability 140, 142
  - limited understanding 142
    - academic peer-reviewed process 142
  - project selection 142–3
  - project structure 143
    - Kissimmee River Restoration project 143, 144, 145
  - time scales and trajectories 145–6, 153
    - systems may have any number of attractors 146
    - trajectory direction governed by system’s attractor 145–6
    - types of attractor 146, 146
- ecologists, place in an ecological project 143
- ecology 152
  - freshwater 290
- economic evaluation 297
- effectiveness monitoring 241, 245Cs
- engineering, concept of failure central to 295
- engineering design 231
- engineering science 290
- environmental flows, sport fishing demand 110–11
- ‘environmental flows’ concept 83
- environmental management 34
- environmental movement, and river/stream restoration 43
- environmental problem solving 10–11
- equifinality (convergence) 24, 107, 291

- error, and uncertainty 24
- EU Habitats and Birds Directive 81, 168, 298
- floodplain forests as a priority habitat type 79
- EU Water Framework Directive 168, 188–9, 204, 290, 298
- Annex V 94–5
- approach geared to coping with uncertainty 289–90
- catchment-scale view, ensuring catchment-scale compliance 297
- Common Implementation Strategy (CIS) guidance 94–5
- indicators of ecological status of rivers 147–8
- maintain and improve aquatic environment 94
- River Basin Management Plans 81, 90
- use of reference systems 92
- will require river management on the catchment scale 127
- Europe
- most floodplain forest disappeared 79–80
- patches remain mainly in E Europe 79–80, 80
- reach-scale management more readily promoted 90
- exactness, and uncertainty 24
- Expert Habitat Mapping (EHM) 133
- expertise and understanding 171–3
- de-culverting a burn, southern Scotland 173
- Redwood Creek California, engineered log jams 173, 173
- urban river restoration, S of England 171, 172, 173
- extrinsic drivers **258**
- Redwood Creek, California **278**
- susceptible to uplift, earthquakes or volcanic action 261–2
- F
- fine particulate matter (FPOM) production 140
- fish 132, 133, 148
- assumed to be capable of rapid colonisation 151
- conflict, Colorado River below Glen Canyon Dam 54
- post-implementation protocols 194–6
- upstream migration inhibited by dams 82
- fish survey methods, R. Misbourne, comparison between 160–1Ap
- depletion fishing and PASE 160–1Ap
- fisheries stocks, future 107
- fishing, traditional use of rivers and streams 48
- flood events, large 187
- flood management, broadening of approaches to 82
- flood pulse concept 83, 142
- flood risk management plans 241
- floodplain forests 79–80, 84, **85**, 91
- floodplain restoration 81
- interconnectivity and boundary crossing: institutional . . . 96–100
- floodplains 45, 96
- flow allocation methodologies 87–90
- Adaptive Water Management Framework 87
- ‘alternative futures’ approach 87–8Cs, 88Cs, 89Cs
- Building Block Methodology 87
- DRIFT methodology 87
- Flow Restoration Methodology 87
- holistic 87, 90
- flow records 267
- fluvial audits 274, 295
- fluvial geomorphologists 52, 61–2
- fluvial geomorphology 17, 290
- fractional sediment transport models 269
- freshwater ecosystems, and equifinality 291
- Freshwater Fishing Law, France 111
- functional analysis methods 114–15
- functional integrity 295
- functional uncertainty 289
- G
- geomorphic conceptual model case study 64–8
- analysis of uncertainties 66–7
- chronology of certainty in Snowy River project 66–7
- development of model for the lower Snowy River, SE Australia 64–8
- clearance and draining of wetlands 64
- second phase of disturbance, Snowy Mountain Scheme 64–5
- the geomorphic model 65–6
- bed level fluctuation 65
- effect of Snowy Mountain Scheme 65, 65
- geomorphic conceptual models 67–8, 74–5
- geomorphic design model case study 68–74
- analysis of uncertainties 69–73
- effect quantified using a Monte Carlo analysis 70
- entrainment thresholds 70
- errors in the hydraulic model 71, 73
- median particle size 70, 70, 73
- results of Monte Carlo analysis 72–3
- survey errors 71
- uncertainty in the hydrology 71–2
- use of ‘natural flow’ paradigm 72–3
- fine sediment infiltration into a stream bed 68
- flushing flows, mid-Goulburn River, Victoria, Australia 68–74
- estimating flows in ungauged catchments 78Ap, 78Ap
- modelling natural daily flow using data from gauged tributaries 77Ap, **77Ap**
- regulated by Eildon Dam 68, 77Ap
- and uncertainty 69–70, 72, **72**
- the geomorphic model 68–9
- use of one-dimensional hydraulic model 69
- options available to reduce uncertainties 73–4
- reducing model uncertainty 74, **74**
- geomorphic modelling, need to rethink approach to 75
- geomorphological hazards, associated with river channels 255
- geomorphological performance of naturalized rivers, evaluation methods 209–27
- geomorphological research 16–17
- Geomorphological Unit Hydrograph (GUH) 114
- geomorphology **202**

- GIS-driven models 273
- Grand Canyon, Colorado River 54  
and Glen Canyon Dam, river  
restoration for 16  
success of first flushing-flow 73
- H
- habitat diversity 114
- habitat relations 144–5, 152
- Habitat Suitability Indices (HSIs)  
125, 131, 156Ap  
pooled results can be transferred  
between rivers 128, 128  
truncated, use of 129–30  
types of **128**
- historical time series and models  
267–9  
changes in temporal drivers  
analyzed 267  
improvements in bedload  
sediment transport modelling  
267, 269  
information sources used **268**
- hydraulic geometry 15
- hydraulic habitat simulation and  
modelling 120–7  
ecological uncertainties in  
eco-hydraulic modelling  
125, 127  
two- and three-dimensional  
numerical schemes in  
ecological restoration  
applications 120–5  
changing flow representations,  
SSIM model 123, 124,  
125  
common numerical flow  
models 120–1, **121**  
field data requirements for  
model calibration 121,  
122, 123  
flow resistance effects 123  
uncertainties in the hydraulic and  
hydrodynamic modelling  
process 120
- hydraulic models  
geomorphic design model 69, 71,  
75  
in PHABSIM 156Ap
- Hydro-Morphology Quality  
Assessments 92
- hydrodynamic modelling and habitat  
simulation, more complex  
129–33  
alternatives to physical habitat  
models 133  
bioenergetics 132–3
- swimming speeds 130, 132
- two-dimensional PHABSIM  
129–30, 131
- hydroecology 290
- ‘Hydrograph Component Analysis’  
**109**, 110
- hydrological connectivity 260
- hydrology 107, 194, **202**
- hydrology, Goulburn River site,  
uncertainty in 71–2, 77–8Ap
- I
- IFIM *see Instream Flow Incremental  
Methodology (IFIM)*
- IFIM and PHABSIM, use and  
potential limitations 156–7Ap
- implementation monitoring 241,  
245Cs  
defects period 241
- impoundments, managed releases  
downstream from 85–7  
St Mary River, Alberta, Canada  
86–7Cs  
Recruitment Box model  
86–7Cs, 86Cs, 87Cs
- institutions, effective: search for  
optimal fit, interplay and scale  
97–8  
fit can relate to variety of  
ecosystem properties 97  
interplay, familiar problems in  
floodplain restoration 97–8  
problems of scale 98  
Science Plan (IDGEC) 97–8
- Instream Flow Incremental  
Methodology (IFIM)* 145,  
158Ap, 256–7Ap
- integrated river basin management  
(IRBM) 281
- Integrated Water Resources  
Management (IWRM) 99–100
- intermediate disturbance hypothesis  
84
- International River Restoration  
Survey 22
- invertebrates, predicting response to  
flow restoration . . . 157–9Ap
- Detrended Correspondence  
Analysis (DECORANA)  
159Ap
- Instream Flow Incremental  
Methodology (IFIM) and  
PHABSIM 158Ap
- MISINDEX, developed for use on  
R. Misbourne 159Ap
- Scott Wilson Kirkpatrick (SWK)  
Methodology 157–8Ap
- Surface Water Abstraction  
Licensing Policy (SWALP)  
Methodology 158Ap
- isotopic dating 269, **270**
- Israel  
contractor unreliability 180  
no national agenda for  
environmental improvement  
168
- K
- keystone species, monitoring may  
not always be possible 145
- Kissimmee River Restoration  
project, USA 143, 144, 145,  
152
- Klamath Basin, USA 7
- knowledge – uncertainty relationship  
26–7, 27
- L
- lake restoration, focus often on fish  
139
- Lambourn R., UK, monitoring status  
of bullhead 148
- landscape architects 53–4
- landscape ecology 256
- ‘Leitbilds’ (target visions) 29, 31  
‘Leitbild concept’ 248
- LIFE *see Lotic-Invertebrate Index  
for Flow Evaluation (LIFE)*
- LIFE River Restoration Project,  
Europe 296
- look-up tables 110–11  
hydrological indices for water  
management rules 111  
Tennant Method 111
- Lotic-Invertebrate Index for Flow  
Evaluation (LIFE) 96, 114,  
115, 158–9Ap  
earlier channel-drying can  
produce spurious scores 150,  
158Ap
- M
- macroinvertebrates 159Ap, 196
- macrophytes 196  
loss of 161Ap
- management culture, risk-averse  
23
- management uncertainty 298
- Manning *n* roughness parameter 69,  
71, 72, **72**, 120
- Marsh Creek, Brentwood,  
California, case study 55,  
56, 57  
channel straightening 56, 57

- historical evidence for active channel migration 56, 57  
 perceptions, preferences of local residents 56
- Misbourne R., UK 151
- fish community  
 longer term response to recovery enhancement 161–3Ap  
 predictable response to increased flow 145, 159–63Ap
- monitoring ecological response of recovery enhancement 159–63Ap  
 affected by abstraction 159Ap  
 aim, restore a native fish community 159–60Ap  
 comparison between fish survey methods 160–1Ap  
 loss of macrophytes 161Ap  
 monitoring of macroinvertebrates and fish 159Ap  
 response of fish community longer term 161–3Ap  
 Site 5 161Ap, 162–3Ap
- more specific sampling regime using PASE and replicate samples 148–9, 161–3Ap
- sticklebacks 141, 161Ap, 163Ap  
 variation in LIFE scores 158–9Ap
- modelling 34  
 useful in extending range of field observations 133–4
- monitoring programmes, devised 146–52  
 appraisal and feedback 151–2  
 basic design 146–8  
 indicators of ecological status and response 147–8  
 reference reaches 146  
 robust baseline data 146  
 evaluation and deviations from expected outcomes 149–51  
 poor implementation 149  
 recolonisation, factors influencing rate of 149–50  
 sampling requirements 148–9  
 invertebrates 148, 157–8Ap
- monitoring programmes, large number of parameters can be measured in **192**, **200**, **201**, **202**, **203**
- monitoring techniques rigorous selection/design of 153
- scientific values of against cost 200, 203
- Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) 92
- N
- naturalized streams  
 evaluation of geomorphological performance 211–25  
 issues relevant to performance 210–11  
 fluvial responses to human manipulation 210
- nature, the nature of 290–1
- New Rivers and Wildlife Handbook, RSPB, criteria for evaluation 241
- non-salmonids, uncertainty in pre- and post-restoration monitoring 195–6
- O
- open outcomes, embracing challenge of 98–9
- Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) method **270**, 271
- P
- palaeohydrological research 271, **271**
- palaeohydrology 263–4, **264**  
 palaeoflood hydrology 264–5
- participation 46–7  
 stakeholder 296–7
- PHABSIM 127–9, 152, 193  
 approach outlined 127–8  
 evaluation of 129  
 form of Habitat Suitability Indices (HSIs) used 128, **128**  
 and IFIM, use and potential limitations 156–7Ap  
 major strengths/weaknesses summarised 129, **130**  
 may enable targets to be set for particular species 145  
 only as good as entered data 157Ap  
 thought inappropriate for use in New Zealand 157Ap  
 two-dimensional 129–30  
 Bere stream, UK example 129, 131
- philosophies and metrics monitoring 293–7  
 compliance monitoring 297  
 economic evaluation 297
- monitoring and management 296  
 monitoring and scale 295  
 monitoring and stakeholder participation 296–7  
 monitoring variables 295–6  
 relationship to design 295
- physical habitat modelling, habitat mapping approach 127
- Physical Habitat Simulation Model* see PHABSIM
- physical monitoring techniques **202**
- Plate River, USA 17
- policy implementation, becoming more restrictive 100
- pool – riffle structures, West Fork North Branch of Chicago River (WFNBCR) 211–12  
 bar – unit concept 212  
 installation by design-and-build firm 212, 214  
 multi-objective considerations **212**
- positivism, view of uncertainty 33
- post-channelization adjustment 210
- post-construction phase, immediate acceptable adjustment during 243Cs  
 adaptation during 244, 244, 246
- post-implementation evaluations 209
- post-implementation protocols 190–8, 190  
 ‘as-built’ survey 190  
 biological monitoring 194, 195  
 chemical monitoring 194  
 key sources of uncertainty 194
- fish 194–6  
 monitoring methods for salmonids 195  
 uncertainty in monitoring non-salmonids 195–6
- macroinvertebrates 196
- macrophytes 196  
 rarely the target for rehabilitation 196
- monitoring 190–6  
 detects issues calling for management action 190–1, **191**  
 monitoring frequency 191  
 overview of techniques 191, **192**, 193  
 rates of response to restoration 191, **191**  
 selection of reference stretches 193

- physical monitoring 193–4  
 geomorphic monitoring 193  
 hydrologic monitoring and stream gauging stations 194  
 repeat photography 194  
 stream reconnaissance 193–4  
 topographic survey 193  
 velocity 194  
 post-project appraisal 196–8  
 post-project appraisal (PPA) 151, 189, 190, 232, 275, 295  
 compliance audit 197–8, 197  
 performance audit 197, 198  
 suggested methodology for 196–8, 197  
 pre-implementation evaluations 209  
 pre-implementation protocols and sources of uncertainty 188–90  
 design drawings 189–90  
 dissemination 198  
 inadequate baseline studies 188–9  
 poorly defined project objectives and success criteria 189  
 precautionary principle 9, 299  
 precision, and uncertainty 24  
 process domains concept 82–3  
 strong upstream – downstream relationships 83  
 process drivers **258**, 259–60  
 disturbance cascades 259  
 propagation through gravitational flow paths 260  
 and dynamics of wood transfer 259  
 and ecological changes over the catchment 260  
 incidence of short term changes 259  
 influence of hydrological events 259  
 Late Quaternary, Little Ice Age, short term change 259  
 Redwood Creek, California **277**  
 temporally-varying drivers 259  
 Professional Indemnity (PI) insurance 232  
 professionals  
 and collaborative planning 56  
 conflicts among 52–4, 56  
 conflicts with local groups 54–5  
 Chicago prairie restoration controversy 54  
 restoration project, Jamison Creek, Plumas-Eureka State Park 54–5  
 programme design, monitored 189  
 Project Appraisal Guidance series (MAFF/DEFRA) 232  
 project communication 237, 240, 240  
 project implementation, step-wise 240  
 project management, making policy more sensitive to the challenge of 100–1  
 effective policy instruments 100  
 provision of targets and frameworks 100  
 project monitoring and appraisal, incorporation of uncertainty considerations 198–200  
 Australia 198, **199**  
 Denmark, restoration of salmonid migratory and spawning habitats 198  
 Irish Republic, river restoration programmes 199  
 Italy 198–9  
 UK 199  
 USA 199–200  
 public policy and management, risk, uncertainty and ignorance in 288, **288**  
 pulsed sediment supply, in river restoration 269  
 R  
 radiocarbon dating 269, **270**  
 Ravensbourn R., London, UK  
 catchment wide review of morphology 233  
 post project monitoring 245Cs  
 reach-scale management 90–2  
 disturbance management 90  
 increase in floodplain capacity . . . 91, 91  
 The Netherlands 91, 91  
 management of riparian vegetation 91–2  
 planting of floodplain forests 91  
 prevention of grazing by domestic animals 91–2  
 management of river's sediment load 91  
 re-connection of side arms in rivers 91  
 L'Île de la Platière site, River Rhône, France 91  
 Regelsbrunner Au project, Austrian Danube 91  
 restoration of the channel wetted perimeter 91  
 recolonisation 149–50, 158Ap  
 recovery enhancement 142  
 Recruitment Box model 86–7Cs, 86Cs, 87Cs  
 Redwood Creek, California 179  
 comparison of sediment budgets from different historical periods 276–9  
 catchment models constructed in GIS 278  
 creation of surrogate hydrological record 276  
 drivers related to spatial and temporal change **277–8**  
 historical time series 276  
 knickpoints 278  
 process-based distributed sediment budgets for each focal period 278  
 rates of sediment transport modelled 278  
 rates of valley alluviation prior to human disturbance 276, 278  
 engineered log jams 173, 173  
 reference conditions 52, 81  
 riparian, criteria for establishment of 92  
 selection methods 31  
 reference reaches 146, 152  
 reference stretches, selection of 193  
 un-restored 193  
 undisturbed 193  
 reference systems, used in river restoration projects 92  
 relational drivers 257–9, **258**  
 Redwood Creek, California **277**  
 summarized 257, 258–9  
 research and development 247  
 reservoir release patterns 45  
 resilience 93  
 limitations to notions of 296  
 restoration 4, 43, 134, 149, 168, 189  
 best indicators of success 293  
 conflicts  
 among multiple goals/objectives 52–5  
 and conservation 22  
 or creation? 298  
 early schemes 98  
 eco-hydromorphic approach 140  
 incorporating uncertainty in 34

- limited by inability of ecologists to set targets 143–4  
 limiting scope and scale of 98  
 special case of uncertainty 298  
 strategic goals for 293  
 success and pre-implementation protocols 188, 188  
 support for and after construction 46  
*Restoration of Aquatic Ecosystems* (USA) 139  
 restoration design 257, 257  
 restoration ecology 4, 11, 139  
 restoration failures 203  
 restoration, monitoring and evaluation 92–6  
   ecological quality, relating to ecological success 93–6  
   ecological success and evaluation of 92–3  
     criteria for 92–3  
     dynamic equilibrium 93  
     how do we value biodiversity 96  
 restoration objectives, shaping of 265–6  
   assessing channel sensitivity to change 266  
   conceptualization of system responsiveness 265, 266  
 restoration planning, rigour in 29, 30  
 restoration projects  
   examples, in-stream geomorphic actions in 62  
   exclusions from economic evaluation 297  
   opportunity to adapt management or mitigate undesirable effects 244, 246  
   uncertainty and management of: construction and early post-construction phases 229–48  
 in an urban – rural – wilderness environment 44–5  
 ‘weight-of-evidence’ type of approach 275  
 restoration, scale and practice of 84–92  
   catchment-scale management 84–90  
   reach-scale management 90–2  
   requirements for self-regenerating floodplain forest 84, 85  
   use of reference systems 92  
 restoration scheme construction: uncertainty, challenge and opportunity 167–84, 184  
 achievement of objectives, always some uncertainty 167  
 background 167–9  
   changing attitudes to liability 168  
   old construction methods 167–8  
 case studies 169–80  
   communication 173–8  
   contractual agreement 170–1  
   costs and time scales 179–80  
   expertise and understanding 171–3  
   factors influencing uncertainty in construction 169  
   site variables 178–9  
   competent contractor numbers increasing 167  
 restoration schemes  
   before – after – control – impact (BACI) design 152–3  
   contemporary, little monitoring/post-project appraisal 187–8  
   seen as one way of reducing uncertainty 292–3  
 restoration teams, multi-disciplinary approach 189  
 restoration uncertainties, accommodation of 274–9  
   central concerns for restoration 274–5  
   ‘management-with-nature’ 274  
   use of long term, catchment scale information 275–9  
 restored rivers, sustainability of 253–81  
 restored rivers, uncertainty and sustainable management of 287–99  
   river habitat restoration and uncertainty 290–1  
   science, rivers and uncertainty 289–90  
   sustainable uncertainty, a background 287–9  
 Reynolds roughness number 70, 70  
 Rib R., UK 149, 150–1, 150  
 riparian corridors  
   naturally wooded 46  
   preferred sites for camping by the homeless 47–8, 48  
 riparian environments, natural functional dynamics of 82–4  
   dynamics: variable flows, sediment delivery and channel migration 83–4  
   ‘environmental flows’ concept 83  
   flood pulse concept 83  
   intermediate disturbance hypothesis 84  
   riparian tree species, some require occasional large floods 83  
   river meandering (channel migration) 83–4  
 scale and spatial relationships: longitudinal and lateral 82–3  
   habitats reflect behaviour of river at reach scale 82  
   upstream – downstream connectivity 82–3  
 riparian and floodplain restoration, uncertainty in 79–101  
   case for restoration 79–80  
   institutional complexities, interconnectivity and boundary crossing 96–101  
   monitoring and evaluating restoration 92–6  
   natural function dynamics of riparian environments 82–4  
   policy-related windows of opportunity 80–2  
   agri-environmental management 81  
   integrated flood management strategies 80  
   land-use planning regulations modification 81  
   policy shifts conducive to floodplain restoration 80, 81  
   shift from species protection to habitat enhancement 81  
   shift to upstream river basin management 80–1  
   scale and practice of restoration 84–92  
   catchment-scale management 84–90  
   reach-scale management 90–2  
 riparian and floodplain zones 79–80  
   ecosystems valued for many services 79  
   floodplain forests, high levels of biodiversity 79  
   high plant species diversity on floodplains 79  
   uncertainty over how services valued over time 79  
 riparian zone(s)  
   an aquatic – terrestrial ecotone 83

- included in CSM guidance for rivers 95
- risk 248
  - definition of tolerability **230**
  - non-quantifiable 230
  - perceived risk 230
  - quantifiable risk 230
  - and uncertainty 24
- risk analysis, components of 5
- risk management
  - construction phase 231–2
    - Environmental Action Plan 231
    - Health and Safety Plan 231
    - re-examining key risks 231–2
    - risk register 231
  - post-construction 232
    - defects and corrections period 232
    - post-project monitoring and appraisal 232
- risk management, through understanding natural processes 232–4
  - creation of dynamic systems 233
  - improved integration of science into decision making 232–3
    - conventional approach 232
    - examples of uncertainties considered 232, **233**
  - increasing scale 233–4
  - river restoration or rehabilitation 233
- river and catchment as an uncertain system 105–7
  - channel restoration in widest sense 105
  - climate and landscape nonstationary through time 106–7
  - ecological function of the channel, responses to local conditions 106
  - river restoration/rehabilitation schemes, feedbacks 105–6, 106
- river channel management 264, **265**
- river channel response, catchment scale and long term drivers of 256–62
  - spatial and temporal drivers 257, **258**
    - connectivity drivers 260–1
    - difficult to separate 256, 257
    - extrinsic drivers 261–2
    - process drivers 259–60
    - relational drivers 257–9
  - uncertainties rising from channel-form changes **255**, 256
- river conservation, five dimensional approach 256
- river continuum concept 82
- river ecosystems, prime challenge to functionality in 295–6
- river engineering
  - approaches to risk 231
  - British 179, 289
  - perceived mistakes in 233
- river engineering works, frameworks for 229–32
  - construction phase 231–2
  - existing approaches to risk management 231
  - post-construction 232
  - risk 230
  - uncertainty 231
- river habitat restoration, and uncertainty 290–1
- River Habitats Survey, England and Wales 296
- river management 82
  - contributions from geomorphological research 264
  - contributions from palaeohydrology 264
  - participatory management approaches encouraged 279
  - predictive management as current model 29
  - principles 298
  - reasons for considering temporal change in 264, **264**
  - see also* sustainable river/stream restoration
- river management agencies, restructuring of 247
- river management projects
  - adaptive management required 292
  - general principles for assessing sustainability 292
  - zero (minimum) management intervention 292
- River Morphology, River Habitat and River Corridor Surveys 92
- river reaches 17, 82
- river recovery assessment 261
- river response, should be contextualized 274
- river restoration 21, 28, 105, 139, 148, 279–80, 293
  - act of ‘strong sustainability’ 291
  - active approaches vs. passive approaches 31–2
  - amateur sector 297
  - ‘back to nature’ image 291
  - biological and ecological limitations 140
  - catchment-scale and long term perspectives, uncertainties 255
  - conceptual and mathematical modelling in 61–78
  - determining environmental flow regime for . . . 110–15
    - desk top analysis 111–14
    - functional analysis methods – Building Block Methodology 114–15
    - holistic approach 115
    - look-up tables 110–11
  - dissemination of information and results 246, **246**
  - focused on anadromous fish 139–40
  - future management options 295
  - meeting the demands 232–4
  - monitoring and management criteria **294**, 295
  - nature of management in 292–3
  - not often considered in catchment scale strategic assessment 241
  - overview of social aspects of 44–7
    - cultural preferences in design 45–6
    - public participation and active stakeholders 46–7
    - an urban – rural – wilderness continuum 44–5, 44
  - planned return of flooding, uncertainty associated with 82
  - Rosgen classification 46
  - the status quo in 22–3
  - sustainability of, and uncertainty and risk 253–6
    - catchment scale uncertainties 253
    - human activity altering catchment-scale flows 254
    - river channels responsive to decadal-scale climate change variations 254
  - uncertainties when using bioenergetic modelling approach as a tool 132–3

- river restoration design
- cultural preferences 45–6
  - conversion of braided rivers to single thread channels 45
  - example, Uvas Creek, California 45, 46, 46
  - largely shape restoration goals 56
  - meandering channels, Rosgen approach 46, 46
  - preference for ‘deflected vistas’ 45–6
  - preference for grassy banks 45, 46
  - spatial relational and relational temporal drivers 258
- river restoration ecology 140
- river restoration planning 274, 276
- adopting a risk-based approach 275
  - and bedload sediment transport modelling 267, 269
  - catchment information related to uncertainty in **263**
  - development of fractional sediment transport equations 269
  - uncertainty and sustainability 279
- river restoration planning,
- geomorphic modelling in 61–2
  - case studies introduction 63–4
  - geomorphic conceptual model case study 63, 64–8
  - geomorphic design model case study 63, 68–74
  - why care about uncertainty 62–3
- river restoration projects 4, 31, 203, 248, 292–3
- challenges restricting further reduction of uncertainty 246–7
  - design team **172**, 181, **182**, 183
  - generic decision process, some common sources of uncertainty 28, **28**
  - intensive appraisal suited to demonstration projects **199**, 203
  - needs of sustainability 3–4
  - opportunistic reach-specific initiatives 240–1
  - overview of relationship between construction and design 180–1, 181
  - planning: social and cultural dimensions 43–56
- Baxter Creek, El Cerrito, case study 55, 55
- conflicts among multiple goals and objectives 52–5
- dynamic vs. meandering channels 46
- human uses of urban waterways 47–52
- Marsh Creek, Brentwood, California, case study 56, 57
- public participation and active stakeholders 46–7
- practical approaches to management of risk and uncertainty 234–5
- adaptive management as a concept 234
- examples of adaptive management in practice 234–5, **236**
- significant worldwide increase in 203–4
- some remaining challenges 246–7
- intergenerational trade-offs 247
- restructuring of river management agencies 247
- sustainable 233
- uncertainty in theory 15
- river restoration research, sources of uncertainty 15–19
- bias 18
- uncertainty in communication 17–18
- example, restoration of Elwha River, Washington, USA 18
  - use of specialized terms and terms with nuances 17
- uncertainty in research 16–17
- example, channel width 16
  - example, restoration of Platte River, USA 17
- uncertainty in theory 15–16
- example, Grand Canyon, Colorado River and Glen Canyon Dam 16
- fluvial geomorphological theory 16
- introduction of concepts surrounding hydraulic geometry 15
- unwise to extend models beyond ranges of data and origin 16
- river restoration science, high degree of confidence in 11
- river restoration, scope of uncertainties in 21–35
- meaning of uncertainty 23–7
  - how do knowledge and uncertainty relate 26–7, 27
  - a lexicon of uncertainty 23–5, **23**
  - typology for uncertainty 25–6
  - philosophies of uncertainty 32–4
  - revisiting river restoration and uncertainty 27–32
  - approaches to restoration 31–2
  - motives for restoration 28–9
  - notions that drive restoration 29–31
  - status quo in river restoration 22–3
- river restoration, uncertainty in 3–11
- broad philosophical views about scientific uncertainty 4–6
  - on confidence in scientific models 5
  - scientific conservatism 5
  - case study, scientific uncertainty in river restoration 9–10
  - practical and policy aspects of uncertainty 8–9
  - approaches to deal with uncertainties 9
  - societal development in proximity to rivers 3
  - value-laden dimensions of science and uncertainty 7–8
  - problems arising from non-recognition of 8
  - value issues hidden in public policy 8
  - what is ‘good’ science under conditions of uncertainty 6–7
  - ‘ninety-five per cent confidence rule’ 6
  - null hypotheses 6
  - type I and type II errors 6, 6–7
- river restoration and uncertainty revisited 27–32
- approaches to restoration 31–2
  - selecting reference conditions 31
  - motives for restoration 28–9
  - notions that drive restoration 29–31, **30**

- rigour in restoration planning 29, 30
- sustainable catchment management 29
- stakeholders 27–8
- river styles framework 258
- river water quality regulations, safety factors in 8
- Riverine Community Habitat Assessment and Restoration Concept*, UK lowland chalk streams 157Ap
- rivers 289–90
- arid and semi-arid regions, over-exploited 3
  - as corridors to maintain biodiversity 53
  - decline in physical and ecological integrity of 22
  - different professional perceptions and attitudes to 52–4, 53, 57
  - as dynamic ecosystem elements 279
  - European 3, 95–6
  - main descriptors of ecological quality 94, 95
  - placing within correct physical and ecological context 188–9
  - and streams, focus shifted away from water quality 139
- Rush Creek, California, USA 143
- S
- salmonids, monitoring methods for 195
- sampling processes, fluvial geomorphology 17
- science
- logical positivist view 5
  - misconceptions concerning 23
  - rivers and uncertainty 289–90
- Science Plan (IDGEC) 97
- scientific research 11
- scientific uncertainty 4–6, 9
- associated with methods of measurement and modelling 231
  - regarding environment and human health problems 6
  - in river restoration, R Ikpoba, Nigeria 9–10
  - uncertainties related to analysis and synthesis of data 10
- sediment budgets 274, 276
- sediment connectivity, variations in induced by climate change 271
- sediment dynamics, in geomorphic monitoring 193
- sediment management 90
- sediment monitoring records, and cosmogenic beryllium-10 analysis 271
- sediment movements and residence times 261
- sedimentary cascades
- clues to connectivity 273
  - range of 260
- sensitivity 255–6
- geomorphological sensitivity concept 255
  - a nested phenomenon 256
  - temporal elements of 255
- shared knowledge base 152
- Shields' dimensionless shear stress 69, 69
- effect of parameter uncertainty 69, 70
- Shields' entrainment function 69
- site variables 178–9, 183
- clear understanding of potential impacts 181
  - substrate, R. Quaggy, London, extra gravel found 178, 178
  - weather conditions and seasonality 178–9
  - Acts of God 179
  - Californian coast 178–9
  - July – September, southern Scotland 179
  - Redwood Creek, California 179
- social metagoals 298
- spawning habitat rehabilitation 32
- species diversity, levels in riparian and floodplain habitats 96
- species richness 145, 158Ap
- spontaneous regulation concept 293
- stakeholder groups, conflicts among 54
- stakeholders 47, 96, 286–7
- hold differing opinions on causes of wicked problem 296
- stationarity 16, 17
- stream channel assessment 257
- stream naturalization 209–10
- stream reconnaissance 193–4
- estimates of bank erosion 193–4
  - substrate composition 194
- stream restoration *see* river restoration
- success criteria/indicators, setting of 236, 237
- example, Blackwater R. UK 236, 237
- success, measures of: uncertainty and . . . 187–204
- methodologies from around the globe 198–200
  - post-implementation protocols 190–6
  - pre-implementation protocols 188–90
  - recommendations for best practice 200
- survey and visual methods: biotopes and . . . 117–20
- biotopes, relating to likely biological function 117–18
  - bottom and top down approaches 117
  - obvious role for hydraulic and hydrodynamic flow modelling 119–20
  - uncertainties 118–19, 119
- sustainability 233
- inherently bound up with uncertainty 299
- sustainable development 291
- sustainable environments, and social and political drivers 299
- sustainable management 287, 291–2
- almost always participatory management 299
  - informed action a central plank 290
  - requires adaptive approaches 288
  - of restored rivers 292, 293
- sustainable restoration and management, a wicked problem 299
- sustainable river/stream restoration 281
- goal 58
  - resilience-based definition 254 and uncertainty 299
- sustainable uncertainty 297–9
- background 287–9
  - compliance monitoring 297
  - restoration, special case of uncertainty 298
- sustainable uncertainty and restoration: . . . 291–3
- nature of management in river restoration 292–3
- T
- Tame, R., UK, habitat suitability at high flows . . . 130, 132, 132

- Highly Modified reach 132  
 Less Modified reach 132, 132  
 target setting in river restoration projects 143–5  
   difficult, time consuming and expensive 144  
   expressed as constants or thresholds 145  
 Kissimmee River Restoration Project 144, 145  
 in large and complex schemes 145  
 pragmatic approach 144  
 targets may need to be expressed as ranges 145  
 Thames, R., UK, focus on restoring habitat quality 139  
 Tiltmore Brook, Hants, UK, immediate post-construction adjustment 243Cs  
 trout stream restoration, USA 43  
 Tyne R., UK, channel and floodplain responses to individual flood events 142–3, 267
- U  
 UK 106–7, 108, 168  
   Alleviation of Low Flow (ALF) schemes 157Ap  
   Common Standards Monitoring (CSM) system 95  
   environmental control by water abstraction licenses 90, 90  
   Ecological Flow Objective 90  
   Resource Assessment and Management (RAM) framework 90  
   Water Level Management Plans 90  
 evaluation of case studies, lack of target setting 144, 144  
 Lotic Invertebrate Index for Flow Evaluation (LIFE) 96, 114, 115  
 projects incorporating post-project appraisal (PPA) 151  
 River Restoration Centre 143, 169  
 strategic flood management tools need adaptation 81  
 use of index of natural flow 111  
 use of PHABSIM model 127  
 UN Environmental Programme 152  
 UN Hydrology for Environment, Life and Policy (HELP) initiative 279
- uncertainties 10, 254–5, 280–1  
 in response variables 62  
 on the social and cultural fronts of stream restoration 58  
*see also* restoration uncertainties  
 uncertainties, scope of in river restoration 21–35  
 philosophies of uncertainty 32–4  
 revisiting river restoration and uncertainty 27–32  
 status quo in river restoration 22–3  
 what does uncertainty mean 23–7  
 uncertainty 146, 195–6, 231, 248, 256  
 about the outcome of stream naturalization 225  
 a basic strategy for dealing with 21  
 challenges scientists seeking to understand complex systems 287  
 in contemporary river restoration practice 188  
 coping with  
   I: keeping restoration simple 98  
   II: embracing the challenge of open outcomes 98–9  
   III: tightening controls to secure better policy delivery 99–100  
 epistemic uncertainty, six main types 62  
 five basic sources of 105  
 general sources of 15  
 has positive merit 299  
 in the hydrology, Goulburn River site 71–2  
 integration into management practices 287  
 key comes down to modelling vs. monitoring 75  
 meanings of 23–7  
 negative view within science 287  
 an opportunity not a threat 298  
 philosophies of 32–4  
   cope with uncertainty 32, 33, 34  
   eliminate uncertainty 32, 33, 34  
   embrace uncertainty 33, 34, 35  
   ignore uncertainty 32–3, 32  
   reduce uncertainty 32, 33, 34  
 practical and policy aspects 8–9  
 primary sources in river restoration 15  
 quantifiable continuum of 24, 25  
 reduced by detailed project monitoring 241, 244, 245Cs  
 effectiveness monitoring 241, 245Cs  
 implementation monitoring 241, 245Cs  
 validation monitoring 244, 245Cs  
 in riparian and floodplain restoration 79–101  
 and river restoration 232  
 science and rivers 289–90  
 scientists, the media and the general public 33  
 sources of in river restoration research 15–19  
 and sustainability 299  
 in transferring designs into built projects 169  
 in translation of concept into design 174  
 typology for 25–6  
   due to limited knowledge 25, 26, 34  
   due to variability 25, 26  
   unreliability uncertainties 26, 26  
 unfashionable positive view 298  
 within the construction phase 180  
*see also* ecological uncertainty; functional uncertainty; scientific uncertainty  
 urban stream restoration projects 49  
 urban waterways, human uses 47–9  
   camping by the homeless 47–8  
   fishing 48  
   water sports 48–9  
 urban waterways, spontaneous uses 49–52, 58  
   adventures 49–50  
   manipulating the environment 51  
   quiet and secluded use 49  
   swimming, flushing and diving 51–2, 52  
   wading and paddling 51  
   wildlife contact 50–1  
 USA 22, 108  
 Endangered Species Act 168

- 
- gravel-bed streams, Sierra Nevada foothills, California 108, **109**
  - overview of construction issues, urban restoration projects 168–9
  - proliferation of local creek groups 47
  - US Army Corps, tends to outline more traditional methods 168
  - V
  - validation 125
  - validation monitoring 244, 245Cs
  - velocity field data, frequency histograms, dataset systematically degraded 121, 122, 123
  - verification 23, 125
  - W
  - water policy, making and planning, California 47
  - water sports 48–9
    - more active forms in urban river restoration 49
  - watershed analysis 274
  - websites, for information exchange 142
  - wetland creation projects 188
  - WFD *see* EU Water Framework Directive
  - WFNBCR *see under* Chicago Metropolitan Region case studies
  - wicked problems, monitoring and management 296
  - Willamette Basin, Oregon, USA 87–8Cs, 88Cs, 89Cs
    - alternative futures analysis, participatory approach 87
    - biophysical factors and socio-economic restraints 88
    - finding suitable area for restoration 88, 89

