

INDEX

A

Academic rigor, and good teaching, 72
Achievement First, 72
Achievement gap, working together to close, 205–207
Achievement scores, 114–115
Achievement targets, Mather Elementary School (Boston, Mass.), 16
Action plan, developing, 180–181
Adult learning, 87
Advisory input, and rubrics, 172–173
Alexandria, Virginia, rating scale, 145
Allen, D., 183
Alvarado, Anthony, 62
Aspire Charter Schools (California), 144
Assessments, *See also* Evaluations; Interim assessments; On-the-spot assessments; Supervision: Finland’s approach to, 125–126; interim, 113–150; limitation, 113–114; portfolios, 119; report card grades, 118; student projects, 118; student work, 118; weak alignment between teaching and, 11–12; year-end test scores, 117–118
Authentic classroom observation, xvi

B

Bambrick-Santoyo, P., 68, 223fn
Begley, S., 188
Ben-Hur, M., 91
Benchmark assessments, *See* Interim assessments
Big Picture Company, 118
“Big Rocks” story, 181–182
Binary scales, rationale for, 30
Black, P., 74
Blanchard, K., 166
Bloom, B., 74, 91, 116, 152
Bloomberg, M., 37
Boston Public Schools evaluation checklist, 143; Dimensions of Effective Teaching Rubric, 145
Boyd-Zaharias, J., 117
Bracey, G., xiii
Brown-Chidsey, R., 125

C

Calderon, Hector, 125
Calendar: curriculum, 100–102; format for, 183–184
Chenoweth, K., 17

- Classroom assessments, *See* Assessments
- Classroom culture, and good teaching, 72
- Classroom limitations, and assessments, 113–114
- Classroom materials, and curriculum, 96
- Classroom methods, and curriculum, 95
- Classroom observation time, 66–69; delivering feedback, 80–82; mini-observations, 66–69
- Classroom visits, *See also* Classroom observations: capturing/remembering information from, 77–79; classroom observation time, 66–69; and depth, 53; keeping track of, 52–53; length vs. frequency of, 69; unannounced, xvi, 87, 191
- Climate/culture, and student achievement, 179
- Clotfelter, C., xii
- Coaches, example of a district's use of, 131–134
- Coalition of Essential Schools, 118
- Cohen, D., 65
- Coherent learning standards, lack of, 11
- Collaboration, 202; and student achievement, 179
- Collegiality, lack, 10
- Commercial interim assessments, 127–128
- Commercial programs, and curriculum, 95
- Conservatory Lab Charter School (Boston, Mass.), 145
- Content-specific knowledge and strategy, and good teaching, 72
- Continuous improvement, 32, 178, 193–194; rubrics as bridge to, 170–174
- COPWAKTA syndrome (Calling on People Who Already Know the Answer), 77
- Costa, J., 191
- Covey, S., 54–55, 177, 182
- Cox, E., 83
- Critical evaluations, 31–32
- Critical feedback, 56–58, 204; delivering, 80–82; goal of, 84; and teacher defensiveness, 32
- Cross-pollinators, 83
- Culture, and student achievement, 179
- Cumulative review, and good teaching, 73
- Curriculum: alignment, and student achievement, 179; calendar, 100–102; as in classroom materials, 96; as in classroom methods, 95; as in commercial programs, 95–96; design, 89–112; expectations, grade-by-grade, 10; as in grade-level learning expectations, 95; as in K-12 articulation, 95; planning, 194; planning gap, 92–94; seven uses for, 94–96; as in state standards, 94; as in teaching units, 96; unit plans, 102–106
- D**
- Daily to-do list, 182–183
- Danielson, C., 33, 139, 142, 223fn, 244; rating scale, 144–145
- Data meetings, and interim assessments, 129–131, 134
- Data Wise/Data Wise in Action*, 117
- Delegation, 189–191
- Differentiation, and good teaching, 73
- Dimensions of Effective Teaching Rubric, Boston Public Schools, 145
- Dismissals of teachers, 34
- Display data, 129

Do-your-own-thing curriculum ethos,
10–11

Dog-and-pony shows, 60, 62

Downey, C., 61

DuFour, R., xi–xii, 199

E

E-mail, 188

Edmonds, R., 3–4, 16–17

Education coordinator, role of, 3

Effective teaching: and hiring, xvi; irre-
ducible elements of, 73

Effective teaching, achieving, xiii–xv

Electronic audience response devices,
75

E-mail, 188

English, F., 61

*Enhancing Professional Practice: A Frame-
work for Teaching* (Danielson), 142

Evaluation: rubrics, 139–150; taking
stock, 112; time allocations (chart),
195

Evaluation forms, 27–29; example of,
28–29

Evaluation summary page (form), 164,
238

Evaluations, 5–7, 203–205. *See also* Super-
vision; Boston Public Schools evalu-
ation checklist, 42; communication
difficulties, 25; conventional, analyzing
the failure of, 21–37; critical, 31–32;
defined, 21; during-the-year supervi-
sory write-ups, 41–42; end-of-year,
42; focus of, 36–37; intensifying,
39; limited point of view, 22–23;
logical model, 21–37; natural resis-
tance, 31–32; observer effects, 24–25;
real-life scenarios, 19–20; stage dress-
ing, 23–24; and standardized tests,
37–39; and supervision, 19–40; and
teacher defensiveness, 32–33; tension,

fostering of, 33; test scores, using,
37–39; unhelpful ratings, 30–31

Exit cards, 75

Expectations, 6–7; clarifying, 181–182;
grade-level learning expectations, and
curriculum, 95; year-end learning
expectations, 97

Expert level, SOTEL, 73–74

F

Feedback, 56–58, 204; delivering, 80–82;
goal of, 84

Fifteen Thousand Hours (Harvard Univer-
sity Press), 3

Filing paperwork, 189

Finland, approach to assessment, 125–126

Fisher, D., 76

Follow-up: to interim assessments,
129–131, 136

Formative assessments, *See* On-the-spot
assessments

Forms, and evaluations, 27–29

Frase, L., 61

Freeston, K., 191

Frey, N., 76

Full-dress evaluations, 194–195

Full-lesson observations, orchestrating,
84–86

G

“Gang of Six,” 7

Gay, A., 134

Getting Things Done (Allen), 183

Glasbergen, Randy, 34

Glenn, D., 76

Goals: goal setting, and rubrics, 172; for
initiatives, 180–181

Good teaching, 25; curriculum design,
89–112; importance of, xii–xviii; men-
tal checklist of essentials of, 72; path
to, 110; and student achievement, 179

Gower, R., 25, 142

Grade inflation, 30
Grade-level learning expectations, and curriculum, 95
Grading criteria, 12–13
Great aims, and good teaching, 72
Greater Newark Academy, scheduling time for assessments, 128
Grievance, losing, 42
Grubb, N., 126

H

Haley-Speca, M. A., 25, 142
Hanuschek, E., xii
Hattie, J., xii
Haycock, K., xii
Heath, C., 111
Heath, D., 111
Hedges, L., xii
Hiebert, J., 86
High-stakes testing, 14, 95, 107–110, 136; impact of, 107; pressure of, 110; state testing, 138
Hiring, and effective teaching, xvi
How to Make Supervision and Evaluation Really Work (Saphier), 142
Howard, J., 6–7, 16, 120
Hyperactive Superficial Principal Syndrome (HSPS), 34, 44, 47, 53, 177

I

Incoming items, sorting into bins, 186–187
Independent practice, and good teaching, 72
Initiatives: crafting, 180; measurable goals for, 180–181
“Inside the Black Box” (Black/Wiliam), 74
Instructional coaches, 85, 131
Interim assessments, xvii, 113–150, 201; benefits of, 121–122; commercial,

127–128; compared to state tests, 119; computerized tests, 120; data meetings, 129–131; design of, 119–120; detractors, 120; display data, 129; example of a district’s use of, 131–134; follow-up, 129–131, 136; get teachers involved in making sense of, 129; high-quality conversations about, effect of, 137; high-quality tests, obtaining, 126–127; immediate follow-up, 128; implementation of, 122–124, 136; involving students in the process, 135; learning outcomes, clarifying, 126; mistakes made in implementing, 122–124; monitoring, 194; proponents, 120; purchase of, 120; Response to Intervention (RTI), 125–126; scheduling time for, 128; and SMART goals, 126; and state tests, 138; and student achievement, 179; taking stock, 136–137; understanding/trust, building, 124–125

J

Journal-writing sessions, 75

K

K-12 articulation, and curriculum, 95
Kain, J., xii
Keeling, D., 30
Klein, Joel, 1, 37
Konstantopoulos, S., xii
Kotter, J., 65

L

Ladd, F., xii
Ladd, H., xii
Laptop computers, providing for every student, xv
Lawrence, D., 169
Learning, lack of focus on, 13–14

Learning stations, 2–3
Learning walks, 62–63, 194
Lensmire, T., 27
Lesson objectives, 90–91
Lesson plans, 111; inspection, 194
Lesson Study, 85–86
Lesson write-ups, 25
Levy, S., 80
Looking at Student Work (LASW), 118

M

Management by rushing around, 43–46
Marshall, K., i–ii, 34, 44, 74, 153fn, 155fn, 157fn, 159fn, 161fn, 163fn, 197fn, 223, 227fn, 229fn, 231fn, 233fn, 235fn, 237fn, 247
marshallmemo.com, 141
Marzano, R. J., xi–xii, 72, 97, 108, 120, 129, 138
Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS), 14–15
Mastery Learning, 116
Mather Elementary School (Boston, Mass.), 1–2, 4–5; achievement targets, 16; collegiality, lack of, 10; curriculum expectations, lack of clear grade-by-grade, 10; learning, lack of focus on, 13–14; low expectations, 6–7; morale, 8; mystery grading criteria, 12–13; resistant culture, 7–8; slim curriculum booklets, 15–16; SMART goals (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Results-oriented, and Time-bound), 16; state standards and tests, 14–15; student achievement at, 5–6, 8; teacher isolation, 8; teaching and assessment, weak alignment between, 11–12; teamwork, lack of, 9; year-end learning expectations, 97
Mather Memo newsletter, 47
Matthews, J., 134
Mausbach, A., 62
Mazur, E., 75
McGregor, Douglas, 32
McKain, R., 177
McTighe, J., xvii, 89, 102, 244
Mini-observations, xvi–xvii, 41–63, 65–87, 194; adult learning, 87; capturing/remembering information from visits, 77–79; classroom observation time, 66–69; delivering feedback, 80–82; as entry point for talking about student learning, 83; essential elements of, 60; and failures to check for understanding, 77; feedback, 56–58, 204; first year, assessing, 58–59; frequency of, 69–71, 87; full-lesson observations, orchestrating, 84–86; keeping track of visits, 52–53; launch of, 47, 48–50; linking to professional development/school improvement, 82–84; making part of the routine, 53–54; necessary but not sufficient, 59–61; notes (form), 78; on-the-spot assessments, 74–77; origin of term, 47; and performance evaluations, 60; potential benefits of, 60–61; and principals, 87; style, developing, 50–51; taking a break from, 84; taking stock, 87; and teacher evaluations, 86–87; unannounced visits, 87, 191; use of term, 61–62; what to look for in, 71–77
Mishra, A. K., 86
Mission/strategy, and student achievement, 179
Modeling and guided practice, and good teaching, 72
Mooney, N., 62
Morale, 7, 8; and teacher dismissals, 34
Mulhern, J., 30

N

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 15
National standards, year-end learning expectations, 98–100
Natural resistance, 31–32
New ideas/practices, searching for, xvi
North Star Academy charter schools (Newark, NJ), 68, 143; rating scale, 145
Nuthall, G., 37
Nye, B., xii

O

Observation, 191
On-the-spot assessments, 74–77, 119; logical model, 76–77

P

Paperwork, filing, 189
Parents, and student achievement, 180
Pate-Bain, H., 117
Peer Assistance and Review (PAR), 169–170
Peer observations, 85
Periodic tests, *See* Interim assessments
Personal planning system, 182–184
Popham, J., 11, 120, 127
Portfolios, 119
Poston, W., 61
POUT (Put Off Unpleasant Tasks), 184–185, 188–189
Principal evaluation rubrics, 223–224; curriculum and data, 230–231; diagnosis and planning, 226–227; discipline and family involvement, 234–235; management and external Relations, 236–237; priority management and communication, 228–229; supervision and professional development, 232–233

Principals, *See also* Time management: best practices, 178–194; as classroom observers, 26–27; Cynics, 35, 140; and effectiveness evaluations, 34–35; and evaluation forms, 27–29; evaluation methods, 21–37; grievance, losing, 42; Hyperactive Superficial Principal Syndrome (HSPS), 34; resistance to confront bad/mediocre teaching, 33–34; Saints, 34–35, 140; and school-level change, 201–202; Sinners, 34–35; time-management hurdle of evaluations, 35
Principal's Time Management Rubric, 196–197
Professional learning community process of continuous improvement, 171
Proficient level, SOTEL, 73–74

Q

“Quick-writes”, 75

R

Randomizing students called on, 75
RateMyTeacher.com, 172
Rating labels, 146
Rating scale, deciding on, 144–147
Reeves, D., 17, 110, 117–118, 120, 223fn
Report card grades, as assessment, 118
Resnick, Lauren, 62
Resources, and student achievement, 179
Response to Intervention (RTI), 125–126
Rhee, Michelle, 37
Ribas, W., IN REFS NOT IN TEXT
Rice, J., xii
Rivers, J. C., xii
Rivkin, S., xii
Rothman, R., 39
Rubrics, 139–150; and advisory input, 172–173; continuous improvement,

- rubrics as bridge to, 170–174; creating, 141–150; deciding on a rating scale, 144–147; deciding on domains, 141–142; faculty results, charting, 173–174; format, 150; and goal setting, 172; introducing/using, 165–167; Needs Improvement rating, 167–168; Principal’s Time Management Rubric, 196–197; professional learning community process of continuous improvement, 171; scoring, 194; and self-assessment, 172; sorting the criteria, 147–149; taking stock, 174–175
Rush to Judgment (Toch/Rothman), 39
- S**
- Safety nets, and student achievement, 179
- Sanders, W. L., xii
- Santa Cruz, California: rating scale, 145; rubric, 143–144
- Saphier, J., xi–xii, 25, 35, 41, 68, 142, 223fn; areas of performance, 142–143; short list, 142
- Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory rating system, 30, 140
- Sato, M., 27
- Schmoker, M., 19, 84, 97, 120, 181
- School data, monitoring of, 201
- School-level change: principals, 201–202; school districts, 200–201; supporting, 200–203; teachers, 202–203
- Seif, E., 89, 244
- Self-assessment, and rubrics, 172
- Seven Habits of Highly Effective People, The* (Covey), 54
- Sexton, S., 30
- Skillful Teacher, The* (Saphier/Haley-Speca/Gower), 142
- Slim curriculum booklets, 15–16
- Slim Curriculum Expectations Booklet, 209–221; effective effort, 221; learning expectations, 210; math, 217–218; mission, 210; reading, 211; sample reading passage, 212; science, 219; social development and conduct, 221; social studies, 220; theory of action, 210; writing, 213–216
- SMART goals (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Results-oriented, and Time-bound), 16, 180; annual goals, setting, 126
- SOTEL, 73–74, 79
- Spillane, R., 4
- Staff memo, 187
- Standardized tests, and teacher evaluation, 38
- Standards, and teacher ratings, 146
- State standards, 14–15; and curriculum, 94; year-end learning expectations, 96–97
- Steffy, B., 61
- Stiggins, R., 135
- Stigler, J., 86
- Strategic plan, focusing on, 178–182
- Streamlined rubrics, xvi
- Student achievement, at Mather Elementary School (Boston, Mass.), 5–6, 8
- Student achievement, focusing on, 178–182
- Student engagement, and good teaching, 72
- Student mastery, assessment of, and good teaching, 72
- Student work, as assessment, 118
- Style, developing, 50–51
- Successful urban schools, 16–18
- Suggestions/redirection, xvi
- Sullivan, S.
- Supervision, 19–40, 203–205, *See also* Evaluations; conventional, analyzing the failure of, 21–37; defined, 20–21; intensifying, 39; of lessons, 110–112;

Supervision, (*continued*)
logical model, 31–37; as seen by a
rookie teacher, 1–3, 5–6; taking stock,
112; test scores, using, 37–39
Supervisory feedback, goal of, 84
Survey Monkey, 173
Synergistic connections, 137
Systematic planning, 182–183

T

Taking work home, 189
Teacher Advancement Program (TAP),
39
Teacher dismissals, 34
Teacher evaluation rubrics, xvii, 87, *See*
also Evaluations; classroom manage-
ment, 154–155; delivery of instruc-
tion, 156–157; family and commu-
nity outreach, 160–161; monitoring,
assessment, and follow-up, 158–159;
planning and preparation for learning,
152–153; professional responsibilities,
162–163
Teacher evaluations, *See also* Evaluations:
and mini-observations, 86–87; rubrics,
xvii; and student learning, 36–37
Teacher isolation, 8
Teacher teams, empowering/energizing,
xvi
Teachers: collaboration, 202; and school-
level change, 202–203
Teacher’s union: collective bargaining
agreement with, 200–201; core objec-
tive of, 27
Teaching: and assessment, weak align-
ment between, 11–12; evaluating, xvi;
improving, xvi; interaction between
on-the-spot assessment and, 76; to the
test, 137–138
Teaching Gap, The (Stigler/Hiebert), 86

Teaching levels, and rating scales,
146–147
Teaching units, and curriculum, 96
Team meetings, 184–185, 202
Team unit planning time, 106
Teamwork, lack of, 9
Tension, and evaluations, 33–34
Test preparation, 107–110
Test scores, using, 37–39
Tests, scoring/analyzing, 13
Textbooks, critical appraisals of, 201
Theory X/Theory Y management, 32, 34
Three-Minute Classroom Walk-Through,
The (Downey), 61
Time management, 177–198; after-hours
pile, 186; best practices, 178–194; “Big
Rocks” story, 181–182; continuous
improvement, 193–194; delegation,
189–191; e-mail, 188; expectations,
clarifying, 181–182; filing paperwork,
189; observation, 191; POUT (Put Off
Unpleasant Tasks), 184–185, 188–189;
sorting incoming items into bins,
186–187; staff memo, 187; student
achievement, focusing on, 178–182;
systematic planning, 182–183; taking
care of yourself, 193; taking stock,
194–195; taking work home, 189;
team meetings, 184–185; time-wasting
crises/activities, preventing/deflecting,
191–192
Toch, T., 39
Tooms, A., 192
Tough, P., 116
Trimble, S., 134
Trust, 86; building, 124–125

U

Unannounced visits, xvi, 87, 191
Understanding, building, 124–125

Understanding by Design (UbD),
102–106; first use of, 105–106; framing the big ideas of a curriculum unit, 104–105; key steps for principal and leadership team, 104; and principal, 103–104; team unit planning time, 106; unit planning, 103, 106; unit-planning meetings, 103–104; writing matching essential questions, 104–105

Unit plans, 26; curriculum, 102–106

V

Videotaping lessons/teaching, 85

Vigdor, J., xii

Visits: capturing/remembering information from, 77–79; classroom observation time, 66–69; and depth, 53; keeping track of, 52–53, length vs.

frequency of, 69; unannounced, xvi, 87, 191

W

Walkthroughs, 61–62

Weisberg, D., 30

Whatever It Takes (DuFour et. al), 136

Whitehurst, G., xii

Wiggins, G., xvi, 12, 89, 93, 102, 103–106, 113, 120

William, D., 74, 75, 116, 120, 127, 207

Wilson, Laval, 4

Y

Year-end learning expectations, 96–100; national standards, 98–100; state standards, 96–97

Year-end test scores, as assessment, 117–118

















