

INDEX

A

Abandonware, 38
Academic freedom, and the university researcher, 4
Administrator leadership, university-based start-up companies, 92–93
Agreements, defined, 131; *See also* Research agreements
Alliance of Angels, 91
Alumni Research Fund Park (Madison, Wisconsin), 100
Amgen, 83
Angel capital, 91, 120
Angel investors, 123, 131–132
Angel networks, 112
APAX Partners, 149
Arizona Science and Technology Park (Tucson), 100
Association of University Research Parks (AURP), xiii, 99–101, 150; Outstanding Research/Science Achievement Award, 100–101
Association of University Technology Managers (AUTM), xv–xvi, xix, 83–84, 86, 149
Atkinson, Richard C., 116, 118
AURP, *See* Association of University Research Parks (AURP)
Auth, David, 94
AUTM, *See* Association of University Technology Managers (AUTM)

B

Barnett, Gerald, xi–xii, xv, 31, 96
Bayh, Birch, 116

Bayh-Dole Act, 17–18, 87, 137; defined, 1, 132; and university–industry relations, 1–3; and university research, 2–3; and university technology transfer practice, 37
Beach, Kirk, 94
Betteridge, Mark, xii–xiii, xv, 99
BIOCOM, 122
Biotechnology research, 87
Brand, as intellectual property, 48

C

Center for Process Analytical Chemistry (CPAC) (University of Washington), xii, 53, 58–60, 62, 66, 68–69; organizational structure, 60
Centralization, 74
Centre for Drug Development and Delivery (UILO), 79
Community-connect programs, 132
Company clusters, building from academic strengths, 92
Company incubation, 132–133
Company management, basis of, 5
Conception, use of term, 137
Concurrent funding, consortia, 67
Confidentiality agreements, 12–13
CONNECT, 111–126, 150; annual offerings, 112–113; commercialization systems, elements enabling, 114; defined, 112; Global CONNECT, 113; Springboard program, 112–113; transforming discovery into a viable business, requirements for, 113–114; *See also* USC CONNECT program

Consortia, 50, 53–69, 135; advantages associated with, 55–57; affiliate rights, 64; benefits of, 56; collaborative research conducted by, 135; continuing education, 57; corporate concerns, 64–66; cost for use of IP arising from sponsorship, 64; defined, 135; extent of rights, 64; follow-on/concurrent funding, 67; future, 68–69; implementation alternatives, 66–68; industry consortia, defined, 135; intellectual property, managing, 62–66; knowledge transfer, 58; management structure, 58–60; multiple-university, 61–62; new knowledge generation, 55; operating philosophy, 57–62; overview, 53–55; peer communication, 55; personnel, 57; relative competitive advantage gained by membership, 64; research tools/artifacts, 57; sponsorship agreements, 57–58; technology creation, complexities of (flow diagram), 59

Contract, 133, 139

Cooperative agreements, university-industry, 3–4

Copyrights, 136; software, 35–36, 45

Council for Entrepreneurial Development, 119

Council on Government Relations (COGR), 149; Web site, 6

CPAC, *See* Center for Process Analytical Chemistry (CPAC)

Cross-collateralization, defined, 133

Cultural differences, in university-industry relations, 4–6

Customer satisfaction, technology transfer offices, 23

D

Decentralization, 74

Des Rosier, John, 95–96

Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 87

Digital Ventures, 31–52; classroom of companies, 36–37; Consed, 40; copyright/trademark, 35; creation of, 31–32, 45; Drug Interaction Database, 42–43; and Green laboratory, 40–41; and intellectual property

management, 32; intellectual property policies, 47–49; Internet distribution of assets, 38; laboratory project budgets, 39–40; Netbot, 43–44; OpenDoor portal, 39; patents, 44–46; Phrap, 40, 42; Phred, 40; Pine (alPine) messaging software system, 38; projects, 32, 35–36; relationship-based Intellectual property management, 46–47; Repeatmasker, 40; as separate intellectual property unit, 45–46; size of, 32; software distribution, objectives of, 37; software, multiplicitous nature of, 32–33; software transfer, 36–37; technology transfer offices, fit of software in, 33–35

Disclosures, 133–134

Discovery Parks, Inc. (DPI) (Vancouver, Canada), xii–xiii, 101; accomplishments, summary of, 108; consolidation, 107–108; constitution, 101–102; contract management, 104–105; history of, 101–108; lab building design, 105–106; land endowment, 102–103, 105; lessons learned, 109; market peak, 106–107; as quasi-government organization, 102–104; raising equity, 104–107; rezoning of land endowment, 105; risk transfer, 104; strategic planning for the Future of technology, 107–108; venture capital fund, 103

Dole, Robert, 116

Drug Interaction Database, 42–43

E

Economic development, 129

Engineering Research Center and the Science and Technology Center programs, 55

Entrepreneurial ecosystem, building, 91–92

Entrepreneurs, encouraging/developing, 127

Entrepreneurship programs, 94

Etzioni, Oren, 94

Express contract, 133

F

Facilities and administrative (F&A) rate,
65–66, 134–135
File “wrapper,” 141
Follow-on/concurrent funding,
consortia, 67
Follow-on stock offerings, 91
Foundation grants, 91
Future consortia, 68–69

G

Garbini, Joseph, 94
Genentech, 83
Gift income from industry, and
sponsored research, 144
Global CONNECT, 113
Goodwill, enhancing, 127
Google, 29, 83
Government research grants, 90
Green laboratory, 40–41
Green, Phil, 40
Greenspan, Alan, 83–84

H

Homans, George, 119
Hood, Leroy, 94
Hopkins, John Jay, 111
Huntsman, Lee, 95
Hybritech, 115

I

Implied contract, 133
Industrial advisory board (IAB), 59–60,
135
Industry consortia, defined, 135
Industry liaison–tech transfer, mission of,
clarifying, 128
Industry-managed research operations, 3
Information technology (IT) companies:
and IP terms for licensing,
negotiation of, 11–12; and licensing,
21
Initial public offerings, 91
Innovation policy, 51
Intellectual property (IP): brand as, 48;
defined, 135–137; forms of, 135–136;
as negative right, 137–138; software,
45; policies, universities, 47–49
International Association of Science
Parks (IASP), 150

International Business Development
program (UILO), 79
Invention: defined, 137; publication of,
before filing a patent application, 141

J

Jacobs, Irwin, 115
Jewish Family Foundation, 124
Joint accounting, defined, 133
Joint study opportunities, offering, 134
Jones, Patrick D., xii, xv–xvi

K

Kauffman Foundation, 87
Knowledge transfer between academia
and industry, 71
Ku, Katharine, xi, xvi, 17
Kwiram, Alvin, 31, 92–93

L

Large company-sponsored research, 91
Lassonde, Pierre, 94
Later-stage technology, licensing of, 23
Le Boeuf, Bernard J., xvi–xvii, 1, 127
Levy, Rene, 41
License, 133, 137–139; forms of, 139
Licensee, 133
Licensing: for access beyond base level,
65–66; decisions/transactions, 19;
licensed technologies, 139–140
Licensor, 133
Linkabit, 115
Livingstone, Angus, xii, xvii, 71
Lycos, 83

M

Management of university–industry
affairs, supporting an integrated
approach to, 129
Martin, Roy, 94
Material transfer agreement (MTA), 140
Metacrawler, 44
Method-based inventions, 50
Metrics of success, developing, 128–129
Miller, Robert C., xvii, 1, 7, 93, 127
Montgomery, Bruce, 9–10
MOU, *See* Memorandum of
understanding (MOU)
Multinational companies, and
licensing, 21
Multiple-university consortia, 61–62
Multitenant facilities, 141

N

National Science Foundation, 90, 144;
 Industry/University Cooperative
 Research Center (I/UCRC) program,
 135–137

Negotiations, 128; research agreements,
 10–14, 16

Netbot, 43–44

New Venture Program (UILO), 79

NIH Guidelines on Research Tools, 20

O

Object code, 33

Objective hazards, 142

Office of Technology Transfer,
 University of Washington, 62–63

Olson, Maynard, 9–10

One-stop shop, 71–81; academic benefits,
 73; administrators, suggestions for,
 79–81; back office, 80; compensation,
 81; coordinated policy framework,
 need for, 79; economic benefits, 73;
 empowering staff, 81; financial
 benefits, 74; front office(s), 80;
 integration of services, 75; metrics,
 selecting, 80; operations/initiatives,
 assessing regularly, 81; organizational
 entrepreneurship, encouraging, 81;
 organizing the delivery of services to
 universities/industry, 74–75; political
 benefits, 74; primary mandate,
 clarifying, 80; services, 72–74, 80;
 social benefits, 74; sponsored
 research funding, increase in, 80;
 staff's career progression, 80–81;
 University of British Columbia
 University-Industry Liaison Office
 (case study), 75–79

OpenDoor portal, Digital Ventures, 39

Outreach programs, 132

P

Patents, 44–46; expenses, 25–27;
 management, 23–26; prosecution,
 141

PayPal, 83

Peer communication, consortia, 55

Personal saving, as funding source, 91

Personnel, consortia, 57

Physical science inventions, licensing
 of, 23

Pinkerton, Brian, 43

Porter, Michael E., x, 129

Product development, and universities, 4

Professional schools, research of, 4

R

Rathmann, George, 85

Regional Technology Alliance, 122

Relationship-based Intellectual property
 management, 46–47

Relationship building, x, 8–10, 127

Relationships, 131

Research agreements, 7–16; clinical trial
 research, 14; confidentiality
 agreements, 12–13; intellectual
 property (IP), 11–14; negotiations,
 10–14, 16; and poor
 university-company relations, 12;
 publication of research, restricting,
 13; relationship building, 8–10, 16;
 relationships, 8–10; university
 administrators, role of, 14–15; vice
 president/vice provost/vice
 chancellor of research, role of, 15

Research consortia, 50, *See also* Consortia

Research tool technologies, transfer
 of, 20

Research Triangle Park (North
 Carolina), 100

Residence programs, entrepreneurship
 in, 143

Risk transfer, 141–142; objective hazards,
 142; subjective hazards, 142

Roth, Duane, 113

Royalty free nonexclusive license,
 142–143

Royalty income, 20, 142–143

Royston, Ivor, 115

S

Salk Institute, 117, 118

Salk, Jonas, 111

SBIR/STTR (Small Business Innovation
 Research grants and Small Business
 Technology Transfer Research
 grants), 87, 90

Scripps Research Institute, 117

Seed capital funds, 91

Semiconductor companies, and
 licensing, 21

- “Serial” entrepreneurs,
encouraging/utilizing, 94
- Software: academic, 34–35; Copyright
Network, 35; copyrights, 35–36;
intellectual property (IP), 45;
multiplicitous nature of, 32–33;
object code, 33; source code, 33;
technology transfer offices, fit in,
33–35
- Software licensing, 45
- Software management, 45, 50–51
- Software transfer approach, advantages
to, 50
- Source code, 33
- Spin-off companies, 143
- Sponsored research, 143–144;
contracting, 144; Sponsored Research
Group (SRG), 77–78
- Sponsorship agreements, consortia,
57–58
- Springboard program, 112–113
- Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
(SLAC), 21
- Stanford Research Park, 100
- Stanford University: customer
satisfaction, 23; and financial
negotiation, 30; licensing program,
21–23, 29–30; Office of Technology
Licensing (OTL), 27–30; Office of
the General Counsel, 29
- Start-up companies, 3, 144–145;
biotechnology research, 87; business
planning/marketing, training
students in, 93; cash flow, financing
new products with, 97;
entrepreneurial ecosystem, building,
91–92; entrepreneurship centers,
setting up, 93–94; failure of, 96; new
markets, looking for, 97; “serial”
entrepreneurs, using, 94; student
entrepreneurship, encouraging,
94–95; technology transfer staff,
hiring, 95–96; university-based, 83–98
- State-funded programs, 91
- Student entrepreneurship, encouraging,
94–95
- Subjective hazards, 142
- T**
- Tangible research properties (TRP), 140
- Teaching mission, extending, 127
- Tech Coast Angels, 123
- Technology transfer, 17–30, 145–146;
attitude, 30; challenges, 20–21;
complexity of practices, 18; customer
satisfaction, 23; defined, 17, 139, 145;
disclosures, 29; equity, 29; insuring
knowledge of agreement, 128;
invention quality, 23; inventor
involvement, 19–20; legal review, 29;
licensing, 19; management, 29–30;
metrics, 24; new licenses, 24–25;
patent expenses, 25–27, 143; patent
management, 23–26; practice, 18–20;
practice and “business,” 18–20;
royalty revenue, 22–23; staffing,
27–28; Stanford strategy, 21–22
- Technology Transfer Group (TTG), 78
- Technology transfer offices, 34;
evaluation of, 22–31; as industry
software vendors, 34; staff, hiring,
95–96
- Telecommunications companies, and
licensing, 21
- Torrey Pines Mesa, 111–112, 117,
118–121
- Trademarks, 35, 48, 136
- U**
- UARPs, *See* University-affiliated research
parks (UARPs)
- UCSD CONNECT, xiii, *See also*
CONNECT; components of, 115–125;
cross-professional knowledge relevant
to innovation, 115–117; integrative
platforms, 119–121; multiple
gateways, 121–122; observations on,
125–126; reinvestment, culture of,
122–125; risk-oriented culture adept
at managing uncertainty, 118–119
- Uniform Biomaterial Transfer
Agreement, 140
- University administrators, role in
research agreements, 14–15
- University-affiliated research parks
(UARPs), 99–110; history of
Discovery Parks, 101–108
- University-based start-up companies,
83–98; action plan for administrators,
92–97; administrator leadership,
92–93; business planning and market,
training students in, 93; cash flow, 97;

- community ecosystem of business, building, 91–92; community entrepreneurs, working with, 89–90; company clusters, building from academic strengths, 92; defined, 86–87; drivers for, 87; entrepreneurship centers, setting up, 93–94; failures, value of, 96; funding, diverse sources of, 90–91; idiosyncratic ideas/technologies, 95; importance of, 85–86; research base, building, 88–89; “serial” entrepreneurs, encouraging/utilizing, 94; small markets, 96; student entrepreneurship, encouraging, 94–95; technical and research problems, 97; technology licensing officers, hiring, 95–96; universities and high-tech entrepreneurship, 88–92
- University culture, derivation of, 4
- University entrepreneurship programs, 87
- University/high-tech entrepreneurship, 88–92; community entrepreneurs, working with, 89–90; diverse funding sources, utilizing, 90–91; research base, building, 88–89
- University-industry agreements, 146–147; cooperative, 3–4
- University-industry relations, ix–x; and Bayh-Dole Act, 1–3; changing dynamics of, 3–4; differences between cultures, 4–6; research agreements, 7–16; successful, nine principles for, 127–129
- University-industry research agreements, 7–16, *See also* Research agreements
- University intellectual property policies, 47–49
- University of British Columbia Real Estate Corporation, xii, 104
- University of British Columbia University-Industry Liaison Office (UILO), 75–79; initiatives, 79; locations, 78; organizational change, 78–79
- University of Washington: Center for Process Analytical Chemistry (CPAC), 53, 58, 59–60, 62; *Creating the Future: University of Washington-Related Startup Companies, 1973–2003*, 84; Digital Ventures, 31–52; licensing program, 9–10; Office of Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer, 96; Office of Technology Licensing (OTL), 93; Office of Technology Transfer, 62–63; software copyright licensing, xi–xii; start-ups from, 84; technology transfer, 39; *University of Washington: Engine of the Knowledge-Based Economy*, 84

V

- Venture capital firms, 91
- von Liebig Center for Entrepreneurial Studies, 122

W

- Walshok, Mary Lindenstein, xiii, xviii, 111
- Walters, Kenneth D., xii, xvii–xviii, 83
- Washington Research Foundation, 91
- Washington Technology Center, 91
- Webcrawler, 43–44
- Weld, Dan, 94
- Williams, Charles R., xi, xviii–xix, 31
- World Intellectual Property Organization, 136