

INDEX

- Adams, Scott, 28
- Airline industry
customer assessment, 110, 112–114
customer feedback, 50
- Alpha Phi Omega mission statement, 30
- American Airlines, 110
- American Motors Corporation (AMC),
5, 67
- Automation, 7, 8
- Automobile industry, 2, 4–6, 8
- Balanced Scorecard (BSC)
data collection, 192, 208–210
defined, 166
Department of the Treasury example,
172–181
developing, 185–213
executive team
as customer, 168, 169
presentation to, 208
responsibilities, 180, 181, 213
implementation team, 180, 185,
188, 190, 194, 199, 202, 208,
210, 211, 214
leadership team, 169–171, 176, 179,
180, 185, 190, 199, 210–213,
216–218
as management tool, 166–169
measurement definition, 200–208
measures, identifying and prioritizing,
185, 187–199
misconceptions, 169–171
origin of, 166
Orion Development Group example,
181–185
as part of strategic process, 27
perspectives and objectives, 171, 174,
175, 180, 181, 184–186, 197,
199–201
as report card, 167
strategic goals, use in measuring
progress, 169
and strategy maps, 127, 141, 165, 185
S.W.O.T. assessment, use of, 188
target setting, 164, 167, 175, 178,
210–213
use of, 217, 218, 220
- Bermuda, 96
- BMW, 101
- Brainstorming
strategic assessment, 89, 91
strategy map, 188, 190, 192, 195,
204, 214
S.W.O.T. identification, 35–42
- BSC. *See* Balanced Scorecard (BSC)
- Bubble production exercise, 72–79
- Business Process Executive (BPE), 20–22
- Business process management. *See* Four
waves of business process
management
- Business Process Owner (BPO), 20, 21
- Business process reengineering, 16–19, 219
- Business trends, 9–12, 219
- Cayman Islands, 96
- Champy, James, 16
- Change management, 1
- Chrysler, 4, 5, 67, 68
- Coca-Cola, 6
- Compaq, 98

- Competitors and customer assessment, 121, 122
- Constraints
 - bubble production exercise, 72–79
 - identifying, 79, 80
- Continuous improvement, 7, 219
 - and business process reengineering, 16, 17
 - and total quality management, 14
- Control charts, 105–108
- Control limits, 107
- Cross-functional aspect of strategy map, 127, 128, 130, 131, 163, 164
- Cross-functional processes, 44, 46, 69, 70, 74, 75, 80
- Cross-functional teams, 7
- Customer assessment
 - competitors' strengths and weaknesses, 121, 122
 - importance of, 219
 - industry trends, 122
 - Kano model of quality, 114–121
 - purpose of, 109
 - segmentation, 109–114
- Customer expectations
 - airline customer system map
 - example, 113
 - current trends, impact of, 11
 - expectations (expects), 48, 49
 - gaps, 59, 60
 - in mid-1980s, 8, 12
 - in mid-2000s, 9, 12
 - output questions, 54, 55
 - system map template, 53
 - system mapping, 58
- Customer needs
 - and company vision, 29, 30
 - gaps in meeting, 49
 - and management interviews, 124
 - output questions, 61
 - and product development, 101
 - product worksheet, 99, 102
 - and system map analysis, 114
 - system model, 48
- Customer perspective, 43, 131–138, 157, 158
- Customer satisfaction
 - and communication, 152
 - Kano model of quality. *See* Kano model of quality
 - learning and growth assessment, 122
 - process extension example, 84, 85, 89
 - specs and expects, 48, 49
 - and strategy map, 128, 131–133, 153
 - and total quality management, 15
- Customers
 - airline industry customer feedback, 50
 - executive team as Balanced Scorecard customer, 168, 169
 - internal customers, 47
 - as part of system map, 48
 - specifications (specs), 48
 - surveys, 49
- Daimler-Chrysler, 68. *See also* Chrysler
- Data collection
 - for Balanced Scorecard, 192, 208–210
 - and product development, 101
- Delaware River Port Authority (DRPA), 155
- “Delighters,” 116–121
- Dell, 98
- Department of Management, 92
- Department of Motor Vehicles, 39
- Department of the Navy, 13
- Department of the Treasury
 - Balanced Scorecard example, 172–181
 - strategy map, 129, 130, 132, 134
- Dilbert, 28
- Disney World, 51, 52
- Distribution systems, 10, 11
- Domino's Pizza, 68, 69
- Doubletree Hotel, 116, 118
- Downsizing, 18
- Employee focus groups, 43, 123–125
- Employee surveys, 43
- Enterprise creation, 83, 91, 92, 94, 95
- Enterprise Rent-a-Car, 86, 87
- Execution phase of strategic process, 27
- Executive team
 - and company vision, 29
 - as customer of Balanced Scorecard, 168, 169
 - presentation of Balanced Scorecard to, 208
 - reluctance to include measures on
 - Balanced Scorecard, 177
 - responsibilities, 180, 181, 213
 - strategic initiatives, responsibility for developing, 213, 214, 216
- Expectations (expects), 48, 49
- Feedback
 - constraint identification, 80
 - feed forward loops, 51–53, 57, 59

- formal versus informal, 49–51
- input questions, 55
- output questions, 54
- system map, 49–51, 112
- Financial assessment
 - budget, 109
 - control charts, use of, 105–108
 - external factors, 108, 109
 - goal of, 104
 - run chart, 104, 107
- Financial perspective, 43, 131–139, 142, 143, 145, 149, 154–157
- Flowcharts, 7, 26, 69
- Focus groups, 43, 97, 110, 119–125
- Formal assessment, 42–44. *See also* Perspectives and objectives
- Four Seasons Hotel, 116, 117
- Four waves of business process management, 13, 14
 - business process reengineering, 16–19, 219
 - process-based competition, 23–25, 219
 - process-oriented organizational design, 19–23
 - total quality management, 6, 7, 13–17, 19
- Gaps
 - gap-causing issues, 61, 69
 - inputs, 49, 54
 - outputs, 49, 54, 55, 59
 - process assessment, 45, 82
 - and process improvement, 67, 94, 96
 - process map, identifying, 82
 - system map, 49, 50, 59–65
- Gateway, 98
- General Electric (GE), 87
- General Motors (GM), 6
- Gildan, 98
- Goals
 - strategy map, 129
 - use in measuring progress, 169
- Hammer, Michael, 16
- Harvard Business Review*, 127, 166
- Haynes, 98
- Honda, 6
- Hotel DeVille, 116
- H&R Block, 91, 92, 94
- Human resources (HR)
 - and company vision, 29
 - inputs, 47, 54, 55, 61–65
 - internal customers, 47
 - outputs, 54–57, 59, 61
 - process improvement example, 55–66
 - strategy map, Texas Children’s Hospital example, 138–140
 - system map, 47, 53–66
- IBM, 9, 98
- IBM Credit
 - and business process reengineering, 18, 19
 - process map example, 69–71
- Implementation team, 180, 185, 188, 190, 194, 199, 202, 208, 210, 211, 214
- Industry class market extension, 95
- Industry trends and customer assessment, 122
- Informal assessment, S.W.O.T.
 - identification, 35–42
- Information technology (IT)
 - internal customers, 47
 - output, 48
 - process extension, 88, 89
 - support function, 53, 88
 - and system mapping, 66
 - and vision of company, 29, 30
- Inputs
 - gaps, 49, 54
 - human resources system map, 47, 54, 55, 61–65
 - and P/D/C/I component of system map, 47
- Insurance process flow, 81
- International competition, 7, 8
- Internet, 8
- Interviews
 - formal feedback, 49
 - management, 43, 122–124
 - questions, 123
- Japanese Union of Scientists and Engineers (JUSE), 13
- Kano model of quality
 - customer assessment, 114–121
 - “delighters,” 116–121
 - Doubletree Hotel example, 118
 - focus groups, 120, 121
 - “more is better” level, 116, 117, 119
 - “must be” level of customer needs and satisfaction, 114–116
- Kaplan, Robert, 127, 166
- Lag measures, 189–199
- Lead measures, 189–199

- Leadership team
 - Balanced Scorecard, 169–171, 176, 179, 180, 185, 190, 199, 210–213, 216–218
 - strategic initiatives, 216
 - S.W.O.T. identification, 35, 40, 41
- Learning and growth assessment
 - employee focus groups, 125
 - future technology, 125
 - importance of, 219
 - management interviews, 122–124
 - purpose of, 122
 - vision, 123–125
 - workforce skills, 125, 126
- Learning and growth perspective, 43, 131–133, 137–143, 145–149, 151, 152, 160–162, 184, 199
- L.L. Bean, 92
- Lower Control Limit (LCL), 107
- Machine-build process, 84, 85, 95, 98
- Management
 - Balanced Scorecard as management tool, 166–169
 - business processes. *See* Four waves of business process management
 - interviews, 43, 122–124
 - mobility of, 10
 - as part of workforce, 151
 - support of and TQM, 15
 - team, 44, 45
 - vision and mission, understanding of, 29, 30, 219
- Market extension, 83, 90, 91, 95
- Matrix
 - process proficiency, 94, 97, 98
 - use of in S.W.O.T. identification, 41, 42
- McDonald's, 2, 9, 10
- Measurement
 - definition, 200–208
 - identifying and prioritizing measures, 185, 187–199
- Memorial Blood Center of Minneapolis, strategy map, 131, 132
- Michigan State University Vet School, strategy map, 133
- Microsoft, 6, 9, 31
- Mini Cooper, 101
- Misconceptions of Balanced Scorecard, 169–171
- Mission statements, 26–29, 33
 - Alpha Phi Omega, 30
 - developing, 31–33
 - Microsoft, 31
 - and objectives, 155
- Mobility
 - management, 10
 - workforce, 7–10, 219
- Monitoring and adjusting phase of strategic process, 27
- More is better, 116, 117, 119
- “Must be,” 43, 47, 110, 114–121
- Nixon, Richard, 2
- Norton, David, 127, 166
- Not-for-profit organizations, strategy map, 131–138
- Nypro, 91
- Objectives
 - Balanced Scorecard, 185–188, 197
 - strategic initiatives, 213–216
 - strategy map, 128–131
 - identifying, 141–153
 - linking, 141, 153–161
- Office of Retirement Services (Michigan), 20–23
- Operations
 - and cross-functional aspect of strategy map, 128
 - and human resources, 55–66
 - and IT, 88, 89
- Opportunities. *See also* Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
 - brainstorming, 38, 39
 - defined, 34
- Organizational structure, 19–23
- Orion Development Group
 - Balanced Scorecard example, 181–185
 - customer segments, 110–112
 - strategy map example, 135–138
- Outputs
 - gaps, 49
 - human resources system map example, 54–57, 59, 61
 - and P/D/C/I component of system map, 47, 48
- P/D/C/I. *See* Process-Department-Company-Industry (P/D/C/I)
- Perspectives and objectives
 - customer perspective, 43, 131–138, 157, 158
 - and development of Balanced Scorecard, 185–188

- financial perspective, 43, 131–139, 142, 143, 145, 149, 154–157
- formal assessment, 42–44
- learning and growth perspective, 43, 131–133, 137–143, 145–149, 151, 152, 160–162, 184, 199
- process perspective, 43, 131–134, 138, 140, 149, 160, 184, 200
- suppliers, 134
- Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA), 14
- Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA), 14
- Process assessment
 - difficulties with, 44, 45
 - functional versus cross-functional focus, 44, 45
 - importance of, 219
 - process map. *See* Process map
 - strategic process improvement. *See* Strategic process improvement
 - strategic significance, 43, 45–66
 - S.W.O.T. formal assessment template, 43
 - system map. *See* System map
- Process-based competition, 23–25, 219
- Process-Department-Company-Industry (P/D/C/I)
 - as component of system map, 46, 47
 - human resources system map
 - example, 55
 - system model components, 46–51, 55, 61, 63, 112
- Process extension, 83–91, 95, 96, 121
- Process flow
 - cross-functional, 74
 - insurance, 81
- Process leveraging
 - enterprise creation, 83, 91, 92
 - market extension, 83, 90, 91
 - process extension, 83–90
 - and S.W.O.T. identification, 94–104
- Process map
 - constraints
 - bubble production exercise, 72–79
 - identifying, 79, 80
 - flowchart distinguished, 69
 - gaps, identifying, 82
 - IBM Credit example, 69–71
 - and process assessment, 45
 - process-leveraging techniques, 83–96
 - as strategic assessment tool, 71, 72
 - and S.W.O.T. identification, 82, 94–104
 - system map distinguished, 48
 - use of, 69, 80
 - work flow diagrams, 79, 80
- Process-oriented organizational design, 19–23
- Process performance
 - historical background, 2–9
 - importance of, 1, 2
 - trends, impact of, 9–12
- Process perspective, 43, 131–134, 138, 140, 149, 160, 184, 200
- Process proficiency, 43, 90, 91
 - matrix, 94, 97, 98
 - proficiency class, 95, 96
- Process-strategy link, 24
- Product development
 - and business process reengineering, 17, 18
 - Chrysler, 4, 5, 67, 68
 - and data collection, 101
- Product worksheet, 43, 98, 99, 101, 102, 122
- Proficiency class market extension
 - examples, 95–98
- Progressive Insurance, 90
- Reagan, Ronald, 6
- Reengineering the Corporation*, 16, 17
- Run charts, 104, 105, 107
- Scorecard. *See* Balanced Scorecard (BSC)
- Segmentation and customer assessment, 109–114
- Smith, Adam, 3
- Sony, 98
- Special cause, 107
- Specialization and division of labor, 3, 4
- Specifications (specs), 48, 49
- Statistical process control (SPC), 14
- Strategic assessment, 33–44, 219
 - brainstorming, 89, 91
 - control charts, 108
 - market extension opportunities, 91
 - as part of strategic process, 27, 33, 34
 - and process leveraging techniques, 93
 - process maps. *See* Process map
 - strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s). *See* Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
 - system maps, 46, 52, 55, 66. *See also* System map
- Strategic initiatives
 - and Balanced Scorecard, 190
 - and cross-functional aspect of strategy map, 128

- Strategic initiatives (*Cont.*)
 executive team responsibilities, 213
 identification and prioritization of, 213–217
 as part of strategic process, 27
 and S.W.O.T. assessment, 34, 214
 and use of strategy map, 164, 165
- Strategic objectives, 128–132, 135–141, 144–165
- Strategic process
 flowchart, use of, 26
 improvement. *See* Strategic process improvement
 strategy map. *See* Strategy map
- Strategic process improvement, 67–104
 Chrysler product development example, 67, 68
 Domino's Pizza example, 68, 69
 key processes, identifying, 69
 process map, use of, 69. *See also* Process map
- Strategic significance, 43, 45, 57, 60, 67, 75, 79, 82, 83
- Strategy map
 and Balanced Scorecard, 127, 141, 165, 185
 cause-and-effect relationship, 128
 cross-functional aspect of, 128, 130, 131
 customer perspective, 131
 customer satisfaction, 128
 defined, 127–128
 Department of the Treasury, 129, 130, 132, 134
 developing, 141–164
 financial perspective, 131
 for functional area, 138–140
 and goals, 129
 learning and growth perspective, 131
 linkage step, 153, 154, 157, 160
 Memorial Blood Center of Minneapolis, 131, 132
 Michigan State University Vet School, 133
 not-for-profit organizations, 131–138
 objectives, 128–131
 identifying, 141–153
 linking, 141, 153–161
 origin of, 127
 Orion Development Group, 135–138
 as part of Balanced Scorecard methodology, 127, 141
 as part of strategic process, 27, 127
 perspectives, use of, 131, 133–134
 process perspective, 131
 purpose of, 127
 and strategic initiatives, 128, 164, 165
 and S.W.O.T. assessment, 42
 Texas Children's Hospital HR Department, 138–140
 use of, 164, 165, 219, 220
- Strategy-process link, 24
- Strengths. *See also* Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
 brainstorming, 35–37
 defined, 34
- Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
 brainstorming, 35–42
 customer assessment, 109–122
 defined, 34
 financial assessment, 104–109
 formal assessment, 42–44
 informal assessment, 35–42
 learning and growth assessment, 122–126
 matrix, 42
 opportunities defined, 34
 process assessment, 44–66
 process maps, use of. *See* Process map and strategic initiative development, 34, 214
 strategic process improvement, 67–104
 strengths defined, 34
 and system map. *See* System map
 threats defined, 34
 use of in developing Balanced Scorecard, 188
 weaknesses defined, 34
- Suppliers
 feedback, 32, 49–51
 inputs, 47, 54, 55
 perspective, 134
 and process extension, 83–85
 and system map, 46, 47, 52, 56, 59, 61–66, 112, 113
- Surveys
 customers, 49
 employees, 43
 formal, 57
 web, 102, 103
- S.W.O.T. *See* Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
- System map
 airline customer system map, 113
 basic components of model, 46–48

- customer assessment, 110–114
- customers, 48, 49
- feedback loops, 49–53, 57, 59
- gaps, 49, 59–61, 63–65
- human resources example, 53–66
- inputs, 47, 54, 55, 61–65
- outputs, 47, 48, 54, 59, 61
- and process assessment, 45
- Process-Department-Company-Industry (P/D/C/I), 46–51, 55, 61, 63, 112
- process map distinguished, 48
- suppliers, 47, 61–63
- S.W.O.T. identification, 65, 66
- templates, 53
- time for completing, 65
- use of to evaluate interactions, 46
- Targets, setting, 164, 167, 175, 178, 210–213
- Technology
 - impact of in mid-2000s, 9, 12
 - learning and growth assessment, 125
 - role of in mid-1980s, 7, 8, 12
- Telecommuting, 10
- Texas Children's Hospital Human Resources Department strategy map, 138–140
- Threats. *See also* Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
 - brainstorming, 40
 - defined, 34
- Total quality management (TQM)
 - customer satisfaction, 15
 - defined, 13, 14
 - developments in 1980s, 7
 - lack of success, reasons for, 16
 - management support, 15
 - origins of, 6, 7, 13
 - team procedure, 15, 16
- Treasury Department. *See* Department of the Treasury
- Upper Control Limit (UCL), 107
- Vertical organizational structure, 20
- Vision and mission
 - and learning and growth assessment, 123–125
 - management understanding of, 29, 30, 219
 - as part of strategic process, 26–33
 - statements, 28, 29, 31–33, 219
- Volvo, 101
- Wal-Mart, 11, 157
- Weaknesses. *See also* Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (S.W.O.T.s)
 - brainstorming, 37, 38
 - defined, 34
- Workforce
 - and business process reengineering issues, 17
 - learning and growth assessment, 125, 126
 - management as part of, 151
 - mobility of, 7–10, 219
- World-class process, 95
- Yugo, 101

