
INDEX

A

Ability, 12, 13
Action planning data collection,
179–180*t*
Active Management
 Reinforcement (AMR)
 building confidence of learners
 using, 141–142
 description of, 13, 20
 exploring the use of, 15
 as key learning and performance
 factor, 141–143
 as learning transfer strategy,
 158–159
 as performance readiness factor,
 12, 17, 24, 28–29
 as readiness evaluation
 component, 164, 166
 risk assessment leading to
 recommendations on, 38
 See also Learners; Managers;
 Performance readiness
Alignment
 execution in the work setting
 step in, 11*t*
 five key factors of, 22*t*–31

 keys to linkage and, 20–21
 results component of, 19–20
 See also Performance Alignment
 and Linkage (PAL) process
American Society for Training and
 Development, 87
Analysis. *See* Data analysis;
 Situational risk analysis
Asia Pacific Management Institute
 in Shanghai, 87
Assessment Strategy and Plan job
 aid, 78, 79*e*–80*e*
Assessments
 Assessment Strategy and Plan
 job aid, 78, 79*e*–80*e*
 data collection using, 84
 learner readiness, 151–153
 rapid mission-related needs
 assessment, 204*t*–205*t*, 206
 risk, 37, 38, 92–96, 93*e*, 98–107
 See also Evaluation; Situational
 Needs Assessment

B

Barbazette, J., 83
Big Sky Medical Group scenario

AMR strategy created for, 142
Assessment Strategy and Plan
 job aid for, 78, 79*e*–80*e*
 on delivery which facilitates
 habit changes, 150–151
Intake Scope and Alignment
 Document for, 94
Performance Alignment
 Contract for, 120*e*–124*e*
 rapid verification of results plan
 and strategy for, 173–178*e*
 risk assessment in, 94
Root Cause Analysis Matrix for,
 95*e*–96*e*
Situational Risk Assessment and
 Analysis Tool Set for,
 99*e*–107*e*
Six Signals in, 54–55
Solution Proposal Format
 example for, 114*t*–118*t*
 transfer strategy development in,
 155*t*–156*t*
Bloom, B., 1
Brinkerhoff, R. O., 166
Broad, M., 157
Burkett, H., 126, 167
Business change signal, 54*t*, 61–62

Business compliance signal, 54*t*, 63–64

Business deficiency signal, 54*t*, 58–59

Business drivers
 Solution Proposal Format and, 114*t*
 verifying, 43*t*, 55

Business opportunity signal, 54*t*, 62–63

Business outcome
 analyzing data on, 184–185
 guiding objectives of, 97
 as key alignment factor, 22*t*–25, 29–31
 linking execution in the work setting and, 10*t*, 29–31

Outcome Report on, 195*e*
 performance and evaluation framework component of, 165*t*

Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*, 6
 training funding must match performance results and, 205*t*
 up front identification of, 22*t*–25

C

Causal indicators, 200–201

Client education
 evaluation inquiry component of, 125–126
 expectations component of, 126
 forecasting the ROI component of, 126–129
 guiding principle on continual, 125
 by negotiating solution/performance alignment contract, 119–124
 performance consultant role in, 113–114, 119
 Solution Proposal Format for, 114*t*–118*t*

Client resistance
 initial meeting strategies to overcome, 50–51
 training processes and problem of, 49–50

Clients
 educating the, 113–129
 follow client lead when interviewing, 57
 importance of meeting the needs of the, 209–210
 initial meeting with, 50–51
 preferences of, 5*t*, 14, 165*t*
 rapid verification of results
 decision by, 125–126, 162–164
 revisiting expectations of, 126

Six Signals regarding needs of, 52, 54*t*–64

training professional partnering with, 209

See also Situational Needs Assessment

Communication
 client decision regarding reporting results, 43*t*, 125
 of demonstrated results to sponsors, 202–203
 improving training communication to stakeholders, 206*t*–208*t*

Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*
 reporting evaluation findings/follow-up recommendations, 191–194
 training and performance design guided by, 26–27

Comparison groups, 188

Compatibility, 12

Confidence
 delivery facilitating learner, 149–150
 as learning and performance factor, 141–142
 as performance readiness component, 12, 13, 28

Contracts
 guideline on securing client, 43*t*, 112

Learning and Performance Contract, 147–148*t*, 153
 negotiating performance alignment, 38, 109–130
 performance alignment contact, 119–125

Costs per person, 117*t*

Critical incident method, 84

D

Data
 flawed, 181
 follow-up, 179–183
 organization records, 85, 181–182
 ownership of the evaluation, 182

Data analysis
 disappointment and success, 171, 185–186, 187*t*
 rapid verification of result approach to follow-up performance data, 183–191
 rapid verification of result approach to readiness, 179
See also Situational risk analysis

Data collection
 action planning for, 179–180*t*
 five C's of, 85–86
 methods of, 83–85
 from participants versus experts, 183
 rapid verification of results on follow-up performance, 179–191
 rapid verification of results on performance readiness, 179
 sources for, 85–87, 181–183

Delbecq, A. L., 83

Delivery. *See* Training delivery

Design. *See* Training and performance design

Direct use knowledge, 200

Duran, J., 12

E

EBS Printing Company scenario, 20, 21*t*

Edison Electric Institute, 87

80/20 rule, 12, 24, 25, 113, 158

Environmental scanning, 85

European Management Association, 87

Evaluation
 decision criteria for type of, 169*t*

- getting client's decision
 - regarding, 43*t*, 125
 - initial reaction surveys for, 152–153
 - isolating the effects issue of, 186, 188–189
 - rapid verification of results
 - approach to, 125–126, 161–196
 - traditional approach to, 125, 162, 164, 166, 169*t*
 - See also* Assessments
 - Evaluation frameworks
 - follow-up performance evaluation, 164, 165*t*
 - rapid verification of results, 164, 165*t*, 166
 - readiness evaluation, 164, 165*t*, 166–167
 - traditional evaluation, 166
 - Evaluation Strategy and Plan, 174*e*–178*e*, 192
 - Execution deficiency signal, 54*t*, 59–60
 - Execution gap
 - analysis of, 88–89, 90*e*
 - Solution Proposal Format on root causes of, 114*t*
 - Execution Gap Analysis, 88–89, 90*e*
 - Execution in the work setting
 - guiding objectives of, 97
 - identifying ways to influence proper, 73
 - linking business outcome and, 10*t*, 29–31
 - linking objectives for readiness and, 134–135
 - Outcome Report on, 194*e*
 - as path to alignment and results component, 11*t*
 - performance and evaluation framework component of, 165*t*
 - questions posed on, 7–8
 - Snappy Telecommunications International scenario of, 8–9, 10*t*
 - Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*
 - triggering transfer strategy and, 42
 - World Class University scenario of, 9
- F**
- Face-to-face interviews, 84
 - Financial issues
 - costs per person, 117*t*
 - fiscal responsibility/management, 204*t*
 - performance results/business outcomes matching funding, 205*t*
 - Five Key Factors
 - business outcome needs, 22*t*–26, 23*t*, 36
 - development and delivery linked to training/performance, 22*t*, 23*t*, 27–29, 40
 - execution in work setting, 22*t*, 23*t*, 29, 42
 - execution linked to business outcome measures, 22*t*, 23*t*, 29–31, 73
 - propose solution in context of, 43*t*, 112
 - training and performance design, 22*t*, 23*t*, 26–27, 39, 114*t*
 - Focus groups, 83
 - Follow-up action recommendations, 191–195*e*
 - Follow-up performance
 - conducting evaluation of, 43–44, 157
 - rapid verification of results evaluation of, 179–191
 - timing of data collection on, 189–191
 - See also* Learning transfer
 - Forecasting ROI, 118*t*, 126–129
- G**
- Gagné, R., 1
 - Gilbert, T., 1
 - Go/No Go solution decision, 38, 111*fig*, 126
 - Guidelines. *See* Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) guidelines
 - Guiding Objectives and Measures
 - analysis of, 97–98
 - as PAL process guiding principle, 43*t*
 - Gupta, K., 83
 - Gyrotech Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*
- H**
- Habits
 - delivery facilitating change of, 150–151
 - as deterrent to learning, 28, 142
 - related to mishandling information, 73
 - Solution Proposal Format addressing old, 116*t*
 - See also* Learners
 - Handoff briefing
 - recommendations on, 134–135, 140
 - Training and Performance Design Specifications Document for, 136*e*–139*e*
 - Harless, J., 1
 - HIPAA Privacy Rule, 72, 97, 128, 152
- I**
- Importance factor, 141
 - Ineffective habits, 28
 - Information
 - identifying ineffective habits related to mishandling, 73
 - identifying typical failures in handling, 73
 - privacy issues related to, 72–73, 97, 128, 152
 - Informed client buy-in, 26–27
 - Initial reaction surveys, 152–153
 - Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, 87
 - Intake Scope and Alignment Document
 - Big Sky Medical Group scenario use of, 94
 - example of, 66*e*–71*e*
 - issues addressed by, 65
 - overview of, 64–66
 - International Society for Performance Improvement, 87

Isolating the effects
 importance of evaluation, 186
 methods for, 188–189

J

Japan Management Association, 87
 Job or task analysis, 84
 Judgmental sampling, 81

K

Kirkpatrick, D. J., 1–2, 4, 166
 Kirkpatrick's evaluation model
 four levels of, 4
 Phillips' Return on Investment
 (Level 5) addition to, 4
 Knowles, M., 1

L

Learners
 assessing readiness of, 151–153
 building confidence of, 12, 13,
 28, 141–142
 relevance to, 22*t*, 23*t*,
 26–27, 141
 surveys on initial reaction of,
 152–153
 willingness of, 12, 13,
 28–29, 143
See also Active Management
 Reinforcement (AMR); Habits;
 Performance readiness;
 Performers
 Learning
 assessing readiness for, 41–42
 ineffective habits as deterrent
 to, 28
 key factors for, 141–143
 Learning and Performance Action
 Plan, 179–180*t*
 Learning and Performance
 Contract, 147–148*t*, 153
 Learning transfer
 follow-up action and strategy for,
 153–159
 guiding principle on focusing
 the, 149
 Solution Proposal Format
 on, 117*t*
 triggering, 42

See also Follow-up performance
 evaluation; Performance
 transfer

Learning transfer strategy
 AMR as part of, 158–159
 developing an effective,
 154–158
 importance of developing,
 153–154
 Lewin, K., 1

M

Mager, R. F., 1, 135
 Management Performance
 program, 202
 Managers
 aligning design and development
 role of, 143
 ensuring training professional's
 knowledge, 40
 training professional partnering
 with clients and, 209
See also Active Management
 Reinforcement (AMR);
 Organizations
 Measures
 developing performance, 26
 guiding objectives and, 43*t*,
 97–98
 linking readiness and
 execution, 134
 Proposed Solution Format on,
 115*t*–116*t*
 Meier, D., 1, 141

N

National Association of
 Manufacturers, 87
 Needs assessment. *See* Situational
 Needs Assessment
 New expectation signal, 54*t*, 60–61
 Newstrom, J., 157
 Nominal group technique
 (NGT), 83

O

Objectives
 development of training and
 performance, 26

guiding measures and, 43*t*,
 97–98
 linking readiness and execution,
 134–135
 Proposed Solution Format on,
 115*t*–116*t*
 Observation of work, 84–85
 Organization records
 data collection from, 85,
 181–182
 flawed data, team data, and
 cautions about, 181–182
 Organizations
 handling the outside view of
 training in, 203–206
 training function must align with
 philosophy of, 204*t*
See also Managers
 Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*

P

Pareto, V., 12
 Pareto's Principle, 12
 Per person costs, 117*t*
 Performance
 key factors for, 141–143
 objectives and measures of, 26
 theoretical and practical
 influences on, 1–2
 Performance Alignment and
 Linkage (PAL) guidelines
 1. verifying business drivers, 43*t*,
 55, 114*t*
 2. gathering data from credible
 sources for assessment, 43*t*, 86
 3. identifying performance,
 requirements, performance
 gaps, root cause, related needs,
 guiding objectives, 43*t*, 89
 4. ensuring the guiding objectives
 and measure guide selection/
 design of solution, 43*t*, 97–98
 5. propose solution in context of
 Five Key Factors and secure
 client contract, 43*t*, 112
 6. performance consultant
 role when presenting
 recommendations, 43*t*, 113
 7. continually educating clients
 on performance solution
 design value, 43*t*, 125

- 8. getting client's evaluating and reporting decisions, 43*t*, 125
- 9. linking readiness and execution objectives and measures, 43*t*, 134
- 10. altering and renegotiating solution design, 43*t*, 140
- 11. focusing delivery and transfer process, 43*t*, 149
- 12. acquiring and managing sponsorship, 43*t*, 199
- Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) phases
 - 1. situational needs assessment and performance design, 36–38, 111*fig*
 - 2. design and development, 39–40, 77*fig*, 133*fig*, 146*fig*
 - 3. delivery and execution, 41, 133*fig*, 146*fig*
 - 4. verification and follow-up, 43–44, 163*fig*
- Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) process
 - illustrated diagram of, 35*fig*
 - introduction to the, 34
 - key alignment factors as inherent in, 31
 - new perspectives of performance and, 33–34
- Performance-Centered Framework used with, 5
- phases and steps of, 36–44
- See also* Alignment; Training and performance process
- Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) steps
 - 1. scope the project/utilize six signals to determine assessment strategy, 36–37, 52, 53*fig*, 54*t*–64
 - 2. conduct detailed assessment/analysis and identify alternative solutions, 37, 75–107*e*, 77*fig*
 - 3. administer situational risk assessment/analysis, 37–38, 77*fig*, 92–107
 - 4. propose solution and negotiate performance alignment contract, 38, 109–130
 - 5. go/no go solution decision, 38, 111*fig*, 126
 - 6. finalize delivery design and strategy for performance transfer, 39, 131–160
 - 7. develop/acquire focused performance solution components, 40, 140–141
 - 8. implement pre-engagement action, 41, 146*fig*, 147–148
 - 9. delivery performance solution/ assess learning readiness and initial reaction, 41–42, 146*fig*, 149–153
 - 10. trigger transfer strategy and work setting execution, 42, 146*fig*, 153–159
 - 11. rapid verification of results/ follow-up action steps, 43–44, 125–126, 161–196
- Performance alignment contact components and format of, 120*e*–124*e*
- guiding principle on educating clients and negotiating the, 125
- negotiating the solution and the, 119–120
- Performance barriers, 16*t*–17
- Performance frameworks
 - Kirkpatrick's evaluation model, 4
 - Phillips' Return on Investment, 4
 - See also* Performance-Centered Framework
- Performance readiness
 - assessment of, 151–152
 - five key components of, 12–13, 24
 - guiding objectives of, 97
 - lack as performance barrier, 16
 - linking objectives of execution and, 134–135
 - Outcome Report on, 193*e*–194*e*
 - performance and evaluation framework component of, 165*t*
 - rapid verification of results data on, 179
 - Situational Needs Assessment of, 13
 - Solution Proposal Format on, 114*t*–116*t*, 117*t*
 - Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*, 12
- See also* Active Management Reinforcement (AMR); Learners; Performance-Centered Framework
- Performance results, 20
- Performance transfer, 39
- See also* Learning transfer
- Performance-Centered Framework
 - business outcome element of, 5*t*, 6, 10*t*, 22*t*–25, 29–31, 97, 165*t*, 184–185, 195*e*, 205*t*
 - elements listed, 4–5*t*
 - execution in the work setting element of, 5*t*, 6–11*fig*, 29–31
 - preferences element of, 5*t*, 14, 165
 - See also* Performance frameworks; Performance readiness
- Performers
 - everyday choices made by, 2–3
 - training delivery enabling the, 145, 147
 - See also* Learners
- Phillips, J. J., 2, 4, 126, 165, 166, 167, 181, 182
- Phillips, P. P., 126, 167
- Pre-engagement action
 - implementing, 41, 147–148
 - Learning and Performance Contract, 147–148*t*, 153
 - purposes of, 147
 - Solution Proposal Format on, 116*t*
 - sponsorship required for, 148
- Preferences (client)
 - performance and evaluation framework component of, 165*t*
 - Stone's performance-centered framework on, 5*t*, 14
- Privacy
 - determining reasons for violations of, 72–73
 - Privacy Act and HIPAA guidelines on, 72, 97, 128, 152
- Proposed solutions
 - educating the client on the, 113–129
 - Five Key Factors context of, 43*t*, 112
 - influencing your client regarding the, 110–113

Proposed solutions (*Continued*)
 performance consultant role
 when presenting, 43*t*, 113
 Solution Proposal Format, 112*t*,
 114*t*–118*t*

Q

Questionnaires/surveys, 84

R

Random sampling, 82
 Rapid mission-related needs
 assessment, 204*t*–205*t*, 206
 Rapid verification of results
 advantages of using, 161–162
 brief outline for use of,
 170–171
 client decision to use, 125–126,
 162, 164
 comparing traditional and,
 162, 164
 evaluation framework for, 164,
 165*t*, 166
 illustrated diagram of, 168*fig*
 introduction to, 43–44
 isolating the effects issue of, 186,
 188–189
 philosophy of, 170
 steps of, 167–173, 179,
 181–195*e*
 success and disappointment
 analysis used in, 171, 185–186,
 187*t*, 202–203
 Rapid verification of results steps
 1. determine purpose/verify
 sponsorship, 167, 169–170
 2. develop plans for low-intensity
 rapid verification strategy,
 170–178*e*
 3. collect and analysis readiness
 data, 179
 4. collect and analyze follow-up
 performance data, 179–191
 5. communicating findings/
 recommending follow-up
 actions, 191–195*e*
 Readiness evaluation, 164, 165*t*,
 166–167
 Relevance
 as key alignment factor, 22*t*, 23*t*

as key learning and performance
 factor, 141
 training and performance design
 guided by, 26–27
 Reporting
 evaluation findings/follow-up
 recommendations, 191–194
 getting client's decision
 regarding, 43*t*, 125
 Outcome Report, 193*e*–194*e*
 as sponsor channel of
 communicating demonstrated
 results, 202–203
 Risk assessment
 Active Management
 Reinforcement (AMR)
 suggestions from, 38
 administering situational, 37
 benefits of conducting, 92–95
 Root Cause Analysis Matrix, 93*e*,
 95*e*–96*e*
 as Situational Needs Assessment
 step, 77*fig*
 Situational Risk Assessment and
 Analysis Tool Set for, 99*e*–107*e*
 Robinson, D., 1
 Robinson, J., 1
 ROI forecasting
 calculating, 126–129
 rapid verification of results
 inclusion of, 164, 166
 Solution Proposal Format
 on, 118*t*
 ROI (Return on Investment)
 business outcome measured
 through, 30–31
 calculation of, 126–129, 166
 forecasting the, 118*t*, 126–129,
 164, 166
 Phillips' Level 5 on, 4
 Root cause
 analysis of, 89, 91*e*–93, 95*e*–96*e*
 as key factors of alignment,
 22*t*, 23*t*
 Solution Proposal Format on,
 114*t*, 116*t*
 training and performance design
 guided by, 26–27
 Root Cause Analysis, 91*e*
 Root Cause Analysis Matrix, 92,
 95*e*–96*e*
 Rummler, G., 1

S

Sampling procedures, 81–83
 Simple random sampling, 81
 Situational Needs Assessment
 client behaviors and suggested
 solutions, 49–51
 client request role of, 48–49
 design for, 77*fig*
 development and function of, 37
 getting started with the, 46
 performance readiness
 determined through, 13
 proactive role of, 47–48
 rapid mission-related needs
 assessment, 204*t*–205*t*, 206
 risk assessment using, 37, 38,
 92–96, 93*e*, 98–107
 steps of, 52–73
 tool set for, 99*e*–107*e*
See also Assessments; Clients
 Situational Needs Assessment steps
 1. Six Signals, 36–37, 52, 53*fig*,
 54*t*–64
 2. Intake Scope and Alignment
 Document, 64–71*e*
 3. next steps to take, 72–73
 Situational risk analysis
 the approach for, 76
 completing the, 75–76
 data collection methods and
 sources, 83–87
 Execution Gap Situational risk
 analysis, 90*e*
 root cause, 89, 91–93
 sampling procedures used for,
 81–83
 the strategy used for, 76, 78–81
 tool set for, 37, 99*e*–107*e*
 types of detailed, 87–93
See also Data analysis; Risk
 assessment; Situational Needs
 Assessment
 Six Signals
 Big Sky Medical Group scenario
 on process of, 54–55
 overview of, 36–37, 52, 53*fig*,
 54*t*–58
 signal 1: business deficiency, 54*t*,
 58–59
 signal 2: execution deficiency,
 54*t*, 59–60

- signal 3: new expectation, 54*t*, 60–61
 - signal 4: business change, 54*t*, 61–62
 - signal 5: business opportunity, 54*t*, 62–63
 - signal 6: business compliance, 54*t*, 63–64
 - Skinner, B. F., 1
 - Snappy Telecommunications
 - International scenario, 8–9, 10*t*
 - Society for Human Resource Management, 87
 - Solution Proposal Format, 112*t*, 114*t*–118*t*
 - Solutions
 - aligning and proposing, 37–38
 - altering and renegotiating design of, 140
 - believing in your, 109–110
 - delivery of performance, 149–151
 - developing/acquiring
 - components for performance, 40, 140–141
 - educating the client on the proposed, 113–129
 - Five Key Factors context of proposed, 43*t*, 112
 - go/no go decision on, 38, 111*fig*, 126
 - influencing your client regarding the, 110–113
 - negotiating the performance alignment contract and, 119–124
 - performance consultant role when presenting, 43*t*, 113
 - Source estimates, 188
 - Sponsorship
 - contribution and survival functions of, 197–198
 - guiding principle on acquiring, cultivating, and managing, 43*t*, 199
 - handling the outside view of training, 203–206
 - importance of, 198–199
 - influencing, 199–203
 - verified for rapid verification of results, 167, 169–170
 - See also* Training professionals
 - Sponsorship channels
 - causal indicators, 200–201
 - communication of demonstrated results, 202–203
 - direct use knowledge as, 200
 - Stakeholders
 - communicating evaluation findings/recommendations to, 191–195*e*
 - Evaluation Strategy and Plan identification of, 174*e*–178*e*, 192
 - handling the outside view of training by, 203–206
 - improving communications about training made to, 206*t*–208*t*
 - Outcome Report made to, 193*e*–194*e*
 - reporting results to, 43*t*, 125, 191–194, 202–203
 - training processes must be visible to, 205*t*
 - Stone, R. D., 4, 126, 165, 166, 167, 181, 182
 - Stratified sampling, 82
 - Success and disappointment
 - analysis, 171, 185–186, 187*t*, 202–203
 - Surveys/questionnaires, 84
- T**
- Task and job analysis, 84
 - Tests and assessments, 84
 - Traditional evaluation, 125, 162, 164, 166, 167*t*
 - Training
 - meeting the client needs related to, 209–210
 - new perspectives on, 3–4
 - outside organizational view of, 203–204
 - See also* Training and performance process
 - Training and performance design
 - aligning delivery and execution, 145–160
 - development and delivery focused and linked to, 27–29
 - enabling the performer through, 145, 147
 - guided by root cause and relevant needs, 22*t*, 23*t*, 26–27, 141
 - handoff briefing on, 134–140
 - handoff to development team on, 132–134
 - informed client buy-in to, 26–27
 - on pre-engagement action, 41, 116*t*, 147–148*t*
 - Training and Performance Design Specifications Document, 135, 136*e*–139*e*
 - Training and performance process
 - criteria for effective, 15*t*
 - as front-to-rear and inside-out redefinition, 43
 - improving communication to stakeholders about, 206*t*–208*t*
 - key areas of effectiveness and efficiency in, 204*t*–205*t*
 - the outside view of, 203–206
 - shifting terminology to accurately reflect, 14–15
 - three strategies to improve, 208–209
 - See also* Performance Alignment and Linkage (PAL) process; Training
 - Training delivery
 - aligning execution and, 145–160
 - developing/acquiring means of, 140–141
 - enabling the performer, 145, 147
 - guiding principle on focusing the, 149–151
 - handoff briefing finalizing the, 134–140
 - programs and services must meet promise of, 205*t*
 - Training and Performance Design Specifications Document on, 136*e*–139*e*
 - Training process, 14
 - Training professionals
 - effective communications to stakeholders by, 206*t*–208*t*

Training professionals (*Continued*)
importance of meeting the needs
of the client, 209–210
key areas of effectiveness and
efficiency by, 204*t*–205*t*
outside perceptions of, 204
partnering with clients and
managers, 209
theoretical and practical
influences on, 1–2
three strategies to improve
training processes taken by,
208–209

See also Sponsorship
Trend line analysis, 188

U

U.S. Department of Education, 87
U.S. Department of Labor, 87

V

Van de Ven, A. H., 83
Visibility, 205*t*

W

Willingness factor, 12, 13,
28–29, 143
Work observation, 84–85
Work setting execution. *See*
Execution in the work setting
World Class University scenario, 9

Z

Zhang, L., 142

