

Index

A

- ACT (American College Test), 23
- Administrative/professional job assessment, 74e
- Agreeableness, 44
- American Educational Research Association, 5
- American Psychological Association, 5, 26
- American Society for Training and Development, 11
- Analytical/technical job assessment, 75e
- Anger, W. K., 25
- Assessors: additional considerations/recommendations for, 14–15; final report made by, 108–125; individual assessment report responsibilities of, 19, 22; as instrument of assessment and prediction, 42; psychological measurements and role of, 42–43; three levels of competencies for, 9–14; what they need to know, 8–9. *See also* Final report; Human resources (HR); Individual assessment
- Atlis, M. M., 28

B

- Barak, A., 28
- Barrick, M. R., 44
- Basic clerical/office job assessment, 74e
- Baydoun, R., 25
- Beadle, S., 25
- Behavior: data from observed, 95–96; HDS scales on counterproductive, 129–131; problem of counterproductive, 50; team building, 132–134; tests for predicting intelligent, 40; tests for predicting work, 44–46. *See also* Psychological tests
- Behling, O., 49
- Benchmarking: additional reasons for, 81–82; advantages of using, 78–79; case example of, 81; concurrent validity established by, 39, 82; conducting, 79–81; definition of, 78; limitations of, 82; validity established by, 39
- “Big Five” prediction factors, 44–45
- Binder, L. M., 25
- BMI (Body Mass Index), 31

Bobko, P., 27
 Brown, B. K., 51, 91
 Buchanan, T., 25, 28
 Butcher, J. N., 28

C

Campbell, K. A., 25
 Champion, J., 51, 91
 Champion, M. A., 51, 91
 CD (computer disk), 23
 Center for Creative Leadership, 129
 Chao, G. T., 93, 128
 Clients: definition of, 19; final report made to, 108–125. *See also* Reporting results
 Cognitive ability tests: comparing computer-based and conventional, 25–26; interpretation and integration of, 27–28. *See also* Intelligence tests
 Compensatory decision-making approach model, 119, 120
 Competencies. *See* KSAs (knowledge, skills, and abilities)
 Computer-based data analysis, 97–98
 Computer-based testing: equivalence of conventional and, 24, 25–27; hard data from, 69; interpretation and integration of, 27–28; personality testing candor of, 27; validity and utility of, 28
 Computer-generated final reports, 121, 124–125
 Concurrent validity, 39, 82

Conscientiousness, 44
 Construct validity, 39
 Content validity, 38
 Costa, P. T., Jr., 44
 Cost/benefit analysis, 18
 Counter-productive behavior, 129–131
 Coynes, I., 25
 Criterion-related validity, 38–39
 Croll, P. R., 70
 Cronbach's *alpha*, 36
 Customer relations job assessment, 75e

D

Data: benchmarking, 39, 78–82; hard, 68–71; process of developing/integrating, 4, 85–105; soft, 71. *See also* Reliability; Validity
 Data analysis: computer-based, 97–98; drawing conclusions from, 101–104; drawing inferences from, 98–100; of hard and soft data, 68–71; individual assessment and role of, 3–4; overview of, 53–54; placing values on inferences from, 100–101; procedures and choices made for, 71–82; processing the database, 96–104
 Data collection: benchmarking competencies, 39, 78–82; database/data sources for, 88–104; of hard and soft data, 68–71; individual assessment and role of, 3–4; overview of, 53–54;

- procedures and choices made for, 71–82
- Data collection decisions: at different levels of job complexity, 74e–75e; learning about a new test, 73, 76; measurement choices, 72–73
- Database/data sources: analyzing and evaluating, 96–98; drawing conclusions from, 101–104; drawing inferences from, 98–100; the interview, 90–91; observed behavior, 95–96; placing values on inferences, 100–101; résumés, 88–89; work products, 93–94; work samples, 94–95. *See also* Psychological tests
- Davis, K. L., 25
- Davis, R. N., 27
- Decision-making model for recommendations, 119–121
- DeMoivre, A., 31
- Derailed* research, 129
- Development. *See* Individual assessment for development
- Dies, R. R., 43, 87
- Digman, J. M., 44
- DiLalla, D. L., 25
- Drasgow, E., 26
- Drasgow, F., 26
- E**
- Eisman, E. J., 43, 87
- Encyclopedia Britannica*, 23
- English, N., 28
- Entry-level jobs: assessment procedures for, 74e; competency model on, 20e–21e; identifying five different competencies for, 76–77; individual assessment and review of, 58–59; selecting test for, 77
- Entry-level management job assessment, 75e
- Equivalence approach to reliability, 36
- Executive-management jobs: assessment procedures for, 75e; individual assessment and review of, 60
- Extroversion, 44
- Eyde, L. D., 43, 87
- F**
- Face validity, 38
- Final report: caveat on making, 109–110; computer-generated, 121, 124–125; content and style of, 108–118; decision-making model used for, 119–121; drawing conclusions/making recommendations, 118–119; focus of, 108; form of, 109; forty-question checklist for, 121, 122e–124e; reporting options, 110
- Final report format: recommended organization and, 112e–115e; section 1, 111, 115; section 2, 115–116; section 3, 116; section 4, 116–117; standardization of, 110–111
- Finn, S. F., 43, 87
- Follow-up process: of competency specifications assessment,

- 82–83; data collection/
analysis, 67–68, 82–83;
individual assessment model
on, 22
- Fons, J. R., 26
- Forty-question checklist, 121,
122e–124e
- Future-oriented job analysis,
134–135
- G**
- Gardner, P. D., 128
- Goldberg, L. R., 25, 44
- Goodstein, L. D., 38, 44, 133
- Grossman, S. J., 25
- H**
- Handler, L., 26
- Hard data, 68–71
- Harsfeldt, M., 26
- Hawthorne, S. L., 15
- Hogan Development Survey
(HDS), 129–131
- Hogan, J., 129
- Hogan, R., 129
- Honesty/integrity tests, 90, 92
- Hough, L. M., 89
- Human resources (HR): individ-
ual assessment for develop-
ment by, 125–134; level 1
competencies of, 9–11; mentor-
ing instituted by, 128–131;
out-placement counseling by,
131–132; team building
processes used by, 132–134. *See*
also Assessors
- Hunter, J. E., 47, 70

I

- In-basket test, 94
- Individual assessment: defining
process of, 8; developing/
integrating data for, 4, 19,
60–62, 85–105; for develop-
ment, 125–134; final report
on, 108–125; information
technology and, 22–29;
practice of, 3; systematic and
functional approach to, 29–30.
See also Assessors; Job appli-
cants; Tests
- Individual assessment for
development: mentoring and,
128–131; out-placement
and, 131–132; strategies used
for, 125–128; team building
and, 132–134
- Individual assessment model: illus-
trated diagram of, 16*fig*, 63*fig*,
66*fig*; overview of, 15; step 1:
organization and work setting,
15–17, 54–56; step 2: job and
person analyses, 17–19, 57–60,
76–77, 134–135; step 3: inte-
gration and interpretation, 4,
19, 60–62, 85–104; step 4:
reporting the results, 4–5, 19,
22, 63–64, 66, 82–83, 101–104,
107–136; step 5: follow-up
and program evaluation, 22,
67–68, 82–83
- Inferences: drawing, 98–100; plac-
ing values on, 100–101
- Integration/interpretation step:
choosing measures for,
86–88; data sources for, 85;
database used for, 88–104; of

- hard data, 70; individual assessment model on, 19; of job and person data analyses, 60–62
- Intellectual functioning checklist, 123e
- Intelligence Quotient (IQ), 41
- Intelligence tests: measures of intellectual functioning, 47–49; norms used in, 41; for predicting intelligent behaviors, 40. *See also* Cognitive ability tests
- Internal consistency of test, 36
- Internet: computer-based testing and development of, 23; résumés submitted or posted on, 88–89; tremendous growth of the, 23–24
- Interviews: conducted prior to psychological tests, 90–91; data provided by, 90–91; measurements used for, 51–52
- I/O (industrial/organizational) psychology degrees, 11, 13
- IT (information technology): assessment and changing role of, 22–23; candor and computer-based personality tests, 27; computer delivery of assessments, 24–25; equivalence of computer-based and conventional testing, 25–27; history of assessment and, 23–24; interpretation and integration of test results, 27–28; summary of assessment and, 28–29
- J**
- Jackson, D. N., 45
- Job analysis: assessment data collection and analysis on, 57–58; future-oriented, 134–135; individual assessment model approach to, 17–18; integrating data on person and, 60–62; as test selection consideration, 76–77. *See also* KSAs (knowledge, skills, and abilities)
- Job applicants: assessed for entry-level jobs, 20e–21e, 58–59, 74e, 76–77; assessed for management-level jobs, 60, 75e; assessment procedures by job complexity levels, 74e–75e; drawing conclusions about, 101–104; drawing inferences about, 98–100; gathering information for composite picture of, 85–105; Hogan Development Survey (HDS) for fitting job to, 129–131. *See also* Individual assessment
- Job boards, 88–89
- “Job hopping,” 89
- Job preview, 18
- Johnson, J. A., 25
- K**
- Katz, J. A., 94
- Kay, G. G., 43, 87
- Kovera, C. A., 25
- Kram, K. E., 128
- KSAs (knowledge, skills, and abilities): assessor level 1-3 competencies, 10–12; benchmarking,

39, 78–82; competencies divided into, 9; entry-level competency model on, 20e–21e; identified for entry-level assembly job, 76–77; job analysis establishing needed, 17–18; job analysis identifying, 57–58; numerical ratings of, 65e; psychological tests providing data on, 80–81; reporting and follow-up choices of assessing, 82–83; tests of specific job competencies and, 46–47. *See also* Job analysis
Kubiszyn, T. W., 43, 87

L

Lanyon, R. I., 44, 133

M

McClelland, D. C., 9, 47, 70
Macey, W. H., 14
McKenzie, R. C., 70
McRae, R. R., 44
Management jobs: assessment procedures for entry-level, 75e; assessment procedures for middle and executive, 60, 75e
Margolis, A., 26
Matarazzo, J. D., 71
Mead, A. D., 26
Measurements. *See* Psychological measurements
Mentoring, 128–131
Messick, S., 39
Meyer, C. J., 43, 87

Middle-management job assessment, 75e
Miscellaneous tests, 49–50
MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Test), 39
Moreland, K., 43, 87
Mount, M. K., 44
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), 133

N

Naglieri, J. A., 26
National Council on Measurement in Education, 5
Neuroticism, 44
Noah's ark, 41
Non-compensatory decision-making model, 119–120
Normal distribution curve of, 31–33*fig*
Norms: as function of mode of presentation, 69; psychological measurement, 40–41
Nouman, G., 25

O

Observed behavior, 95–96
Openness to New Experience, 44, 50
Organizational/work setting: assessment data collection and analysis on, 54–56; assessor understanding of, 15–17; measures of intellectual functioning in, 47–49; testing of specific job competencies for, 46–47; testing to predict behaviors in, 44–46

Orienting information checklist,
122e
Out-placement counseling,
131–132

P

Palmer, D. K., 51, 91

Paper-and-pencil-based tests:
empirical research on computer
and, 25–27; hard data from, 69;
questioning equivalence of
computer and, 24

PC (personal computers): assess-
ment delivery via, 24–25;
assessment and development
of, 23, 24; equivalence of con-
ventional and PC testing, 24,
25–27; final reports generated
from, 121, 124–125

Perry, J. N., 28

Person analysis: assessment data
collection and analysis on,
58–60; individual assessment
model approach to, 18–19;
integrating data on job and,
60–62; job application review
inclusion of, 58–59

Personal/interpersonal character-
istics tests, 44–46

Personal/interpersonal function-
ing checklist, 122e–123e

Personality tests: candor and
computer-based, 27; compar-
ing computer-based and con-
ventional, 25; interpretation
and integration of, 27–28;
MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic
Personality Test), 39

Potosky, D., 27

Predictive validity, 38–39, 133

Prien, E. P., 14, 15, 38, 49, 86, 94

Prien, K. O., 15, 86

Prifitera, A., 26

Primoff, E. S., 9

*Principles for the Validation and Use
of Personnel Selection Proce-
dures*, 5

Production job assessment, 74e

Professional development. *See*
Individual assessment for
development

Professional/administrative job
assessment, 74e

Program evaluation: of data
collection/analysis, 67–68;
individual assessment model
on, 22

Psychological measurements:
assumptions of, 3; data
collection decisions on,
72–73; for developing/
integrating individual assess-
ment data, 86–88; normal dis-
tribution curve of, 31–33*fig*;
norms of, 40–41; reliability of,
33–37; role of assessor regard-
ing, 42–43; as test selection
criteria, 52; validity of,
37–40, 43

Psychological test classifications:
four major groups of, 44; mea-
sures of intellectual function-
ing, 47–49; miscellaneous tests,
49–50; personal/interpersonal
characteristics tests, 44–46;
specific job competencies tests,
46–47

Psychological tests: cautions
 observed in reviewing scores of,
 92–93; classifications of, 44–50;
 conducting interviews prior to,
 90–91; as data source, 91–93;
 determining competency
 measurements, 80–81; hard data
 from, 68–71; Hogan Develop-
 ment Survey, 129; honesty/
 integrity, 90, 92; interviewing
 form of, 51–52; learning about
 new, 73, 76; measurements as
 criteria for selecting, 52; mea-
 surements used in, 3, 31–41, 43;
 Myers-Briggs Type Indicator
 (MBTI), 133; selection of,
 76–78; soft data from, 71; valid-
 ity of, 37–40, 43. *See also* Behav-
 ior; Database/data sources; Tests
 Pursell, E. D., 51, 91
 “Pygmalion effect,” 90

Q

Quetelet, A., 31

R

Recommendations: decision-
 making model for, 119–121;
 final report conclusions and,
 118–119
 Reed, G. M., 43, 87
 Reilly, R. R., 93
 Reliability: definition of, 33; esti-
 mating, 35–37; importance and
 issues of, 33–35; interviewing
 criticized for lack of, 51. *See
 also* Data

Reporting results: assessor respon-
 sibility for, 19, 22; on compe-
 tency specifications for job,
 82–83; computer-generated
 reports, 121, 124–125; of con-
 clusions about job applicants,
 101–104; content and style of
 final report, 108–118; of data
 collection/analysis, 63–66,
 82–83; decision-making model
 for making recommendations,
 119–121; drawing conclusions/
 making recommendations,
 118–119; focus of final report,
 108; forty-question checklist
 for, 121, 122e–124e; four parts
 comprising, 4; numerical rat-
 ings of competency, 65e;
 overview of, 107; uses of, 4–5.
See also Clients

Résumés, 88–89

Rohlman, D. S., 25

Role plays, 94–95

Rothstein, M., 45

Ryan, A. M., 76

S

Sackett, P. R., 76

Sales job assessment, 75e

SAT (Scholastic Aptitude
 Test), 23

Schippmann, J. S., 15, 49, 86, 94

Schmidt, F. L., 47, 70

Schmidt, S. D., 15

Schmitt, M., 26

Shrinkage (employee pilferage), 50

Society for Human Resources
 Management, 11

Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 5
 Soft data, 71
 Spearman-Brown prophecy formula, 36–37
 Split-half method, 36–37
Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing, 5
 Stanford-Binet Intelligence Test, 41
 Storsbach, D., 25
 Supervisor job assessment, 75e

T

Team building, 132–134
 Technical/analytical job assessment, 75e
 Test-retest method, 36
 Tests: computer-based, 24–28, 69; honesty/integrity, 90, 92; in-basket, 94; intelligence, 40–41, 47–49; paper-and-pencil-based, 24–27, 69; personality, 25, 27–28, 39; selection of, 76–78; three rules for selecting assessment, 87. *See also* Individual assessment; Psychological tests
 Tett, R. P., 45
 360-degree feedback survey, 60
 TOSS (Test of Supervisory Skills), 38–39

U

Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures, 5

U.S. Department of Justice, 5
 U.S. Department of Labor, 5
 U.S. Equal Opportunities Commission, 5

V

Validity: computer-based testing utility and, 28; definition of, 37–38; interviewing criticized for lack of, 51; as measurement standard, 87–88; predictive, 38–39, 133; of psychological tests, 37–40, 43; role of assessor in, 42–43; test score interpretation and established data, 70; types of, 38–40. *See also* Data
 Van de Vijver, H., 26
 Velasquez, R., 26

W

Wallace, P., 27
 Walz, P. M., 128
 Wanszta, T., 25
 Work activity (WA): entry-level competency model on, 20e–21e; job analysis using data on, 57–58
 Work motivation checklist, 123e–124e
 Work products, 93–94
 Work samples, 94–95
 Work/organizational setting. *See* Organizational/work setting

