

# Index

- adversarial system 30–1, 97
  - in both civil and criminal cases 30
  - self-advocacy legitimate 30
- affirmation in court 93–4
  - 1978, available for those unable to swear an oath 94
  - assumption of honesty 93
  - the paradox 94
- American colonies, 1718–1776 transportation to 16
- American legal system
  - Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, testimony of an expert witness 36–7
  - Frye v. United States, and unsubstantiated scientific evidence 36
  - problem, ‘right’ to bear arms no longer appropriate 13
- arbitration 48–9
  - appointment of an expert 49
  - makes great use of expert advice 48
  - not truly a judicial procedure 48
  - objectives 49
  - parties may pick their own arbiter 48
    - arbiter may be an expert 49
- Arbitration Act (1996) 48
- Athenian law system 1–3
- Australia, transportation to 15, 16
- barristers 30, 88, 90, 106
  - as advocates 91
  - cross-examination by 97–9
  - given burden and standard of proof 45
- Bertillonage 22, 132
  - almost impossible to quantify simply 22
  - analysis of handwriting 132
  - difference between precision and accuracy 22
  - needed highly trained personnel 22
  - use of in the Dreyfus case 23, 132
- Birmingham Six case 130–1
  - forensic evidence pivotal in both conviction and acquittal 130
  - only one hypothesis tested 130
  - successful appeal, alternative hypotheses must be considered 131
- Bracton on the Laws and Customs of England* 9–11
  - in Latin, first translated between 1878 and 1883 9
  - money lending and debt discussed 10–11
  - merchants not happy with erosion of oath-swearing 11
  - paper money and promissory notes 10
  - process settled by testing of oaths (compurgation) 11

- Bracton on the Laws (Cont.)*  
serious cases, outcome either by battle or trial by ordeal 10  
unfinished, but a seminal work of English law 10  
brand recognition and protection 18  
burden of proof 59–60
- candidate, original meaning 1–2  
Capital punishment  
1969, finally abolished 15  
burning vs. hanging 15  
and deliberate installation of fear 14  
further terrors for the criminal 14–15  
generally little more than ritual murder 14  
transportation, alternative to the death penalty 15–16  
cars 19  
and seatbelts 20  
civil cases 38–43, 60, 86  
duties and responsibilities of an expert 38–9  
*Ikarian Reefer* case 39  
expert witnesses, need to demonstrate cutting edge ability 76  
go straight to the County Court 25  
and hearsay evidence 59  
most concluded without going to court 79  
written report 80, 96  
simple, clear and straightforward 79  
civil courts 40–3  
calling of witnesses 42–3  
expert witnesses 42–3  
general procedure of a civil claim 43  
initial submission  
and the expert witness/forensic scientist 40–1  
reference made to possibly relevant legal authorities 41  
proceedings often referred to as hearings 40  
*see also* County Court; High Court  
Civil Evidence Act (1995), used to define hearsay 91  
Civil Procedure Rules (1998) 78  
commerce, paternalistic, help for 18  
common law  
development and evolution in the UK 2, 12  
Common Law Contempt 51, 53  
constitution, unwritten 12–13  
contempt 51–3  
civil contempt of court situations 51–2  
Common Law Contempt 51, 53  
Contempt of Court Act (1981) 51–2  
abuse of the court 52  
case of *Attorney General v. Times Newspapers Ltd* (1974) 52  
and contempt rulings of the European Court 52  
published words covering court cases 52–3  
Coroners Amendment Act (1926) 50  
Coroner's Court 26, 47, 49–50  
coroner may order a post-mortem 50  
coroner's jury 50  
forensic evidence rarely called 49  
County Court 25, 26, 30  
for civil trials 40  
for disputed claims 40  
Small Claims Court 40  
court reporter, produces verbatim record 35  
court structure/system 25–9, 26, 30  
courts 2, 67  
appointment of joint experts 77–8  
taking the strain out of 88  
Courts of Appeal 25, 26, 31  
Criminal Division 47  
Courts martial 17, 50–1  
CPS (Crown Prosecution Service) 38, 60, 73–4, 75  
crime scenes, DNA and a planted cigarette end 116  
criminal cases/trials 25, 31–3, 60, 63, 83  
appointment of forensic scientists/scientific experts 75–6  
bring out important points in the case 96  
duty to reveal unused documentation 71  
general structure of proceedings 33  
start in the Magistrates' Court 25, 31–2  
time in court for cases of fraud 86–7  
written reports 80  
complaints about 78–9

- coverage 78
  - further reports 78
  - may be used in trials as section 9 statements 79
  - not possible to hide/ignore adverse results 78
  - Criminal Justice Act (2003), and DNA samples 21
  - Criminal Justice and Police Act (2001), legislation on DNA samples 21
  - criminal law reform 13–15
    - before 1830 ‘let the punishment fit the crime’ not valued 13
  - Crown Court 25, 26, 30–1, 45–6
    - evidence to be revealed to the other side 62
    - hearing of criminal case appeals 32
    - judgements 34–6
      - abolition of death penalty (1968) 35
      - decision on time in custody 35
    - procedure in criminal cases 45–6
      - experts, cross-examined and re-examined 45–6
      - matters of law always referred to the judge 46
    - serious criminal cases heard 30, 35–6
    - start of trial is by arraignment 45
    - trial by jury 32, 45
  - Crown Court (Advanced Notice of Expert Evidence) Rules (1987) 71
  - customary law 6
  - cycling helmets, compulsory 20
  
  - data trawling 116–19, 122–4
    - calculation of an association 117
    - an exclusion is absolute 124
    - has limitations 122
    - simple in principle but... 117
    - uncertainty associated with 117, 118
    - without population frequency of a characteristic 118
  - databases and database construction 114–26
    - anonymous database 115–16
      - easiest to understand 115
      - finding a match between two materials 116
    - databases must represent population from which they come 118
    - forensic databases, keep all data 142
      - forensic science and medical diagnostics, may share data 142
    - frequency databases and databases of named individuals 114
    - larger, finding a match easier 117
  - defence council, in criminal cases 31–2
  - Defence of the Realm Act (DORA) (1914) 17–19
    - after 1950, paternalism increased 19, 20
  - Defence of the Realm Consolidation Act (1914) 17
  - main legislation, two aims 17
    - backed by summary jurisdiction or courts martial 17
  - series of laws changing the way we think 18–19
    - British Summer Time 19
    - change in licensing laws for public houses 19
- diagnostics and forensics, false positives and false negatives 124–5
- DNA
  - immense value proved 122–4
  - quantifiable but also fallible 125
- DNA analysis 110–11, 132
  - all samples to be kept (for 100 years) 21
  - for the profiling of individuals 58
- DNA databases 114–26
  - constituent members represent population they come from 118
  - Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium 115
  - measurable mutation rate 115
  - of named individuals 116
  - not complete sequences 115
  - STR analysis used to look at many genetic loci 118
- DNA evidence 69–70, 117
  - matching DNA profile of the accused 69
  - probability of two brothers having same DNA 120–1
- DNA markers, possible use to predict defined simple eye colour 143–4

- DNA profiling 20, 125–6
  - in the case of rape 116
  - early use in USA 119
  - profiles constructed from STR data 121
- DNA samples 21
  - ethical responsibility towards the individual? 143
  - retention of samples a problem 143–4
- DNA testing, illicit, immorality of may not be recognised 144
- Down's syndrome 65
- Dreyfus case 22, 23, 132
- England 9
  - modernisation of law and power of rule came early 13
- English law
  - first attempt to review the whole 8–11
  - 'Bracton' 9–11
  - robust and flexible 13
- English legal system, development of 1–24
  - no written statement of rights of an individual 12
- ethical and scientific considerations 127–47
  - admissible evidence, accepted through clumsy circular argument 129–31
  - case of the Birmingham Six 130–1
  - general issues vexing philosophers 145–7
    - actions acceptable in the past 147
    - assessing rightness or wrongness of past actions 146–7
    - the determination of moral boundaries 146
    - distinguishing between an ethical and a moral stance 145–6
    - moral difference 146
  - hair analysis 129
  - human embryos and future children, ethical and legal position 135
  - Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act (2008) 135
  - legal and (morally) right 127–8
    - forensic science as an oxymoron? 127–8
  - O. J. Simpson case, discounted forensic evidence 128
  - position of ethics with respect to forensic science 135–47
  - Bertrand Russell, modern thought on ethics 140–1
  - in a centrally governed industrial society 139
  - change in relationship between individuals and the state 139
  - databases and privacy 142–4
  - Isaac Newton and Charles Darwin 140
  - logical inference and logical argument 137
  - moral ground on which decisions are made 137
  - moral questions 136
  - morals and ethics usually used interchangeably 136
  - no longer possible to remain anonymous throughout life 141–2
  - question of ethics, look to philosophers or ethicists for help 139
  - questions on opinions of moral ideas 136
  - right to privacy 141, 144
  - thoughts and ideas in science can also resonate within ethics 140
  - thoughts of Thomas Hobbes and John Locke 139–40
- questions regarding the science in forensic science 131–5
  - problems, empirical observations becoming part of forensic science 131
- Roman addition 137–8
- sound and reliable tests 128
- Swami Premananda, case of scientific sleight of hand 129
- Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward* 131
- statement of doubt on efficacy of forensic science 131–2
- European Convention of Human Rights 27
- European Court of Human Rights 27–9
  - and Article 10 of the Human Rights Act 52

- judges do not represent their countries 27
  - not quite a constitution 28
    - more measured collection of human rights 28
  - ruling on *Attorney General v. Times Newspapers Ltd* (1974) 52
  - UK DNA database, gone too far in holding all profiles 21, 143
  - work of 27–8
  - evidence of fact
    - best evidence rule eroded 66
    - cannot be disregarded 66
    - careful about associated opinion 100–1
    - should be incontrovertible 58
  - evidence of opinion
    - based on an interpretation of facts 58
    - given by forensic scientists 68–70
  - expert evidence
    - either agreed or not 41
    - no official record in Magistrates' Court 32
  - expert witnesses 33–4
    - able to give opinions on the factual evidence 67
    - appointment of single joint experts 76–8
      - reliability and non-partisan nature understood and accepted 77–8
    - appointment of in UK criminal cases used to be simple 73
    - in arbitration, absolute neutrality essential 49
    - in the civil courts 40–1
    - in the Crown Court 32, 33
    - give precise, accurate and defensible evidence 42–3
    - legal justification for 36–8
      - changes in attitude towards 37–8
      - two American cases 36–7
    - in Magistrates' Courts 31
      - precision in what is said invaluable 32
    - production of a preliminary report 39–40
    - who constitutes an expert witness 37
      - clarified in *Folkes v. Chadd* 37
      - see also forensic scientist(s)
  - expert witnesses, and rules of evidence 55–71
    - burden of proof 59
    - data provided should be useful (USA: helpfulness) 56
    - court assumptions 56
    - laboratory records available for scrutiny 56
  - different categories of evidence 58–9
    - evidence of fact and evidence of opinion 58
    - hearsay evidence (second-hand information) 58–9
  - documentary evidence 61
  - not always easy to steer away from subjective opinion 64–5
  - problem, question of certainty and probity of evidence 56, 58
  - DNA analysis 58
  - psychological evidence
    - and descriptive syndromes 64–5
    - and fitness to plead 64
    - and psychiatric evidence 64
  - ultimate issue rule 63–4
  - value of having witness in court 59
- feudal system 11–12
    - merchant laws ended up as part of common law 12
  - fingerprint databases 134
  - fingerprint investigation, a long history 132–5
    - Central Fingerprint Branch (Metropolitan Police) 134
    - first record of use to solve a crime 133
    - foundation of formal identification system (1892) 133–4
  - fingerprinting 58
    - of individuals 20–1
  - fingerprints 118
    - finding a match 117
    - of identical twins close but not identical 132
    - manner of inheritance remains unknown 132
    - not unique 104–6
    - rarely taken as evidence in isolation 106
    - as statements of authenticity 22

- fingerprints (*Cont.*)
    - thumbprints used by Chinese to validate promissory notes 133
    - uniqueness and error rate 134
    - used as diagnostic tool for some genetic disorders 132
    - why have them? 133
      - aid in perception of fine surface texture 133
      - basic set of defining features 133
  - forensic analysis
    - information wanted
      - any match between scene of crime data and known reference sample 125
      - probability of match being found by chance 125
    - of material 66
    - and statistical probabilities 125
  - forensic evidence 30
    - direct comparison between two or more items 106–7
    - may be pivotal in a case 64
    - never partisan xi
  - forensic science
    - clearer use of probability based on relative frequencies 113–14
    - doubt on the efficacy of 131–5
      - Bertillonage 132
    - fingerprint investigation 132–5
    - no evidence that it is a precise science 135
  - Forensic Science Service 75
  - forensic scientist(s) 1, 27, 30, 63
    - ability to express a probability without confusing the jury 119–20
      - Bayesian statistical approach 119–20
    - able to give opinions on the factual evidence 67
    - always report what is found 14
    - appearing at a distant venue 83–4
    - and documentary evidence 61
      - chain of custody for exhibits 61
    - ethical and scientific considerations 127–47
    - evidence of both fact and opinion 66–7
    - evidence to be used must be revealed to both parties 70–1
  - forensic evidence may not be used 61–2
  - giving evidence of opinion 68–70
    - case of DNA evidence on a cigarette end 69–70, 116
    - case of the glass at a break-in 68–9
    - importance of Locard’s principle 68–9
    - use of assumptions to be avoided 68
  - hearsay and the expert 91–2
    - not generally applied to forensic evidence 92
    - tested in *R. v. Abadom* [1983] 92
  - mainly associated with criminal trials 68
  - may be required to sit in court during scientific evidence for other side 66
  - must be free and fair with data 70
  - never pass and opinion on a court case 53
  - nothing less than scrupulous honesty 147
  - party bringing a case must prove its validity 70
  - ‘right’ v. ‘right’ situation, moral ethical stance 146
  - rules of evidence relevant to their work 60–1
    - must give clear indication of what constitutes evidence 70
    - witness of fact or opinion 60
  - written report 70–1
    - may be required to give oral evidence based on the report 70
  - see also* expert witnesses
- forensic scientist(s), in court 83–102
  - in court
    - attitude towards the court 99
    - cross-examination by opposing barrister 92, 97–9
    - cross-examination, the reductionist view 99
    - examination-in-chief 95–7
    - giving evidence of fact and of opinion 100
    - ground rules for stressless appearance in court 101–2
    - if the judge is taking notes 97
    - listen carefully to opposing evidence-in-chief 101

- possible re-examination 101
- precise answers to questions important 96
- simplicity in giving evidence 99–100
- stance when answering question 96
- stay within the field of expertise 85–6, 98–9
- stick to the facts 101
- swearing an oath or affirmation 93–5
- understanding the questions 96–7
- to do on arrival in court 89–102
  - ask about communication with other people 89
  - become familiar with the court lay-out 90–2, 90
  - to do before arrival in court 83–9
    - dress, plan in advance 86
    - find out estimated length of trial 86–7
    - identify strengths and weaknesses of both sides of the case 85
    - inform lawyer of periods of non-availability 87
    - reread report and review material 84
    - taking the strain out of court 88
- forensic techniques, many open to too much subjective interpretation 23–4
- freedom of expression, and contempt rulings of the European Court 52
- Galton, Francis
  - Finger Prints* 133–4
  - and regression 107, 109, 110
  - studied heredity 109, 110
- government, holding information on every individual 21, 22
- government legislation
  - 20th century 18–9
  - can and does change social attitudes 17–18
  - has become more controlling 20, 21
- guilt or innocence, determination of 10–11
- handwriting analysis 23
  - discredited by Dreyfus case 22
- hearsay evidence 58–9
  - essentially second-hand information 58
  - and the expert 91–2
- factors helping to assess evidential value 58–9
- usually excluded, but exceptions 58
  - confessions of guilt, death-bed statements 58
- High Court 25, 26, 31, 40
  - appeals may be heard in the Queen’s Bench Division 46
  - Divisional Court, hearing of criminal case appeals 32
  - hears appeals from Magistrates’ Courts or the Crown Court 47
- Home Secretary, fixes term of custody 35
- House of Lords 25, 26, 31
  - adjudication accepted as final 26
  - change to Supreme Court (October 2009) 31
  - final hearing of an appeal 47
- Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act (2008) 135
  - provision for human/animal mix embryos for research 135
  - provision for same-sex partnerships 135
  - saviour siblings 135
- human rights, and the forensic scientist 12
- identity cards, early 21–2
- Identity Cards Act (2006), use of biometric data 21
- judges
  - barristers and solicitors 89–90
  - in the Crown Court 35, 45–6
    - can direct juries 35
    - passing sentence 35
  - decide on matters of law 32
- judgments, in the Crown Court 34–6
- judiciary
  - do make laws 26
  - power to find out the truth 63
- juries
  - coroner’s jury 50
  - in the Crown Court 35
  - decide upon matters of fact 32
  - empanelled 32

- juries (*Cont.*)
  - exemptions from jury service 45
  - important part of the court system 29
  - independence, came with *R. v. Penn and Mead* (1670) 29
  - may ignore/downgrade expert evidence 63–4
  - possible removal from difficult fraud cases 67
- jury trials
  - in the Crown Court 45–6
  - general structure 32–3
  - introduction upset merchant classes 11
- law, based on a robust concept of fairness 12
- The Law, according to Plato and Aristotle 3
- Law Commission, suggested reform of law concerning murder 35
- laws
  - sound philosophical basis 1
  - stood even when rulers fell, development of the concept 4
- Locard's principle 68–9
- Locke, Hobbes and Hume 12
  - Hobbes, ideas on working together and our place in society 139–40
  - Locke, *Two Treatises on Civil Government* 140
- Locomotion Act (1861) 19
  - speed restrictions on cars 20
- logical inferences in science, can be used to settle ethical arguments 137
- Lord Chief Justice, recommends tariff (time in custody) 35
- magistrates, not necessarily qualified in law 31
- Magistrates' Court 25, 26, 30, 43–5
  - hearing of criminal cases 31–2
  - if going to Crown Court evidence revealed by both sides 62
  - order of calling of experts 44
  - sentencing by the bench 44
    - dealt with further by the Crown Court 44–5
  - summary trials 44
- Magna Carta 6–9
- Mead, William, and independence of the jury 29
- medical ethical standards, of the highest 144
  - mistake of Ann Chamberlain 144
- Middle Ages 4–12
  - Dark Ages 4–5
  - development of customary law 6
  - feudal system 11–12
    - Church became increasingly important 5
    - elements of lords serving serfs 5
  - Magna Carta 6–9
  - presence of the Catholic Church 5–6
    - Summa Theologia*, Thomas Aquinas 5–6
  - principles of Athenian law still existed 5
- moral right, to stand up and say when a wrong has been committed 12
- Offences Against the Person Act (1828) 8–9
- ordeals, ended in 1215 10
- Parliament and the social order, at the will of the people 17
- Penn, William, and independence of the jury 29
- police forces, civil 74
- Police and Justice Act (2006)
  - all DNA samples to be kept 21
  - declared illegal by European Court of Human Rights 21
- precision and accuracy, concept of 103–4, 105, 112
- Premananda, Swami, case of scientific sleight of hand 129
- private prosecutions 59, 73–4
  - prerogative of pardon rests with the Crown 60
- professional witnesses 63
  - police an example 63
  - statement from, can be read out in court only 63
- Prosecution of Offences Act (1985) 60
- Prosecution of Offenders Act (1985), and the introduction of the CPS 75
- prosecutions

- centralised 74–5
- prosecution societies 74
- pursuit of 60
- QWERTY keyboard 141–2
- R. v. Silverlock* [1894] 23
  - required an analysis of handwriting 23
- racial differences concept now broken down 118–19
- Roman law 3–4
  - Cicero regarded Athenian law as true 3–4
  - law shifted away from being true and fair 4
- rule of law 1, 11
  - became pre-eminent 12
  - nature of and structure of society 12
- rules of evidence and the expert witness 55–71
- Rules and Ordinances of War, forerunner of Courts martial 50
- Saunders v. Birmingham City EAT* (21/5/08/) 77
  - appointment of single joint expert 77
- Scarman, Lord 27
  - Head of the Law Commission 27
  - on judges as law makers 26
  - as Law Lord not afraid of controversy 27
- science
  - driving the law 20–1
  - French imperialist attitude to 23
  - implementation of in legal cases 20
- scientific evidence, of both fact and opinion 66–7
- scientists in general, ethical issues 144–5
  - fraudulent science 144–5
    - Lysenko and misuse of science 145
    - plagiarism in publications 144
- Small Claims Court 40, 47–8
  - no legal aid for small claims 47
- solicitors 89–90
- statistics and statistical inferences 103–26
  - absolute correlations difficult to find 107
  - Bayesian statistics 114, 119–20
  - correlation coefficients
    - negative or positive 107
    - range 107, 108
  - databases and database construction 114–26
  - fingerprints, uniqueness of? 104–6
  - linear regression 107, 109–10, 109
  - misrepresentation of statistics 111–12
    - the 95% confidence interval 111–12
    - ‘the exception proves the rule’ 111
  - precision and accuracy 112
    - relationship to each other 103–4, 105
  - and probability of finding coincidental matches 110–11
    - DNA analysis 110–11
  - probability and odds 112–14
    - example, trick with three cards 112–13
  - statistical errors affect precision 103–4
  - systematic errors affect accuracy 103–4
- stylometry 65
  - cusum analysis 65
  - not generally acceptable in court 65
- summary trials 31, 44
- thalidomide, and the Contempt of Court Act (1981) 52
- trademarks (1876) 18
- Transportation Act (1718) 15
- transportation to the colonies 15–17
  - 1863, ceased 17
  - increased after 1815 16–17
- trials cannot be conducted by ambush 70–1
- tribunals, formal 47
- UK Criminal Intelligence Database 21
- UK DNA database
  - disquiet over size and structure 143
  - an ethical dilemma 143
    - addressed by European Court of Human Rights 143
  - imbalance between different groups 143
  - largest in the world 21
  - some spectacular results from 24
- USSR, genetics ruined for decades by Lysenko 145

- witness of fact 66–7
  - gives oral evidence directly to the court 66
  - and witness of opinion 38, 63
- witness summons, by post 62–3
  - convoluted cases, can be issued for all witnesses 62
  - failure to attend, punishments 62–3
- witnesses 34
  - in criminal prosecutions 32–3
  - witness of fact and witness of opinion 38, 63
- written reports 78–82
  - civil cases 79
  - contents generally universal 73
  - criminal cases 78–9, 80
  - expert expected to maintain impartiality 78
  - indispensable items to go in reports 80–2
  - and the opposing barrister 97–100
- Zola, Emile, *J'accuse* letter 23