

INDEX

Page references followed by *fig* indicate an illustrated figure; followed by *t* indicate a table; followed by *e* indicate an exhibit.

A

- Accommodations: description and use of, 107, 109; learning plan incorporation of, 108*e*
- Active working memory, 46
- ADHD: attention diagnostic criteria for, 37; as label, 28, 33
- Advanced ability, 102
- Affinities: assessing neurodevelopmental constructs, 62–64; behavior problem prevention by leveraging, 129–130; definition of, 68; learning plan using, 108*e*; nurturing and leveraging, 28–29, 68–69, 95, 98–100; science behind focusing on, 24; 60-second challenge to explore, 100; small wins strategy for using, 111. *See also* Strengths and weaknesses; Student struggles
- Affinities Program curriculum, 104
- “All children can learn” mantra, 185
- All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) framework: beliefs about teaching, 26–29; boosting writing achievement using the, 139–181; building on student assets component of, 93–113; compared to other frameworks, 54–57*t*; to deconstruct student strengths and weaknesses, 87–88*t*; examining behavior problems using the, 115–138; getting started with using a school-wide, 183–213*e*; knowing students as learners component of, 65–92; learning as cornerstone of, 5; learning leader construct and, 10–13; learning plan developed using, 106*t*; metacognition role in applying, 53–54, 60*t*; science of learning used in, 15; summary of, 186. *See also* Neurodevelopment framework; School transformation; Science of learning; Small wins strategy
- All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) schools: Ferguson Middle School, 194–197; Fort Salonga Elementary School, 188–193*t*; P.S. 161, 73–74; Wasatch Academy, 199–204. *See also* Schools
- All Kinds of Minds beliefs: discover and treasure learning profiles, 27; eliminate humiliation, blaming, and labeling, 27–28; empower students, 29; inspire optimism, 26–27; leverage strengths and affinities, 28–29

Annual yearly progress (AYP), 208, 209
 Anthony (student), 101–102
 Applicability, 57
 Applied reasoning, 41
 Approachability, 57
 Assessment: “kid watching” approach to, 79–83, 84*t*, 151; of math learning, 71; of strengths and affinities, 62–64, 68, 74–75; of student writing skills, 158–172; Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS), 164–165, 169*fig*; three dimensions of, 69; of writing instruction, 174–176. *See also* Data
 Assessment designers, 13
 Assets-based approach: addressing student struggles through, 97–98; to teaching and learning, 96–98
 Attention: All Kinds of Minds framework application of, 38–40; as a dashboard, 38*fig*; description of, 36*t*, 37; distractibility (or inattention) problem of, 39; indicators of strengths in, 62, 64; neurodevelopmental profile on, 72*e*; positive signs and trouble signs of, 40*t*; research being done on, 58*t*; sustained, 39; three units of, 38; writing skills related to, 145, 146*t*, 148
 Attention control systems: behavior problems related to, 118*t*, 120–121, 123; compared to executive functions, 39; development of, 40; overactive processing of information related to, 128–129; three levels of, 38; writing skills related to, 145, 146*t*, 148
 Attuning a Student Online Tool, 202
 AYP (annual yearly progress), 208, 209

B

BASP, 186
 Behavior problems: description and significance of, 116–117; neurodevelopmental causes of, 117–126; preventing, 126–135; reflection exercise on, 137–138; small wins strategy for managing, 135–136. *See also* Classrooms

Behavior problems neurodevelopmental causes: attention production controls as, 118*t*, 120–121, 123; description of, 117, 120; graphomotor function as, 119*t*, 124; language as, 118*t*, 123–124; social cognition as, 119*t*, 124–126
 Behavior problems prevention strategies: at the classroom level, 133–134; discover/treasure unique learning profiles, 127–129; eliminating humiliation, blaming, and labeling, 130–131*t*; empower students, 131–133; getting started with small wins, 135–136; inspire optimism, 126–127; leveraging strengths and affinities, 129–130; at the school level, 134–135
 Bern, G., 7
 “Bifocal lens” metaphor, 4–7, 134
 Billy (student), 115, 116
 Blaming: All Kinds of Minds on eliminating, 27–28; preventing behavior problems by eliminating, 130–131*t*
 Bloom’s taxonomy of educational objectives, 190
 Boucher, J., 99–100, 194–197
 “Brain drain,” 38
Brain Rules (Medina), 7, 184
 Brainstorming and creativity, 41
 Brent (teacher), 132–133
 Brigham Young University, 187–188
 Brooks, R., 24, 26
 Brothers, J., 156–158
 Brown, K., 103–104, 129–130, 132

C

“Can do” classroom spirit, 133–134
 Caregivers. *See* Parents/caregivers
 Carl (student), 156–158
 Cattell-Horn-Carroll (CHC) model of intelligence: All Kinds of Minds framework compared to, 55, 56*t*; description of, 18; on long-term retrieval, 45
 Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST): comparing All Kinds of Minds to, 56–57*t*; differentiating

- instruction for learning needs heart of, 21; on neural networks and learning differences, 18; Teaching Every Student webpage of, 174, 187; Universal Design for Learning (UDL) tutorial by, 187
- Charismatic adult, 26
- Checklist for TAKS writing assessment, 173e
- Chicago public schools (CPS), 162–163
- Chris (student), 132–133
- Christensen, C., 12, 19, 21, 184
- Classrooms: avoiding labels used in the, 134; preventing behavior problems with “can do” spirit, 133–134; reflection exercise on learning leadership in, 30–31; science of learning applied to, 15–29; Virtual ChemLab (Brigham Young University), 187–188. *See also* Behavior problems; Pedagogy curriculum; Schools
- “Cognitive backpack,” 196
- Cognitive research, 7
- Collaboration: social cognition role in writing, 155; “trust fund” building through, 109–110
- Compensatory abilities development, 126–127
- Conceptualization, 41
- Constructs: learning leader, 10–13; neurodevelopmental use of, 19–20. *See also* Neurodevelopment constructs
- Crawford, M., 210
- Creativity or brainstorming, 41
- Crisis or Possibility: Conversations About the American High School* (Harvey and Housman), 5, 6
- Critical (or evaluative) thinking, 41
- Curriculum. *See* Pedagogy curriculum
- D**
- Darren (student), 2
- Data: mined from multiple sources, 69–75; qualitative, 76–79. *See also* Assessment
- Disrupting Class* (Christensen, Johnson, and Horn), 9, 19
- Distractibility (or inattention), 39
- Do no harm guideline, 205–206
- Downplaying labels, 27–28
- Duncan, A., 162–163
- Dyslexic students, 28
- E**
- Earl, L., 69, 70
- Education reform, limitations of past, 9
- Education Week*, 10
- Empowering students: through alliances, 25–26; behavior problem prevention by, 131–133; leveraging, 29
- Entrepreneurial success, 126–127
- Evaluative (or critical) thinking, 41
- Executive functions, 39
- Expressive language: description of, 44; The Writing Interview on, 166e–172; writing skills related to, 58t, 151–152
- F**
- False memories, 128–129
- FedEx Kinko’s, 127
- Ferguson Middle School (Ohio), 99, 194–197
- Ferris Bueller’s Day Off* (film), 8
- Fershleisher, R., 149
- Fine motor function. *See* Graphomotor (or fine motor) function
- Fink, D., 10, 11
- Finn, C., 10
- Flanagan, D. P., 55
- Forecast 2020: Creating the Future of Learning* (KnowledgeWorks Foundation), 193
- Fort Salonga Elementary School (Long Island): neurodevelopmental constructs applications at, 192–193t; school transformation beginnings and process at, 188–192
- Fox, J., 104
- Freeman, B., 73–74
- Friedman, T., 10, 184
- Functions: examples of, 20; neurodevelopmental approach using, 20; neuromotor, 36t, 46–48fig, 59t, 155t–156

G

- Gardner's multiple intelligences model:
 All Kinds of Minds compared to, 56*t*;
 description of, 54–55; metacognition
 compared to, 54
- Getting started with AKOM: creating
 stories of optimism while confronting
 current, 208–211; Ferguson Middle
 School experience with, 194–197; Fort
 Salonga Elementary School experience
 with, 188–193*t*; four elements required
 for, 185–186; introducing learning
 strategies for, 186–192; learning
 leaders as catalyst for, 194–197;
 learning leadership new school roles
 for, 198–199; neurodevelopmental
 constructs for strengthening school
 initiatives, 192–193*t*; ongoing faculty
 learning supporting student learning,
 199–205; revisiting school practices
 and policies for, 205–208; summary
 of AKOM approach, 186; template
 for planning, 212*e*–213*e*; Wasatch
 Academy's experience with, 199–204
- Goldstein, S., 24, 26
- Graphomotor (or fine motor) function:
 behavior problems related to, 118*t*,
 124; description of, 47–48*fig*; research
 being done on, 59*t*; The Writing
 Interview on, 166*e*; writing skills
 related to, 146*t*, 151–152
- Gross motor function, 47–48, 59*t*

H

- Hargreaves, A., 10, 11
Harvard Business Review, 7
- Harvey, J., 5
- Heffernan, D., 116–117
- Higher-order cognition: description of,
 36*t*, 41; indicators of strength in, 63,
 64; positive signs and trouble signs of,
 42*t*; quality teaching and recognition
 of, 42; research being done on, 58*t*;
 turning cogs representation of, 41*fig*;
 writing skills related to, 147*t*, 152–153
- Horn, M., 19, 21
- Housman, N., 5

- Howard, K., 77–78, 128
- Humiliation: All Kinds of Minds on
 eliminating, 27–28; preventing
 behavior problems by eliminating,
 130–131*t*

I

- Iconoclast: A Neuroscientist Reveals How to
 Think Differently* (Bern), 7
- Ideation, 166*e*–167*e*
- IEP (individual educational plan), 106*t*
- If You Give a Mouse a Cookie*, 191
- Inattention (or distractibility), 39
- “Incredible Dream Story” (student
 writing sample), 159*fig*
- Inspire optimism, 26–27
- Institute for the Brain and Learning, 7
- Institute for the Future, 1, 7
- Interventions: description and use of,
 107, 109; learning plan incorporation
 of, 108*e*; teacher brainstorming for
 student, 101

J

- Jake (student), 99–100
- Jason (student), 81–82
- Jeremy (student), 115–116
- Jim (student), 103–104, 129–130, 132
- John (student), 97–98
- Johnson, C., 9, 19, 21
- Jordan-Jarrett, K., 97–98

K

- Kappan* (publication), 207
- Keenan (student), 128, 132
- Kevin (student), 128–129
- Keyboarding (or typing) skills, 152
- “Kid watching”: four-step problem-
 solving model on, 80*t*; knowing more
 about students through, 79; learning
 from student work, 83, 84*t*; memory
 weaknesses revealed through, 151;
 parents and caregivers as observers,
 81–82, 84*t*; school leaders as observers,
 79–80, 84*t*; students as self-observers,
 82, 84*t*; teachers as observers, 81, 84*t*

King, S., 143
 Kinko's (now FedEx Kinko's), 127
 KnowledgeWorks Foundation, 1, 7, 12, 192–193, 197

L

Labels: ADHD as, 28, 33; avoiding classroom use of, 134; describing phenomena versus using, 83, 85–88*t*; eliminating student, 27–28, 130–131*t*; learning phenomena, 28; as self-fulfilling prophecies, 28

Language: behavior problems related to, 118*t*, 123–124; description of, 36*t*, 43; expression of oral, 149; expressive, 44, 58*t*, 146*t*, 148–149, 151–152; indicators of strength in, 63, 64; nesting boxes representation of, 43*fig*–44*fig*; neurodevelopmental profile on, 72*e*; receptive, 44, 58*t*, 191; relationship between social cognition and, 49–50; research being done on, 58*t*; spatial information related to, 52; writing skills related to, 146*t*, 148–149

Learner profiles: assets as part of the, 95–96; available information about, 34–35; caution about making assumptions about, 86; describing phenomena versus using labels on, 83, 85*fig*–88*t*; discover/treasure unique, 27, 127–129; establishing “trust fund,” 88–89, 109–110; “kid watching” approach to knowing, 79–83, 84*t*, 151; making tracks exercise on, 85*fig*–86, 127; mining data from multiple sources on, 69–75; neurodevelopmental framework for organizing, 35–37*fig*; P.S. 161's approach to, 73–75; qualitative data on, 76–79; reflection exercise on knowing, 91–92; sample neurodevelopmental profile as, 72*e*; small wins strategy for knowing, 13–15, 90

Learning: assets-based approach to, 96–98; “bifocal lens” to transform, 4–7, 134; as focus of school transformation, 10; ongoing faculty learning

supporting student, 199–205; RTI (response to intervention) framework of, 23–24; student affinities to increase motivation for, 99–100; talking around instead of about, 9; three dimensions of assessing, 69; traditional focus of, 6–7; Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles of, 187–188. *See also* Science of learning

LEARNING (acronym), 8

Learning challenges: compensatory abilities development to cope with, 126–127; describing phenomena versus labeling, 83, 85–88*t*; eliminating humiliating, blaming, and labeling, 27–28, 130–131; statements about, 131*t*. *See also* Learning problems

Learning fitness instructors, 13

Learning journey mentors, 13

The Learning Leader (Reeves), 69

Learning leaders: adopting new roles in schools, 198–199; All Kinds of Minds framework features related to, 57; characteristics of, 11; describing teachers' strengths and weaknesses role by, 74; description of construct of, 10–11; future role of, 11–12; reflection exercise on classroom, 30–31; revisiting school practices and policies, 205–208; school leader development into, 12–13; as school transformation catalyst, 194–197

Learning leadership in action: approaching learning differences in classroom, 30–31; behavior challenges, 137–138; knowing students as learners, 91–92; learning plans for building assets, 112–113; strengths of neurodevelopmental constructs, 62–64; writing instruction, 179–181

Learning partners, 13

Learning plans: All Kinds of Minds framework for, 106*t*; building on student assets, 105–107; definition of, 106; reflection exercise on building assets through, 112–113; sample of a, 108*e*; strategies to minimize learning

- challenges, 107, 109; traditional IEP (individual education plan), 106*t*.
See also Teachers
- Learning problems: accommodations and interventions for, 107–109; ADHD, 28, 33, 37; compensatory abilities development to cope with, 126–127; dyslexic, 28; eliminating humiliation, blaming, and labeling of, 27–28; inspiring optimism for overcoming, 26–27; learning profiles to work with, 27; leveraging strengths to help with, 100–104; nurturing and leveraging affinities to help with, 98–100; related to syntax of sentences, 149. *See also* Learning challenges; Strengths and weaknesses; Student struggles
- Learning profile database (LPD) [Wasatch Academy], 201–202
- Levine, A., 12
- Levine, M., 35, 142, 183
- Lewis, A., 207
- “Lighthouse Report” (student writing sample), 160*fig*
- Loftin, J., 200
- Long-term memory: description of, 46; writing skills relationship to, 150–151
- Looking at Student Work, 174, 178
- Luria, A., 38
- M**
- McGrew, K. S., 55
- Making tracks exercise, 85*fig*–86, 127
- Marshall Junior High, 6
- Marshall (student), 121, 123
- Max (teacher), 201, 203–204
- Meaningful word parts, 43*fig*
- Medina, J., 7, 184
- Memory: Cattell-Horn-Carroll framework of, 45; description of, 36*fig*, 45; file cabinet and desktop representation of, 45*fig*, 46; indicators of strength in, 63, 64; neurodevelopmental profile on, 72*e*; pattern of creating false, 128–129; positive signs and trouble signs of, 47*t*; research being done on, 59*t*; TAKS prompt for writing composition about favorite, 165, 169*fig*; working and long-term, 46, 146*t*, 150–151; *The Writing Interview* on, 167*e*; writing skills related to, 146*t*, 150–151
- Metacognition, 53–54, 60*t*
- A Mind at a Time* (Levine), 183
- “Mind, brain, and education” movement, 19
- “The Mind That’s Mine” curriculum, 196
- Mullin, A., 188, 189, 191, 192
- Multiple sentences, 43*fig*–44
- N**
- NAIS Opinion Leaders Survey (2005), 11–12
- National Association of Independent Schools (NAIS), 11–12
- National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP), 6
- National Council of Teachers of English, 141
- National Policy Board for Educational Administration, 11
- National Writing Project, 174
- Nelson, G. “Pinky,” 14
- Neurodevelopment constructs: examples of research on the, 58*t*–60*t*; functions of, 37*fig*; introducing attention, 36*t*, 37–49*t*, 58*t*; introducing higher-order cognition, 36*t*, 41–42*t*; introducing language, 36*t*, 43*fig*–44*t*; introducing memory, 36*t*, 45*fig*–46, 47*t*; introducing neuromotor function, 36*t*, 46–48*t*; introducing social cognition, 36*t*, 49*fig*–50*t*; introducing spatial ordering, 36*t*, 51–52*t*; introducing temporal-sequential ordering, 36*t*, 52–53*fig*; learning plan using, 108*e*; metacognition as function of, 53–54, 60*t*; reflection exercise assessing strengths of, 62–64; sample neurodevelopmental profile using, 72*e*; translated into relevant educational applications, 192–193*t*; writing skills relationship to functions of, 143–158. *See also* Constructs; *specific construct*

- Neurodevelopment framework: All Kinds of Minds approach use of, 19–20; for common assignment types, 88*t*; constructs of, 19–20, 35–37*fig*; definition of, 20; examples of research on using, 22*t*–23*t*; learner context of, 21–22; learners' profiles organized using, 35; learning problems examined through, 28; metacognition as function of, 53–54, 60*t*; on neurodevelopmental causes of behavior problems, 117–126; organizing learner's profiles using, 35–37*fig*; pedagogy incorporation of, 186–188; writing skills understood through, 143–158. *See also* All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) framework
- Neurodevelopmental profile, 72*e*
- Neuromotor function: description of, 36*t*, 46–47; graphomotor (or fine motor function), 47, 48*fig*, 59*t*; gross motor function, 47–48, 59*t*; indicators of strength in, 64; positive signs and trouble signs of, 48*t*; research being done on, 59*t*; two way connections of, 48*fig*; writing skills related to, 155*t*–156
- Neuroscience: plasticity concept of, 18–19; understanding learning through findings of, 6, 7
- Nicole (teacher), 101–102
- Northwest Regional Education Laboratory, 173
- Not Quite What I Was Planning: Six Word Memoirs by Writers Famous and Obscure* (Fershleisher and Smith), 149
- O**
- Old Trail School (Ohio), 77, 128, 130
- Olsen, K., 88–89
- Oral expression, 149
- Orfalea, P., 127
- Organization assessment, 167*e*
- Ortiz, S., 55
- P**
- Parents/caregivers: collaboration between schools, students, and, 109–110; enlisting school transformation support of, 191–192; establishing school “trust fund” with, 88–89, 109–110; as “kid watcher” observers, 81–82, 84*t*
- PASS model, 55, 56*t*
- Pathways to success, 185
- Paul (student), 65–66, 93–94
- Pedagogy curriculum: Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives of, 190; Fort Salong Elementary School's transformation of, 188–193*t*; “The Mind That's Mine,” 196; neurodevelopmental approach to, 186–188, 192–193*t*; principles of learning used for, 5; school transformation by reframing, 185; Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles of, 187–188; Wasatch Academy's, 200–201. *See also* Classrooms; Teaching
- Pedro Albizu Campos School. *See* P.S. 161
- Performance data, information revealed through, 22
- Personal education advisors, 13
- Phonemes (word sounds), 43*fig*
- Pink, D., 7
- Plasticity concept, 18–19
- Pohlman, C., 198
- Positive Behavior and Interventions and Supports (PBIS), 135
- Positive Behavior Support (PBS), 135
- Production controls, 39–40
- Professional development: as learning community, 161, 162; Schools Attuned program (Wasatch Academy) for, 203, 204. *See also* Teachers
- P.S. 161 school (New York City), 73–74
- Purnell School (New Jersey), 104
- R**
- Rapid prototypes, 14
- Receptive language, 44, 58*t*, 191
- Reeves, D., 69
- Reflection exercises: on approaching learning differences in classroom, 30–31; on behavior challenges, 137–138; on knowing students as

learners, 91–92; on learning plans for building assets, 112–113; on strengths of neurodevelopmental constructs, 62–64; on writing instruction, 179–181
 Relative strengths, 102
Revealing Minds: Assessing to Understand and Support Struggling Learners (Pohlman), 198
 Robert (teacher), 121, 123
 RTI (response to intervention), 23–24

S

School leaders: “bifocal lens” approach adopted by, 4–7, 134; challenges facing today’s, 3–4; as “kid watcher” observers, 79–80, 84*t*; school transformation challenges faced by, 185; shifting to learning leader from, 10–13; small wins strategy for writing instruction role of, 178
 School policies/practices: creating stories of optimism while confronting current, 208–211; do no harm guideline for, 205–206; as school transformation element, 185, 205–208; support success above all else guideline for, 206–207; when student assets differ from demands of, 105; writing instruction, 161–162, 177
 The School Reform Initiative, 174, 178
 School transformation: “all children can learn” mantra of, 185; challenges of, 6–7; enlisting parent/caregiver support for, 191–192; examining past failures of, 7–9; Ferguson Middle School experience with, 194–197; Fort Salonga Elementary School experience with, 188–193*t*; four elements required for successful, 185; getting started with All Kinds of Minds for, 183–213*e*; introducing new learning strategies for, 186–192; learning as focus in, 10; learning leader as catalyst for, 194–197; learning leaders’ adopting new roles in, 198–199; ongoing faculty learning supporting student learning and, 199–205; school leader challenges related

to, 185; school policies and practices role in, 185, 205–211; template for planning AKOM, 212*e*–213*e*; Wasatch Academy’s experience with, 199–204. *See also* All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) framework
 Schools: behavior problem intervention systems adopted by, 134–135; collaboration between parents, students, and, 109–110; common themes related to, 88–89; establishing “trust fund” with parents and students, 88–89; learning leaders’ adopting new roles in transformed, 198–199; tyranny of the urgent as driving, 4; writing instruction practices of, 161–162, 177. *See also* All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) schools; Classrooms
 Schools Attuned (professional development program), 203, 204
 Science of learning: beliefs about All Kinds of Minds based on, 26–29; boosting writing achievement using the, 139–181; classroom application of, 17–31; on empowering students through alliances, 25–26; focusing on strengths and affinities, 24; neurodevelopment perspective of, 20–24; neuroscience, 6, 7, 18–19; plasticity concept of, 18–19; on promoting self-insight, 25*fig*; writing skills understood through, 143–158. *See also* All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) framework; *Learning Scientific Mind* (publication), 7
 Self-advocacy: Ferguson Middle School support of, 194; self-insight for development of, 25
 Self-insight: empowering students through, 131–132; research being done on, 25*t*
 Sentences: multiple, 43*fig*–44; syntax and grammar, 43*fig*, 149
 Sequoyah Middle School (Oklahoma), 132
Shop Class as Soulcraft (Crawford), 210
 Silverthorne Public School (Canada), 121

- Simultaneous (PASS model), 55
 6 + 1 Trait writing model, 173–174
 60-second challenge, 100
- Small wins strategy: for assessing student writing, 162–163; for building student assets, 111; for knowing students as learners, 90; for managing behavior problems, 135–136; for preventing behavior problems, 135–136; school transformation through, 13–15; school transformation through new learning strategies as, 186–192; template for creating AKOM schools using, 212e–213e; writing instruction using, 178. *See also* All Kinds of Minds (AKOM) framework
- Smith, L., 149
- Social cognition: behavior problems and relationship to, 123, 124–126; collaborative writing implications for, 151; components of, 125t; description of, 36t, 49; illustration representing, 49fig; indicators of strength in, 64; neurodevelopmental profile on, 72e; positive signs and trouble signs of, 50t; relationship between language and, 49–50; research being done on, 60t; writing skills related to, 147t, 154
- Solid functions and skills, 102
- Spatial ordering: description of, 36t, 51; illustration representing, 51fig; indicators of strength in, 64; neurodevelopmental profile on, 72e; positive signs and trouble signs of, 52t; research being done on, 60t; writing skills related to, 147t, 153
- Specificity, 57
- Staff strengths/affinities, 68
- Stewart, J.: on boosting writing achievement, 139–140; on building on student assets, 93–94, 111; on knowing students as learners, 90; on science of learning, 17–18; on understanding behavior problems, 115–116, 135–136; on understanding learning as core school business, 1–2
- Strengths Movement, 104
- Strengths and weaknesses: assessing neurodevelopmental constructs, 62–64; assessing teacher and staff, 68; behavior problem prevention by leveraging strengths, 129–130; describing phenomena versus labels of, 83, 85–88t; establishing “trust fund” by focusing on, 88–89; “kid watching” approach to assessing, 79–83, 84t, 151; nurturing and leveraging strengths, 28–29, 100–104; science behind focusing on, 24; 60-second challenge to explore strengths, 100; small wins strategy for recognizing, 111; student as learner portrait data on, 67–79; three ways to think about strengths, 102; The Writing Interview for assessing, 170–172. *See also* Affinities; Learning problems
- Student assets: collaboration role in using, 109–110; learning plans building on, 105–107; reflection exercise learning plans building, 112–113; small wins strategy for building, 111; valuing, 98–104; when school demands differ from, 105
- Student struggles: accommodations and interventions to minimize, 107–109; assets-based approach to addressing, 97–98; common observations of poor written output and, 154–158; describing phenomena versus labels for, 83, 85–88t; learning plan designed to minimize, 108e; leveraging strengths to help with, 100–104; nurturing and leveraging affinities to help with, 98–100; school policies which do/do not help with, 110–111; teacher brainstorming interventions for, 101. *See also* Affinities; Learning problems
- Student work: assessing writing skills, 158–172; learning from, 83, 84t; school policies supporting successful, 110–111
- Student writing samples: “Incredible Dream Story,” 160fig; “Lighthouse Report,” 159fig; TAKS Writing Interview use of, 169fig

- Students: analyzing writing expectations of, 163–164; behavior problems of, 115–138; “cognitive backpack” development by, 196; collaboration between schools, parents, and, 109–110; empowering, 25–26, 29, 131–133; establishing school “trust fund” with, 88–89; leveraging affinities of, 28–29, 68–69, 95, 98–100; neurodevelopmental perspective of, 21–22; personalizing educational experience of, 99; school policies supporting success of, 110–111; self-advocacy of, 25, 194; self-insight of, 25*t*, 131–132; as self-observers, 82, 84*t*; strengths and affinities of, 24, 28–29
- Students as learner portrait: assets as part of the, 95–96; caution about making assumptions about, 86; describing phenomena versus using labels on, 83, 85*fig*–88*t*; description of, 67–79; discover/value unique, 27, 127–129; establishing “trust fund,” 88–89, 109–110; “kid watching” approach to knowing, 79–83, 84*t*, 151; making tracks exercise on, 85*fig*–86, 127; mining data from multiple sources, 69–75; P.S. 161’s approach to, 73–75; qualitative data on, 76–79; reflection exercise on knowing, 91–92; sample neurodevelopmental profile of, 72*e*; small wins strategy for knowing, 13–15, 90
- Successive (PASS model), 55
- Support success above all else guideline, 206–207
- Sustainable Leadership* (Hargreaves and Fink), 11
- Sustained attention, 39
- Swearingen, L., 74–75
- Syntax of sentences, 43*fig*, 149
- T**
- TAKS. *See* Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS)
- Teachers: access to information on student’s learning by, 34–35; assessing strengths and affinities of, 68; brainstorming student interventions, 101; describing strengths and weaknesses of, 74; joining Professional Learning Communities, 161, 162; “kid watching” by, 79–83, 84*t*; as school transformation element, 185; student learning supported by ongoing learning by, 199–205. *See also* Learning plans; Professional development
- Teaching: All Kinds of Minds framework beliefs about, 26–29; assets-based approach to, 96–98; higher-order cognition recognition and, 42; social cognition role in effective, 50. *See also* Pedagogy curriculum; Writing instruction
- Teaching Every Student webpage (CAST), 174, 187
- Technology: learner-centric opportunities using, 188; Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Web 2.0, 187–188
- Templates: assessing student learning differences, 31; behavior challenges, 138; building assets through individual learning plans, 113; for creating All Kinds of Minds schools, 212*e*–213*e*; neurodevelopmental constructs, 64; student learning portrait, 92; writing instruction, 181; The Writing Interview, 166*e*
- Temporal-sequential ordering: description of, 36*t*, 52–53; illustration representing, 52, 53*fig*; indicators of strength in, 64; neurodevelopmental profile on, 72*e*; positive signs and trouble signs of, 53*fig*; research being done on, 60*t*; writing skills related to, 147*t*, 153–154
- Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS): Checklist for writing assessment, 173*e*; description of,

164–165; The Writing Interview to assessing student writing, 165–172
 Texas Education Agency, 164–165
 Thomas, B., 2, 6
 “Trust fund”: collaboration for building, 109–110; establishing school, parent, student, 88–89
2020 Forecast: Creating the Future of Learning (KnowledgeWorks Foundation and Institute for the Future), 1, 7, 12–13
 Typing (or keyboarding) skills, 152

U

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), 10
 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles, 187–188
 University of Washington, 7
 U.S. Department of Education, 10
USA Today, 33, 34

V

Validity, 57
 Virtual ChemLab (Brigham Young University), 187–188

W

Wasatch Academy (Utah): All Kinds of Minds curriculum of, 199–204; learning profile database (LPD) of, 201–202; Schools Attuned professional development of, 203, 204
 Web 2.0 technologies, 187–188
 Weick, K., 13
 Wesson, K., 12
A Whole New Mind (Pink), 7
 Whole words (vocabulary or lexicon), 43*fig*
 Will (student), 116–117
 Word sounds (phonemes), 43*fig*
 Working memory: description of, 46; writing skills related to, 146*t*, 150
The World is Flat (Friedman), 10

Wounded by School (Olsen), 88
 Writing: academic and work role of, 141–142; importance of targeting, 142–143; neurodevelopmental approach to, 143–158
 Writing assessment: 6 + 1 Trait writing model for, 173–174; small wins approach to, 162–163; strategies for instruction and, 174–176; of student’s current skill level, 158–161; TAKS Writing Interview for, 166*e*–173*e*; understanding neurodevelopmental demands of writing for, 164–165; understanding neurodevelopmental suspects in writing for, 165–172
 Writing development stages, 157*t*
 “Writing Every Day” handbook (Chicago public schools), 163
 Writing instruction: analyzing writing expectations, 163–164; improving school practices on, 161–162, 177; neurodevelopmental basis of student writing and, 165–172; neurodevelopmental demands of proficient writing and, 164–165; reflection exercise on, 179–181; school practices for, 161–162, 177; six-word challenge of, 149; small wins approach to, 178; strategies for assessment and, 174–176; strengthening, 172–178. *See also* Teaching
 The Writing Interview: checklist for TAKS, 173*e*; feedback to student during, 171*t*; general questions on writing, 168; sample narrative writing response during, 169*fig*–170; on specific neurodevelopment functions, 166*e*–167*e*
 Writing samples: “Incredible Dream Story,” 160*fig*; “Lighthouse Report,” 159*fig*; TAKS Writing Interview use of, 169*fig*
 Writing skills: assessing student, 158–172; attention role in, 145, 146*t*, 148; common observations of poor writing output and, 154–158; expressive language role in, 146*t*,

148–149; graphomotor function role in, 146*t*, 151–152; high-order cognition role in, 147*t*, 152–153; keyboarding (or typing) to improve, 152; memory role in, 146*t*, 150–151; neurodevelopment approach to understanding, 143–144; neurodevelopmental functions related to subskills, 155*t*–156; social cognition

role in, 147*t*, 154; spatial ordering role in, 147*t*, 153; stages of development of, 157*t*; syntax problems, 149; temporal-sequential ordering role in, 147*t*, 153–154

Y

Yancey, K., 141