

Index

A

- Academic honesty, 128
- Accumulated points system, 114–115; characteristics of, 115; classroom example of, 115; numerical values in, 114
- Achacoso, M. V., 9
- Action item: and following up and taking action, 168–169; selecting, 168
- Adelman, C., 9
- Albright, M., 87
- All Sciences: Reading and Interpreting Graphic Information (rubric; Anderson), 231
- American Psychological Association, 105–106
- AMPS (Audience, Main point and purpose, Pattern and procedures, and Standards and criteria), 34
- Amspaugh, L. B., 223
- Anderson, L., 8
- Anderson, R. S., 9, 132
- Anderson, V. J., 32, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 66, 82, 105, 128–129, 141, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 195, 199, 231; assignment for biology capstone course, 33 exhibit 3.1; scores for title of scientific report, 43 exhibit 4.8
- Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, 187
- Angelo, T. A., 151
- Anne Arundel Community College (Maryland), 181, 183
- Arcadia University, 106–107
- Architecture: Architectural Program (rubric; Carraccia), 217–219
- Arreola, R. A., 139
- Art History: Hypothetical Newspaper Article (rubric; Havice), 206–207
- Assessment Clear and Simple* (Walvoord), 151
- Assessment(s). *See also* Classroom-based assessment, reporting; Departmental and general education assessment; Grant proposals, assessment for: and deciding which student will be assessed, 157; definition of, 152; for departments and general education, 151–171; and establishing goals for learning, 153–155; and general education, 169; guide to departmental and general-education, 151 resource 11.1; recommendations for improving, 234–235; reporting classroom-based, 169–171; and reports that describe assessment process, 170; using information from, for improvement, 164–166
- Assignment-centered course, 17, 17–22, 18
- Assignments: checking, for fit and feasibility, 18–22; communicating about, 32–33; constructing, 9–14; and constructing course skeleton, 14–18; to fit learning goals, 10–11; peer collaboration on, 11–13; that interest students, 11
- Association of American Colleges and Universities, 155
- Astin, A., 11
- Auyang, Grace, 47
- Average student test scores for Graphic information, with 4.0 as highest possible score, 142 table 10.1

B

- Bain, K., 81
- Baltimore, Maryland, 224, 227
- Bannan-Ritland, B., 87
- Banta, T. W., 151, 156, 159
- Barkley, E., 12
- Barnet, S. A., 64
- Bates, A. W., 87
- Bauer, J. F., 9
- Bean, J. C., 62, 173, 175, 177, 178
- Benander, Ruth, 49
- Bennington, Elaine, 72
- Best teaching practices, summary of research on, 81
- BioAbstracts*, 145
- Biofilms: The Hypertextbook*, 187, 189, 190; outcomes and assessment plan for, 189 table 13.1
- Biology: Original Scientific Experiment (rubric; Anderson), 195–199
- Biology: The Science of Life Lab Manual* (Ghent, Gasparich, and Scully), 142
- Black, K. E., 151

BlackBoard Web site, 180
 Bloom, B. S., 8, 9, 54–55
 Bloomer, Judith, 51, 200
Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives (Bloom), 54–55
 Blumberg, P., 126
 Boice, R., 96, 126
 Boud, D., 9
 Bransford, J., 27
 Breihan, J., 17, 18, 36, 37, 79, 80, 81, 101, 116, 131, 133; plan for teaching argumentation in Western Civilization Course, 84–85 exhibit 6.3; transcript of History class of, 83 exhibit 6.2
 Bresciani, M. J., 151
 Brookfield, S. D., 74, 126, 132
 Brown, A., 27
 Brown, M., 87
 Buffalo State College, 72–73
 Burnout, 90–97
 Business Management: Case Analysis (rubric; Singer), 224–226
 Business Management: Team Project (rubric; Fredenstall), 212

C

Cambridge, B. L., 148
 Camp, M. B., 88
 Career Planning: Field Observation (rubric; Cates), 208–209
 Carey, L. M., 9
 Carnegie CASTL Scholars, 148
 Carrioccia, Cara, 217
 Carrithers, D., 173, 175, 176, 177, 178
 Casey, Ryan, 192
 Casey-Whitman, Patricia, 181
 Cashin, W. E., 9, 139
 Cates, Cheryl, 208
 Cebula, Jim, 48
 Ceilings, establishing grade, 118
 Chase, C. I., 9
 Checklists, 38–39; and checklist for analysis of newspaper editorials, 38 exhibit 4.3
 Chickering, A. W., 11, 81
 Chisamore, Dale, 90
 Christian, W., 62, 87, 88
 Class discussion: resources for, 74; student self-report on, 68 exhibit 5.1; teaching and grading, 66–74; using, to address grading issues, 128–129
 Class participation, grading (example), 67
 Class time, case history of managing, 79–86
 Classroom work: analysis of, 160–164; and evaluating strengths, 161, 163–164; and evaluating weaknesses, 161–162, 164; faculty readers analyze portfolios and samples of, 162–163; and instructors evaluating their own students' work, 160–162; two options for evaluating, 160 figure 11.1; and using assessment information for improvement, 164–166

Classroom-based assessment, reporting, 169–171; and reports describing assessment process, 170; and reports for program review, budget, and planning, 170–171
 Clegg, V. L., 9
 Clemson University, 51, 212
 Cocking, R., 27
 Cohen, M. W., 128
 College of Notre Dame of Maryland, 91
 Columbia, South Carolina, 212
 Comeaux, P., 9, 76
 Comments, use of: only for teachable moments, 103; without grades, 102–103
 Communication, 2; with students, about grades, 125–140
 COMPASS reading test, 179
 Concepts, 8
 Conrad, R., 87
 Content, 8
 Contract grading, 120–121
 Contract learning, 119–120; characteristics of, 121
 Cottell, P. G., Jr., 12
 Course design, books on teaching that emphasize, 62
 Course management system, 109
 Course skeleton, 14–18; problematic, 19
 Coverage-centered course, 15, 16, 17; true failure of, 16
 Covington, M. V., 25, 27
 Cross, K. P., 12, 148
 Cunningham, Alfred, 187
 Curchak, Mark, 106–107; and peer checklist for first draft of term paper in sociology, 106–107
 Curving, 121–123

D

Dabbagh, N., 87
 Davis, B. G., 62
 Delegation, 105–108
 Departmental and general education assessment: case studies of, 173–185; and community college general education program assessing students' writing across disciplines, 181–185; and example of departmental assessment report, 233–235; and using classroom examples from assessment in health sciences, 178–180; and using classroom work to assess critical thinking in finance majors, 175–178; and using classroom work to assess thinking and writing in history majors, 173–175
 Departmental meeting, annual: agenda for two-hour annual, 166; guidelines for, 166; preparing assessment data for, 167–168
 Departments: assessments for, 151–171; guides to assessments for, 151 resource 11.1
 Developmental approaches, 118–119
 DeZure, Deborah, 62
 Direct measures, 155
 Disney, W., 94–95
 Donaldson, J. A., 87

- Downtime, use of, 95
 Drake, B. M., 223
 Dream book, 93–94
 Duley, J. S., 9
 Dunbar, Steven, 142, 143; graph of student progress in math class, 143 table 10.2
 Dunn, J., 9
- E**
- Earenfight, T., 173, 174, 175
 Ebersole, Tara Eisenhauer, 178, 181
 Economics: Analysis of a Proposed Law (rubric; Way), 202–205
 Education: Poster Presentation (rubric; Soled), 210–211
 Effective discussion, student’s guide to, 70–72 exhibit 5.2
 Entwhistle, N., 13
 Erikson, B. L., 87
 Escoe, Gisela, 52
 ESWE (Edited Standard Written English), 57, 59, 100–101, 228, 229
 Ethical choices, making, 8
 Evaluation, 2, 160–162; student, and grades, 139–140
 Extra credit, 11
- F**
- Facione, N. C., 36, 169
 Facione, P. A., 9, 36, 169
 Fact dumps, 16
 Faculty and student reflection, 2
 Faculty discussion, initial, 165–166
 Fairness, 129
 Feedback, student, 140
 Feinberg, L., 9
 Feldman, K. A., 126, 139
 Fenwick, T., 9
 Fife, J. D., 27
 Fifteen-minute goals, 96
 Filo, Barbara, 63–64
 Fink, L. D., 7, 12, 22, 62, 193
 First exposure, 89–90; choices about, 82 table 6.1
 First-Year Composition: Essay (rubric; Walvoord), 227–230
 Floors, establishing grade, 118
 Flower, L. S., 32
 Forester, Don, 190, 191
 Foundational knowledge, 22
 Frames, interpretive, 62–63
 Fredendall, Lawrence D., 51, 212
 Freed, J. E., 9
 Friedlander, J., 151
- G**
- Gamson, Z. F., 11, 81
 Garrison, D. R., 62, 87
 Gasparich, C., 142
 Gateway criteria, 56; handouts to explain Walvoord’s, 57
 Gavrin, A. D., 62, 87, 88
 Geisler, C., 16
 General education, 169; assessments for, 151–171; guides to assessments for, 151 resource 11.1
 Ghent, C., 141, 142
 Gilbert, R., 64
 Ginsberg, M. B., 25, 27
 Goals: clarifying, 7–22; collecting information about student achievement of, 155; establishing, for learning, 153–155; fifteen-minute, 96; tracking progress toward, 94–95
 Goals, student: in-class writing about, 29; and motivation, 28–32; into plans, 30–31; responding to student’s in-class writing about, 29–30; revising, 30; revisiting, 30
 Golub, J. N., 87
 Gonzaga University, 178
 Gottlieb, A., 94
 Grade challenges, and student who contest their grades, 136–137
 Grade inflation, 123
 Grade-grubbing, 25
 Grades: calculating, 117–12; communicating with students about, 125–140; descriptions of, 35–38; discussing role of, 129; levels, 103–104; and student evaluations, 139–140; students who contest, 136–137
 Grades, calculating: and contract grading and contract learning, 120–121; and curving, 121–123; developmental *versus* unit-based approaches to, 118–119; and establishing ceilings and floors, 118; and grade inflation, 123; and grading drafts, 119–120; penalties and extra credit in, 117–118
 Grades, communicating with students about: and articulating connections among goals, assignments, and grades, 126–127; and asking for student feedback, 140; and being transparent reader, 131–132; and creating effective syllabus, 125–128; and explaining how course grades will be calculated, 128; and explaining what each grade represents, 127; and face-to-face-response, 133–134; and grade challenges and plagiarism, 136–139; and guiding peer response, 134–136; and making grading part of learning (principle 1), 125; and respecting students (principle 2), 125; and responding to student work, 130–136; and student evaluations, 139–140; and using class or online discussion to address grading issues, 128–129
 Grading: class discussion, 66–74; class participation (example), 67; in classroom, 3; as complex process, 1–3; and grading what you are teaching, 65–66; group assignments, 74–76; limiting basis for, 104; making, more time-efficient, 99–111; roles of, 2; and serving broader assessment purposes, 3–4; small-group discussions (example), 66–72; and teaching

- what you are grading, 61–65; and what if they all get A's, 76
- Grading, criteria and standard for, 35–59; and checklists, 38–39; and criteria for grammar and punctuation, 56–59; and criteria outside rubric, 56–59; and description of grades, 35–38; and gateway criteria, 56; and rubrics, 39–45
- Grading drafts, 119–120
- Grading log, 109–111
- Grading models, 113–124; and accumulated points system, 114–115; and multiple category system, 115–117; and weighted letter grades system, 113–114
- Grading process: and analyzing student difficulties, 143–147; dividing and conquering in, 141–142; putting pieces together in, 142–143; and scholarship of teaching and learning, 147–148; using, to improve teaching, 141–148
- Grading scale for argumentative essays in Western Civilization course, 37 exhibit 4.2
- Grammar and punctuation: criteria for, 56–59; as gateway criteria, 56–58; integrating, into grading scale, 58–59; and time efficiency, 100–101
- Grant proposals, assessment for, 187–194; and constructing overall assessment plan, 188–190; and gathering evidence from student behaviors, 192–193; and gathering evidence through assignments and rubrics, 190–192; tools for, 190–193
- Gray, T., 96
- Group assignments, teaching and grading, 74–76
- Group response to drafts, guidelines for, 135 exhibit 9.3
- Guiding, 103
- H**
- Habits of mind, 8
- Hakel, M., 27
- Haladyna, T. M., 9
- Halpern, D., 27
- Hansen, Judith, 72
- Hastings, J. T., 9
- Havice, Christine, 206
- Hegarty-Hazel, E., 9
- Hill, W. F., 72
- Huba, M. E., 9
- Huber, M. T., 148
- Hunt, L. L., 65
- Hurd, S., 12
- Hutchings, P., 148
- I**
- Indiana University, 72
- Indirect measures, 155–156
- Innes, R., 27
- International Society for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 148
- Ivy Tech Community College (Indiana), 72
- J**
- Jacobs, L. C., 9
- Johnson, W. B., 96
- Jones, E. A., 151
- Journals, 110
- Julian, Jack, 52
- K**
- Kalman, C. S., 62
- Kantz, M., 32
- Kaufman, J. C., 26
- Kent State University, 206
- Kirkner, Laurie, 72
- Klauser, H. A., 94
- Knight, A. B., 12
- Knowles, M. J., 120–121
- Kuh, G. D., 11, 81
- Kurfiss, J. G., 7, 176
- L**
- Lage, M. J., 89
- Laing, D., 74
- Language, forms of, 46–47
- Learning, 1–3; collaborative and collective, 12 resource 2.2; establishing goals for, 153–155; objectives, 7; outcomes, 7; scholarship of, 147–148
- Learning goals: constructing assignments to fit, 10–11; establishing, 7–8, 153–155; fitting tests to, 13–14; and short-answer test question indicators, 14 table 2.1
- Lennox, John, 187
- Leskes, A., 151
- Levi, A., 39
- Life line, 91
- Ling, T., 178
- Linn, R. L., 9
- Literacy: Issues and Practices*, 223
- Living with Art* (Gilbert and McCarter), 64
- Lloyd-Jones, R., 41
- Lowman, J., 9
- Loyola College (Maryland), 224, 227
- Lutz, Evelyn, 51, 200
- M**
- Madaus, G. F., 9
- Major, C. H., 12
- Margolis, Maureen, 222
- Marsh, William, 48, 220–221
- Martin, T., 27
- McCarter, W. M., 64
- McCarthy, L. P., 17
- McManus, D. A., 87
- Meaning: of grade, 123; sense of, 91, 92
- Menec, V. H., 27
- Mezeske, B. A., 9
- Mezeske, R. J., 9
- Miami University of Ohio, 89

Michaelsen, L. K., 12
 Middendorf, J., 88
 Middle States Commission on Higher Education, 13, 54
 Miller, G. A., 93
 Millis, B. J., 128
 Montana State University, 187
 Moraine Valley Community College (Illinois), 178
 Motivation, 2. *See also* Student motivation; of teacher, 27
 Mullen, C. A., 96
 Multiple category system: characteristics of, 116–117; for graded work and pass-fail work, 116 table 8.1; numerical values in, 116–117
 Myers, C. B., 28–29

N

National Center for Academic Transformation (NCAT) Web site, 87
 National Center for Education Statistics, 10
 National Council of Teachers of English, 199
 National Institute of Health, 187
 National Science Foundation (NSF), 187, 189, 190, 192, 193, 194
 Natural History Museum (Chicago), 131–132
 NCAT. *See* National Center for Academic Transformation (NCAT) Web site
 Neff, R. A., 74
 Nelson, C., 72
 Nilson, L. B., 9, 12, 62
 Nonnative speakers of English, 100–101
 Northern Kentucky University, 210
 Novak, G. M., 62, 87, 88
 NSF. *See* National Science Foundation (NSF)
 Nursing and Occupational Therapy: Group Activities (rubric; Bloomer and Lutz), 200–201

O

Objectives, 153
 O'Brien, J. G., 128
 Office Administration: Spreadsheet (rubric; Margolis), 222
Online Classroom, 72, 90
 Online Classroom Ideas for Effective Online Instruction, 87
 Online courses, and interactive discussion of cases, 90
 Online discussions, 72–74; rubric for, 73 exhibit 5.3; use of, to address grading issues, 128–129
 Organization, 2
 Outcomes, 153; and assessment plan, 188–190

P

Pagano, N., 53
 Palloff, R. M., 87
 Palmer, P. J., 126
 Palomba, C. A., 151
 Palos Hills, Illinois, 178

Parsons, J., 9
 Pascarella, E. T., 11, 81
 Patterson, E. T., 62, 87, 88
 Paulsen, M. B., 126
 Peer collaboration, 11–13
 Peer response, guiding, 134–136
 Penalties, 117–118
 Perry, R. P., 27
 Peter, C. D., 87
 Peterman, S., 87
 Peterson, D., 178
 Petrosino, A., 27
 Pintrich, P., 27
 Plagiarism, 128; communicating about grade challenges and, 136–139; and composing plagiarism contract, 128–129; and students who plagiarize, 138–139
 “Plan for Effective Discussion Boards” (*Online Classroom*), 72, 73
 Platt, G. G., 89
 Point levels for journals in Introductory Spanish, description of, 36 exhibit 4.1
 Poole, G., 87
Portfolio Assessment Uses, Cases, Scoring, and Impact: Assessment Update Collections (Banta and Associates), 159
 Portfolio system, 102
 Portfolios, 158–159; faculty readers analyzing, 162–164; use of rubrics for, 52–54; using and scoring, 159 resource 11.2
 PowerPoint, 180
 Pratt, K., 87
 Preskill, S., 74
 Primary trait analysis, 40
 Primary trait scale, 49
 Priorities, communicating, 132–133
Professor Destressor newsletter, 91
 Program Announcement, 188
 “Proposal Writing Short Course” (Andrew W. Mellon Foundation), 187
 Purpose, deep sense of, 91
 Pyramid of power, 92–93

Q

Qualities of mind, 8
 Questions, big, 8

R

Raymond Walters College (University of Cincinnati), 35, 47, 48, 49, 54, 220
 Reflective practice, 50
 Relaxation, 95
 Request for Proposal (RFP), 188
 Research, conducting, 8
 Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) in Biodiversity (Towson University), 190, 191, 192
 Response, considering face-to-face, 133–134

- REU. *See* Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) in Biodiversity (Towson University)
- Robertson, D. R., 95
- Robertson, F., 178
- Robison, S. M., 79, 91
- Rock, D. A., 9
- Ross, Rockford, 187
- Rubric levels, student's test answers mapped to, 54 table 4.1
- Rubric scores, prepared for departmental discussion, 167 table 11.1
- Rubrics, 39–45; for business management case analysis, 40 exhibit 4.5; constructing, 41–42; for critical thinking performance on twenty-five-item multiple-choice test, 55; examples of, 195–231; frequent questions in developing, 45–46, 45–56; with full descriptions of each scoring level, 41 exhibit 4.6; items from scoring, for scenario assignment, 192 exhibit 13.1; and level of generality for stating traits, 45–46; minimal, for senior history seminar papers, 39 exhibit 4.4; for online discussion, 73 exhibit 5.3; steps for constructing, 42 exhibit 4.7; for student writing in multiple disciplines, 182–183 exhibit 12.1; time for, 43–44; use of, for grading, 44–45; use of, for multiple-choice and short-answer tests, 54–56; use of, for portfolios, 53–54; use of, for scoring, 42–43
- Rubrics, examples: and All Sciences: Reading and Interpreting Graphic Information (example 14), 231; and Architecture: Architectural Program (example 8), 217–219; and Art History: Hypothetical Newspaper Article (example 4), 206–207; and Biology: Original Scientific Experiment (example 1), 195–199; and Business Management: Case Analysis (example 12), 224–226; and Business Management: Team Project (example 7), 212–216; and Career Planning: Field Observation (example 5), 208–209; and Economics: Analysis of a Proposed Law (example 3), 202–205; and Education: Poster Presentation (example 6), 210–211; and First-Year Composition: Essay (example 13), 227–230; and Mathematics: Journals (example 11), 223; and Nursing and Occupational Therapy: Group Activity (example 2), 200–201; and Office Administration: Spreadsheet (example 10), 222; and Statistics: Statistical Investigation (example 9), 220–221
- S**
- Sayre, H. M., 64
- Scale, 47
- Schlecht, Patricia, 54–55
- Schrank, Colette M., 178
- Schunk, D., 27
- Schwegler, R. A., 16
- Science Citation Index*, 145
- Scully, E., 142
- Seattle University, 173, 175, 178
- Seldin, P., 140
- Self-directed learners, 22
- Serban, A. M., 151
- “Seven Principles of Good Practice for Undergraduate Education” (Chickering and Gamson), 11
- Shamoon, L. K., 16
- Sher, B., 94
- Sherman, A. K., 32
- Short Guide to Writing About Art* (Barnet), 65
- Significant Learning Experiences* (Fink), 22
- Simonson, M., 87
- Singer, D., 224
- Smaldino, S., 87
- Small-group discussions, grading (example), 67–72; criteria for, 69
- Snow White* (film; Disney), 94–95
- Solé, Dorothy, 35, 36, 56
- Soled, Suzanne Wegener, 210
- Sommers, J., 133
- Sommers, N., 101
- Speck, B. W., 9, 12, 132
- Statistics: Statistical Investigation (rubric; Marsh), 220–221
- Steadman, M. H., 148
- Stein, R. F., 12
- Stevens, D. D., 39
- Stress: strategies for, 91–97; and stress management tools, 95–97; time and, 91–97; time management and, 90–97
- Strommer, D. W., 87
- “Structuring the Case Method for Asynchronous Online Learning” (*Online Classroom*), 90
- Struthers, C. W., 27
- Student achievement of goals, collecting information about: and certificate programs, 157–158; and direct measure, 155; for general education, 158; and indirect measure, 155–156; and portfolios, 158–159; for professional or graduate degrees, 158; and tests, 159–160; and undergraduate majors, 157–158; and what information is needed, and by whom, 156–157; and which student work will be assessed, 157; and who will analyze classroom work and establish criteria, 160–164
- Student check sheet for literary critical essay, 99 exhibit 7.1
- Student evaluations, 139–140
- Student motivation: and communicating about assignments, 32–33; engagement, learning, and, 27 resource 3.1; and feedback on teaching methods, 31–32; fostering healthy, 25–33; resources for, 27; student goals as key to, 28–32
- Student self-report, on class discussion, 68 exhibit 5.1
- Student work, responding to, 130–136; and saving comments for teachable moment, 130; and speaking to learner, not error, 130–131
- Students: and addressing student difficulties with scientific titles, 144–145; analyzing difficulties of,

143–147; and contesting grades, 136–137; and plagiarizing, 138–139
 Student's guide to effective discussion, 70–72 exhibit 5.2

Stygall, G., r

Subiño Sullivan, C. S., 88

Suskie, L., 54, 151, 193

Svihla, V., 27

Svinicki, M. D., 9, 27

Syllabus: creating effective, 126–128; portion of, for Walvoord's literature class, 127

T

Taxonomy of Education Objectives (Bloom), 8

Teaching: and grading class discussion, 66–74; and grading what you are teaching, 65–66; group assignments, 74–76; scholarship of, and learning, 147–148; and teaching what you are grading, 61–65; using grading process to improve, 141–148

Teaching assistants, 44, 52–53, 87–88; working with, 137

Teaching methods, feedback on, 31–32

Teagle Foundation, 178

Team performances, 51

Technology, use of, 109

Term paper, 16

Terenzini, P. T., 11, 81

Test blueprinting, 13

Tests, 159–160; fitting, to learning goals, 13–14

Theall, M., 27

Thiell, T., 87

Thompson, John, 72–73

Three times four technique, 96–97

Time efficiency: and apportioning time, 104; and finding out what student knows, 99–100; strategies for, 99–111

Time management: and class time, 79–86; in large classes, scientific and technical disciplines, and technical disciplines, or online and hybrid courses, 87 resource 6.1; and lecture moved to student's time; homework done in class, 87–88; and online course: interactive discussion of cases, 90; in other types of teaching situations, 86–97; stress and burnout and, 90–97; and students do first exposure out of class using multimedia; class becomes lab for inquiry, 89–90; and students submit writing ahead of time; class lecture and interaction based on student writing, 88–89; for teaching, learning, and responding, 79–97; three planning steps for, 86

Tobias, S., 9, 87

Towson University (Maryland), 141, 190, 192, 195, 224, 231; science-valued behaviors used to interview student researchers in Urban Environmental Biogeochemistry at, 194 table 13.2

Traits, 45–46

Trajkovski, G., 66

Transparent reader, 131–132

Treglia, M., 89

Turnitin (plagiarism detection service), 184

U

Unit-based approaches, 118–119

University of Cincinnati, 52, 202, 210, 220; Raymond Walters College, 35, 47, 48, 49, 54, 220

University of Kentucky, Lexington, 206

University of Nebraska, Lincoln, 142

University of Notre Dame, 217, 227

University of Texas, Dallas, 90

V

Vaughan, N. D., 62, 87

Vocabulary, 8

W

Walvoord, B. E., 17, 28, 29, 31, 32, 38, 39, 44, 57, 58, 67, 68, 69, 82, 87, 91, 101, 107, 116, 133, 145, 146, 147, 151, 199, 227

Way, Philip, 52, 202

Weaver, C., 100

Weimer, M., 7, 12, 62, 74, 126

White, E. M., 163, 177

Whitworth College, 63–64

Weighted letter grades system: characteristics, 114; classroom example of, 114; numerical values, 113–114

Wiggins, G., 9

Williams, L., 87

Wimmers, Larry, 190, 191

Wlodkowski, R. J., 25, 27

Work-Related Interactions with Others: Collaboration, Participation, Attitude, Independence, Communication, and Responsiveness (rubric; Bloomer and Lutz), 51

Worldview, expanding, 8

Wright, B., 151

"Write to Learn Mathematics, The" (*Literacy: Issues and Practices*), 223

Writing About Art (Sayre), 64

Writing-across-the-curriculum, 16

Wulff, D. H., 62

X

Xavier College (Cincinnati), 51, 200, 201

Y

Yelon, S. L., 9

Z

Zubizarreta, J., 9, 102

Zull, J. E., 27

Zvacek, S., 87

