

# Index

## A

ABB (Swedish-Swiss company), 224–225  
ACME 360 Podcast Series (ACT): blocking process used in, 86–87t  
Adair, W., 80, 89, 93  
Affective posturing, 84e–85e  
Alcatel-Lucent merger (2006), 57, 175, 182–183  
Andreas, M. D., 224  
Apartheid laws (South Africa), 276  
Arbitration: described, 156–157; how it works, 158e  
Arbitrators: cultural biases of, 159–160; how to choose, 157, 159  
Arcelor-Mittal negotiations (June 2006), 20, 21, 29–30, 75–77  
Archer Daniels Midland, 224  
*The Art of War* (Sun-Tzu), 128–129  
Asian cultures: “blaming and shaming” tactic used by, 133e, 134e, 270; collectivism of, 32–34; as hierarchical, 34–37; indirect communication norm of, 39–41; perceptions of powerful people in, 135e, 234e–235; preferences for indirect confrontation by, 139–144  
Asian financial crisis (late 1990s), 269  
Attacking face, 140

## B

Balinese *Subak* communities, 235–236  
BASF (Germany), 224  
BATNA (Best Alternative To a Negotiated Agreement): in hierarchical versus egalitarian cultures, 36–37; bureaucracy’s, 262; of hostage takers, 273; independence in context of, 12–13; mediator use of, 161, 163; of multi-

cultural teams, 205–206; overview of, 11–13; referenced during persuasion strategy, 104, 106–107; reservation price in context of, 12, 13–14; as source of power, 11–13, 130–132; targets in context of, 14–15  
Bay of Pigs (1961), 199–200  
Bazerman, M. H., 61  
Bechtel, 249  
Behaviors: caps, goals, and incentives to regulate, 237–238; cultural patterns of, 28e–30; example of bureaucratic negotiation, 262–264; interaction norms for group, 210–212, 231; using norms to regulate, 236–237  
Behfar, K., 35, 40, 178, 281  
Beliefs: cultural, 28e, 37–38; definition of, 37  
Bicultural knowledge structures, 281  
Blair, T., 238  
“Blaming and shaming” tactic, 133e, 134e, 270  
Blue LED dispute, 115, 121, 131–132, 150  
Body language, 29  
Brett, J. M., 34, 61, 117  
Bribery. *See* Corruption/bribery issues  
British culture, 180–181  
Bull (U.S.-French conflicts), 182, 184, 194, 197  
Bureaucracy: BATNA of, 262; described, 261; global negotiation behavior of, 262–264; understanding interests of, 261; understanding power of, 261–262  
Bush, G. W., 229, 230, 251  
Buyers: Cartoon contingent contracts and, 72e–75; Cartoon Outcome A for, 64e; Cartoon outcomes net values for, 63e; Cartoon Strategy Study on,

- 59–66, 72–75; dispute resolution communications of eBay, 147–148. *See also* Offers
- C**
- Camdessus, M., 270
- Canadian crab fishers story, 6–7
- Caps, 237–238
- Carbon emissions: Kyoto Protocol to control, 229–231, 236, 239; U.S. Clean Air Act to control, 238–239
- Cartoon Outcome Study: contingent contracts studied using, 72e–75; deal-making negotiation behavior studied using, 57–59; distributive/integrative outcomes within and across cultures, 68e–71e; integrative value analyzed using, 59–66; introduction to, 54; negotiating across cultures studied using, 66–71
- Cartoon Strategy Study: data collection and coding strategies used in, 81–85e; distributive strategies to capture net value in, 99–107; on gains, culture, and, 107–110; integrative strategies, time, and joint gains in, 86–99; participants used in, 81e; using stages of negotiation to judge progress, 110–112; three types of sequences used in, 82; time during negotiation in, 82, 86e
- CD-ROM: Additional CPR Model Clauses on the, 165; The Checkered Negotiation History of the Dabhol Power Project, 249; Cultural Metacognition, 190; Identifying Effective Strategies for Multicultural Teams on the, 178; information on Lafarge on the, 26; Negotiation Planning Document on the, 16–18; Newbridge and Chinese Negotiations Over Shenzhen Development Bank on the, 262; Nichia Corporation Versus Shuji Nakamura on the, 115; Nokia and Motorola Versus Telsim, 248; Normative Sample for Cultural Metacognitions, 190; Norms for Managers' Social Motives by Culture on the, 22, 33; Personal Choices in Decision Making on the, 22; A Scandinavian Scare (Cartoon exercise) on the, 54; The Problems on the, 178
- CFIUS (Congressional Committee on Foreign Investments) [U.S.], 250, 251
- Chevron, 30
- Chicago celebrity chefs' pledge (2002), 228, 229
- Chilean sea bass issue, 219, 226, 228
- China Securities Regulatory Commission (CSRC), 263, 264
- Chinese culture: perceptions of powerful people in, 234e–235; rights standards in, 128–129; salient social identity and, 242
- Chinese National Offshore Oil Company, 245
- Christo, 55–56
- Claim value (distributive resources), 2
- Clinton, B., 229
- CNOOC (China National Offshore Oil Company), 30
- Coding strategies: Cartoon Strategy Study of, 81–82, 83e–85e; examples of, 83e–85e; Summer Interns Study, 125e, 133e
- Coexistence: as approach cultural differences, 284–285; ethics when there is a lack of, 286; tolerance and respect required for, 285–286
- Cole, S. R., 158, 162
- Collaboration: fusion, 189–191; hybrid, 187–189; of integrative negotiation for team decision making, 200–207; subgroup-dominant, 187
- Collective cultures: described, 32–34; importance of face in, 140–141; salient social identity and, 241–242
- Collective interests, 118–120
- Collusive pricing, 223–225
- Commitment norm, 231–233
- Communication: building trust through small talk, 37–38; cultural barriers to, 196–197; e-mail, 21, 198–199; by effective global negotiators, 283–284; “global” English and, 279; language barriers to, 193–195; norms for directness of, 39–41; procedural conflict regarding, 180–181; psychological barriers to, 199–200; structural barriers to, 197–199
- Competitive dilemmas, 222–226
- Complementary sequence, 82
- Confidential information, provided in Cartoon Outcome Study, 59, 61e
- Conflict: described, 115; face and relationship issues in, 140–142; impact of

- culture on, 115–116; interpersonal, 116, 183–185, 207–214; possible benefits of, 214; procedural, 116, 178–183, 186–191; task, 116, 177–178, 191–214. *See also* Disputes
- Conflict management/dispute resolution: avoiding direct confrontation for, 4; described, 4; of interpersonal conflict, 207–214; of procedural conflict, 186–191; of task conflict, 191–214; third-party, 4–5
- Confrontation: against corruption, 268–271; avoiding direct, 4; constructive approach to dysfunctional conflict, 213–214; cultural preferences for indirect/direct, 139–144; dispute resolution classifications by type of, 143*e*; by effective global negotiators, 282–283; strategic choice of, 19–22
- Consensus, 204–205
- Conservation International, 229
- Contact technique, 241
- Contingent contracts: Cartoon Outcome Study on, 72*e*–75; described, 72; example of, 74*e*
- Cooperative dilemmas: contributing, 227; taking, 226–227
- Corruption/bribery issues: definition of, 266; generating your own ethical standards on, 266–268; government officials' interest in, 251; Halliburton's code on, 266, 267*e*; legal regulation of, 264–266*e*; OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) on, 265–266*e*, 267; safety issues related to, 271–274; U.S. Federal Corrupt Practices Act on, 251, 264–265, 267*e*; what to do when confronted with, 268–271
- CPR Model Dispute Resolution System, 166*e*–167*e*
- Cultural accommodation, 285–286
- Cultural assumptions: definition of, 43; of Indian and Japanese software engineers, 44*e*–45*e*; negotiation in context of, 43, 45; regarding work days, 178–179
- Cultural differences: arbitrator bias related to, 159–160; bicultural knowledge structures on, 281; coexistence approach to, 284–285; in direct or indirect confrontation preferences, 139–144; interpersonal conflict due to, 116, 183–185; legal pluralism as, 259–260; making social identity salient and, 241–242; in use of power in disputes, 132–135*e*; procedural conflict due to, 116, 178–183; task conflict due to, 116, 177–178; in third-party roles, 168–173
- Cultural issues: behaviors and institutions, 28*e*–30; impact on negotiation, 25–26; language mistakes/protocol violations as, 25
- Cultural prototype, 31*e*–32
- Cultural stereotype, 31*e*–32
- Cultural values: hierarchy versus egalitarianism, 34–37; individualism versus collectivism, 32–34; to predict negotiation outcomes, 42–43
- Culturally informed negotiation model, 110–112
- Culture: as barrier to meaningful participation, 196–197; Cartoon Outcome Study on negotiations and, 68*e*–71*e*; Cartoon Strategy Study on gains and, 107–110; complex relationship between negotiation and, 51–52; definition of, 27; as factor in decision to use power, 146–147; fish harvesting discussions differences by, 232*e*; how it affects negotiation, 45–51, 46*e*; iceberg metaphor of, 27–28*e*; impact on conflict and disputes, 115–116; individualist versus collective, 32–34; Mexican market example of interacting, 79–80; Negotiation Planning Document expanded to include, 47–48; third-party roles affected by, 164–173; unlikely development of standardized global negotiation, 280–282. *See also* Globalization; High-context cultures; Low-context cultures

## D

- Dabhol Power Company (DPC), 249
- Daiichi (Japan), 224
- Danone (France), 245
- Deal criteria: link between net value deals and long-term gains, 56–57; long-term realization of anticipated gains, 56; net value (joint gains) for both parties, 54–55; transaction costs, 55–56
- Deals: Cartoon Outcome Study on, 54, 57–75; criteria for good versus bad, 54–57; distributive, 2–3; integrative,

- 3, 121, 123e. *See also* Distributive strategy; Global deals; Integrative strategy; Negotiation
- Decision making: agreement percentages of individualistic/cooperative groups, 203e; fusion collaboration on, 189–191; hybrid collaboration on, 187–189; integrative negotiation in team, 200–207; multiparty negotiation/team, 5–6; rules for, 204–206; subgroup-dominant collaboration on, 187
- Decision rules, 204–206
- Deng Xiaoping, 253
- Direct confrontation: advice for choosing, 142; avoiding, 4; cultural preferences for indirect versus, 139–144; dispute resolution classifications by use of, 143e
- Direct information strategies: Cartoon Strategy Study on, 83e, 87–89; mutuality as, 83e; priorities as, 10–11, 41, 83e; questioning, 86e, 87–89; reactions as, 83e
- Dispute Resolution: Negotiation, Mediation, and Other Processes* (Goldberg, Sander, Rogers, and Cole), 158, 162
- Dispute resolution: arbitration, 156–160; CPR Model Dispute Resolution System, 166e–167e; culture and third-party roles in, 164–173; determining right and wrong for, 117e, 122–130; illustration on three approaches to, 117e; integrative agreements for, 122, 123e; mediation, 160–164; using power for, 117e, 130–137; procedural choices for, 137–153; by third-parties with authority, 156–160; by third-parties without authority, 160–164; third-party context of effective, 173; uncovering/analyzing interests for, 117e, 118–121; when winning is losing, 136–137
- Dispute resolution systems, 165
- Disputes: claims not worth pursuing, 138–139; described, 115; Fusion UV Systems-Mitsubishi Electric, 141–142, 150, 156; impact of culture on, 115–116; Nakamura Shuji and Nichia Corporation, 115, 121, 123, 131–132, 150; origins and development of, 116; three approaches to resolving, 117e–137. *See also* Conflict
- Disrespect, 140–141. *See also* Respect
- Distance structural barrier, 197–199
- Distributive deals, 2–3
- Distributive strategy: capturing net value with integrative agreement, 99–107; Cartoon Outcome Study on, 68e–71e; Cartoon Strategy Study on, 84e–85e, 99–107; described, 2–3; persuasion as, 85e; posturing as, 84e–85e; social motivation and selection of, 22–23. *See also* Deals; Negotiation strategies
- Dollé, G., 20, 76
- DP World (United Emirates), 250–251
- Duke Power (U.S.), 238, 239
- ## E
- E-mail: communication barriers of, 198–199; information sharing through, 21
- eBay disputes, 147–148
- Economic development: FDI as signaling government interest in, 248–249; government efforts to control, 249–250; government interest in, 247–248
- Economic instability: foreign investment and, 256; hedging against, 256–259 *The Economist*, 247
- Egalitarian cultures: described, 34–37; dispute resolution systems used in, 165; use of power in, 146–147
- Eiasi (Japan), 224
- Emergent local norms, 235–236
- Emotions: advice about use at bargaining table, 149–150; benefits of talking about, 214; as factor in decision to use power, 147–149; tantrums, 148–149
- Employee safety issues, 271–274
- English language, 279
- Enron, 56, 249, 268
- Equivalent proposals: described, 96; inferring information from, 96e–97
- Erickson Air-Crane, 271
- Ethical issues: corruption/bribery, 251, 264–271; generating your own ethical standards, 266–268; Global Sullivan Principles of Social Responsibility, 276, 277e; of labor working conditions, 274–276; when coexistence, tolerance, and respect are lacking, 286
- Ethnocentrism, 184–185
- European Union (EU): drug approval standards of, 177–178; Kyoto Protocol negotiation role by, 229–231, 236; price fixing laws in, 224–225

Expand the pie concept, 3  
ExxonMobil, 245, 251

## F

Face: attacking and giving, 140; definition of, 140; example of dispute resolution role of, 141–142; as indirect confrontation factor, 140–141; multicultural teams and issue of, 183

Fastow, A., 268

Federal Corrupt Practices Act (U.S.), 251, 264–265, 267*e*

Fish harvesting: difference in group-level discussions by culture, 232*e*; Patagonian toothfish (Chilean sea bass) issue of, 219, 226, 228; SHARC social dilemma on, 231–235, 242

Fisher, R., 10, 12

Fixed pie concept, 2

Food and Drug Administration (U.S.), 177–178

Foreign direct investment (FDI): economic instability and, 256–259; example of bureaucratic behavior during, 262–264; globalization driven by, 245–246; government interests in, 246–252; legal interests and risk related to, 259–260; political instability and, 253–255

Free-rider problem, 227

Freedom House, 247

French culture, 182–183

Friedman, T., 253

Fusion collaboration, 189–191

Fusion UV Systems-Mitsubishi Electric dispute, 141–142, 150, 156

## G

Gadafi, M., 247

Gains: Cartoon Outcome Study on cultural negotiation, 68–71*e*; Cartoon Strategy Study on culture and, 107–110; link between net value deals and long-term, 56–57; long-term realization of anticipated, 56; net value of joint, 54–55; posturing strategy and, 103

Gap, 274

GE (General Electric), 249

Gelfand, M., 34

General Motors, 276

German culture: blaming and shaming power tactics used in, 134*e*; Summer Interns Study dispute and, 119–120,

124–128*e*, 132–137; threats and powerful people allusions used in, 135*e*

*Getting Disputes Resolved* (Ury, Brett, and Goldberg), 117

*Getting to Yes* (Fisher, Ury, and Patton), 10  
Giffen, J., 251

Giving face, 140

Global deals: cultural issues related to, 25–52; example of bureaucratic behavior in, 262–264; government interests in, 246–252; government role in, 276–278; increasing number of, 53; unlikely development of standardized negotiation for, 280–282. *See also* Deals

Global negotiators: characteristics of excellent, 286–287; coexistence approach to different cultures, 284–285; communications strategies used by, 283–284; confrontation strategies used by, 282–283; ethics when coexistence, tolerance, and respect are lacking, 286; tolerance and respect shown by, 285–286

Global Sullivan Principles of Social Responsibility, 276, 277*e*

Global Witness, 255

Globalization: foreign direct investment (FDI) driving, 245–260; “global” English development of, 279; keeping your employees safe concern of, 271–274; negotiation challenges related to, 260–274; ugly side of free trade and, 274–276. *See also* Culture

Goals: collective, 240–241; to regulate behavior in social dilemmas, 237–238

Goldberg, S. B., 117, 158, 163

Government: bureaucracy of, 261–264; corruption and bribery of officials, 251, 264–271; global negotiations and role of, 276–278; political instability and, 253–255

Government interests: in controlling economic development, 249–250; in economic development, 247–248; FDI as signaling economic development, 248–249; identifying vulnerabilities and, 252; in personal enrichment of officials, 251; in security, 250–251; in staying in power, 246–247

Greenhouse gases: Kyoto Protocol to control, 229–231, 236, 239; U.S. Clean Air Act to control, 238–239

Greeting protocols, 28  
Grenadines-St. Vincent vote, 222, 233

## H

Hall, E. T., 39, 79–80  
Halliburton's Code of Business Ethics, 266, 267e  
*Handbook of Negotiation and Culture* (ed. Gelfand and Brett), 34  
Hardin, G., 220  
Health Sciences, 26  
Heidelberg Cement (Germany), 225  
Helmerich & Payne, 271  
Hierarchical cultures: BATNA concept in, 36; use of power in, 146–147; social status in, 34–37  
Hierarchy: conflict over deference to, 179–180; egalitarianism versus, 34–37  
High-context cultures: described, 39–41; individual and joint gain for, 107–108e, 109; posturing strategy in, 100–104; preferences for indirect confrontation by, 139–144; reciprocal offers over time and, 91e–93, 92e; reciprocal questioning and, 86e, 87–88; strategies for negotiators of, 109. *See also* Culture; Japanese culture  
Hoffman LaRoche (Switzerland), 224  
Hong Kong Chinese culture, 71e  
Hostage taking, 271–274  
“How We Get to Yes” (Tinsley), 125  
Hybrid collaboration, 187–189

## I

IBM, 245  
Iceberg metaphor: behaviors and institutions, 28e–30; cultural assumptions, 28e, 43–45; culture as, 27–28e; values, beliefs, norms, and knowledge structures, 28e, 30–43  
Illinois Power (U.S.), 239  
In-groups, 242–243  
Incentives, 237–238  
Independence concept, 12–13  
Indian culture: assumptions of, 44e–45e; greeting protocols of, 28  
Indirect confrontation: advise for choosing, 142; concern for face as underlying, 140–141; cultural preferences for direct versus, 139–144; dispute resolution classifications by use of, 143e

Indirect information strategies: Cartoon Strategy Study on, 83e–84e, 89–99; offers as, 15–16, 41, 83e–84e, 89–99  
Individual gains: Cartoon Outcome Study on culture and, 68e, 70–71e; Cartoon Strategy Study on culture and, 107–108e, 109–110  
Individualist cultures: described, 32–34; face as unimportant in, 140–141; salient social identity and, 241–242  
Indonesian Bank Restructuring Agency, 270  
Information consolidation, 111e  
Information gathering: cultural barriers to, 196–197; in culturally informed stage model of negotiation, 111e; direct, 10–11, 41, 83e, 86e, 87–89; indirect, 83e–84e, 89–99; language barriers to, 193–195; meaningful participation for, 192–193; psychological barriers to, 199–200; structural barriers to, 197–199. *See also* Offers  
Institutions: cultural patterns of, 28e–30; ideologies of, 29  
Integrative deals/agreements: described, 3; for resolving disputes, 121, 123e  
Integrative strategy: capturing net value through, 99–107; Cartoon Outcome Study on, 59–66, 68e–71e; Cartoon Strategy Study of, 83e–84e, 86–99; for collaborative decision making in multicultural teams, 200–207; described, 3; direct information, 83e; indirect information, 83e–84e; social motivation and selection of, 22–23. *See also* Deals; Negotiation strategies  
Interaction norms, 210–212, 231  
Interests: advice for analyzing, 120–121; bureaucracy, 261; Cartoon coding of, 83e; changing focus from rights or power to, 152–153; conflict over perceived opposite, 115; definition of, 9, 10; dispute resolution and role of, 117e–121; dispute resolution classifications by use of, 143e; embedded between offers, 41; foreign investment and government, 246–252; negotiated in social dilemmas, 243–244; priorities of, 10–11; self-interests and collective, 118–120  
Interests-based approaches: reframing the situation, 240; shifting social identity

- from self to collective, 240–241; to social dilemmas, 239–243; when to use in dispute resolution, 145
- International Finance Corporation, 26
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 246, 256, 269
- International Whaling Commission, 222, 233
- Interpersonal conflict: constructive ways to confront dysfunction, 213–214; in multicultural teams, 116, 183–185; preventing unnecessary, 207–213
- Interpersonal conflict prevention: interaction norms for, 210–212, 231; respect, tolerance, and creativity for, 208–209; task clarity for, 208; teambuilding for, 212–213; trust for, 209–210
- Israeli culture, 33
- Issues: Cartoon Outcome Study on adding compatible, 67e; definition of, 9; information embedded in offers of multi-, 91e–93; information embedded in offers of single-, 90e, 91; recommendations on handling, 9–10; structured for integrative negotiation, 201–202
- J**
- Janssens, M., 183, 185, 213
- Japanese culture: assumptions, 44e–45e; “blaming and shaming” tactic of, 133e; cross-cultural data on negotiation and, 70–71e; greeting protocols of, 28; indirect communication norm of, 39–41; indirect information/offer strategy and, 89–93; predicting negotiation outcomes based on, 42–43; salient social identity and, 242; Summer Interns Study dispute and, 119–120, 124–128e, 132–137; threats and powerful people allusions used in, 135e, 234e–235. *See also* High-context cultures
- J.C. Penny, 274
- Jeanne-Claude, 55–56
- Joint gains: Cartoon Outcome Study on, 70–71e; Cartoon Strategy Study on culture and, 88–89, 107–108e, 109–110; from reciprocal questioning, 88–89

**K**

- Kellogg School of Management, 70
- Kern, M., 35, 40, 178, 281
- Khodorkovsky, M., 254

- Kidnapped employees, 271–274
- Knowledge structure: bicultural, 281; cultural, 28e, 42–43; definition of, 42
- Korean culture: perceptions of powerful people in, 234e–235; salient social identity and, 242
- Kouwenhoven, G. van, 254–255, 258
- Krishna, S., 26
- Kyoto Protocol, 229–231, 236, 239

**L**

- Labor working conditions, 274–276
- Lafarge-Chinese negotiations: background information on, 25–26; cultural impact on, 46–47, 48–50; negotiation interests of, 46; negotiation outcome for, 26–27, 30; Negotiation Planning Document for, 49e; strategic gaffe during, 26
- Language barriers, 193–195
- Latin American culture, 178–179
- Legal pluralism issues, 259–260
- Legal regulations: advice on using, 239; caps, goals, and incentives through, 237–238; privatization of commons, 237; tradable permits, 238–239
- Legal risks: foreign investment and, 259–260; hedging against, 260
- Lenovo (China), 245
- Libya, 247
- Long-term gains: link between net value deals and, 56–57; realization of anticipated, 56
- Low-context cultures: described, 39–41; hidden powers of reciprocal offer strategies problem for, 93–96; individual and joint gain for, 107–108e, 109; posturing strategy in, 100–104; preferences for direct confrontation by, 139–144; reciprocal offers over time and, 91e–93, 92e; reciprocal questioning and, 86e, 87–88; strategies for negotiators, 109. *See also* Cultures; U.S. culture
- Lucent-Alcatel merger (2006), 57, 175, 182–183
- Lumping it, 139

**M**

- Maharashtra, 253–254
- Majority decision rule, 204
- Mao, Chairman, 128

- Mara, 37
- Matthews, M., 245
- McDonald's, 229, 230
- Mediation: described, 143; how it works, 162*e*; successful dispute resolution using, 160–161
- Mediators: finding a, 163–164; how they reach settlements, 161, 163
- Mendoza, F., 228
- Micro-level strategy: culture incorporated in, 50–51; definition of, 50
- Mitsubishi Electric-Fusion UV Systems dispute, 141–142, 150, 156
- Mittal, L., 20, 21, 75–76
- Mittal (Rotterdam), 20, 21, 30
- Mixed culture: individual and joint gain for, 107–108*e*, 110; reciprocal questioning over time, 86*e*, 87–88
- Mixed culture negotiation: ongoing challenge of, 112; strategies during, 110
- Mobil, 245, 251
- Mordashov, A., 30
- Motivation (multicultural teams), 215–216
- Motorola, 248
- Multi-issue offers: comparing high- and low-context cultures and, 90–93; information embedded in series of, 91*e*–92; multicultural team integrative negotiation for, 202–204
- Multicultural teams: BATNAs of, 205–206; characteristics of effective, 214–217; environments and management of, 216–217; interaction norms established by, 210–212, 231; interpersonal conflict in, 116, 183–185, 207–214; issue of face in, 183; motivation of, 215–216; negotiating high-quality decision/managing conflict in, 185–214; procedural conflict in, 116, 178–183, 186–191; requirements for effective, 217–218; skills needed by, 214–215; task conflict in, 116, 177–178, 191–214; U.N. peacekeeping task force example of, 5–6
- Multiparty negotiation/team decision making, 5–6
- Mutuality, 83*e*
- N**
- NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement), 198
- Nakamura Shuji, 115, 121, 123, 131–132, 150
- National Labor Relations Board, 151
- Nazarbayev, President, 251
- Negative publicity strategy, 141–142
- Negotiating social dilemmas: interest-based approaches to, 239–243; power-based approaches to, 228–231; rights-based approaches to, 231–239
- Negotiation: using cultural values to predict outcomes of, 42–43; culturally informed stage mode of, 110–112; definition of, 1; with hostage takers, 272–274; net value outcomes of, 7–8; strategic choices in, 19–23; unlikely development of standardized global, 280–282; venues for, 2–7. *See also* Deals
- Negotiation Planning Document: adapted for dispute resolution, 121; described, 16; as guide through negotiation stages, 75; how to use the, 16, 18; for Lafarge negotiations, 49*e*; overcoming language barriers using, 194; planning negotiation to include culture, 47–48; sample, 17*e*
- Negotiation process: Cartoon Outcome Study on, 54, 57–75; Cartoon Strategy Study on, 81–112; complex relationship of culture and, 51–52; impact of cultural issues on, 25–51; moving through normal stages of, 75–77; using stages to judge progress, 110–112; Summer Interns Study on, 119–120, 124–127*e*, 132–137
- Negotiation strategies: building blocks of, 8–16; Cartoon Outcome Study on, 54, 57–75; Cartoon Strategy Study on, 81–112; complex relationship of culture and, 51–52; incorporating culture into, 48–51; interest-based approaches to social dilemma, 239–243; micro-level, 50–51; power-based approaches to social dilemma, 228–231; rights-based approaches to social dilemmas, 231–239; Summer Interns Study on, 119–120, 124–127*e*, 132–137. *See also* Distributive strategy; Integrative strategy
- Negotiation strategy building blocks: BATNA and reservation price, 13–14; BATNA (Best Alternative To a Nego-

- tiated Agreement), 11–13; issues, 9–10; parties, 8–9; positions, interests, and priorities, 10–11; targets, BATNAs, and opening offers, 14–16
- Negotiation venues: conflict management and dispute resolution, 4–5; deals, 2–3; multiparty negotiation and team decision making, 5–6; social dilemmas, 6–7. *See also specific venues*
- Negotiators: arbitration by, 156–160; becoming an effective global, 282–286; characteristics of excellent global, 286–287; goals and objectives of, 7–8; interdependent nature of, 1; mediation by, 143, 160–164; social motivation/motives of, 22–23
- Net values: Cartoon Outcome Study on, 62–66, 67e; integrative agreement to capture, 99–107; joint gains for both parties, 54–55; long-term gains and, 56–57
- New York Times*, 274
- Newbridge (Texas Pacific Group affiliate), 262–264
- Newell Rubbermaid, 36, 257–258
- NGOs (nongovernmental organizations), 271
- Nichia Corporation, 115, 123, 131–132, 150
- Nike, 274–275, 276
- Nokia, 248
- Norms: cultural, 28e, 39–41; definition of, 39; for directness of communication, 39–41; generating social, 231–237; iceberg metaphors on cultural, 28e, 30–43; indirect communication, 39–41; interaction, 210–212, 231
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 198
- O**
- OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development), 265–266e, 267
- Offers: Cartoon Strategy Study of, 83e–84e; equivalent proposals, 96e–97; indirect information through, 89–98; information embedded in series of single-issue, 90e, 91; interests embedded between, 41; multicultural team integrative negotiation for multi-issue, 202–204; second agreements, 97–98; summary of advice about, 98–99; targets used in opening, 15–16. *See also* Buyers; Information gathering; Sellers
- Okumura, T., 61
- Okumura Tetsushi, 71, 89
- OPEC (Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries), 223, 225, 226, 240–241
- Opening offer, targets as used in, 15–16
- Out-groups, 242–243
- P**
- P&O (Great Britain), 250–251
- Participants, Cartoon Strategy Study, 81e
- Parties: incorporating cultural, 48; net value (joint gains) for both, 54–55; overview of, 8–9; recategorization of, 241; transformative mediation to change relationship of, 161, 163. *See also* Third-parties
- Patagonian toothfish (Chilean sea bass) issue, 219, 226, 228
- Patton, B., 10
- Pearl, D., 272
- Pepsi Cola, 259
- Persuasion: advice for using, 106–107; BATNA references during, 104, 106–107; Cartoon Strategy Study on, 85e, 104–107; in culturally informed stage model of negotiation, 111e; described, 104–105; rational, 85e; structural sequences over time, 105e–106
- Petit, Mme., 3, 10, 11, 59
- Political instability: foreign investor risk of, 253–254; hedging against, 254–255
- Positions, 10
- Posturing: advice for using, 103–104; affective, 84e–85e; Cartoon Strategy Study on, 84e–85e, 100–104; in culturally informed stage model of negotiation, 111e; described, 100–101; gains through, 103; reciprocal posturing over time, 101e–102; structural sequences over time, 102e–103
- Power: advice for using, 137; BATNA as source of, 11–13, 130–132; changing focus to interests from, 152–153; cultural differences in use of, 132–135e; dispute resolution and role of, 117e, 130–137; dispute resolution classifications by use of, 143e; status as source of, 130–132; strategic use of, 150–152;

- Summer Interns Study use of, 132–137; understanding bureaucratic, 261–262; used to win and lose, 136–137
- Power-based approaches: to negotiating social dilemmas, 228–231; when to use in dispute resolution, 146–152
- Powerful people: cultural differences in perceptions of, 234e-235; tactic of, 133e, 134, 135e
- Price fixing, 223–225
- Priorities: Cartoon coding of, 83e; definition of, 10–11; embedded between offers, 41
- Prisoner's dilemmas, 220–222
- Problem solving procedural conflict, 181–183
- Procedural conflict: definition of, 116, 178; neutralizing, 186–191; regarding communication, 180–181; regarding deference to hierarchy, 179–180; regarding problem solving, 181–183; regarding reasonable work day, 178–179
- Procedural conflict resolution: fusion collaboration, 189–191; hybrid collaboration, 187–189; subgroup-dominant collaboration, 187
- Procedural dispute resolutions: changing focus from rights/power to interests, 152–153; claims not worth pursuing, 138–139; classifications of, 143e-144; cultural preferences for direct/indirect, 139–144; overview of, 137–138; when to use interests as part of, 145; when to use power as part of, 146–152; when to use rights as part of, 145–146
- Psychological barriers, 199–200
- Publicity strategy, 141–142
- Q**
- Questioning strategy: Cartoon Strategy Study on, 86e, 87–99; direct information, 87–89; joint gains from reciprocal, 88–89; structural sequences of posturing and, 102e-103
- Quick-trust cultures, 37–38
- R**
- Rational persuasion, 85e
- Reactions coding, 83e
- Recategorization, 241
- Reciprocal sequence: described, 82; hidden powers problem of, 93–96; offers over time, 92e; posturing over time, 101e-102; posturing→questioning over time, 102e-103; questioning over time, 86e, 87–88
- Reciprocity norms, 233
- Reservation price (“walk away” or “bottom line”): BATNA in context of, 12, 13–14; described, 13–14
- Resources: distributive deals over fixed pie of, 2–3; integrative deals to expand the pie of, 3
- Respect: coexistence and, 285–286; as deference to hierarchy, 179–180; disputes and role of, 140–141; negotiation ethics when there is a lack of, 286; preventing interpersonal conflict through, 208–209; third-party neutrality, control, and, 171–173. *See also* Disrespect
- Rhone Poulenc (France), 224
- Rights: changing focus to interests from, 152–153; cultural patterns for using, 124, 126e, 127e-128e; determining between wrong and, 122–124; dispute resolution and role of, 117e, 122–130; dispute resolution classifications by use of, 143e
- Rights-based approaches: challenges of dispute resolution using, 126, 128–130; generating social norms during, 231–237; legal regulation used during, 237–239; to negotiating social dilemmas, 231–239; when to use in dispute resolution, 145–146
- Rogers, N. H., 158, 162
- Romano, J., 25, 27
- Rubbermaid (now Newell Rubbermaid), 36, 257–258
- Russia, 254
- Russo, P., 57
- S**
- Salient social identity: cultural differences and creating, 241–242; risks for making, 242–243; techniques for creating, 240–241
- Sander, F.E.A., 158, 162
- Schacht, H., 57
- Schlumberger, 271

- Schwarzenegger, A., 238
- Sears, 274
- Second agreements (post-settlement settlements): described, 97; inferring information from, 97–98; by multicultural teams, 206–207
- Security issue: as government interest, 250–251; keeping your employees safe, 271–274
- Self-interests, 118–120
- Sellers: Cartoon contingent contracts and, 72–75, 73e; Cartoon Outcome A for, 65e; Cartoon Outcome Study on, 59–66; Cartoon outcomes net values for, 63e; dispute resolution communications of eBay, 147–148. *See also* Offers
- Severstal (Russia), 30
- SHARC social dilemmas, 231–235, 242
- Shenzhen Development Bank (SDB), 262–264
- Shuarto, President, 270
- Simulations: Cartoon Outcome Study, 54, 57–75; Cartoon Strategy Study, 81e–112; Summer Interns Study, 119–120
- Single-issue offers: comparing high- and low-context cultures and, 90–93; information embedded in series of, 90, 91e
- Slow-trust cultures, 37–38
- Small talk, 37–38
- Social dilemmas: Canadian crab fishers story example of, 6–7; competitive, 222–226; cooperative, 226–227; described, 6; as multiparty prisoner's dilemmas, 220–222; negotiating interests in, 243–244; using negotiation skills to manage, 227–243; Patagonian toothfish issue as, 219, 226; “tragedy of the commons” issue of, 220; types of, 222–227
- Social dilemmas negotiation: interest-based approaches to, 239–243; power-based approaches to, 228–231; rights-based approaches to, 231–239
- Social identity: cultural differences and salient, 241–242; ethnocentrism responses to threats to, 184–185; risks in creating salient, 242–243; techniques for creating salient, 240–241
- Social individualistic negotiators, 22
- Social motivation: definition of, 22; distributive vs. integrative strategy and, 22–23
- Social motives: definition of, 22; three definitive findings about, 22–23
- Social norms: commitment, 231–233; emergent local, 235–236; equity and equality, 233–235; reciprocity, 233; to regulate behavior in social dilemmas, 236–237
- Social status: hierarchy versus egalitarianism, 34–37; powerful people, 133e, 134, 135e, 234e–235; as source of power, 130–132
- Socially cooperative negotiators, 22
- South African apartheid, 276
- South Tomi* (fishing trawler), 219
- Soviet Union, 259
- St. Vincent-Grenadines vote, 222, 233
- Strategic choices: confrontation, 19–21; definition of, 19; social motivation/distributive vs. integrative strategy, 22–23
- Structural barriers, 197–199
- Structural sequence, described, 82
- Strums* (cartoon) negotiation, 60–66, 69–70
- Subak* (Balinese community organizations), 235–236
- Subgroup dominance decision rule, 204
- Subgroup-dominant collaboration, 187
- Summer Interns Study: background information on, 119–120; establishing future rules in the, 127e; use of power in, 132–137; references to rules, procedures in the, 126e; reliance on precedent in the, 128e; using rights in different cultures in, 124–126; statements about fairness and norms in, 127e

## T

- Taking dilemmas, 226–227
- Tantrums, 148–149
- Target (U.S. store), 274, 275
- Targets: advice for setting, 15; in context of BATNA, 14–15; definition of, 14–15; used during opening offer, 15–16
- Task clarity, 208
- Task conflict: multicultural team, 116, 177–178; negotiation to resolve, 191–214

Task conflict resolution: cultural barriers to, 196–197; language barriers to, 193–195; meaningful participation required for, 192–193; negotiating for, 191–192; psychological barriers to, 199–200; structural barriers to, 197–199

Taylor, C., 254, 258

Tchuruk, S., 57, 175

Teambuilding, 212–213. *See also* Multicultural teams

Telsim (Turkey), 248

Tenbrunsel, A. E., 61

Tengiz oil file (Caspian Sea), 245, 251

Texas Pacific Group, 262

Thinking net, 7

Third-parties: with authority to resolve disputes, 156–160; without authority to resolve disputes, 160–164; conflict management by, 4–5; culture affecting dispute roles of, 164–173; effective dispute resolution in context of, 173; neutrality, and control over process and outcome by, 171–173. *See also* Parties

Third-party with authority: arbitration role by, 156–160; dispute resolution procedures used by, 156–157; litigation role by, 156; organizational hierarchy procedure used by, 156

Third-party without authority: how to find a mediator, 163–164; mediation using, 160–163

Threats: cultural differences in responses to, 133e, 135e, 136; ethnocentrism responses to social identity, 184–185

Time structural barrier, 197–199

Tinsley, C. H., 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 132–133

Tolerance, 208–209, 285–286

“Tragedy of the commons,” 220

Transaction costs, 55–56

Transactive memory, 199

Trust: using contact to increase, 241; negotiation context of, 37; quick versus slow, 37–38; to reduce interpersonal conflict, 209–210

Turkey, 248

*Turkish Daily News*, 256

Turkish Savings and Deposit Insurance Fund (TMSF), 248

Two-party negotiation model, 45–47, 46e

Two-thirds majority rule, 204

## U

*Ultra Rangers* (cartoon) negotiation, 58–66

U.N. peacekeeping task force story, 5–6

United Emirates, 250–251

United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, 157

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Control, 229

United States: carbon emissions controls in, 238–239; Congressional Committee on Foreign Investments (CFIUS) of, 250, 251; drug approval standards in, 177–178; Kyoto Protocol negotiations and, 229–231, 236; renewed diplomatic ties with Libya, 247; U.S. Federal Corrupt Practices Act of, 251, 264–265, 267e. *See also* U.S. culture

Unocal, 30, 245

Ury, W. L., 10, 12, 117

U.S. Clean Air Act, 238

U.S. culture: blaming and shaming power tactics used in, 134e; differences between British and, 180–181; indirect information/offer strategy and, 89–93; predicting negotiation outcomes based on, 42–43; salient social identity and, 242; Summer Interns Study dispute and, 119–120, 124–128e, 132–137; threats and powerful people allusions used in, 135e, 234e–236. *See also* Low-context cultures; United States; Western cultures

U.S. Department of Justice, 264–265

U.S. Federal Corrupt Practices Act, 251, 264–265, 267e

U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 177–178

## V

Values: claim, 2; cultural, 28e, 30, 32–37; definition of, 32

## W

Wal-Mart, 36, 56, 228–229, 245, 257–258, 274–275, 276

*Wall Street Journal*, 272

Wang, L., 281

WATNA (worst alternative to a negotiated agreement), 13

Websites: on cultural protocol information, 28; International Monetary Fund (IMF), 269; U.S. Department of Justice, 264–265

Wee, D., 50–51

Weingart, L., 93

Western cultures: direct communication norm of, 39–41; egalitarianism of, 34–37; individualism of, 32–34; preferences for direct confrontation by, 139–144. *See also* U.S. culture

Work day assumptions, 178–179

World Bank, 26, 246, 269

World Trade Organization (WTO), 264

## Y

Yeltsin, B., 270

Yoshida Junichi, 45

Yukos (Russia), 254

Yunnan province. *See* Lafarge-Chinese negotiations

## Z

Zenith Data Systems, 182

