

Index

A

Abortion studies: considering context of, 141; decision pathway leading to unwanted pregnancy and, 165*b*; grounded theory approach use of context in, 31–32

Action-oriented community diagnosis, 51

Adioetomo, M.S. 66–67

Adrien, A., 34

Advisory committees, 118

AIDS Risk Reduction model, 217–218

AIDS studies. *See* HIV/AIDS studies

Alty, A., 88

Analysis. *See* Qualitative analysis

Analytic report format, 180–181

AnSWR software, 150, 151, 156, 157

Applied research: benefits of, 5–6; paradigms as used in, 12, 13*b*; stating problem/purpose of, 34–35

Area of inquiry, 34

Asia Foundation, 131

Asia-Pacific POPIN, 204*b*, 276

Assessing Safe Motherhood in the Community: A Guide to Formative Research (Nachbar and others), 51

Association of Social Anthropologists of the Commonwealth, 197

Audiotapes: generating data files using, 125; management and storage of, 127; transcription of translation of, 125–127

Audits, 26, 81, 146, 168–167

Authorship, 181, 267–268

B

Babbie, E., 74

Bailey, P., 97, 210

Barnett, B., 21, 57

Basch, C. E., 94

Beck, T., 207

Behavior: effect of research on, 192, 197–198; interpretivist perspective on, 17–19; in qualitative data analysis, 10, 142, 171; social contexts of, 17–21, 115, 140–141

Behavior change models: AIDS Risk Reduction, 217–218; Health Beliefs, 215–216; Locus of Control, 218; Social-Ecological, 218–219; Stages of Change, 216–217

Bell, D., 29–30

Bender, D. E., 68–69, 97, 98, 112–113, 304

Bentley, M., 145, 152

Berer, M., 193–194, 304

Bernard, H. R., 2, 75

Bibliographic data bases, 207, 273–279

Bias: in data analysis 80–81, 159–160; in data collection, 73, 77–78, 81–82, 120, 247–248; management of, 81, 129; as related to participant behavior 192, 197–198. *See also* Objectivity

- Birth-weight paradox study, 112–113
- Blanchard, L., 51
- Blumer, H., 89
- Body language, 62, 72, 110–111, 231. *See also* Communication
- Body mapping, 98–99
- Bogdewic, S. P., 77
- Bond, K. C., 99
- Borgatti, S. P., 100
- Breastfeeding study (Bolivia), 68–69
- Broomhall, L., 129–130, 304
- Bruce, J., 21
- Buckner, B. C., 207
- Budgeting: for dissemination, 63; for focus group discussion, 233–234; in study design, 91, 195
- Burgess, R. G., 82
- Burris, M., 97
- Buston, K., 150
- C**
- Cabaraban, M., 201
- Cáceres, C. F., 186
- Campbell, O., 4
- CARE International, 7, 101
- Carey, J. W., 4, 14
- Caro, D. A., 21
- Carr, D., 7
- Castle, S., 44, 108, 108–109, 117, 142, 143, 209, 304
- Castro, D., 97, 112–113, 304–305
- Cayemittes, M., 34
- CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), 156
- CDC EZ-text software, 154, 155*b*
- CDC Web site, 157
- Center for Development and Population Activities, 269
- Cernada, G. P., 180, 197, 207
- CERPOD (Centre d'Études et de Recherche sur la Population pour le Développement), 209, 305
- Change agents, 117–118
- Checklists: for content to include in study write-ups, 184*b*; for substantive theory use, 24*b*
- China Population Information and Research Center, 212
- Cicourel, A. V., 32
- Clark University, 29–30, 304
- Closed, fixed response interview, 43*b*
- Cobas, J. A., 112
- Coding: advantages of continuous, 152; computer software used for, 150–152, 155*b*; defining, 142*b*; evolving scheme for, 150; examples of data analysis, 136; identifying emerging themes through, 146–147; labeling decisions, 147–150; used in South African HIV/STI prevention study, 171
- Coding sorts: building theme-related files using, 152–153*b*, 154; defining, 142*b*; example of matrix summarizing data on secret use, 154, 156*b*–157
- Communication: establishing credibility through effective, 191–192; fostering two-way, 199*b*; language barriers to, 140; learning to listen as part of, 137–138; non-verbal, 62, 72, 108, 110, 121–122, 125–126, 231; workshops in 21. *See also* Language
- Communications Initiative Partners Meeting, 207
- Community: advisory groups, 118–120; assessment, 51; dissemination of research to, 198, 199–200, 269–271; norms of, 64; participation in research, 8, 75–76, 100, 201–203
- Computer-assisted data analysis: coding decision examples for, 136; issues for qualitative, 172–174; team approach facilitated by, 63. *See also* Software packages
- Conceptual frameworks, 36*b*–38
- Confidentiality: breach of, 59–60; in data analysis, 157; in data collection, 124, 125; in focus groups, 65, 90, 91, 92, 94; in interviews, 85; in reports, 176; training in, 121
- Confirmability, 26, 168–169
- Context: and credibility, 166–167, 168–169; defined, 140–142; in grounded theory, 31–32; in qualitative analysis, 95, 144, 146, 157, 163, 164; social, 18, 22, 117
- Contraceptive methods studies: CERPOD dissemination planning during, 209; on dual-method use, 42*b*; on HIV/STI prevention (South Africa) using condoms, 170–171; on clandestine use of, 108–109, 154, 156*b*–162*b*; reporting on, 183. *See also* Developing countries
- Conversational partnership 86, 120; in focus groups, 93; in interviews, 23, 82, 85, 88, 89; reporting on, 176. *See also* Communication
- Corbin, J., 54
- Cornwall, A., 102
- Cortes, L. M., 50
- Crabtree, B. F., 179, 184
- Credibility: described, 25; of data, 25, 74, 159–160, 146, 159, 166–167; of data interpretation, 166–167, 253–256; established through effective communication, 191–192; of reports, 191–192. *See also* Validity

Cross-cultural issues: advances in understanding health/health-related behavior, 6–7; reproductive health studies in China and, 212–213. *See also* Social context

Cultural domain, 96

Cultural norms: in focus group discussions, 98; of partner relationships, 79; reporting on, 183; in research problems 44–45

D

Dagron, A. G., 198

Data: coding, 136, 142*b*, 146–157; credibility of, 25, 159–160, 166–167; grounded theory approach to collecting, 31–32; interpreting the, 162–166; judging quality of, 25–27; qualitative defined, 143; reading the, 145–146; reducing the, 160–162*b*

Data analysis. *See* Qualitative analysis

Data collection: anticipating strengths/weaknesses when training for, 133–134; common errors in, 247–252; designing, 60–61; ethical dilemmas in, 105–106; by field team, 80–81, 125–127, 133–134; by novice interviewers in UN Nepal project, 137–138; selecting methods for, 41–42, 44; structural differences in, 43*b*; timelines, 127–128

Data collection methods: focus group discussion as, 89–95; in-depth interviewing as, 81–89; observation as, 71, 72–78; participatory methods of, 100–102, 101*b*; structured, 96–100; topic guides for, 102–103*b*

Data files: generating in the field, 125; management and storage of, 127; training field team for, 133–134; transcription and translation of, 125–127

Data interpretation: in analysis, 162–169, 144, 145–146; confirmability of 193–194; defining, 162–63; justification for 255; in mixed-method design, 164–166; reporting on 178–179; synthesizing findings, 163–164

Data management, 125, 128

Data quality: confirmability, 26; credibility or validity, 25, 159–160, 166–167, 191–192; dependability, 26; transferability, 26–27

Data reduction, 160–162*b*

Demographic and Health Survey design, 47

Denzin, N. K., 4, 21, 176, 179

Dependability, 26. *See also* Reliability.

Design: asking qualitative research questions, 38–39*b*, 40–41; conceptualizing problems in, 36*b*–38; data analysis in, 61–63; data collection in, 60–61; establishing area of inquiry in, 34; formative research in, 49–51; generalization vs. insight in, 54; individual interviews vs. focus groups in, 44–45; research ethics

as part of, 58–60; sampling decisions in, 51–53, 55–58; selecting data collection methods for, 41–43*b*, 44; selecting theoretical or a priori, 54; stating research problem/purpose in, 34–35, steps in 33–34. *See also* Mixed-method design

DeVellis, B. M., 46

Developing countries: health patterns in, 7; IRRRAG project on sexual/reproductive health of women in, 20*b*. *See also* Contraceptive methods studies; Family planning studies

Devers, K. J., 25

Díaz, M., 100

Dickin, K., 131

Dingamhoudou, E. B., 209, 305

Displaying data, 157–158

Disseminating qualitative research: budgeting for, 63; developing and implementing, 198–203; as ethical issue, 197; increasing accessibility to study findings following, 204*b*; involving librarians, researchers, and media in planning, 209; as ongoing process, 196; outcome indicators for, 206–208; to policy audiences, 204–205, 206*b*; promoting use of findings, 197–198, 200*b*; purposes of, 195; transformed into *telenovela*, 210–211; working with the media in, 202*b*, 207, 209. *See also* Analysis; Qualitative research findings

Documentary research, 74–75

Domestic violence study, 46–47

Drug abusers study, 129–130

E

Earp, J.A.L., 46

Emergency contraception (EC) interview questions, 83*b*

Empirical evidence, 51

Empowerment: of field staff, 137–138; of focus group participants, 97; as a topic of research, 15–17

Eng, E., 51, 78, 79

Ethical issues: in data collection, 105–106; dissemination as, 197; in protection of study participants, 58–59; consent forms, 59–60; in writing qualitative research results, 175–176

Ethnograph software, 154, 155*b*

Experience/behavior questions, 39*b*

Extreme (or deviant) sampling, 56

EZ-Text software, 151, 154, 155*b*

F

Family Health International, 2, 170–171, 209, 210, 221, 224, 263

- Family influences, 117
- Family planning studies: CERPOD dissemination planning during, 209; connecting women's labor to (Indonesia), 66–67; using focus groups in, 90–91; mystery client technique used in (Nepal), 77–78
See also Contraceptive Methods Studies; Developing countries; Reproductive studies
- Female condom study: on cultural issues in, 3; South African project on HIV/STI prevention using, 170–171
- Feminist paradigm: overview of, 15*b*; qualitative research from perspective of, 19–20*b*, 21
- Field assistants: local team of, 119–120; professional team of, 12
- Field logistics: considering family influences, 117; contacts: introductions and approvals, 116; developing the field team, 118–122; introductions to stakeholders, 116–117; involving policymakers and change agents, 117–118; and use of advisory committees, 118
- Field materials, 122–123
- Field notes: audiotaped, 125–127; in confirmability of data 168; importance of thorough/accurate, 80–81; by various team members, 133–134
- Field staff training: anticipating strengths/weaknesses in data collection, 133–134; critical aspects of, 120–122; and data analysis, 135–136
- Field supervisor, 120
- Field team: considerations when assembling, 118; data collection by, 80–81, 127–128, 133–134; data files generated by, 125–127; drug abusers study and lessons for, 129–130; learning to listen experience of Nepal, 137–138; local team of field assistants, 119–120; pilot testing by, 123–124; professional members of, 120; realistic expectations of, 130; supervision and monitoring of, 124–125; training the, 120–122, 133–134, 135–136
- Findings. *See* Qualitative research findings
- Fireworks of the Soul* (telenovela), 210–211
- Fishbein, M., 13
- Flexibility: in data collection 45, 46, 84–85, 120–121, 134; definition of, 22; in design, 4, 11–12, 109; in qualitative data analysis, 31, 142; in qualitative research, xix, 4, 22, 103–104, 109; in research questions, 61. *See also* Iterative approach
- Flick, U., 54
- Focus group discussion: audiotapes of, 125–127; conducting, 93; conversational partners in, 93; ending the, 95; moderators of, 93–95, 119*b*; note-takers for, 93–95; used in reproductive studies in China, 212; storytelling in, 97–98
- Focus groups: audiotapes of, 93, 125; breastfeeding study (Bolivia) use of, 68–69; budgeting for 233–234; collecting background information on, 92–93; composition and size of, 90–91; deciding how many groups to use, 91–92; emotional levels in, 110–11, 138; guidelines for management of, 229–231; individual interviews vs., 44–45; moderating, 247–252; probes in, 229–231, 247–252; projective techniques for 101; as qualitative research method, 64–65; research vs. support of participants with HIV/AIDS, 110–111; sensitive topics in, 110; topic guides for, 235–241; when to use, 89–90. *See also* Moderators; Participants
- Follow-up questions, 84
- Formative research: applications of, 50–51; decisions on policy issues guided by, 50; defining, 49–50; eight stages of, 52*b*–53*b*
- Foucault, M., 19
- Fourth World Conference on Women (Beijing, 1995), 8
- Francis, D. P., 51
- Freelisting, 96–97
- ## G
- Gender: definition of, 28*n*.2; effect on social behavior, 5, 19, 21, 90; needs assessments appropriate to, 132; study on HIV risk and influence of, 78–79; and violence, 7, 46–47, 108, 201
- Gender differences: analysis of clandestine contraceptive use and, 154, 156*b*–158; decision pathway leading to unwanted pregnancy/abortion and, 165*b*; qualitative methods for exploring, 8
- Generalizability, 26, 162, 169
- Gergen, K. J., 178
- Gergen, M. M., 178
- Gilchrist, V. J., 78
- Glanz, K., 13, 141
- Glaser, B., 24, 32, 55
- Global health patterns, 7–8
- Golden-Biddle, K., 183, 184, 190, 192
- Goldstein, E., 201
- Greenhalgh, S., 14
- Grimes, D. A., 202
- Grounded theory, 24, 31–32
- Gu, B., 212–213
- Guba, E. G., 12, 25, 27, 168, 190
- Gubrium, J. F., 82, 86
- Guest, G., 51

H

Handbook of Qualitative Research (Denzin and Lincoln), 21

Harbour, C., 97, 98

Harris, E., 197

Hatzell, T., 170–171, 305

Havelock, R. G., 197, 199, 206, 207

Health: advances in cross-cultural understanding of, 6–7; global patterns of, 7–8; IRRRAG project on sexual and reproductive, 20*b*. *See also* Public health research

Health Belief Model, 13*b*, 215–216

Health care workers: cross-cultural issues faced by, 3*b*, 6–7, 212–213; *telenovela* presentation and, 210–211

Health-related behavior: advances in cross-cultural understanding of, 6–7; sexual decision making and, 97–98, 165*b*, 185; study linking women's work and decisions for, 147–148*b*, 149–150; theoretical frameworks for, 215–219. *See also* Reproductive studies

Heise, L., 197

Helitzer-Allen, D., 49

Hess, L., 200

Heterogeneous sampling, 57

Hewlett Foundation, 131

Hicks, I., 203, 204

HIV behavior change project, 76*b*

HIV/AIDS studies: on beliefs about vulnerability/consequences of AIDS, 185; on DMU (dual-method use), 42*b*; enhancing rigor of observation in, 81; focus group participants living with HIV during, 110–111; formative research in, 50; framing qualitative questions in, 82, 84; on gender influence on risk of HIV, 78–79; homogeneous sampling in studies of, 57; individual interviews vs. focus groups in, 44–45; on intentional infection with HIV, 185; interpretivist research questions in, 18; mixed-methods in, 46–47, 49; participant observation in, 77; social network analysis in, 99; on use of condom to prevent HIV, 170–171; stating research problem/purpose in, 34–35; on women's work and health-related decision making/awareness of HIV, 147–148*b*, 149–150. *See also* STIs (sexually transmitted infections) studies

Hogle, J., 93, 235

Holstein, J. A., 82, 86

Homogeneous sampling, 57

Huberman, A. M., 25, 27

Hudelson, P. M., 4

Hull, T., 115

Human Interaction Research Institute, 207

Human rights issues, 7–8

Hypotheses development, 159

I

If You Want to Know, Ask Them: A Modern Fable, 3*b*

Indonesia Family Life Survey (IFLS), 66

In-depth interview stages: asking difficult questions, 87; closing, 89; creating natural involvement, 85; encouraging conversation, 85–86; getting facts and basic descriptions, 86–87; listed, 84–85; toning down emotional level, 87–89

In-depth interviewing: audiotapes of, 125–127; described, 81–82; framing qualitative questions for, 82, 84; function of, 14; levels of questions in, 83*b*; stages of, 84–89

Indexing, 142*b*

Induction in qualitative research, 11–12

Informal conversational interview, 43*b*

Informed consent: as protection of human subjects, 58, 59–60; forms for, 127, 221–223; in mystery client technique, 77; pre-testing for, 123; waivers of, 60

Intensity sampling, 57

International Conference on Population and Development (Cairo, 1994), 7–8

Internet: resources, 284–285

Interpretivist paradigm: overview of, 16*b*; qualitative research from perspective of, 17–19; key components of, 18

Interview guide approach, 43*b*

Interview questions: asking difficult, 87; framing qualitative, 82, 84; main, follow-up, and probe, 84

Interviewers: characteristics of good, 119*b*, 120; qualitative research and impact on novice, 137–138

Interviews: audiotapes of, 125–127; focus groups vs. individual, 44–45; in-depth, 14, 81–89; stages of, 84–89; structural differences in qualitative, 43*b*. *See also* Participants

IRBs (institutional review boards), 59–60

IRRRAG (International Reproductive Rights Research Action Group), 20

Iterative approach: authorship issues in, 267; in data analysis, 134–135; in design, 31–32, 38, 41, 171*n*, 145; to dissemination, 208; in sampling, 51; use of, defined, 23

IUD (intrauterine device), 18, 19

J

Janesick, V. J., 187
 Janowitz, B., 107
 Jewkes, R., 102
 Judd, K., 20

K

Kaler, A., 180
 Kelle, U., 140, 151
 Kelly, C., 198
 Key informants, 78–80
 Khawaja, N., 137–138
 King, G., 26, 168
 Kirk, J., 25
 Kitua, A. Y., 208
 Knodel, J., 2, 46, 61
 Knowledge questions, 39*b*
 Koo, H. P., 37
 Krueger, 233

L

La Paz, 31
 Labeling text decisions, 147–150
 LAM (lactational amenorrhea method) study (Bolivia), 68–69
 Language: enhanced mutual understanding of terminology or use of, 207; focus group participants' body, 110–111; used during in-depth interview, 85–86; listing phrases illustrating variations in, 188; translations of foreign, 140, 188–191; translation of vernacular, 126–127. *See also* Communication
 Levkoff, S. E., 46
 Librarian dissemination role, 209
 Lincoln, Y. S., 4, 12, 21, 25, 27, 168, 190
 Listening skills, 137–138
 Literature review, 35
 Locke, L., 183, 184, 190, 192
 Locus of control, 218
 Lofland, J., 191

M

McLellan, E., 150
 MacQueen, K., 152
 Main question, 84
 Marx, K., 19

Media: dissemination planning and role of, 209; coverage of the topic studied by, 207; role in disseminating research by, 202*b*

Meetoo, D., 14, 19
 Menou, M. J., 206
 Merton, R. K., 89
 Miles, M. B., 25, 27
 Miller, M. L., 25
 Miller, W. L., 179, 184

Mixed-method design: benefits of, 45–47; common ways to approach, 47*b*; four-cell model for, 47–49, 48*b*; interpretation of qualitative data using, 164–165*b*; LAM (lactational amenorrhea method) study using, 68–69; organizing/reporting findings from studies using, 186*b*; PR (participatory research) use of, 100–102, 101*b*; practical strategy for, 47–49, 48*b*; to study women's position in households, 66–67. *See also* Design

Moderators, 93–95, 119*b*, 247–252
 Modes of inquiry positioning, 29–30
 Mokdad, A. K., 7
 Morales, B., 201
 Morgan, D. L., 47, 89, 90
 Morris, L. L., 191, 198, 199, 200, 203
 Morse, J. M., 81
 Mystery client technique, 77–78

N

N6 (N6 2002) software, 150, 154
 Nachbar, N., 51
 Narrative report format, 180
 National Development and Research Institutes, 233
 NGOs (nongovernmental organizations): roles of in dissemination of findings, 200, 201, 203, 210; included in research design/implementation, 117; qualitative research methods to empower, 131–132; use of novice interviewers from, 137–138. *See also* Stakeholders
 Nichter, M., 51, 53
 Nonreactive observation, 72–73
 NVivo (NVivo 2002) software, 150, 151, 154, 155*b*, 156

O

Obermeyer, C. M., 46
 Objectivity, 18, 26, 129. *See also* Bias management
 Observation: as data collection method, 71, 72; documentary research using, 74–75; drug abusers study

- and lessons on, 129–130; field notes from, 80–81; key informants in participant, 78–80; managing bias and maximizing rigor, 81; mystery client technique of, 77–78; nonreactive technique of observer as outsider, 72–73; participant, 75–77, 76*b*
- Operational (or working) theories, 12–13
- Opinion/value questions, 39*b*
- Opportunistic sampling, 58
- Oral consent, 221–223
- P**
- PALS Project (Zambia), 101*b*
- Paradigms: defining, 12, 13*b*; feminist, 15*b*, 19–20*b*, 21; interpretivist, 16*b*, 17–19; positivist, 15–17; social-ecological model, 28n.1; three types of public health research, 15–19, 21. *See also* Theoretical (or conceptual) models
- Parental consent requirements, 60
- Parker, E., 78, 79
- Participant observation, 75–77, 76*b*, 78–80
- Participants: expression of language by, 22; informed consent of, 58, 59–60; interpretivist methods and impact on, 19; qualitative research role of, 5; recruiting, 55–56; *telenovela* presentation of perceptions of, 210–211. *See also* Focus groups; Interviews; Sampling
- Participatory methods, 100–102, 101*b*
- Partnership for Adolescent and Sexual Reproductive Health Project (Zambia), 7
- Patton, M. Q., 4, 14, 15, 43, 54, 55, 58, 61
- Paulson, S., 57
- Pedersen, D., 14, 17
- Petchesky, R., 20
- Photo narrative technique: described, 97; exploring birth-weight paradox using, 112–113
- Pile sorts, 96–97
- Pilot testing, 123–124
- Pino, R., 99
- Piotrow, P. T., 204
- Policy Project, 269
- Policy report format, 180
- Policymakers: role of in research, 117–118; promoting research findings to, 204–205
- POPLINE, 204*b*, 274–275
- Population Council, 209
- Porter, R. W., 203, 204
- Positivist paradigm: overview of, 15*b*; quantitative research guided by, 15–17
- Potter, R. W., 31
- Pregnancy: body mapping to study perceptions of morbidity due to, 98–99; decision pathway leading to unwanted, 165*b*; social network analysis of unwanted, 99–100; study of birth-weight paradox/ prenatal care during, 112–113
- Prenatal care study, 112–113
- Priority-sequence model, 47, 48*b*
- Probe question, 84, 247–252
- Problem-solving report format, 180
- Prochaska, J. O., 13
- Providers, understanding time issues of, 107
- Pryor-Jones, S., 204
- Public health research: use of applied research in, 5–6, 12, 13*b*, 34–35; formative research used for, 49–53*b*; three paradigms for, 15–19, 21. *See also* Health
- Q**
- QSR NVivo software, 151, 154, 155*b*, 156
- Qualitative analysis, 61–63, 65, 139–140, 254; basic steps in, 144*b*–166; beginning in the field, 22, 63, 135, 142; coding in, 96, 135, 170–171, 144–150, 152–154, 161; comparing quantitative and, 139, 157–158; computer-assisted, 63, 136, 150–152, 155*b*, 172–174; design for, 61–63; interpretation in, 62, 144, 143, 162–169; iterative flexibility in, 31, 142; of mixed method studies, 9, 49, 139, 157, 163, 164; principles of, 140–142; reliability of, 136; sensitive topics in, 141, 158–160; social network, 99–100; team participation in, 62, 63; theoretical models used in, 31, 174; training field staff in, 135–136; trustworthiness of data from, 166–169
- Qualitative analysis approaches: content, 47, 74–75, 170, 174; discourse, 31; feminist, 71; inductive, 144–145; interactive, 135–136; interpretive, 71, iterative, 135–136
- Qualitative analysis basic steps: 1: reading data, 145–146; 2: coding: identifying emerging themes, 146–157; 3: displaying data, 157–160; 4: reducing data, 160–162*b*; 5: interpreting data, 162–166; illustrated diagram of, 144*b*
- Qualitative Methods: A Field Guide for Applied Research in Sexual and Reproductive Health* (Ulin and others), 2
- Qualitative research: advances in understanding cross-cultural issues due to, 6–7; characteristics of, 6*b*, 22–23; comparing quantitative and, 14–15, 139; defining, 4–5; feminist perspective in, 15*b*, 19–20*b*, 21; interpretivist perspective in, 16*b*, 17–19; mixed-method design using quantitative and, 45–49, 47*b*,

- 48*b*; reasons for development of, 2, 4; setting standards for, 24–25; as systematic discovery, 22
- Qualitative research findings: how to reach policy audiences, 204–205; increasing accessibility to, 204*b*; oral presentation of, 205–206; reporting on, 175–194; transformed into *telenovela*, 210–211. *See also* Disseminating qualitative research
- Qualitative research language/logic: basic definitions, 13*b*; concepts and their relationships, 22–23; paradigms and theoretical frameworks, 12, 13*b*, 15*b*–21; substantive theory, 12–13*b*, 24*b*
- Qualitative research questions: asking, 38, 40–41; interview, 82, 83*b*, 84, 87; knowledge, 39*b*; main, 84; DMU (dual-method use) example of, 42*b*; types of, 38–41, 39*b*
- Qualitative research report organization: conclusion section, 187; introduction, 183; methods section, 183–184; of mixed-method studies, 186*b*; outline of, 182*b*; results section, 184–185, 186
- Qualitative research reports: choosing format, audience, and voice, 178–180; chronicle of lessons learned in, 177–178; content checklist for, 184*b*; establishing credibility through effective communication in, 191–192; ethnical norms in, 175–176; getting ready for, 176–177; how to begin writing, 181, 183; organizing standard scientific report, 182*b*–187; recognizing when to write, 177; using transcript quotes in narrative, 188–191; variations in standard format for, 180–181; what one editor looks for in, 193–194; worth of study supported by, 190*b*
- Qualitative researchers: dissemination planning by librarians, media, and, 209; natural settings preferred by, 22; objectivity of, 18, 26, 129; positioning of self by, 29–30; reflexivity of, 23, 26
- Quantitative research: comparing qualitative and, 14–15, 139, 157–158; mixed-method design using qualitative and, 45*b*, 46–49, 48*b*; positivist perspective in, 15–17; uses of, 4
- Quotes: use in presentation of data, 187–191
- ## R
- Rallis, F. R., 4, 5, 71, 201
- Rance, S., 24, 31–32
- Rapid assessment approach, 50–51
- Reading data in analysis, 145–146
- Reflexivity, 23, 26
- Reliability: defined in qualitative research, 25–26; as measure of trustworthy data, 164–165; and rigor in participant observation, 81
- Reporting. *See* Qualitative research reports
- Reproductive studies: body mapping for data collection in Zambian, 98; designing data analysis approach to, 62; heterogeneous sampling used in, 57; intensity sampling used in, 57; lessons from studies conducted in China, 212–213; opportunistic sampling used in, 58; participatory action used in PALS program (Zambia), 101*b*; on pregnancy, 98–100, 112–113, 165*b*; proposing qualitative research for WHO, 64–65; qualitative research on family planning/women's labor, 66–67. *See also* Family planning studies; Health-related behavior
- Research problem: conceptualizing in larger framework, 36*b*–38; deriving research questions from, 38–41; stating purpose and, 34–35
- Research Triangle Institute, 269
- Results. *See* Qualitative research findings
- Reproductive Health Matters, 193
- Richardson, L., 176, 181
- Rist, R. C., 204, 206*b*
- Robinson, E. T., 81, 201
- Rodham, K., 88
- Rogers, E. M., 197, 199
- Ronai, C. R., 180
- Rosenstock, J. M., 13, 23
- Rossmann, G. B., 4, 5, 71, 201
- Rubin, H. J., 5, 51, 55, 59, 82, 85, 120, 180, 183, 185, 192, 195
- Rubin, I. S., 5, 51, 55, 59, 82, 85, 120, 180, 183, 185, 192, 195
- Rutter, M., 112
- ## S
- Sampling: generalization vs. insight as goal in, 54; selecting a strategy for, 51; size of, 55; techniques for, 56–58; theoretical or a priori selection, 54. *See also* Participants
- Sampling techniques: extreme (or deviant), 56; heterogeneous, 57; homogeneous, 57; intensity, 57; opportunistic, 58; snowball, 58; typical cases, 57
- Saulniers, S. S., 131–132, 307
- Schensul, J. J., 96
- Schuler, S. R., 77
- Secret use of contraception: data reduction on, 160–162*b*; matrix for, 154, 156*b*–157; prospective study on (Mali), 108–109; qualitative examination of, 158; quantitative examination of, 157–158
- Seidel, R., 154, 202
- Selltiz, C., 14

- Settergren, S., 269
- Sexual decision making studies: linking women's work and health-related decisions, 147–148*b*, 149–150; pathways leading to unwanted pregnancy/abortion, 165*b*; storytelling used to describe, 97–98; on women's roles in, 185
- Shah, I., 64, 307
- Shah, M. K., 7, 65
- Sharma, R. R., 200
- Shedlin, M. G., 110–111, 233
- Signed consent forms, 59–60
- Simmons, R., 100
- Smith, P. H., 46
- Smith, W. A., 202
- Snowball sampling, 58
- Social context: family influences, 117; as interpretivist paradigm component, 18; meanings as dependent on, 22. *See also* Cross-cultural issues
- Social-ecological model, 218–219
- Social interaction theory of dissemination, 206
- Social network analysis, 99–100
- SOSIG (Social Science Information Gateway), 275
- Software packages: comparison of different, 155*b*; selecting, 150–152; what to look for in qualitative analysis, 172–174. *See also* Computer-assisted data analysis
- Spradley, J. P., 77
- Stages of Change theory, 216–217
- Stakeholders: fostering two-way communication with, 199*b*; increased accuracy in information shared by, 207; introductions to, 116–117; mutual respect for each other's perspectives, 207; role in sharing dissemination of findings, 199–200. *See also* NGOs (non-governmental organizations)
- Standardized open-ended interview, 43*b*
- Standards for qualitative research, 24–25, 166–169, 253–256. *See also* Credibility, Trustworthiness, Rigor
- Stark, N., 105–106, 308
- Steckler, A., 4, 308
- Stein, J., 21
- Stelcner, M., 207
- Stevens, W. F., 205
- STIs (sexually transmitted infections) studies: dual-method use approach to, 42*b*; using focus groups in, 90; individual interviews vs. focus groups in, 44–45; mixed-method design for, 49; purpose of applied research used in, 35; social network analysis used in, 99–100; on use of condom to prevent STIs, 170–171. *See also* AIDS studies; HIV/AIDS studies
- Storey, J. D., 197, 199
- Storytelling, 97–98, 236–238
- Strauss, A., 24, 31, 32, 54, 55
- Structured data collection techniques: body mapping, 98–99; described, 96; freelist and pile sorts, 96–97; photo narrative, 97, 112–113; social network analysis, 99–100; storytelling, 97–98
- Subjective perceptions/understanding, 18
- Substantive theory: checklist for using, 24*b*; described, 12–13*b*
- Szalacha, L. A., 21
- ## T
- Tanner, M., 197
- Tashakkori, A., 46
- Teddle, C., 46
- Telenovela* presentation, 210–211
- Temple, B., 14, 19
- Tessaro, J., 46
- Theoretical (or conceptual) models: AIDS Risk Reduction Model, 217–218; behavioral framework concepts used in, 23–24; defining, 13*b*; four-cell model, 47–49, 48*b*; Health Belief Model, 13*b*, 215–216; Locus of control Model, 218; Social-Ecological Model, 218–219; quantitative vs. qualitative, 14–15. *See also* Paradigms
- Theory: defining, 11–12, 142*b*; as framework for research, 12; grounded theory, 24, 31–32; putting to work, 13–14; qualitative analysis and, 141; substantive, 12–13*b*, 24*b*
- Thomas, W. I., 5
- Thorngate, W., 206
- Timyan, J., 39
- TIPs (trials of improved practices) methodology, 131
- Tolley, E. E., 145, 163
- Tolman, D. L., 21
- Topic guides, 102–103*b*, 235–241
- Tornatzky, L. G., 205
- Training: in data analysis, 135–136; of interviewers, 133–124, 243–245
- “Training Field Staff in Data Analysis” (Woodsong), 62
- Transferability, 26–27, 169
- Trustworthiness: of data, 9, 25–27, 166–169. *See also* Credibility
- Trotter, R. T., II, 23

Trow, M., 35

Two-way communication, 199*b*

Typical cases sampling, 57

U

Ulin, P. R., 18, 19, 35, 74, 98, 115, 185

UNAIDS, 7

Unintended pregnancy conceptual framework, 36*b*–38

Unwanted pregnancy: decision pathway leading to, 165*b*; social network analysis of, 99–100

U.S. National Health Interview Survey, 74

V

Validity, 25, 253–256. *See also* Credibility

Varga, C. A., 181

Variables: defining, 13*b*; in unintended pregnancy conceptual framework, 36*b*–38

W

Waivers, 59–60

Wallston, K. A., 23

Wang, C. C., 97

WEB (Women's Experiences with Battering) scale, 47, 49

Webb, E., 72

Weitzman, P. F., 46

Wetherell, M., 31

William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, 131

Williams, W. C., 112

Williamson, N., 59

Wolcott, H. F., 63, 181, 183, 187

Wolff, B., 4, 14

Women: conceptual framework on unintended pregnancy in, 36*b*–38; development policies regarding status of, 8; health patterns in developing countries of, 7; IRRRAG project on sexual/reproductive health of, 20*b*; mixed-method design on study of domestic violence and, 46–47; study on link between family planning and employment of, 66–67; study on risk status/fear of AIDS in, 34–35

Women's work: study linking family planning and, 66–67; study linking health-related decisions and, 147–148*b*, 149–150

Woodsong, C., 37, 62, 76, 81, 121, 133–134, 135–136, 308

World Health Organization (WHO): proposing qualitative research to, 64–65; reporting on health of women in developing countries, 7

WorldCat, 204*b*

Y

Yin, R. K., 25, 57

Yoddumnern-Attig, B., 4