

Index

A

Achievements: believing in one's, 209–210; self-promotion of, 207–209, 228–237; visibility for, 228–237

Adam and Eve, 149

Adaptability: change implementation and, 150, 163; fostering, for others, 163, 190–192; intelligence as cornerstone of, 57

Albright-Knox Art Gallery, 133

“Alice Lind” case study. *See* Broadcasting executive case study

Allen, S., 5

Alliances: forging, across the organization, 163, 180–188, 199–200; intelligence gathering on potential, 19; with peers, 186–187

Allies: of convenience, in resource appeals, 134–139; enlisting peers as, 180–188; seeding storytelling opportunities with, 73, 102–107, 110. *See also* Backers

Allocation of resources. *See* Resources

American Zoo and Aquarium Association, 126

Angelou, M., 131

Anxiety, 173, 175, 199

AOL, 113

Appointment. *See* New position

Appreciative *versus* authoritative stance, 151–161

Assignments: aligning, to individual interests, 174–175; gender bias in, 7–8. *See also* New position; New position offer

AT&T, 12

Audiences, multiple: making the case to, 98–101; resource appeals to, 122, 130–132

Authoritative stance: *versus* appreciative stance, 151–161; double-bind of, 6–7, 12

Authority: building confidence in, 62–63; key functions that signal, 88–90; paradox of, 62–63; relational competence and, 151–153; testing and undercutting of, 13

Avoidance, of difficult problems or people, 160–161

Avon, Andrea Jung at, 6

B

Backers (key players): case study of mobilizing, 74–75, 84–85, 101–102, 107; common traps relating to, 65–71; guiding

- questions for mobilizing, 108–110; hesitations about mobilizing, 65–71; identities of, 75; importance of, 61–65, 66–71, 107–108; introductions from, 95–96, 101–102; laissez-faire approach of, 95–96; to make the case, 94–102, 109–110; multiple audiences and formats for, 98–101; negotiating for, 14, 61–110; ongoing support from, 100–101; overreliance on, 158–160; securing strategic responsibilities and, 86–94, 109; seeding storytelling opportunities with, 102–107, 110; strategic moves for mobilizing, 72–110; working out expectations with, 72–73, 75–86, 108
- Backlash: to aggressive leadership, 12
- Banker case study (“Linda Green”): forging broad links in, 187–188; introduction to, 164–165; learning opportunities in, 195–197; listening tour in, 171–172; problem solving in, 179–180; values in, 201–202, 204
- Bank One, 69–70
- Barad, J., 12
- BATNA (best alternative to a negotiated agreement), 184
- Bedfellows, of convenience, 134
- Benchmarks, external: for intelligence about prospective position, 30–31
- Big picture, 210. *See also* Strategic objectives and needs
- Big wins, 214
- Biotech industry, 183
- Blaming, 191–192, 216
- Blockers: anticipating, 28, 48–55, 60; in case study, 54; disaffected individuals as, 52–53; enlisting, 51–52, 55, 105–106; guiding questions for anticipating, 60; identification of probable, 50–51; importance of identifying, 48–50; seeding positive stories to counter, 105–106; as sources of resistance, 51–52; transferring, 161; types and motives of, 49, 52. *See also* Resistance
- Blocking coalition, 49–50, 55
- Bloomberg, Mayor, 156–157
- Board governance: clarifying role definition and, 47
- Boards That Make a Difference* (Carver), 47
- Bosses: communication ground rules with, 79–82, 86; differentiating one’s contributions from, 229–230; difficult or psychologically abusive, 79–80, 202; engaging with potential, 35–43; establishing feedback mechanisms with, 83–84, 85; intelligence gathering on potential, 33–35; micromanagement by, 82–83, 86; need for engagement of, 67–69
- Boundaries, setting, 79–82
- Broadcasting executive case study (“Alice Lind”): anticipating blockers in, 54; confronting confusion in, 47–48; engagement in, 42–43; intelligence gathering goals in, 28, 202; networking in, 34–35; ongoing probing in, 56–57
- Buffalo Metropolitan Zoo: background on, 119; constituencies and small wins at, 143–144; Donna Fernandes at, 118–119, 125–127, 132–134, 138–139, 143–144, 203, 204, 214; involvement in, 138–139; reha-

- bilitation of, 125–127; stakeholder interests in, 132–134
- Buffalo Museum of Science, 133
- Buffalo News, 144
- Bully broads, 6
- Buy-in: appreciative *versus* authoritative stance and, 151–161; avoidance of seeking, 160–161; as campaign, 188; case study of, 164–165, 171–172, 179–180, 187–188, 195–197; common traps in negotiating, 154–161; through creating opportunities for others to learn, 188–197, 200; as critical challenge, 15; through forging broad links, 163, 180–188, 199–200; guiding questions for, 198–200; through helping to solve others' problems, 173–180, 199; importance of, 149–153, 197–198; interdependencies as basis of, 163–164, 184; listening tours to get, 162–163, 165–172, 198–199; negotiating, 149–200; with peers, 180–188; strategic moves for negotiating, 162–200. *See also* Commitment, of others
- C**
- California state university system, 176
- Campaign: of creating buy-in, 188; of storytelling, 106, 110
- Capacity: aligning resources with, 137–138, 144; confronting confusion over expectations and, 46–47
- Carver, J., 47
- Catalyst, 1–2, 201, 228
- Challenge, of leadership opportunity: over-optimism about, 23–25
- Change, environmental: evolution of strategic needs and, 215–216; new roles and, 63
- Change and change implementation: anticipating future needs and, 224–225; appreciative *versus* authoritative stance in, 151–171; common traps in, 154–161; confronting confusion and, 44–45; enlisting help for, across the organization, 182–184, 196–197; involving others in, 188–190; keeping key functions for, 88–90, 90–91; negotiating buy-in for, 149–200; new roles and, 149–153; ongoing intelligence gathering and, 56–57; over-preparation for, 154–157; prerequisites for purposeful, 15–16; relational competence and, 151–153; strategic moves for, 162–200. *See also* Buy-in; Resistance
- Chemistry, testing for, 38–40
- Choice in assignment, perception of no, 25–26
- Ciampa, D., 73–74
- Citigroup, 64
- Civil War cavalry commanders, 163
- Clients or customers: listening to, to get buy-in, 169–171; making the case to, 100
- Coalitions: blocking, heading off, 49–50, 55; winning, forging broad links and, 180–188
- Codes of behavior: intelligence gathering on, 19
- Collaborative approach: balance between authoritative approach and, 151–161; as competence, 151–153. *See also* Buy-in
- Commitment, of others: backers as indication of, 107–108; confronting confusion over, 45–47,

- 202; negotiating buy-in and, 149–200; probing and mobilizing, 62; resource allocation as indication of, 112–113. *See also* Buy-in
- Commitment, of women leaders: questioning of, 10–11
- Communication: establishing ground rules for, 79–82, 86; to get buy-in, 165–172, 188–190; to stakeholders about new appointment, 94–102; treating disagreements as failure in, 155–157
- Compensation decisions: as strategic responsibility, 93–94
- Competence: lack of presumption of, as fundamental obstacle, 3; overconfidence in, 205–207, 257*n.5*; relational, 1–2, 151–153, 256*n.9*; tests encountered by women for, 3–13. *See also* Expertise; Skill sets
- Conflict mediation: invisibility of, 91–92
- Confusion, confronting: in case study, 47–48; guiding questions for, 59; importance of, 44–45, 48; in intelligence gathering stage, 28, 43–48, 59; intuition in, 43–44; over commitment, 45–47; over role definition, 47; over the reasons behind one's selection, 45
- Congruence, testing for, 216–217
- Connecting the dots, 215–216
- Consequences of inaction, walking through, 193–195
- Continental Cablevision, Nancy Hawthorne at, 68
- Contributions: differentiating, from boss's contributions, 229–230; promoting visibility of, 211, 228–237; respecting others', 163–164, 180, 188
- Cooperation, assessing potential, 24–25. *See also* Buy-in; Collaborative approach
- Cost cutting, overemphasis on, 116–117
- Coworkers: engagement of potential, 35–43; seeding storytelling with, 104–105, 110. *See also* Peers; Team members
- Credentials: testing for gaps in, 37–38; testing for match in, 36–37
- Credibility: lack of presumption of, as fundamental obstacle, 3; lack of presumption of, in new appointment, 66–67; need for backers for, 66–71; relational competence and, 151–153; skill sets *versus* perception of, 10–13; strategic responsibilities and, 87, 88; tests encountered by women for, 3–13. *See also* Scrutiny; Tests and testing
- Crisis mode, 213, 224
- Culture, organizational: fit with, 21–22, 201–204; intelligence gathering on, 34–35
- Currencies, organizational, 230–231
- D**
- Decision making: about difficult personnel, 164, 178–180; establishing authority in, 153; involving others in, 153, 188–190, 189
- Decision-making networks: exclusion from, 20, 254*n.2*
- Decision trees, 216
- Defensiveness, 191–192
- Delegating: deciding what to keep *versus*, 86–88; women's experience of, 86
- Deloitte & Touche: Ellen Gabriel at, 8; Sharon Allen at, 5

- Demonstration projects, leveraging, for additional resources, 139–144, 147
- Difference, making a. *See* Making a difference
- Differential resource appeals, 130–132
- Difficulties, potential: scoping out, through confronting confusion, 43–48
- Dimon, J., 69–70
- Disaffected individuals, picking up on, 52–53
- Disagreement, treating, as communication failure, 155–157
- Disconnects, confronting confusion and, 43–48
- Diversity initiatives: politics and, 186–187; presumption of tokenism and, 4; synergies of, 224
- Double-bind test, 6–7; in change implementation, 151–153
- Drilling deep. *See* Intelligence
- Due diligence, on position offer, 32–33. *See also* Intelligence
- E**
- Early warnings: learning and, 189–190, 221–222; resource appeals with, 129–130
- EBay, Meg Whitman at, 12–13, 236
- E-mail introductions, 95–96
- Engagement: in case study, 42–43; guiding questions for, 58–59; importance of, 35–36; for intelligence gathering, 27, 35–43, 58–59; results focus *versus*, 67–69; rules of, working out, 79–82; to test for chemistry, 38–40; to test for gaps, 37–38; to test for match with skill set, 36–37; to test for role definition, 40–43
- Expectations: building mutual respect and, 76–79; in case study, 84–85; confronting confusion on, in intelligence gathering stage, 44–46; establishing communication guidelines and, 79–82, 86; faulty, consequences of, 75; hurdles in working out, 76; inadequate resources and, 114–115; on information flow, 82–84; working out, to mobilize backers, 72–73, 75–86, 108
- Experience, lack of relevant, and fitness test, 7–9
- Experiments: learning from, 192–193; transfer of learning from, 223–224
- Expertise: common to incoming executives, 17–18; enlisting partners with specific, in resource appeals, 136–137, 138, 146–147; overreliance on, 205–207, 257*n.5*; testing, through engagement, 36–37. *See also* Competence; Skill sets
- F**
- Federal Drug Administration (FDA), 124
- Feedback: establishing ground rules for, 80–81, 86; negotiating, 82–84, 85; open dialogue for, 188–190
- “Female advantage,” 1–2, 151–153
- Feminine qualities: double bind in enacting, 6–7, 151–153
- Fernandes, D., 118–119, 125–127, 132–134, 138–139, 143–144, 203, 204, 214
- Fictitious-résumé studies, 10
- Financial services consultant case study (“Susan Vega”), 74–75; introduction to, 74–75; making the case in, 94–102; securing strategic responsibilities in,

- 93–94; seeding storytelling opportunities in, 107; values in, 204; working out expectations in, 84–85
- Financial services industry: presumption of tokenism in, 4
- Fiorina, C., 3, 5, 6–7, 9, 12
- First impressions: correcting, 76–77; importance of, 73–74, 75; need for backers to facilitate, 66–67, 68, 72, 94–95, 107; negative, 66–67, 68, 76–77; orchestrating persuasive introductions for, 95–96, 101–102; working out expectations and, 76–77, 85–86
- Fit: assumptions about, due to lack of intelligence, 20; conflicting evaluations and, 64; constant change and, 63; importance of, 21–22, 201–204; performance *versus*, 21–22; in values and culture, 201–204
- Fitness test, 7–9; examples of, 8–9; lack of relevant experience and, 7–8; passing, 9
- Fix-It syndrome, 23–25
- Fletcher, J., 11
- Fortune, 69–70
- Fudge, A., 10–11
- Functional responsibilities: that correlate with results, 90–91; delegating *versus* keeping, 86–88; that enhance visibility, 91–93; that signal authority, 88–90
- Fundraising, differential appeals in, 131–132. *See also* Resources
- Future needs, anticipating, 224–225
- G**
- Gabriel, E., 8
- Gaps, testing for, 37–38
- GE: Jeffrey Immelt at, 62–63
- Gender discrimination: decrease in blatant, 13; second-generation, 13
- Gender stereotypes: about delegating, 87; double-bind test and, 6–7; fear of asking for help and, 69–71
- Ginn, S., 6–7
- Grooming: inadequate, 8–9
- Ground rules, for interaction, 76, 79–82, 86
- Group consensus, 188
- Group meetings: to listen and get buy-in, 168–169; to promote synergies, 227
- Group problems: addressing, to get buy-in, 175–177; psychological approaches to, 176–177; structural solutions to, 175–176
- Group pulse, taking the, 168–169
- H**
- Harvard, 21
- Harvard Law School: Elena Kagan at, 104–105, 165–166; Martha Minow at, 104–105
- Hawthorne, N., 68
- Help: enlisting, from peers, 182–184, 196–197; fear of asking for, 69–71, 182; offering, to peers, 181–182, 199
- Hewlett-Packard: Carly Fiorina at, 3, 5, 6–7, 9, 12
- Hierarchical organization, 151
- “High maintenance” label, 70
- Human capital, importance of, 173–175. *See also* Resources
- I**
- Immelt, J., 62–63
- Inaction, walking through the consequences of, 193–195
- Incremental approach: to change, 194–195; to making a difference, 214–220; to resource allocation, 139–144; to solving

- systemic problems, 177–178.
See also Small wins
- Incumbents, who stick around, 52–53
- Individual interests: of blockers, 48–49, 50, 52; creating opportunities to learn for, 188–197; helping with, to get buy-in, 163, 173–175, 199; mixed motives and, 49; raising the stakes for resistance and, 184–186; resource appeals to, 128–129, 130–132
- Influence, threats to: as source of resistance, 50–52, 53–54
- Information. *See* Intelligence
- Information flow, negotiating, 82–84
- Institutional Investor, 64
- InStyle*, 4
- Intelligence: to anticipate blockers, 28, 48–55; to assess and negotiate a new position, 17–60; case study of, 28, 34–35, 42–43, 47–48, 54, 56–57; causes of limited, 20; common traps of, 20–26; confronting confusion about, 28, 43–48; consequences of limited, 19–20, 22–23; as critical challenge, 14; drilling deep for, 17–60; engagement for, 27, 35–43, 58–59; guiding questions for, 57–60; importance of, 17–19, 26–27, 55–56; ongoing probing for, 56–57; strategic moves for gaining, 26–60; tapping into networks for, 27, 29–35, 58
- Interdependence: as basis of buy-in, 163–164, 184; resource allocation and, 118
- Interests, individual. *See* individual interests
- Internal consultation, 169–171
- Internalization of problems, 157–158
- Internet enterprise, 234–236
- Introductions: consequences of no, 66–67; from key leaders, 66–67, 95–96, 101–102; orchestrating persuasive, 95–96, 101–102; with team members, 166–168
- Intuition: in confronting confusion, 43–44; in testing relationships, 43
- Invisibility. *See* Visibility
- Involving others: creating buy-in through, 188–197; in decision making, 153, 188–190
- Issue sellers, 120
- J**
- Job offers. *See* New position offer
- Jung, A., 6
- K**
- Kagan, E., 104–105
- Kanter, R. M., 7, 150, 218
- Key players, backing of. *See* Backers
- Kmart, Martha Stewart and, 152
- Knowling, B., 4–5
- Kraft Foods, Ann Fudge at, 10
- Krasnow, T., 213, 225, 226
- Krawcheck, S., 9, 64, 255*n*.11
- L**
- Lay-offs, 183–184
- Leadership: followers and, 231–232; *versus* management, 96; risk inherent in, 201, 203
- Leadership learning curve: grooming and, 8
- Leadership models: collaborative, 11–12; great-man, 6; masculine bias in, 6; post-heroic, 1–2, 6, 69–70, 252*n*.27; transformational, 7, 256*nn*.2
- Learning: in case study, 195–197; creating opportunities for others', 188–197, 200; through experimentation, 192–193;

- from mistakes, 188, 190–192;
 - through open dialogue, 188–190, 195;
 - through a walk through of consequences, 193–195;
 - working out expectations regarding, 82–84
- Lewis, J., 211–213, 218–220, 225–228, 234–236
- Limits, setting, 79–82
- “Linda Green” case study. *See* Banker case study
- Line experience: lack of, as reason for underrepresentation, 7–8
- Listening tours: benefits of, 165–166; in case study, 171–172; of external clients, 169; to get buy-in, 162–163, 165–172, 198–199; group meetings in, 168–169; of internal clients, 169–171; one-on-one meetings in, 166–168, 171–172; using information from, 173, 174
- London *Times*, 6
- Long-term vision, short-term results and, 121–122. *See also* Strategic objectives
- Loyalty, 183
- Lucent, 12
- M**
- Making a difference, 201–240; case study of, 211–213, 218–220, 225–228, 234–236; claiming one’s value and, 211, 228–238, 239–240; common traps in, 205–210; as critical challenge, 15, 201–204; engaging strategic needs and, 210, 213–220, 238; filling unmet needs and, 210–211, 220–228, 238–239; guiding questions for, 237–240; importance of values fit and, 201–204; incremental approach to, 214–220; strategic moves for, 210–240
- Making the case (for role acceptance): in case study, 101–102; different approaches for different stakeholders in, 98–101; enlisting key leaders for, 95–102, 109–110; importance of, 94–95, 102; through persuasive introductions, 95–96, 101–102; through strategic linkages, 96–98
- Management: *versus* leadership, 96
- Manipulation, 166
- Masculine qualities: double bind in enacting, 6–7
- Matrixed organizations: functions to control in, 90–91; importance of information in, 17; relationship managers in, 135–136
- Mattel, Jill Barad at, 12
- McNeeley, S., 12–13
- Media, enlisting for buy-in, 188
- Mentors: intelligence from, about prospective position, 31, 32–33; types of, 31
- Micromanaging, pushing back on, 82–83, 86
- Middle management: women’s representation in, 2
- Minow, M., 104–105
- Mistakes, learning from, 188, 190–192, 193
- Mixed messages: confronting confusion and, 28, 43–48
- Moore, A., 4, 5–6, 112–113
- Morale problems, 176–177
- Mother Goose, 207
- Motivations of others: learning opportunities as, 188, 190, 198; mixed, 49, 188; understanding, for differential resource appeals, 130–132
- Mulcahy, A., 8–9, 164
- Mutuality, 76
- Mutual respect, building, 76–79

N

NBC, 63

Needs, filling: strategic, 213–220; unmet, 210–211, 220–228, 238–239

Negotiation: for backing of key players, 14, 61–110; for buy-in, 149–200; confronting confusion and, 44–45; intelligence gathering for, 17–60; of new assignment, 26, 27; raising the stakes in, 184–186, 203–204; for resources, 14, 111–147; on role definition, 40–43, 47, 56; of safety net, 27, 55

Networks and networking: benefits of, 29, 35; case examples of, 33–35; exclusionary, 20, 254*n.2*; external, 30–31, 33, 35; guiding questions for, 58; integrated approach to, 31–35; internal, 29–30, 33, 35; mentor, 31, 32–33; seeding storytelling through, 102–107; strategic, composed of men, 29; strategic and supportive, composed of women, 29, 30; swing people in, 103–105; tapping into, for intelligence, 27, 29–35, 58; types of, maintained by women leaders, 29

New organizations: key responsibilities in, 90

New position: challenges in, 153; change and, 149–153; creating authority in, 88–90; negotiating for support in, 61–110; orchestrating introductions for, 95–96, 101–102; overconfidence about appointment to, 66–67, 205; percentage of executives who fail in, 73; risk in, 201; securing strategic responsibilities for, 73, 86–94; strategic linkages in, 96–98; working out expectations for, 72–73, 75–86

New position offer: anticipating blockers of, 48–55; assessing and negotiating, 17–60; common mistakes in assessing, 20–26; confronting confusion about, 43–48; engaging others about, 35–43; ignoring negative intelligence about, 22–23; intelligence gathering about, 17–60; networking for intelligence on, 29–35; obligation to accept, 8; perception of no choice in accepting, 25–26; role definition for, 40–43, 47, 56; testing role definition for, 40–43

New York City: fundraising in, 131–132; mayor of, 156–157

Nice: being perceived as too, 152; “making,” 166

“No,” perils of saying, 25–26

Not-invented-here syndrome, 223

O

Office Depot, 225–226

Office-supply-chain executive case study (Jeanne Lewis): claiming value in, 234–236; filling unmet needs in, 225–228; introduction to, 211–213; strategic focus in, 218–220

Old Mother Hubbard, 113

Olmsted, F. L., 132, 133, 138

One-on-one meetings: to listen and get buy-in, 166–168, 171–172; to make the case, 98; to promote synergies, 227

Open dialogue, 188–190, 195

Open-door policy, 92–93

Opinion leaders, seeding storytelling with, 103–105

Opportunities: highlighting, in resource appeals, 120–122

Opposition: options for dealing with, 161. *See also* Blockers; Resistance

Outsider candidates: testing the

- match of, through engagement, 36–37
- Overconfidence: about appointment, 66–67, 205; about competence, 205–207
- Overworked staff, 175–176
- P**
- Parsimony, 116–117
- Partners of convenience: enlisting, in resource requests, 118, 134–139, 146–147; in resource allocation case study, 138–139; with specific expertise, 136–137, 138; with stakes in resource allocation, 134–136; who are close to the situation, 137–138
- Peers: alliances with, 186–187; enlisting help from, 182–184, 196–197; forging broad links with, 180–188, 199–200; offering to help, 181–182, 199; open dialogue with, 188–190; raising the stakes on resistant, 184–186. *See also* Coworkers
- People, 4
- Perceptions: of authority, mobilizing backers and, 62–63; disconnect between skill sets and, 10–13; of performance, backers and, 67–69; of relational *versus* leadership skills, 151–153; seeding storytelling to frame, 73, 102–107; strategic responsibilities and, 87
- Perfectionism: stereotypes about delegating and, 87
- Performance, company, women’s impact on, 2, 10. *See also* Making a difference
- Performance, women leaders’: fit *versus*, 21–22; overreliance on, *versus* self-promotion, 207–209; perception *versus* reality of, 10–13, 21–22; results focus and perceptions of, 67–69
- Performance issues, 164, 178–180
- Performance review process:
 - changing, 196; participation in, as strategic responsibility, 93–94
- Personal dynamics: intelligence gathering on, 19
- Personal introductions, 96
- Personal life: negotiating role definition and time for, 41–42; setting boundaries on time and, 81–82, 86
- Personal vendetta, 150
- Personnel decisions, difficult, 164, 178–180, 183–184
- Peters, T., 2
- Pilot projects, leveraging, for additional resources, 139, 141–142, 147
- Plans, overreliance on, 155–157
- Political tea leaves, 18
- Politics: anticipating blockers and, 48–55; diversity initiatives and, 186–187; in organizations, 48–50; raising the stakes on, 185–186
- Porter, M., 218
- Post-heroic era, prevailing stereotypes in, 1–2, 69–70
- Post-heroic leadership models, 1–2, 6, 69–70, 252*n*.27
- Predecessors, difficulties of following, 162
- Prestige, resources and, 128–129
- Pricing: as key function to control, 91
- Princeton University: Shirley Tilghman at, 4–5
- Prioritizing: listening and, 168; resource allocation and, 112, 114; of responsibilities, 86–87; for strategic focus, 213–214
- Problems: demonstrating that resources will solve big, 120–122; responsibility for problem solving *versus*,

- 157–158; unrecognized, 221–222
- Problem solving: in case study, 179–180; of difficult problems, 178–179; enlisting help for, from peers, 182–184; at group level, 175–177; helping peers', to get buy-in, 181–182; helping team members', to get buy-in, 163, 173–180, 199; inclusive, 189; at individual level, 173–175; responsibility for, *versus* for problem, 157–158; results focus and, 67–69; at systemic level, 177–178, 222–224; of unrecognized problems, 221–222; zeal for, without intelligence, 23–25
- Process arguments, for resource requests, 124–125
- Process skills, invisibility of, 11–12. *See also* Relational skills
- Product development, learning from mistakes in, 190–191
- Profit and loss (P&L) responsibility, 228
- Proving up: challenges critical to, 14–15; prevalence of, 13–14
- Psychologically abusive behavior, 79–80
- Pushing back: on disconnects, in intelligence gathering stage, 44–45, 48; on micro-managing, 82–83, 86; on role definition and responsibilities, 89–90; on time and access, 81–82, 86; on unfair expectations, 85–86
- Q**
- Quality implementation program, 189–190
- Quotas, 4
- R**
- Rapport, testing for, 38–40
- Real Simple*, 4
- Receptivity, to creating and claiming value, 233–234
- Recognition, making a difference and, 15, 211, 228–237. *See also* Value, claiming; Visibility
- Redundancy, 223
- Reframing, of strategic goals, 217–218
- Relational and interpersonal skills: change implementation and, 151–153; as female competence, 1–2, 151–153, 256*n*.9; invisibility *versus* visibility of, 11–13, 231; leadership authority and, 151–161
- Relationship manager, in matrix organization, 135–136
- Relationship marketing, 181–182
- Relationships: building mutual respect in, 76–79; establishing ground rules for, 76, 79–82, 86; focusing on results *versus*, 67–69; importance of, 35–36, 75–76; intelligence gathering through, 27, 35–43; mutual respect in, 76–79; testing for chemistry in, 38–40; women's emphasis on, 36; working out expectations in, with key players, 75–86. *See also* Backers; Engagement
- Representation, under-, 2–3; explanations that blame women for, 2–3; lack of line experience as reason for, 7–8; reasons for, 2–3, 7–8; status of, 2
- Reputation, company: intelligence gathering on, 35
- Research and development (R&D), resource alignment with, 124–125
- Resentment: in blockers, 50, 52
- Resistance: anticipating blockers and, 49–55; to change agenda, 150, 153, 154, 155–157, 160–161, 162, 182, 184–186; countering, by walk through of

- consequences of inaction, 193–195; countering, with offer to help, 181–182, 199; external, 182; forming alliances to counter, 186–187; ignoring, 160–161; intelligence gathering on, 19, 51–52, 55; mobilizing backers to counter, 66–67, 74–75, 95–96, 98–101, 105–106; negotiating buy-in of, 149–200; persuasive introductions to counter, 66–67, 95–96, 101–102; raising the stakes on, 184–186; seeding positive stories to counter, 105–106; solidification of, 161; to women’s competence, 151. *See also* Blockers
- Resources and resource requests: alignment of, 112, 117–118, 119–127, 124–125, 145–146; appealing to stakeholder interests for, 118, 127–134, 146; bypassing of negotiation for, 114–115; capacity and, 137–138, 144; case study of, 118–119, 125–127, 132–134, 138–139, 143–144, 203, 204, 214; common traps of, 113–114; confronting confusion about insufficient, 46–47; constraints on, 112, 113–114, 123; as critical challenge, 14; demonstrating threats and opportunities for, 120–122; differential appeals for, 130–132; for early warnings, 129–130; enlisting partners for, 118, 134–139, 146–147; framing a value proposition for, 122–124; guiding questions for, 145–147; importance of, 113–115, 144–145; intelligence gathering on, 24–25; leveraging success to attract, 118, 139–144, 147; negotiating for, 111–147; parsimonious approach to, 116–117; priority setting and, 112, 114; process arguments for, 124–125; strategic linkages for, 112, 117–118, 119–127, 145–146; strategic moves for, 117–147; symbolic significance of, 112–113, 116–118, 144–145; timing of, 124–125
- Respect, building mutual, 76–79
- Responsibilities: for problem solving *versus* the problem, 157–158; securing strategic, 86–94, 109
- Results: building on tangible, for resource allocation, 142–143; connecting resources to, 122–124, 145; focus on, *versus* engaging key people, 67–69, 256*n.2*; invisible, 68, 228; keeping functional responsibilities that correlate with, 90–91
- Retreats: attempt to build team cohesion in, 161; to listen and get buy-in, 168–169
- Return on Equity, from women leaders, 2
- RFP response process, 129–130
- Right stuff test, 10–13
- Ripple effects: of resource allocation, 118
- Risk: change and, 149, 150; in leadership, 201; learning and, 192–193
- Rocking the boat, fear of, 160–161
- Role definition: change and, 56–57, 149–153, 220; confronting confusion over, 47; ensuring visibility in, 229–230; importance of intelligence for, 56; negotiating strategic responsibilities and, 89–90; ongoing probing for changes in, 56–57; testing, through engagement, 40–43
- Roosevelt administration, 119

S

- Sabotage, 49–50
- Safety net: group exchanges as, 169; negotiating, intelligence for, 22, 27, 55; peer allies as, 180; for risk taking, 193
- Salary parity: building mutual respect from negotiating for, 78–79
- Sanford Bernstein, 64
- Scrutiny: micromanaging and, 82–83; need for backers and, 61–65, 94–95; preparedness for, 62; prevalence of, 14, 61–62; resource symbolism and, 113; strategic delegation and, 87. *See also* Credibility; Tests and testing
- “Seal of approval,” 72. *See also* Backers
- Second-generation gender effects, 13
- Securities Exchange Commission (SEC), 230
- Self-confidence: in one’s accomplishments, 209–210; testing and undermining of, 13. *See also* Overconfidence
- Self-promotion: to claim value, 211, 228–237; failure in, as obstacle to making a difference, 8, 207–209, 257*nn.*7. *See also* Value, claiming; Visibility
- Short-term results: leveraging, for additional resource allocation, 139–144, 147; long-term vision and, 121–122; strategic objectives and, 215–216
- Silo effect, 222, 226
- Six Sigma, 137
- Skeptics, winning over, with positive stories, 105–106
- Skill sets: perception *versus* possession of, 10–13; testing, through engagement, 36–37. *See also* Competence; Expertise
- Small wins: learning from, 193; leveraging, for resource allocation, 139–144, 147; making a difference through, 211, 214, 233. *See also* Incremental approach; Successes
- Smith Barney, Sallie Krawcheck at, 9, 64, 255*n.*11
- Space allocations, 196
- Spillover effects, 171, 184
- Sports Illustrated for Kids*, 4
- Stakeholders, in resource requests: appealing to, 118, 127–134, 146; in case study, 132–134; differential appeals to, 130–132; focusing on key interests of, 128–129; partnering with, as allies of convenience, 134–139, 146–147; structuring early warnings for, 129–130
- Stakes, raising the, 184–186, 203–204
- Staples: background on, 212; catalog operation of, 235; Jeanne Lewis at, 212–213, 218–220, 225–228, 234–236; Office Depot merger planning of, 225–226; online enterprise of, 234–236
- Staples.com, 234–236
- Staples Direct, 235
- Steinem, G., 131
- Stemberg, T., 219, 225
- Stewart, M., 152
- Storytelling: in case study, 107; creating a campaign of, 106; importance of, 102–103; seeding, to mobilize support, 73, 102–107, 110; through swing people, 103–105; to win over skeptics, 105–106
- Strategic objectives and needs: connecting the dots for, 215–216; constant change of, 215–217; engaging, to make a difference, 210, 213–220, 238; focus on, 213–214; foregrounding, 215; incremental approach

- to meeting, 214–220; linking one’s position to, 96–98; linking resource requests to, 112, 117–118, 119–127, 145–146; reframing, 217–218; testing for congruence in, 216–217
 - Strategic responsibilities: in case study, 93–94; that correlate with results, 90–91; delegating *versus* keeping, 86–88; that enhance visibility, 91–93; importance of, 86–88, 94; securing, 73, 86–94, 109; that signal authority, 88–90
 - Subordinates. *See* Team members and subordinates
 - Success by Six, 106, 218
 - Successes, leveraging: to attract resources, 118, 139–144, 147; incremental, 140–142; of pilots, 141–142; in resource allocation case study, 143–144; with tangible results, 142–143. *See also* Small wins
 - Sun Microsystems, 13
 - Support: intelligence gathering on potential, 19; necessity of top management’s visible, 15–16, 72, 94–95; negotiating for buy-in and, 149–200; negotiating with backers for, 61–110; over-reliance on senior leadership’s, 158–160; testing potential relationships for, 38–40, 43
 - “Susan Vega” case study. *See* Financial services consultant case study
 - Swing people, seeding stories with, 103–105
 - Symbolism: of appointment, making a case for, 100; of resources, 112–113, 116–118, 144–145
 - Synergies, promoting, 210–211, 218, 222–224, 225–227, 235–236
 - Systemic problems: engaging, 177–178; promoting synergies in, 222–224
- T**
- Talk shows, preference for male guests on, 12
 - Team members and subordinates: aligning assignments of, to individual objectives, 174–175; attracting good, 231–232; listening to, 165–172; negotiating for buy-in of, 149–180, 188–200; open dialogue with, 188–190; performance issues in, 164, 178–180; underestimating, 157–158
 - Teamwork: invisibility of women’s contribution to, 91–92; solving group-level problems and, 175–177
 - Technical expertise. *See* Expertise
 - Teen People*, 4
 - Termination decisions, 164, 178–180
 - Tests and testing, 3–13; challenges of meeting, 14–15; double-bind, 6–7; fitness, 7–8; inurement to, 13; mobilizing backers for support in, 62–65, 72, 94–95; mutual respect gained in, 77–78; normality of, with leadership change, 94–95; preparedness for, 62; prevalence of, 13–14, 61–62; resource symbolism and, 113; right stuff, 10–13; of tokenism, 3–6. *See also* Credibility; Scrutiny
 - Threat, perceived: by blockers, 53–54; resistance to change and, 150, 151, 153, 182, 184–186. *See also* Influence, threats to
 - Threats: highlighting, in resource appeals, 120–122
 - 3M, 192
 - Tilghman, S., 5

- Time: establishing ground rules on, 80–82, 86; spent by American *versus* other executives, 251*n*.8; strategic focus in, 213–214
- Time, Inc.: Ann Moore at, 4, 5–6, 112–113; AOL merger with Time-Warner and, 112–113
- Timing: of claiming one's contributions, 232–234, 236–237; of resource allocation, 124–125; of taking action, 173
- Token test, 3–6; defined, 3–4; examples of, 4–5; passing, 5–6
- Top leadership: enlisting, to make the case, 73, 94–102, 109–110; importance of visible support from, 15–16, 72, 94–95, 158–160; overreliance on support from, 158–160. *See also* Backers
- Tory, 5
- Total Return to Shareholders, from women leaders, 2
- Toughness: double bind of warmth and, 6–7; prevailing ideal of, and fear of seeming weak, 69–70; questioning of, 11
- Trapped, feeling, 25–26
- Triangle effect, 54
- Turf battles, 181–182
- Turnaround strategy, 214
- Turnover, high, 23
- U**
- Uncertainty, 173
- Unintended consequences, 221
- U.S. Senate, women in, 12
- United Way, 106
- Universities, resource allocation in, 119
- Unmet needs: anticipating future, 224–225; in case study, 225–228; filling, 210–211, 220–228, 238–239; promoting synergies and, 222–224; solving unrecognized problems and, 221–222
- V**
- Value, claiming: through attracting good people, 231–232; in case study, 234–236; currency for, 230–231; importance of, 228–229; to make a difference, 211, 228–238, 239–240; personal plan for, 239–240; playing on a visible field for, 229–230; timing of, 232–234, 236–237. *See also* Visibility
- Value proposition, in resource requests, 122–124
- Values: importance of fit with, 201–204
- “Velvet glove touch,” 13
- Visibility: claiming value and, 211, 228–237, 239–240; keeping functions that enhance, 91–93; lack of, for women's work, 11–12, 91–92, 228; of new appointments, 95; personal plan for, 239–240; of playing field, 229–230; of process or interpersonal skills and work, 11–13; recognition of contributions for, 15, 211, 228–237; of results, for future resource allocation, 142–143; results focus and, 68–69; self-promotion for, 207–209, 228–237; of top management support, 15–16, 72. *See also* Value, claiming
- Visionary companies, culture of, 192, 257*n*.4
- Vivendi International, 63
- W**
- Walkaround manager, 92–93
- Warmth: double bind of toughness and, 6–7
- Watkins, M., 73–74
- Weak, fear of seeming: as obstacle

- to seeking backers, 69–71; as obstacle to seeking help, 182; overcompensation for, 154
 - Weil, S., 64
 - Wellington, S., 228
 - White House Project study, 12
 - Whitman, M., 12–13, 236
 - Winning coalitions, 180, 186–187
 - Women leaders: advantages of, 2; challenges of, 14–15; challenges of, road map to, 241–246; environment for, 1–2; environments hospitable to, 201; experience of, with delegating, 87; interviews of, 13–14, 201; invisibility of work of, 11–12, 91–92; performance results of, 2; preference for male leaders *versus*, 252*n*.13; relational competency of, 1–2, 151–153, 256*n*.9; status of, 1–3; testing of, 3–16, 61–62; underrepresentation of, 2–3, 7–8
 - Women’s initiatives: presumption of tokenism and, 4
 - Wright, B., 63
- X**
- Xerox, 163–164; Anne Mulcahy at, 8–9, 164, 202–203
- Y**
- Young and Rubicam, Ann Fudge at, 10–11
- Z**
- Zoo director case study (Donna Fernandes): introduction to, 118–119; leveraging small wins in, 143–144, 214; partners of convenience in, 138–139; stakeholder interests in, 132–134; strategic alignment in, 125–127