

## INDEX

### A

Academic challenge of high school courses, 36, 38  
Accuplacer, 144, 147  
ACT (formerly American College Testing), 24, 36, 49, 104  
ACT testing sequence (EXPLORE, PLAN, ACT), 50, 55–56, 71  
Actions and questions: on current system, 13–15; on high school classes, 44–48; on high school transformation, 100; on intellectual coherence, 108–110; on student awareness, 29–32  
Adelman, C., 39  
Admissions criteria, evolution of, 33–37  
Admissions testing, 22, 49–50, 155–157  
Advanced placement (AP) program, 22, 36, 37, 50–54, 69–70  
Algebra: checklist, 308; content standards, 193–194; importance of, 84–85, 88; and natural sciences, 88, 205–206  
Alignment and Challenge Audit, 62–65, 72, 106–107  
American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), 95, 230, 231

Antonio, A., 117  
Arts, the: basic foundation for, 235–237; checklist, 332–336; content standards, 237–245; intellectual coherence in, 97–99  
Arts faculty, recommendation for, 98–99  
Aspirations, student, 18

### B

Biology: checklist, 314–317; content standards, 209–211; work sample, 274–280  
Bridge Project, 4, 17–21, 23, 24

### C

Carnegie unit, 34  
Center for Educational Policy Research (CEPR), xxi, 99, 110  
Checklist for College Readiness: description of, 166, 301–302; English, 303–306; fine and performing arts, 332–336; mathematics, 307–310; natural sciences, 310–324; and parents, 167; second languages, 327–332; social sciences, 325–327

Chemistry: checklist, 318–321; content standards, 211–213; key concepts in, 88  
Chicago’s Common Core, 138–140  
Choices, students’, 40–41, 107–108  
Clusters, interdisciplinary, 140–141  
College, purpose of, 117–119  
College admission: college success versus, 3–6; evolution of, 33–37; testing, 22, 49–50, 155–157  
College Board: AP program, 50–54; content standards, 157; description of, 49–50; information from, 24; placement tests, 144; SAT test, 22, 23, 36, 104, 155–156; SpringBoard program, 54–55, 104  
College classrooms: evolving, 125–127; work assigned in, 119–125, 247–300  
College readiness. *See* Checklist for College Readiness  
College requirements, information about, 19  
Compass placement test, 144, 147  
Conant, J. B., 40  
Conley, D. T., xxi–xxii  
ConneXus program, 142  
Content standards: arts, 237–245; development of, 171–174; English, 180–187; mathematics, 192–199; natural sciences, 204–216; second languages, 231–234; social sciences, 221–228. *See also* Foundational skills  
Core curriculum programs: changes in, 133–135; characteristics of effective, 135–138; examples of, 138–144  
Counselors, 19, 25, 31  
Critical reflection, 178–179  
Critical thinking skills, 81, 187, 236, 306  
Curricular requirements, student knowledge of, 19–20, 115

## D

Data systems, integrated, 157–158  
Decision-making, students’, 40–41, 107–108  
Diversity, appreciation of, 136  
Dual enrollment, 23, 59–60

## E

Early college high schools, 61–62  
Educational Planning and Assessment System (EPAS), ACT’s, 55–56  
English: basic foundation for, 175–180; checklist, 303–306; content standards, 180–187; in intellectually coherent program, 73–74, 79–84; work sample, 250–262. *See also* Writing  
English faculty, recommendations for, 82–84  
English placement tests, 146  
Environmental science, 208–209, 313–314  
Exit standards, 76–78  
Expectations, clear, 103–106  
EXPLORE test, 55, 56

## F

Fairleigh Dickinson University (FDU) core curriculum, 143  
Feedback from teachers, 39–40, 97, 104–105  
First-year college experience: general education requirements, 133–144; insights into, 119–125; and placement tests, 144–147; struggles during, 113–116; stumbling blocks in, 116–119; through students’ eyes, 127–131; typical schedules, 147–151  
First-year interest groups (FIGs), 137–138  
Flow, defined, 236

Foundational skills: for arts, 235–237; for English, 175–180; for mathematics, 189–191; for natural sciences, 201–204; for second languages, 229–231; for social sciences, 217–221. *See also* Content standards

Freshman Inquiry (FRINQ) program, 144

Freshman schedules, 147–151

## G

G.I. Bill, 35

Gaff, J. G., 135

General education requirements: changes in, 133–135; characteristics of effective, 135–138; examples of, 138–144

Government regulation, increasing, 154

Grade point averages (GPAs): and aspirations, 18; closer scrutiny of, 36, 37; of college freshmen, 109, 125; and placement tests, 146

Grading practices, 45–46

Grammar: for good writing, 80, 176–177, 183–184; and second languages, 94, 115

## H

Habits of mind: critical thinking, 81, 187, 236, 306; description of, 6, 81, 165, 173; and intellectual maturity, 116–117; problem solving, 105, 173, 190–191, 203

High school counselors, 19, 25, 31

High school courses: academic challenge of, 36, 38; choosing, 40–41, 107–108; in rigorous curriculums, 115

High school educators: of arts programs, 98–99; as college advisors, 19, 32; English faculty, 82–84; feedback from,

39–40, 97, 104–105; math faculty, 86–87; recommendations for, 162–164; science faculty, 90–92; second languages faculty, 96; social sciences faculty, 94

High school graduates, increase in, 4

High school standards: exit standards, 76–78; expanding, 154–155. *See also* Content standards

High school students: aspirations of, 18; awareness of, 23–26; changes needed in, 165–166; intellectual maturity of, 116–117. *See also* Students' stories

High schools: Alignment and Challenge Audit for, 62–65, 72, 106–107; current strategies of, 6–9; early college, 61–62; intellectual coherence for, 101–110; purpose of, 9, 14

History and geography: checklist, 325, 326; content standards, 222–224, 225; general sense of, 218–219

## I

Indexes, defined, 36

Information gathering, 177–178

Intellectual coherence: in art studies, 97–99; designing high schools for, 101–110; in English, 79–84; in entire curriculum, 78–79; exit standards for, 76–78; lack of, 73–76; in mathematics, 84–87; in science, 87–92; in second language programs, 94–96; in social sciences, 92–94

Intellectual maturity, lack of, 116–117

Intentionality and clear vision, 101–103

Interdisciplinary themes at universities, 140–144

International Baccalaureate (IB) program, 36, 37, 56–58, 71

## K

K–16 educational system: desire to create, 158–160; policymakers’ steps toward, 161–162

Kirst, M., 117

Knowledge and Skills for University Success (KSUS) standards: and Alignment audit, 62–65; and arts classes, 237–238; and Checklist for College Readiness, 174, 301; defined, 171–174; development of, 2, 5–6; and early college high schools, 61–62; and exit standards, 76–78; and hands-on learning, 108; and portfolios, 105; as reference point, 100. *See also* Content standards; Foundational skills

## L

Lee Honors College (LHC) at Western Michigan University, 143–144

Light, R., 119

Loveless, T., 121

## M

Majors, changing, 116

Math faculty, recommendations for, 86–87

Math phobia, 114

Mathematics: basic foundation for, 189–191; checklist, 307–310; and college success, 38; content standards, 192–199; feedback in, 105; intellectual coherence in, 74, 84–87; and problem solving, 105, 190–191; and science, 205–207

Mathematics placement tests, 146

Memorization of terms, 74, 123, 202

Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL), 100

Middle college high schools, 61–62

Music: checklist, 333–334; content standards, 240–242

## N

National Science Foundation (NSF), 91

National Survey of Student Engagement, 83, 119–120, 124

Natural sciences: checklist, 310–324; content standards for, 204–216; feedback in, 105; foundation for, 201–204; work sample, 274–280

No Child Left Behind Act, 154–155

## O

Opportunities at colleges, 117–119

Oregon’s Proficiency-Based Admission Standards System (PASS), xxi, 164

Orientation programs, 103–106

## P

Parents: information for, 24–25; role of, 166–167

Physics: checklist, 321–324; content standards, 213–216; key concepts in, 88–89

Placement test policies, student knowledge of, 20–21, 109–110

Placement tests, description of, 144–147

Policymakers, 161–162

Portfolios, 105–106

Portland State’s Freshman Inquiry (FRINQ) program, 144

Postsecondary faculty, interaction with, 164–165

Problem solving: as habit of mind, 173; in mathematics, 105, 190–191; scientific, 203

Proficiency-Based Admission Standards System (PASS), xxi, 164

Progress markers, 103–106

## Q

- Quality in Undergraduate Education (QUE), 136
- Questions and suggestions: on current system, 13–15; on high school classes, 44–48; on high school transformation, 100; on intellectual coherence, 108–110; on student awareness, 29–32

## R

- Reading, as active process, 175–176
- Reading skills: checklist, 303–304; for informational texts, 47–48; and science, 203–204; and social sciences, 219–220
- Reading-intensive college courses, 121, 122
- Remediation rates, 37
- Research skills, 186–187, 306
- Research universities, 118–119

## S

- SAT test, 22, 23, 36, 104, 155, 156
- Schedules, freshman, 147–151
- Science and society, 89, 207–208, 312–313
- Science faculty, recommendations for, 90–92
- Sciences, natural: checklist, 310–324; content standards for, 204–216; foundation for, 201–204; work sample, 274–280
- Scientist, student-as-, 75, 89
- Second languages: basic foundation for, 229–231; checklist, 327–332; content standards, 231–234; and English grammar, 94, 115; intellectual coherence in, 94–96; work sample, 297–300
- Second languages faculty, recommendations for, 96

- Senior seminars, 99, 110
- Social sciences: basic foundation for, 217–221; checklist, 325–327; content standards, 221–228; intellectual coherence in, 92–94; work sample, 281–296
- Social sciences faculty, recommendations for, 94
- Spanish work sample, 297–300
- SpringBoard program, 54–55, 104
- Standards, exit, 76–78
- Standards, KSUS: and Alignment audit, 62–65; and Checklist for College Readiness, 174, 301; defined, 171–174; development of, 2, 5–6; and early college high schools, 61–62; for English, 180–187; and hands-on learning, 108; for mathematics, 192–199; for natural sciences, 204–216; and portfolios, 105; as reference point, 100; for second languages, 231–234; for social sciences, 221–228. *See also* Foundational skills
- Standards for Success Web site, 100, 104, 304
- State academic content standards, 37, 100. *See also* Content standards
- Student engagement, 123–124
- Student knowledge, gaps in, 113–116
- Students: aspirations of, 18; awareness of, 23–26; changes needed in, 165–166; intellectual maturity of, 116–117
- Students' stories: Alicia, 11, 26–27, 41–42, 65–66, 127–129; background on, 2, 10; Derrick, 11–12, 27, 42–43, 66–67, 129; lessons from, 131; Teresa, 12–13, 28–29, 43–44, 67–69, 130
- Sustained inquiry, 191
- Syllabi: college literature, 48; and university work samples, 248

## T

Teachers: of arts programs, 98–99; as college advisors, 19, 32; connections between students and, 162–164; feedback from, 39–40, 97, 104–105; general recommendations for, 162–164; math faculty, 86–87; postsecondary faculty, 164–165; science faculty, 90–92; second languages faculty, 96; social sciences faculty, 94; and Web-based technology, 125–127

Tests: admissions, 22, 49, 155–157; placement, 20–21, 109–110, 144–147

Theory of Knowledge course, 57

Time management, 113, 120–121, 124, 236

Tuition costs, student knowledge of, 21

Two-track system, 7

## U

UCLA’s “clusters” approach, 140–141

University of Chicago’s Common Core, 138–140

University of Michigan’s interdisciplinary option, 141

University work samples. *See* Work samples

## V

Venezia, A., 117

Visual arts: checklist, 335–336; content standards, 243–245

## W

Western Michigan University, Lee Honors College at, 143–144

Work samples, university: defined, 247; English work sample, 250–262; format of, 248; how to use, 248–249; math work sample, 263–273; natural sciences work sample, 274–280; second language work sample, 297–300; social sciences work sample, 281–296

Writing: checklist, 304–305; college papers, 83, 121–123; content standards, 183–186; and faculty expectations, 46–47; feedback on, 39–40, 82, 105; and grammar, 80, 94, 115, 176–177, 183; proficiency in, 74, 80; and science, 203

Writing Across the Curriculum (WAC), 136

## Y

*Your First College Year (YFCY) survey*, 124











