

Index

A

- Accolade, 317
- Accountability, 457, 458, 482
- Accounting systems, traditional *versus* theory of constraints, 359–363
- Action planning, in Stage-Gate framework, 287
- Actual Cost (AC) or Actual Cost of Work Performed (ACWP), 46, 125, 126, 127, 128–129
- Advanced Projects, 389
- Agility, 490
- Alternative scenarios, 166–168
- Alternative solutions, 377
- America Online, Inc. (AOL), 50, 392, 447–455; background on, 447; implementation at, 448–454; lessons learned at, 454–455; objectives of, 447–448; principles of, 448; project portfolio management at, 447–455; project prioritization at, 449–450; results at, 454–455; tools of, 451–452
- American Productivity and Quality Center (APQC), 282, 283, 294, 297, 332
- Analytic hierarchy process (AHP), 5, 155–175; background on, 155–156; execution management in, 172–173; forecasting in, 172, 173–174; methodology of, 156–158; performance measurement in, 173–174; project portfolio management applications of, 158–175; for project selection and prioritization, 65, 72, 135–136, 158–175, 251; risk assessment in, 171–172; steps in, 159–173; strategic alignment in, 161–165, 174; tools for, 163, 164, 172–173, 251, 503; validity of, 156; value of, 174–175
- Anchored scales, 410–412, 414
- Annual discount rate, 148–149
- Applications Pathway gate, 314
- Asset allocation models, 269–271
- Audit, of current process, 315
- Authorization document, 40
- Automotive manufacturing plant, 477
- AXA/Equitable Financial, 79, 247, 251, 255, 256, 260

B

- Balance: in new product development portfolio, 322, 331–333, 345–350; portfolio, 38–39, 109–110, 171, 174, 205, 226, 495; in ranking, 64–65; resource, 38–39; risk and, 101–102
- Balanced scorecard approach, 36–37, 64–65, 162; for new product development, 335, 343–345
- BCG strategy model, 345
- Benefits: in analytic hierarchy process, 167; in discounted cash flow model, 147, 152–153; evaluating and ranking, 35, 36–37, 38, 63–65, 147, 435–436; prequalification for, 95–99; of transformation projects, 114
- Best practices: in IT project portfolio management, 242–265, 482–491; in Stage-Gate framework, 284–285, 289–298

- Bounding box approach, 43, 49
 Bread-and-butter projects, 347, 348, 495
 Bubble diagrams, 6, 66, 330, 331, 345–350, 351–352, 495
 Buckets, 327–331, 397, 398
 Budget at Completion (BAC), 45, 124–125, 126, 127, 128
 Budget conflict management, 229
 Budget cuts, 214–215
 Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP), 45–46, 125, 126, 128. *See also* Earned Value (EV)
 Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS), 45–46, 125, 128. *See also* Planned Value (PV)
 Budgeting process, 209–210, 213
 Buffers and buffer recovery, 369–372, 373, 387, 388
 Burnout, 203–204, 475, 476
 Business analysis, 6–7
 Business case, 82, 100, 471; building, in Stage-Gate framework, 284, 285, 302–303
 Business managers: involvement and buy-in of, 257–261, 397–400; as process owners, 415, 417, 504. *See also* Senior executives
 Business objectives. *See* Objectives, business; Strategic alignment; Strategic plan; Strategy
 Business planning, project portfolio management and, 6–7, 56–57. *See also* Strategic plan; Strategy
 Business position, 345
 Business process risk, 436–437
 Business systems calculator, 463–464, 472
 Business systems diagram, 470
 Business venture model of project management, 463–472
 Buy-in: analytic hierarchy process and, 164; of executives, 222, 223, 224, 483–484; of external customers and stakeholders, 257–261; Graham/England model for, 186–188; problem statements and answers for, 188–215; for project portfolio management, 185–216, 483–485
- C**
- C/S Solutions, 112
 Capability Maturity Model (CMM) standards, 428
 Case studies: of AOL, 447–455; of Crompton Corporation, 393–421; of EW Scripps, 456–460; of Hewlett-Packard, 422–446; of mobile phone manufacturer, 479–480
 Cash flow, 37–38, 56, 57, 350; discounted cash flow model of, 135, 146–154; shareholder value and, 471–472
 Cause-effect chains, 364–365
 C&E (Cause and Effect) Matrix, 411
 Center for Business Practices (CBP), 493, 498
 Center for Project Management, 253
 Certainty, myth of, 466, 467
 Challenged projects, 423–424
 Change: increased speed of, 467–468, 475; uncertainty and, 103
 Changepoint, 76
 Charge backs, 275, 276
 Charters: governance, 54–55; Hewlett-Packard's Global Project Management Office, 427; project, 40, 197
 Checklists, popularity and effectiveness of, 351, 352
 Chief executive officer (CEO), 25, 53, 243; decision making of, 143; responsibilities of, 54, 81. *See also* Senior executives
 Chief financial officer (CFO), 25, 53, 241, 246
 Chief information officer (CIO): challenges of, 268, 269; in project portfolio management governance, 25, 53–54, 246, 253, 443–444; project portfolio management's value to, 241
 Chief operating officer (COO), 25, 26, 53
 Chief project officer (CPO): in project portfolio management governance, 25, 60; in traditional project management organizations, 18, 21
 Chrysler, 311
 CIO Council, 233, 235, 252, 253, 262, 263, 265; resources of, 242, 250
 Circular A-130 (OMB), 238
 Clarity 7, 75–76, 173
 Clark, K., 400, 420
 Clean room plan, 440
 Clients' concerns, 194–195
 Clinger-Cohen Act, 240, 244
 Cobra, 74
 Cohen, C. B., 183, 185, 216
 Cohen, D. J., 461, 463, 473
 Collaboration, interorganizational, 261–263

- Commercial risk rating, 412
 Commercialization, 286, 306–307
 Common currency, for project evaluation, 483, 488
 Commonsense test, 195
 Communication: in analytic hierarchy process, 164, 167; between business and IT managers, 258; for buy-in, 185–216; for conflict resolution, 209; of goals and objectives, 248–250; with gorilla projects, 113; organization-wide, 404–406; in project management, 57–58, 113; about project performance, 209, 212–213; about project value, 214; of selection criteria, 248–250; with senior executives, 57–58, 65–67, 81, 143–144, 222; in Stage-Gate process, 316; of strategic linkage, 143–144
 Company capacity constraint resource, 374
 Compaq-HP merger. *See* Hewlett-Packard (HP)
 Competitive advantage, 504–505
 Competitive product analysis, 293, 300, 302, 327
 Complex systems, 357
 Complexity reduction, 476–479
 Compliance requirements, 457, 458, 482
 Compuware IT Governance by Change-point, 76
 Concept tests, 293, 303
 Concerto, 372, 384, 385
 Conflict resolution, 209, 229
 Conjoint analysis, 488
 Consistency, 207
 Constraint modeling techniques, 159–173, 179–180. *See also* Theory of constraints (TOC)
 Constraints: concepts of, 358–363; continual evaluation of, 365; in Efficient Frontier, 179–180; elevation of, 365; expected commercial value (ECV) and, 342; exploitation of, 364–365; identification of, 364; productivity index and, 338, 340; project selection and, 37–38, 338, 340, 342, 377–382, 488–490; thinking about, 366; triple, 423, 438, 461, 463–472. *See also* Theory of constraints
 Contingencies, 121–122
 Continuous improvement, 365, 418
 Cooper, R. G., 9, 47, 48, 279, 281, 317, 318, 354, 391, 394–395
 Cost accounting, 359–363
 Cost buffers, 388
 Cost constraint, 473; defined, 464; by level of uncertainty, 465, 466, 467; relating to shareholder value, 469–472
 Cost escalation, 118
 Cost performance analysis: earned value analysis (EVA) for, 45–46, 50, 68–69, 123–131, 388; theory of constraints/critical chain project management approach to, 387–388; traditional, 128–129. *See also* Earned value analysis (EVA)
 Cost Performance Index (CPI), 127, 128, 129
 Cost performance tracking, 387–388
 Cost reductions, piecemeal, 361–363
 Cost/Schedule Control Systems Criteria(C/SCSC), 124
 Cost Variance (CV), 46, 68, 126–127, 128, 129, 130, 388
 Cost world thinking, 359–363
 Creating an Environment for Project Success, 216
Creating an Environment for Successful Projects (Graham and England), 473
Creating the Project Office (Graham, England, and Dinsmore), 473
 Critical chain project management (CCPM), 71, 113, 355–356, 357, 366–375; assertions of, 366–367; multiple-project, 372–375; for portfolio management, 386–388; single-project, 367–372; tools for, 372, 384, 385. *See also* Theory of constraints (TOC)
Critical Chain Project Management (Leach), 389
 Critical path scheduling (CPM) tools and techniques, 45, 70–71, 112, 130
 Crompton Corporation, 393–421; background on, 393–394; implementation experiences of, 417–420; innovation as strategy at, 400–402; portfolio documentation at, 406–413; portfolio management process flow at, 406, 407; project portfolio management at, 391, 394–421; tools and methodologies of, 402–406; transition to business-owned portfolio process at, 415, 417; whole innovation pipeline approach of, 395–397
 Cross-functional teams: at AOL, 447–448, 451, 453–454; at Crompton Corporation, 393, 402–403, 411, 418; in Stage-Gate framework, 285, 294–295, 303, 315

- Culture: changing, 457; for excising poor projects, 82; guidelines for, 82–83; for project portfolio management of IT projects, 244–245, 258; team-oriented, 402–403
- Currency exchange rate risk, 118
- Current reality tree (CRT), 366
- Customer relations management (CRM), 72
- Customer satisfaction, 466–467
- Customer urgency dimension, 376
- ## D
- Dashboards, 66, 173, 256, 404, 458, 459, 490
- Data and decision flow, 221
- Data collection, 252–257, 478
- Data presentation tools, 65–67, 73. *See also* Tools (software)
- De Jongh, O., 456–457, 458, 459–460
- Deadlines, concerns about, 198–200. *See also* *Schedule headings*
- Decision analysis methodologies, 65. *See also* Analytic hierarchy process (AHP); Efficient Frontier; Rational decision making
- Decision making: executive, 143, 217–227; governance board, 229–230; rational, 461–462, 474–481. *See also* Project selection and prioritization
- Decision points, for gorilla projects, 111–112
- Decision style, 486
- Decision tree analysis, 413
- Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), 247
- Defensiveness, 192–194
- Delay, project, 25, 30
- Deliverables, in Stage-Gate, 287
- Deming, W. E., 358
- Deployment, 324, 327–328
- Depreciation charges, 471
- Design for Six Sigma, 408, 420
- Detailed investigation stage, 314
- Dettmer, W., 366
- Development funnels, 289–290, 400, 401
- Development stage, 285–286, 304–305, 309
- Development stage, resource allocation by, 331
- Devlin, J., 136, 155, 175
- Diamond, L., 239–240
- Diminishing float or slack, 45
- Discounted cash flow model, 135, 146–154
- Discovery stage, 285, 298–300
- Display methods, 487; of portfolio balance, 345–350, 495; of project selection data, 65–67. *See also* Documentation; Reporting
- Disruptive technologies, 311
- DIY-Do It Yourself Network, 456
- Documentation, 316–317, 406–413, 429, 478. *See also* Display methods; Reporting
- Dougherty, R., 447, 455
- Drum resource, 374–375, 383–384
- Due diligence, 197
- Dye, L. D., 158
- Dynamic sensitivity analysis, 453–454
- ## E
- Early adopters, 5, 133–134, 391, 392, 460
- Earned Value (EV), 125, 126, 127, 128, 360. *See also* Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP)
- Earned value analysis (EVA), 25, 87; applications of, 124, 130–131; baseline for, 124–125; glossary of terms, 128; primer on, 123–131; for project performance evaluation, 45–46, 50, 68–69, 123–131; software tools for, 68, 71, 74, 130; summarization in, 129–130
- Earned value management, 123
- EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes), 336
- Economics, scientific, 178–179, 181
- Edgett, S. J., 391, 394–395
- EDS, 245, 249, 251, 255–256, 260
- Efficiency: in Stage-Gate framework, 296; in theory of constraints, 362–363
- Efficient Frontier, 65, 66, 136, 176–182, 487; analytic hierarchy process and, 169–170; applied to project selection, 179–181, 489; curve, 179–181; value of, to project portfolio management, 176–179, 181–182
- Eigenvector and eigenvalue calculations, 164
- Engineering the Performance System, 480
- Englund, R. L., 183, 185, 186–188, 216
- Enhancement projects. *See* Growth or enhancement projects
- Enterprise architecture (EA), 245–247, 251–252, 256, 263
- Enterprise Architecture Management System (EAMS), 252
- Enterprise project management (EPM), 2, 16–17; for IT governance, 267–268,

274, 275, 424, 426, 428, 430; project portfolio management *versus*, 23

Enterprise Project Management System (EPMS), 424, 426, 428, 430, 437, 439, 441

Enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, 27, 62, 71–72, 72, 75

Enterprise services automation (ESA), 72, 76

Erickson, T. J., 320

ESI International, 445

eThink, 480, 481

Evaluation. *See* Performance evaluation; Project selection

Evaporating cloud, 360–361

EW Scripps, 392, 456–460; background on, 456; brands and subsidiaries of, 456; PlanView usage at, 457–460; project portfolio management at, 456–460

Execution: analytic hierarchy process for, 172–173; of project portfolio management, 52–58; quality of, 291–293

Executive dashboards, 66, 458, 459

Executive Guide (GAO), 238

Executive's guide to PPM, 7–8

Expectations, in analytic hierarchy process, 157

Expected commercial value (ECV), 340–342, 412–413, 414

Expert Choice, 76, 163, 175, 252, 448, 452, 455

ExxonMobil Chemicals, 309, 312

F

Failure, project: causes of, 2–3, 177, 272–273, 291, 467–468, 474–476; of IT projects, 177, 233, 272–273, 423–424; in new product development, 281–282, 291; overload and, 474–476; project evaluation and, 43–44; project selection and, 33–34; rates of, 281–282, 423; sponsors and, 200; triple constraint approach and, 467–468

Failure, project portfolio management, 211

Fairness, 188–189, 195–196

Familiarity matrix bubble diagram, 330, 331

Federal agencies. *See* Government agency IT governance

Federal CIO Council, 235–266

Federal Communications Commission, 479

Feeding buffers (FBs), 369–371

Fever chart, 373

Field trials, 306

Financial Accountability, 276

Financial analysis: for new product development, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 335–345; popularity *versus* effectiveness of, 350–353; in Stage-Gate framework, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306; tools for, 503. *See also* Earned value analysis (EVA); Expected commercial value (ECV); Net present value (NPV); Return on investment (ROI)

Financial management, of IT projects, 276–277

Financial officers, 25–26, 53

Financial portfolio management, 222–227

Financial reports, 56–57, 276–277

Financial valuation techniques, 5, 36, 63, 166. *See also* Earned value analysis (EVA); Expected commercial value (ECV); Net present value (NPV); Return on investment (ROI)

Fiorina, C., 437

First-year sales metrics, 298

Fleming, Q. W., 124

Flexibility: in project portfolio management, 418–419; in Stage-Gate framework, 296–297

Float, 45, 369

Focus: in Stage-Gate framework, 289–290; in theory of constraints, 363–365, 366

Forecasting, 7, 26, 57; in analytic hierarchy process, 172; theory of constraints and, 379

Forman, M., 236, 244, 253

Functional managers, 189–190

Funnels, 289–290, 400, 401

Future reality tree (FRT), 366

G

Gap analysis, 484

Gardner, C., 135, 146, 154

Gartner Research, 160, 231

Gate-based approaches. *See* Stage-Gate process

Gate meetings, 288

Gatekeepers, 288–289, 314

Gates: Applications Pathway, 314; criteria of, 287, 288–289, 290–291; flexible, 296–297; format of, 287; Gate 1 (Idea Screen), 300–301; Gate 2 (Second

- Screen), 302; Gate 3 (Go to Development), 303–304; Gate 4 (Go to Testing), 305; Gate 5 (Go to Launch), 306–307; for new product development, 333–334, 335; overview of, 283–284, 286–298
- GE Global eXchange Services, 245, 247–248, 249, 256
- Geographical mix, 330
- George Washington University, School of Business, 445
- Global Program Management Office, at HP, 424–431
- Go/kill decision points, 286–298, 333–334, 335, 352. *See also* Gates
- Goldratt, E. M., 355, 358, 360, 366
- Gorilla projects, 109–114. *See also* Transformation projects
- Governance: analytic hierarchy process and, 159–161; executives' role in, 217–227; at Hewlett-Packard, 433; overview of, 25–26, 53–58, 60, 82; participants in, 25–26, 52–53, 60, 397–400; project management office (PMO) and, 183–184, 228–231; project portfolio life span and, 21; six pillars of, 485–486. *See also* Information technology (IT) project governance
- Governance council or team: composition of, 25–26; concerns of, addressing, 196–197, 201–203; data requirements of, 201–203; defined, 30; in IT management, 272; organizationwide buy-in and, 188–215; project management of office coordination with, 55–58, 230–231; project pipeline maintenance and, 47, 48, 49; project prequalification and, 93, 95; project selection and, 35, 80; roles and responsibilities of, 54–55, 58, 208–209
- Government Accounting Office (GAO), 233, 239–240, 249, 253, 257, 258, 262, 264; history of project portfolio management in, 237–238
- Government agency IT governance: acronyms in, 265–266; historical evolution of, 237–238; interorganizational coordination of, 261–263; lessons learned in, 242–265; problems in, 235–236; project portfolio management in, 233, 235–266
- Government-to-Business (G2B), 261
- Government-to-Citizen (G2C), 261
- Government-to-Government (G2G), 261
- Graham, R. J., 186–187, 461, 463, 473
- Graham/Englund model, 186–188
- Growth or enhancement projects: prequalification of, 97; prioritization of, 109–110
- Gruia, M., 136, 176, 182
- GTE, 331
- ## H
- Handheld transmitters, 451–452
- Handoff, 295
- Harvard Business Review*, 237
- Hawthorne experiments, 5
- “Health check” criteria, 437
- Hewlett-Packard (HP), 422–446; background on, 422, 424; challenges of, 422–424, 432; charter of, 427; Compaq merger with, 50, 78–79, 391–392, 422–446; evaluation criteria of, 435–437, 438; focus areas of, 431–432; Global Program Management Office (PMO) of, 392, 423, 424–432, 440, 442–446; goals and objectives of, 426; hundred-day plan of, results of, 440; implementation experiences of, 432–446; lessons learned at, 441–442; mission of, 425–426; project management principles of, 428–429; project portfolio management at, 432–446; project problem of, 423–424; project selection at, 433–437; results at, 437, 439–446; strategies of, 428; tools and methodologies of, 429–431, 441; vision of, 425
- Hierarchic composition, 157
- Histograms, 349–350
- Hoechst Chemical Corporation, 494
- Homeland Security Program, 261, 262–263
- Homework, in Stage-Gate framework, 294, 301–302, 309
- Homogeneity, in analytic hierarchy process, 157
- Honeywell, 328–329
- Hurwitz, D., 233–234, 267, 278
- ## I
- Idea screen, 300–301
- Idea-to-launch framework, 282–317. *See also* Stage-Gate process
- Ideas: channels for, 210–211, 300; generating and capturing, 298–300, 401–402

- Implementation, of project portfolio management, 77, 78–84; at AOL, 448–454; checklist for, 81, 82–83; at Crompton Corporation, 417–420; getting buy-in for, 185–216; at Hewlett-Packard, 432–437, 441; incremental, 241–242, 264, 448–449, 484–485; prevalence and maturity of, 493, 498, 499; principles for, 480; steps in, 477–479
- Improving Mission Performance Through Strategic Information Management (GAO), 237–238
- In-house product tests, 306
- Incremental product changes, 331–333
- Industrial Research Institute (IRI), 410, 421
- Industry Advisory Council (IAC), 250, 263
- “Information Paradox, The” (Thorp), 238
- Information system support: for project portfolio management, 26–27; for Stage-Gate process, 316–317. *See also* Tools
- Information technology (IT) businesses: CIO in, 53–54; project portfolio management governance in, 54
- Information technology (IT) project governance, 26, 53–54, 160, 233–278; analytic hierarchy process for, 159–173; at AOL, 447–455; backbone system for, 277; best practices in, 242–265, 482–491; challenges of, 159, 235–236, 268–269, 277; changes in, 233–234, 268–269, 277; discounted cash flow model of, 144–154; Efficient Frontier approach to, 176–182; at EW Scripps, 456–460; failures of, 177, 233, 272–273, 423–424; financial management in, 276–277; in government agencies, 233, 235–266; at Hewlett-Packard, 422–446; lessons learned in, 242–265; people assets in, 275–276; project management office (PMO) and, 183–184, 228–231; project portfolio management in, 233–278; roles and responsibilities in, 208–209; selling project portfolio management for, 186–216; subsystems of, 267; tools for, 76, 77
- IT Investment Portfolio System (I-TIPS), 250, 252, 257
- IT Management, 250
- IT Management and Governance (IT-MG) 272–277
- Infrastructure support processes, 26–27, 83, 397
- Ingram Micro, 245, 246
- Innovation, as strategy, 400–402
- Innovation pipeline activities, 395–397
- Innovation, product. *See* New product development
- Integration: areas of, 56–57; business staff’s concerns about, 206–207; interorganizational, 261–263; with operations, 247–248; with organizational initiatives, 210; of project management and project portfolio management, 496–505; project portfolio management for, 2–3, 60, 70, 206–207; project portfolio management tools and, 70–77
- Interlock risk, 436
- Internal Efficiency and Effectiveness (IEE), 261
- International projects, exchange rate risk in, 118
- Internet time, 467
- Interorganizational coordination, 261–263
- Inventory: of existing projects, 78–80, 247, 327, 379, 493–494; portfolio, 500–501
- Inventory/investment (I), in theory of constraints, 360, 361, 377, 379
- Investment council, 160
- Investment management (IM), 219–227
- Investment planning, 270–271
- Investment portfolios, 38, 63, 100, 219–227, 255
- Investment review board (IRB), 451
- Involvement: at Crompton Corporation, 397–402; of external customers and stakeholders, 257–261; organization-wide, 397–402; in planning and scheduling, 198–200; in project portfolio management, 25–26, 52–58, 215, 397–402; in traditional project management, 52–53. *See also* Participants; Senior executives
- Iterative product development, 304
- Iterative project portfolio management, 495, 500–502
- ITT Industries, 329
- IValue, 154

J

Journal of Finance, 237

K

Kapur, G., 253–254
 Kellogg School of Management, 159
 Kepner, C. H., 461, 462
 Kepner-Tregoe, 461–462, 480, 481
 Killer variables, 302
 Kingsberry, D., 392, 422, 446
 Kissinger, H. A., 217
 Kleinschmidt, E. J., 391, 394–395
 Knock-out questions, 288

L

“Lab” environment, 485
 Lagging indicators, 488
 Language, business, 427–428
 Launch-Commercialization stage, 286, 306–307
 Lawson, 76
 Leach, L., 9–10, 355, 356, 357, 389
 Leadership. *See* Governance; Governance council or team
 Legal mandate, for federal IT governance, 240, 244
 Levine, H. A., 15
 Light, M., 160, 184, 228, 231
 Limits, 40, 41, 43, 49. *See also* Parameters, critical
 Linear integer optimization, 159–173
 Listening, 186, 215
 Lockheed Martin, 247–248, 249
 London School of Economics Equity Model, 251
 Longman, A., 462, 474, 481
 Lorentz, N., 257, 258
 Lucent Technologies, 326

M

Magnitude-of-change risk, 436
 Maintenance or utility projects: prequalification of, 95–97; prioritization of, 109–110
 Maintenance phase. *See* Project pipeline maintenance
 Makleff, G., 462, 482, 491
 Management. *See* Operations management; Project management; Project pipeline maintenance
 Management-by-exception, 49, 130
Managing Multiple Projects (Pennypacker et al.), 505
 Market attractiveness, 345
 Market newness, 348–349

Market orientation, 293–294
 Market research, 293, 301, 327
 Market tests, 293, 306
 Market trends assessment, 327
 Marketing function involvement, 26, 57
 Markowitz, H., 136, 176, 237
 McClure, D., 253, 257, 258
 McFarlan, F. W., 237
 McKinsey-GE model, 345
 McMillan, V., 456, 460
 Measurement: factors in, 41, 67; tools for, 68. *See also* Performance evaluation; Performance metrics
 Medical device industry, 474–475
 Meetings: AOL's portfolio management team, 450–451; Hewlett-Packard's Global PMO, 443; portfolio management, 414–415, 418, 419–420, 450–451; portfolio review, 288, 398–399, 404–406, 415, 417, 419, 476, 495
 Mercedes Benz star method for resource allocation, 328–329
 Mercury Interactive, 76, 172
 Mergers, 47, 50, 445. *See also* Hewlett-Packard (HP)
 Merging activity problem, 370
 Meta Group, 177, 485
 Metis, 251, 256
 Microsoft Access, 76
 Microsoft Excel, 163
 Microsoft Project, 74, 75, 76, 172–173, 384, 503
 Milestones, 47, 48, 304–305
 MITRE, 251
 Mobile phone manufacturer, 479–480
 Modern portfolio theory (MPT), 176, 177
 Monte Carlo techniques, 71, 112, 342
 Moore, G. A., 110, 113–114
 Multivariate techniques, 205
 “Must-do” projects, 194–195, 439, 440, 477, 500
 Must-meet criteria, 288, 300–301, 302, 304, 314
 “Must-start” projects, 439, 440
 “Must-stop” projects, 439–440

N

Napier, B., 427–428
 Net present value (NPV): in analytic hierarchy process, 166; calculation of, 384–386; inadequacy of, 177, 386; probability-adjusted, 342; productivity index based on, 336, 338–340; project prequalification for, 96–97, 98; project

- selection for, 63, 64, 335–340, 384–386; risk and, 101, 102; in Stage-Gate framework, 303; theory-of-constraints approach and, 384–386
- New Economics for Industry, The* (Deming), 358
- New product development (NPD), 279–254; best practices for, 289–298; in Crompton Corporation case study, 393–421; defined, 281; enterprise portfolio management in, 267–268; failure rate of, 281–282; financial analysis for, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 335–345; portfolio balance in, 331–333; portfolio reviews in, 333, 334, 335–350; project portfolio management for, 279–280, 318–354; Stage-Gate process for, 47–49, 279, 281–317, 326; strategic portfolio development for, 322–233, 351, 352; technology and technology platform, 309, 311–314, 330; types of, 330, 331–333, 349; uncertainty in, 104
- New product development and process development programs (NPPD), 393, 396
- New-to-the-business products, 332–333
- New-to-the-world products, 332–333
- Newness: bubble diagram of, 348–349; dimensions of, 348–349; levels of, 330, 331–333
- Niku, 75–76, 173, 278
- 9 Question Form, 407–409
- O**
- Objectives, business: analytic hierarchy process for alignment with, 161–169, 174; concerns about alignment with, 206–207; defined, 161; project management for, 25, 30, 43–51, 56, 468–472, 488; for project portfolio, 477–478; strategic new product development and, 324, 329; traditional project management and, 18–19, 43–44. *See also* Strategic plan; Strategy
- Objectives matrix, 494–495
- Objectives, project: communication about, 248–250; project management for, 24–25, 44–45
- Office of Inspector General, 249
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB), 244, 253, 257, 258, 261, 262–263, 264; project portfolio management in, 233, 236, 238
- Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 244–245
- On-the-spot decision making, 217–219, 295
- On-time performance metrics, 298
- Online procurement system project, 151–154
- Open Plan, 74
- Operating expense (OE), 360, 361
- Operations management: integration of project portfolio management with, 247–248; project portfolio management as bridge for, 17–20, 89–90, 91; project portfolio management as hub for, 89–92; in project portfolio management governance, 25–26; roles and responsibilities of, 18, 89–90
- Opportunity assessment, 499–500
- Opportunity cost, 180–181
- Opportunity management: in IT governance, 272; tools for, 62, 64, 72
- Optimization, portfolio: analytic hierarchy process for, 159–173; against constraints, 488–490; Efficient Frontier for, 176–182, 489
- Oracle, 75, 247–248, 249, 257
- Ordinal scales, 168–169
- Organization breakdown structures (OBS), 115
- Organization maturity risk, 436
- Organization, project portfolio management: execution and, 53–58; overview of, 25–26. *See also* Governance; Governance council
- Organizational initiatives, integration with, 210
- Organizational level, of decision makers, 486
- Outcome constraint, 473; defined, 464; by level of uncertainty, 465, 466, 467; relating, to shareholder value, 469–472
- Outputs, of gates, 287
- Outsourcing, 272, 277
- Overconfidence bias, 380, 382
- Overhead process concerns, 208
- Overload, 188–189, 203–204, 212, 474–476
- Oyster projects, 346, 347, 348, 495
- P**
- Pacific Edge, 503
- Pairwise comparisons, 65, 72, 157, 162–166, 168–169
- Palisade, 112

- Parallel processing, 295–296
- Parameters, critical: establishing, 40, 49–50, 376–377, 494–495; for high-uncertainty projects, 49–50; measuring, 41, 43, 45–46, 49–50, 79–80, 252–257; for pre-existing projects, 79–80; for project prequalification, 95–99; tools for controlling, 67–69; updating, 46–47, 67, 68–69, 79–80, 501
- Parkinson's Law, 371
- Participants: at Crompton Corporation, 397–400; hierarchical levels of, 53–54; in project portfolio management, 25–26, 52–58, 397–400; proof of concept for, 484–485; in Stage-Gate process, 315, 316; in traditional project management, 52–53. *See also* Involvement; Senior executives
- Payback criteria, 248–249, 336
- Pearl projects, 346, 347, 348, 495
- Penalty conditions, 118
- Pennypacker, J. S., 158, 462, 496, 505
- People: as assets, 275–276; at Crompton Corporation, 402–404
- PeopleSoft, 75, 76, 431
- Performance dashboards, 66, 173, 256, 490
- Performance evaluation, project and portfolio: analytic hierarchy process in, 173–174; communication about, 209, 212–213; critical chain project management (CCPM) approach to, 386–388; earned value analysis (EVA) for, 45–46, 50, 68–69, 123–131; factors in, 41, 67; financial reporting and, 56–57; guidelines for, 83; lessons learned about, 252–257; in maintenance phase, 25, 42–51, 67–69; of pre-existing projects, 78–80, 327, 378; process of, 105–108, 501; techniques of, 25, 45–49, 490; theory-of-constraints approach to, 386–388; tools for, 67–69, 256–257, 490; in traditional project management organizations, 19–20, 43–44; uncertainty and, 105–108. *See also* Project pipeline maintenance
- Performance metrics, 501; in Stage-Gate framework, 297–298; triple constraints and, 463–472
- PERT approach, 71, 464
- Pertmaster, 112
- Pet projects, 195–196
- Petroleum additives business, project portfolio management of, 393–421. *See also* Crompton Corporation
- Pharmaceutical industry, 474–475
- Phased baselining, 50
- Phases: of project management, 498–500; of project portfolio management, 23–25, 30, 31, 61, 500–502. *See also* Project pipeline maintenance; Project selection; Stage-Gate process; Stages
- Pie charts, 349–350
- Piling on, 218–219
- Pilot production, 306
- Pilot program, 81
- Pipelining. *See* Project pipeline maintenance; Project pipelining
- Plan of record (POR), 190, 430, 434, 441
- Planned Value (PV), 125, 126, 127, 128. *See also* Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS)
- Planning. *See* Business planning; Investment planning; Portfolio planning; Project planning; Schedule planning; Strategic plan; Tactical plans
- PlanView, 76, 77, 173, 457–460
- Platform projects, 309, 311–314, 330
- PMO risk, 436
- “Portfolio Approach to Information Systems” (McFarlan), 237
- Portfolio management. *See* Project portfolio management
- Portfolio Management Practice Papers (CIO Council), 265
- Portfolio management teams (PMTs), 448–449, 450–451, 453–454
- Portfolio Manager (UMT), 491
- Portfolio manager, 161
- Portfolio maps, 345, 351–352
- Portfolio planning, 6–7, 135–182, 501. *See also* Project selection and prioritization
- Portfolio reviews, in new product development, 333, 334, 335–350. *See also* Performance evaluation
- “Portfolio Selection” (Markowitz), 237
- Postlaunch product life cycle management, 397
- Postlaunch review, 298, 307–308
- Prequalification. *See* Project prequalification
- Prerequisite tree, 366
- Pretesting, 306
- Primavera, 77, 173, 426, 429, 430–431, 441, 443
- Primavision, 441
- Prioritization. *See* Project selection and prioritization
- Prioritization decision points, 287. *See also* Gates, in Stage-Gate framework

- PRISMS for IT Governance and Resource Management, 77
- Problem statements: Graham/Englund model of, 186–188; handling, for getting buy-in, 188–215
- Process manager, 316
- Process owner, 403–404, 415, 419, 504
- Product definition, 294
- Product Development and Management Association (PDMA), 282, 283
- Product lines, 329–330
- Product profitability metrics, 298
- Product road map, 323–327
- Product superiority, 290–291
- Productivity index, 336, 338–340
- Professional services automation (PSA), 2, 72, 75–76, 268
- Program management function, 161, 502–503
- Project(s): high-uncertainty, 49–50, 104; types of, 31–32, 86, 95, 109–110, 330, 331–333, 349
- Project charter, 40, 197
- Project life cycle, 40, 48, 500
- Project Logic, 481
- Project management, 161; business venture approach to, 463–472; communication in, 57–58; historical evolution of, 464–468; integration of, with project portfolio management, 496–505; phases of, 498–500; project portfolio management as bridge for, 17–20, 89–90, 91; project portfolio management *versus*, 17–21, 242–243, 492, 496–497; success measures for, 15–16, 463–472; tools of, 63, 70–72, 77; in traditional organizations, 18–21, 52–53, 89–90. *See also* Project pipeline maintenance
- Project Management as If People Mattered* (Graham), 473
- Project Management (PM) Black Book, 415, 416
- Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK), 357, 366, 499
- Project Management Institute (PMI), 124, 125, 216, 389, 462, 481; Knowledge and Wisdom Center, 492; project portfolio management basics of, 492–495; standards of, 428
- Project management office (PMO): defined, 29; governance council coordination with, 55–58, 230–231; project pipeline maintenance and, 47, 48, 49; project prequalification and, 93, 94, 95; project selection and, 228–231; roles and responsibilities of, 18, 55–56, 58, 183–184; tool-set responsibilities of, 30; in traditional organizations, 18–19, 21, 52–53
- Project Management Professional (PMP) certification, 389, 445, 481
- Project managers, concerns of, 192–194, 197–198, 200–201
- Project Manager's MBA, The* (Graham and Cohen), 473
- Project outcome life cycle (POL), 471–472
- Project pipeline maintenance, 4, 42–51; data collection and analysis for, 252–257; executives' role in, 225; guidelines for, 83; objectives for, 24–25, 30; phase of, 24–25, 67–69, 501; techniques for, 45–50; theory-of-constraints approach to, 386–388; tools for, 67–69, 256–257; uncertainty and, 104–108. *See also* Performance evaluation; Project management
- Project pipelining: critical chain project management (CCPM) approach to, 373–374; theory-of-constraints approach to, 382–384
- Project planning, 111, 366, 501
- Project portfolio life span (PPLS), 13, 20–21
- Project Portfolio Management* (Penny-packer), 505
- Project portfolio management (PPM): applicability of, 5–7, 32; as bridge between operations and projects management, 17–20, 85, 89–90, 91; case studies of, 391–460; challenges of, 158–159; components of, 4, 84; concerns about, addressing, 188–215; conditions for, 30, 80, 82–83; definitions of, 2, 22, 69–70, 89–92, 236–240, 242–243, 318–319, 492, 496–497; effectiveness of, 5, 7, 350–353, 353–354; enterprise project management *versus*, 23; execution of, 52–58, 172–173; executive's guide to, 7–8; failure of, 211; fundamentals of, 22–32, 60–61, 492–495; goals of, 320–322; governance and organization of, 25–26, 53–58, 60, 82, 228–231, 485–486; historical background of, 1, 16–17, 236–238, 269–271, 393–394, 464–468; as hub, 85, 89–92; impact of, 3–4; implementation of, 77, 78–84, 185–216, 241–242, 264, 477–480; implementation of,

- experiences with, 417–420, 432–446; integration of, with project management, 496–505; for IT projects, 233–278, 482–491; levels of, 322–323; making the case for, 183, 185–216; misconceptions about, 2; for new product development, 279–254; phases of, 23–25, 30, 31, 61, 500–502; popularity of, by method, 350–351; prevalence and maturity of, 493, 498, 499; project management *versus*, 17–21, 242–243, 492, 496–497; rationale for, 2–3, 15–21, 240–241, 271, 272–275, 320–322, 482, 493, 497–498, 504–505; to reduce complexity and overload, 476–481; simplification of, 204–206; strategic level of, 322–333, 351, 352; supporting processes for, 26–27, 83, 397; tactical level of, 323, 333–350; theory-of-constraints approach to, 375–388; tools (software) for, 69–77, 172–173, 250–252, 264–265, 429–431, 441, 448, 451–452, 457–460, 487, 503
- Project prequalification, 4, 86, 93–98; criteria for, 95–99; process of, 94–95, 96, 499–500; template for, 94, 95, 231
- Project proliferation, 474–476, 480. *See also* Overload
- Project redefinition, 190–194
- Project selection and prioritization, 4, 33–41, 135–182; analytic hierarchy process (AHP) for, 65, 72, 135–136, 155–175, 251; at AOL, 449–450; best practices for, 242–265, 483–491; communication about, 248–250; conditions for, 30, 80, 82–83; data display for, 65–67, 345–350; discounted cash flow model for, 135, 146–154; Efficient Frontier model for, 65, 66, 136, 169–170, 176–182, 489; executives' role in, 217–227; factors in, 35, 36–39, 62; in government agencies, 235–266, 236; at Hewlett-Packard, 433–437; information required for, 201–203; initiation of, 78–84; for IT projects, 233–278; for new product development, 318–354; phase of, 24, 25, 500–501; prequalification for, 86, 93–98; project identification for, 376–377; project inventory for, 78–80, 247, 327, 378, 379, 493–494, 500–501; project management office (PMO) role in, 228–231; rational decision making for, 477–479; reevaluation and, 42–51, 173–174; Stage-Gate framework for, 289–290; stakeholder concerns about, 188–215; for strategic alignment, 135, 137–145, 161–169, 174, 206–207, 321, 322–333, 400–402; structured process for, 39–41, 156, 476–479; theory of constraints applied to, 375–386; tools for, 62–67; in traditional project management organizations, 19–20, 33–34, 168. *See also* Ranking
- Project sequencing, 382–384
- Project Snapshot Form, 409–410, 414
- Project submittal process, 196–197
- Project success rates, 423
- Promotional or package changes, 333
- Proof of concept, 484–485
- ProSight, 76, 173, 251, 252, 256
- Protocept tests, 293
- Prototype process, 207
- ## Q
- Quadrant diagrams, 495
- Quality control checkpoints, 287. *See also* Gates
- Quality of execution, 291–293
- Quicksilver effort (OBM), 261
- Quotas, 80
- ## R
- Radical innovations, 311, 332–333
- Ranking: balanced and weighted, 64–65, 109–110; concerns about, addressing, 201–202; at Crompton Corporation, 410–412; factors in, 63–64; in new product development, 335–350; phase of, 24, 25, 63–65; of project linkage to strategy, 140–144, 489; risk and, 37, 38, 62, 64, 99–102; theory-of-constraints approach to, 377–382; of value and benefits, 36–37, 38, 63–65. *See also* Project selection and prioritization
- Rating scales: in analytic hierarchy process, 164, 168–169, 172; anchored, 410–412, 414
- Ratio scale priorities, 162, 168–169
- Rational decision making, 461–462, 474–481
- Rational Manager, The* (Kepner and Tregoe), 461
- Readiness-check criteria, 288, 302, 304, 314
- Reciprocals, 157
- Reduction, project, 80, 188–189, 289–290

- Redundancy, 211, 242, 249, 271
 Reengineering, 269
 Refreshing, 173–174
 Regulatory requirements, 386
 Rejection, project, 211
 Remaining duration estimates, 371–372
 Reporting: financial, 56–57; on project performance, 69; of ranking and selection data, 65–67; to senior executives, 57–58, 65–67, 69. *See also* Display methods; Documentation
 Resistance, 185–186, 204–206, 457. *See also* Buy-in
 Resource availability and allocation: in analytic hierarchy process, 167, 169–171; asset allocation models and, 269–271; balance in, 38–39; concerns about, addressing, 197–198, 209–210, 212, 213, 214–215; critical chain project management (CCPM) and, 367–375; governance and, 160; managing, 197–198, 501; in new product development, 318, 319, 322–233; overload and, 476; project selection and, 35, 37–39, 62, 80, 478, 488–490; project termination and, 51; project timing and, 455; theory of constraints and, 358–366; tools for evaluating, 62; in traditional project management organizations, 19–20
 Resource breakdown charts, 349–350
 Resource breakdown structures (RBS), 115
 Resource leveling, 367–369, 375
 Resource risk, 437
 Return on investment (ROI): in analytic hierarchy process, 166; at Honeywell, 436; limitations of using, 386; project prequalification for, 96, 98; project selection for, 36, 63, 336, 378–382, 386; risk-adjusted, 378–382, 384, 389; in theory-of-constraints approach, 378–382, 384, 386, 389; tools for, 503
 Revenue flow, 57
 Revisions, major product, 331–333
Revolutionizing Product Development (Wheelwright and Clark), 400
 Risk, 99–108; in anchored scales, 410, 412; criteria, at Hewlett-Packard, 436–437, 438; disclosure of, 102; expected commercial value (ECV) and, 340–342; of high-uncertainty projects, 49, 104; policy for, 101–102; of transformation projects, 98; work breakdown structures (WBS) for, 117–122, 172. *See also* Uncertainty
 Risk-adjusted discount rates, 335, 336
 Risk-adjusted return on investment, 378–382, 384, 389. *See also* Return on investment (ROI)
 Risk-and-resource-adjusted portfolio, 414
 Risk assessment and management (RAM), 99–108; in analytic hierarchy process, 171–172; in discounted cash flow model, 148–149, 153; in project maintenance, 104–108; in project selection, 35, 37, 62, 64, 99–102, 148–149; ratio approach to, 382; for technical risk, 64; in theory of constraints, 379–382; tools for, 62, 64, 71, 73, 74; for transformation (gorilla) projects, 112–113; work breakdown structures (WBS) for, 117–122, 172. *See also* Uncertainty
 Risk-reward bubble diagrams, 346–348, 495
 Risk scorecard, 172
 Risk-to-value quadrant graph, 435
 Road maps, 323–327, 430, 435
 Roles and responsibilities, 2 20, 30, 53–56, 58, 81; clarifying, 208–209; of executives, 183, 217–227. *See also* Governance; Governance council; Participants; Project management office; Senior executives
 Rolling portfolio, 173–174
 Ross, J., 160
 Roussel, P., 320
 Rubin, H., 485

S

- Saad, K. N., 320
 Saaty, T. L., 155–156
 Sammarco, J., 448, 451
 SAP, 75, 431
 Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) requirements, 457, 458, 482
 Scarcity, 482, 488–489
 Schedule/duration constraint, 473; defined, 464; by level of uncertainty, 465, 466, 467; relating to shareholder value, 469–472
 Schedule Performance Index (SPI), 46, 127, 129
 Schedule performance tracking, 386–387
 Schedule planning, 501; critical chain project management (CCPM) approach to, 366–375; involvement in, 198–200; in theory of constraints, 382–384
 Schedule risk, 112–113

- Schedule slippage: concerns about, addressing, 190–192; earned value analysis of, 45–46, 68–69, 127; overload and, 474–476
- Schedule Variance (SV), 46, 68, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130
- Schlick, J. D., 461–462, 474, 480–481
- Sciforma, 77
- Scope creep, 190–192, 197–198, 208
- Scoping stage, 285, 301–302, 312
- Scorecard reporting, 490. *See also* Balanced scorecard approach
- Scoring criteria, 249–250
- Scoring models: at AOL, 449–450, 453–454; of Hoechst Chemical Corporation, 494; popularity and effectiveness of, 351, 352; in stage-gate approaches, 168
- Scripps. *See* EW Scripps
- Seibert, R., 391, 393, 420–421
- Selection. *See* Project selection
- Selection criteria. *See* Parameters, critical; Project prequalification; Project selection
- Self-regulation, 94
- Senior executives: analytic hierarchy process and, 159–161; communicating with, 57–58, 65–67, 167, 188; concerns of, addressing, 188; decision making of, 143, 217–227; Efficient Frontier and, 178–179; government agency, 243–245; involvement of, 60, 159–161, 243–245, 253, 397–402, 451, 455, 483–484; language of, 57–58; portfolio management questions of, 178; project portfolio management and, 7–8, 21; project success measures and, 16; roles of, 25–26, 53–54, 183, 217–227; strategic project office and, 503–504; support and communications of, 81, 195, 397–402, 455, 483–484
- Sensitivity analysis, 166–168, 303, 453–454
- Sepate, P., 462, 496, 505
- Sequential processing, 295
- SG-Navigator, 316, 317
- Shareholder value: capital charge and, 469, 471; change in price per share, 149; project decisions and, 469–472; as success criteria, 463–472
- Should-meet criteria, 288, 289, 301, 302, 304, 314
- Simplification: to cure project proliferation, 476–479, 480; of project portfolio management, 204–206, 455
- Simulation models, 342
- Single point of contact, 211
- Six Sigma, 3, 408, 420
- Size, of project pipeline, 35, 39, 62, 80
- Slack, 45, 369
- Slice-and-dice software, 71, 72–73
- Smart Practices in Capital Planning Guide (CIO Council/IAC), 250, 263
- Snapshots, 409–410, 414
- Software. *See* Tools
- Software Engineering Institute Capability Maturity Model, 428
- SOP 98–1 reporting, 275, 276
- SOX (Sarbanes-Oxley) requirements, 457, 458, 482
- Specifications, 465, 466
- Speed, in Stage-Gate framework, 296–297
- Spending breakdown, 322–323
- Sponsors, 52, 80, 160, 195, 200–201, 202, 501
- Staff concerns, addressing, 185–186, 188–189, 190–192, 195–200, 203–206
- Stage-Gate process, 25, 279, 354; abbreviated version of, for low-risk projects, 308–309, 310; best practices in, 284–285, 289–298; at Crompton Corporation, 393, 397, 400, 417, 420; cross-functional approach of, 285, 294–295, 303, 315; effectiveness of, 282–283; idea-to-launch framework of, 281–317; implementation of, 314–317; for new product development, 281–317, 326; overview of, 285–286; for project performance evaluation, 47–49, 50, 106, 108; structure of, 283–289; for technology development projects, 309, 311–314; for technology platform projects, 309, 311–314; tools for, 316–317; walk-through of, 298–308. *See also* Gates; Stages
- Stage-Gate -TD, 312–314
- Stages, in Stage-Gate framework, 283, 284–285, 298–308; Discovery, 285, 298–300; flexible, 296–297; overview of, 285–286; Stage 1 (Build the Business Case), 284, 285, 302–303, 309; Stage 2 (Scoping), 285, 301–302, 309, 312; Stage 3 (Development), 285, 309; Stage 4 (Testing and Validation), 286, 306, 309; Stage 5 (Launch: Commercialization), 286, 306–307; for technology development or technology platform development projects, 312–314

- Stakeholders: concerns of, addressing, 188–215; identifying and involving, 257–261; of individual projects, 497; of project portfolio management process, 497
- Standard deviations, 380, 382
- Standards, 429, 430
- Standish Group, 423
- STRAT Frames, 251
- Strategic alignment, 135, 137–145, 395–397, 400–402; in analytic hierarchy process, 161–169, 174; common currency for project evaluation and, 488; need for, 321, 482; project portfolio management for, 206–207, 322–333, 493–494, 497–505; rating, 140–144; for shareholder value, 468–472; triple constraints for, 468
- Strategic arenas, 324, 326, 329, 330
- Strategic assessment, 326
- Strategic buckets, 117, 327–331, 397, 398
- Strategic plan: at Hewlett-Packard, 433; involvement in, 401–402; project pipeline maintenance and, 47, 56–57; project portfolio management as surrogate for, 145; project selection and, 35, 56–57, 139–140, 145, 401–402, 493–494. *See also* Business planning; Objectives, business
- Strategic portfolio management, 322–233, 351, 352
- Strategic project office (SPO), 503–504
- Strategic road maps, 323–327, 430, 435
- Strategy: articulation of, 144–145; definitions of, 138–139, 324; at Hewlett-Packard, 428, 433; importance of, 137–138; innovation as, 400–402; rating project linkage with, 140–144, 494–495; tactics and, 137–138, 272–275, 468; work breakdown structures (WBS) for, 115–117, 118. *See also* Objectives, business
- Strawman Business-Adjusted Portfolio, 414
- Structured objectives planning software, 72
- Student Syndrome, 371
- Subordination, in theory of constraints, 365
- Success measures, project, 15–16; reverification of, 501; shareholder value as, 463–472; triple constraint approach to, 463–472. *See also* Parameters, critical
- Sunk costs, 174, 378
- SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats) analysis, 411
- Synthesis, in analytic hierarchy process, 157, 166, 167, 168
- Systems theory, 364. *See also* Theory of constraints
- ## T
- Tactical plans: project portfolio management and, 56–57; project selection and, 35
- Tactical portfolio decisions, 333
- Tactics: for new product development portfolio management, 323, 333–350; strategy and, 137–138, 272–275, 468
- Targets, 40, 41, 43, 49, 50. *See also* Parameters, critical
- Task duration estimates, 371–372
- Task priority, 371–372
- Taxes, in discounted cash flow model, 148, 153
- Team culture, 402–403
- TeamPlay (Primavera), 426, 429, 430–431, 441, 443
- Teams. *See* Cross-functional teams; Governance council or team; Portfolio management teams
- Technical assessment, 301, 303, 314
- Technical risk rating, 412
- Technology development (TD) projects: Stage-Gate process for, 309, 311–314; strategic portfolio management of, 330
- Technology newness, 348–349
- Technology platform development: Stage-Gate process for, 309, 311–314; strategic portfolio management for, 330
- Technology road map, 325–326
- Technology trend assessment, 327
- Termination, project: in evaluation and maintenance phase, 25, 30, 47, 50–51, 69; Hewlett-Packard method of, 439–440; in Stage-Gate framework, 289–290; success through, 50–51; in traditional project management organizations, 19–20
- Testing and Validation stage, 286, 306, 309
- Theory of constraints (TOC), 113, 355, 356, 357–366; focusing steps of, 363–365, 366; for portfolio management, 386–388; for portfolio selection, 375–386; principles of, 356, 358–363; thinking process of, 366, 376; tools for, 372, 384, 385. *See also* Constraints; Critical chain project management (CCPM)
- Thorp, J., 238

- Thresholds, 40, 41, 43, 486
 Throughput (T): defined, 359; project selection and, 377, 378, 380, 384; theory-of-constraints thinking and, 359–363
 Time factor, in discounted cash flow model, 148, 153
 Time to breakeven, 469, 471
 Time to market, 113–114, 452, 472
 Time urgency dimension, 376, 412
 Time Warner Inc., 447
 Time wasting, 296
 Timing: of gorilla projects, 111; of governance, 486; portfolio balance and, 350
 Tools (software), 59–77; for analytic hierarchy process, 72, 163, 164, 172–173, 251, 503; for critical chain project management (CCPM), 372, 384, 385; for critical path scheduling (CPM), 70; data analysis, 256–257; data presentation, 65–67, 73; for earned value analysis (EVA), 68, 71, 74, 130; for enterprise resource planning (ERP), 71; for financial analysis, 503; integrated, examples of, 73–77; for new product development, 325–345; for professional services automation (PSA), 72; project management, 63, 70–72, 77; project management office and, 30; for project pipeline maintenance, 67–69, 256–257; for project portfolio management, 69–77, 250–252, 264–265, 429–431, 441, 448, 451–452, 457–460, 487, 503; for project risk, 71; for project selection and prioritization, 62–67; for schedule risk, 71, 112, 368; selection of, 487; for Stage-Gate process, 316–317; for theory of constraints, 372, 384, 385; vendors of, 72–77, 392, 457, 458–459, 487, 503
 Tools for gates and portfolio reviews, 335–345
 Tools, specific products: Accolade, 317; Artemis, 172; @Risk, 342; Business engine, 172; Changepoint, 76; Clarity 7, 75–76, 173; Cobra, 74; Compuware IT Governance by Changepoint, 76; Concerto, 372, 384, 385; eThink, 480, 481; Expert Choice, 76, 163, 175, 252, 448, 452, 455; IT Investment Portfolio System (I-TIPS), 250, 252, 257; Lawson, 76; Mercury Interactive, 76, 172; Metis, 251, 256; Microsoft Access, 76; Microsoft Excel, 163; Microsoft Project, 74, 75, 76, 172–173, 384, 503; Niku, 75–76, 173, 278; Open Plan, 74; Oracle, 75; Pacific Edge, 503; Palisade, 112; PeopleSoft, 75, 76; Pertmaster, 112; PlanView, 76, 77, 173, 457–460; PM Solutions, 505; Portfolio Edge, 503; Portfolio Manager (UMT), 491; Primavera, 77, 173, 426, 429, 430–431, 441, 443; Primavision, 441; PRISMS for IT Governance and Resource Management, 77; ProSight, 76, 173, 251, 252, 256; Sciforma, 77; SG-Navigator, 316, 317; STRAT Frames, 251; TeamPlay (Primavera), 426, 429, 430–431, 441, 443; WelcomPortfolio, 73–75; WelcomRisk, 73–75; Welcom tool set, 73–75; www.projectmanagersmba.com, 464, 472
 Total cost of ownership, 147–148, 153
 Training, 243, 316, 428, 432
 Transferability, 214
 Transformation projects: defined, 110–111; as gorillas, 109–114; management of, 111–113; prequalification of, 98; time-to-market for, 113–114
 Transition tree, 366
Translating Strategy into Shareholder Value (Trotta), 154
 Transparency, 219, 482, 490
 Tregoe, B. B., 138, 461, 462
 Triple constraints, 438, 461, 463–472; as cause of problems, 466–468; challenged projects and, 423–424; defined, 464; going beyond, 463–472; history of project management and, 464–468; shareholder value and, 468–472
 Trotta, R., 135, 146, 154
- ## U
- Uncertainty: change and, 103; managing, 86, 99–108, 395; in new product development, 319; projects with high-, 49–50, 104; review and action for, 105–108; theory-of-constraints approach to, 377, 379–382; triple constraints approach and, 465–468. *See also* Risk; Risk assessment and management
 Uniroyal Chemical Corporation, 399–400
 United Management Technologies (UMT), 76, 81, 173, 182, 251, 491; best practices of, 482–491; Portfolio Manager software suite of, 491
 United Media, 456, 457
 U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), 245, 246–247, 249, 256

U.S. Congress, 240, 253, 257, 262
 U.S. Customs Service, 245, 256, 263
 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA),
 243, 250, 259, 260–261, 263
 U.S. Department of Defense, 124
 U.S. Department of Education (DoED),
 245, 248
 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
 Development (HUD), 248; enterprise
 architecture (EA) management at,
 245, 246, 252; project performance re-
 views at, 254–255; project portfolio
 management training at, 243; scoring
 criteria at, 249–250; stakeholder out-
 reach at, 260
 U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), 245,
 248, 257, 259–260
 U.S. Department of the Interior, 263
 U.S. Department of Treasury, 248
 U.S. Internal Revenue Service, 263
 U.S. Marines, 428
 Utility curves, 164
 Utility projects. *See* Maintenance or util-
 ity projects

V

Valuation of Information Technology (Gard-
 ner), 154
 Value: criterion of, 249; defining, 35, 63–
 64, 322; discounted cash flow model of,
 135, 146–154; for IT projects, 146–154;
 for new product development projects,
 335–345; prequalification for, 95–99;
 ranking, 36–37, 38, 63–65; for transfor-
 mation projects, 114. *See also* Earned
 value analysis (EVA); Project selection
 and prioritization
 Value-in-use analysis, 293
 Variance, 382
 Variation, 379–382
 Verbal rating scales, 164
 Visibility, 211, 213
 Visual displays, 5–6, 65–67, 345–350, 487,
 495
 Vital signs, 253–254

Voice-of-customer inputs, 293–294, 300,
 302
 Voting groups, 65, 72

W

Weighted average cost of capital
 (WACC), 469, 471, 472
 Weill, P., 160
 WelcomPortfolio, 73–75
 WelcomRisk, 73–75
 Welcom tool set, 73–75
 Wharton School of Business, 156, 473
 What-if analysis, 166–168, 487
*What Is This Thing Called Theory of Con-
 straints* (Goldratt), 358
 Wheelwright, S., 400, 420
 White elephants, 347, 348, 495
White House Years (Kissinger), 217
 Whitten, N., 188
 Wideman, R. M., 20–21
 Wisdom of Teams, 402–403
 Witco Corporation, 399–400
 Withdrawal, proposal, 94, 95
 Work breakdown structures (WBS), 46,
 71, 86–87, 115–122; earned value
 analysis and, 129, 130; at Hewlett-
 Packard, 429; for risk, 117–122, 172;
 for strategies, 115–117, 118; using, as
 checklists, 120
 Work in progress (WIP) inventory, 379
 Work-life balance, 203–204
 Workbench Results Management, 75
 Workforce constraints, 37–38
 Workforce planning, 211
 Working capital, 469, 471
 “Wow!” factor, 290
 www.projectmanagersmba.com, 464, 472

Y

Yelin Associates, 145
 Yelin, K. C., 135, 137, 145, 183, 217, 227

Z

Zero defects, 3–4