

NEW DIRECTIONS FOR EVALUATION
A PUBLICATION OF THE AMERICAN EVALUATION ASSOCIATION

Gary T. Henry, *Georgia State University*
COEDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Jennifer C. Greene, *University of Illinois*
COEDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Responsive Evaluation

Jennifer C. Greene
University of Illinois

Tineke A. Abma
Erasmus University

EDITORS

Number 92, Winter 2001

JOSSEY-BASS
San Francisco

RESPONSIVE EVALUATION

Jennifer C. Greene, Tineke A. Abma (eds.)

New Directions for Evaluation, no. 92

Jennifer C. Greene, Gary T. Henry, Coeditors-in-Chief

Copyright ©2001 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means, except as permitted under sections 107 and 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the publisher or authorization through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; (978) 750-8400; fax (978) 750-4470. The copyright notice appearing at the bottom of the first page of a chapter in this journal indicates the copyright holder's consent that copies may be made for personal or internal use, or for personal or internal use of specific clients, on the condition that the copier pay for copying beyond that permitted by law. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating collective works, or for resale. Such permission requests and other permission inquiries should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158-0012; (212) 850-6011, fax (212) 850-6008, e-mail: permreq@wiley.com.

Microfilm copies of issues and articles are available in 16mm and 35mm, as well as microfiche in 105mm, through University Microfilms Inc., 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106-1346.

New Directions for Evaluation is indexed in Contents Pages in Education, Higher Education Abstracts, and Sociological Abstracts.

Print ISSN: 1097-6736; Online ISSN: 1534-875X; ISBN: 0-7879-5794-1

NEW DIRECTIONS FOR EVALUATION is part of The Jossey-Bass Education Series and is published quarterly by Jossey-Bass, 989 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94103-1741.

SUBSCRIPTIONS cost \$69.00 for U.S./Canada/Mexico; \$93 international. For institutions, agencies, and libraries, \$145 U.S.; \$185 Canada; \$219 international. Prices subject to change.

EDITORIAL CORRESPONDENCE should be addressed to the Editors-in-Chief, Jennifer C. Greene, Department of Educational Psychology, University of Illinois, 260E Education Building, 1310 South Sixth Street, Champaign, IL 61820, or Gary T. Henry, School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University, P.O. Box 4039, Atlanta, GA 30302-4039.

www.josseybass.com

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free recycled paper containing 100 percent recovered waste paper, of which at least 20 percent is postconsumer waste.

NEW DIRECTIONS FOR EVALUATION

Sponsored by the American Evaluation Association

COEDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Jennifer C. Greene University of Illinois
Gary T. Henry Georgia State University

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Michael Bamberger The World Bank
Jonathan Bruel U.S. Office of Management and Budget
Roger Brooks Legislative Audit Bureau, Minnesota
Valerie Caracelli U.S. General Accounting Office
Leslie J. Cooksy University of Delaware
Lois-ellin Datta Datta Analysis
James Earl Davis Temple University
Stewart L. Donaldson Claremont Graduate University
Michael W. Duttweiler Cornell University
Jody Fitzpatrick University of Colorado at Colorado Springs
Stafford Hood Arizona State University
Ernest R. House University of Colorado
Jean King University of Minnesota
Henry M. Levin Stanford University
Richard J. Light Harvard University
John M. Love Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.
Anna Madison University of Massachusetts-Boston
Melvin M. Mark The Pennsylvania State University
Sandra Mathison State University of New York, Albany
Robin L. Miller University of Chicago
Ricardo A. Millett W. K. Kellogg Foundation
Mary Ann Millsap Abt Associates
Michael Morris University of New Haven
Sharon Rallis Harvard University
Patricia Rogers Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology
Katherine Ryan University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Thomas A. Schwandt University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Nick L. Smith Syracuse University
Charles L. Thomas Graduate School of Education, George Mason University
Nick Tilley Department of Social Sciences, Nottingham Trent University
Maria Defino Whitsett Austin (Texas) Independent School District
David B. Wilson University of Maryland College Park

AMERICAN EVALUATION ASSOCIATION, 505 HAZEL CIRCLE, MAGNOLIA, AR 71753

Editorial Policy and Procedures

New Directions for Evaluation, a quarterly sourcebook, is an official publication of the American Evaluation Association. The journal publishes empirical, methodological, and theoretical works on all aspects of evaluation. A reflective approach to evaluation is an essential strand to be woven through every volume. The editors encourage volumes that have one of three foci: (1) craft volumes that present approaches, methods, or techniques that can be applied in evaluation practice, such as the use of templates, case studies, or survey research; (2) professional issue volumes that present issues of import for the field of evaluation, such as utilization of evaluation or locus of evaluation capacity; (3) societal issue volumes that draw out the implications of intellectual, social, or cultural developments for the field of evaluation, such as the women's movement, communitarianism, or multiculturalism. A wide range of substantive domains is appropriate for *New Directions for Evaluation*; however, the domains must be of interest to a large audience within the field of evaluation. We encourage a diversity of perspectives and experiences within each volume, as well as creative bridges between evaluation and other sectors of our collective lives.

The editors do not consider or publish unsolicited single manuscripts. Each issue of the journal is devoted to a single topic, with contributions solicited, organized, reviewed, and edited by a guest editor. Issues may take any of several forms, such as a series of related chapters, a debate, or a long article followed by brief critical commentaries. In all cases, the proposals must follow a specific format, which can be obtained from the editor-in-chief. These proposals are sent to members of the editorial board and to relevant substantive experts for peer review. The process may result in acceptance, a recommendation to revise and resubmit, or rejection. However, the editors are committed to working constructively with potential guest editors to help them develop acceptable proposals.

Jennifer C. Greene, Coeditor-in-Chief
Department of Educational Psychology
University of Illinois
260E Education Building
1310 South Sixth Street
Champaign, IL 61820
e-mail: jcgreene@uiuc.edu

Gary T. Henry, Coeditor-in-Chief
School of Policy Studies
Georgia State University
P.O. Box 4039
Atlanta, GA 30302-4039
e-mail: gthenry@gsu.edu

CONTENTS

EDITORS' NOTES	1
<i>Jennifer C. Greene, Tineke A. Abma</i>	
1. Stake's Responsive Evaluation: Core Ideas and Evolution	7
<i>Tineke A. Abma, Robert E. Stake</i>	
From interview and text excerpts, the core ideas of Stake's responsive evaluation are presented, as originally framed and in their evolution over time.	
2. Responsive Evaluation (and Its Influence on Deliberative Democratic Evaluation)	23
<i>Ernest R. House</i>	
The important contributions of responsive evaluation's orientation to local issues and qualitative methods are highlighted, but also tempered by a rejection of responsive evaluation's relativity in favor of deliberation as a vehicle for adjudicating among competing evaluative claims.	
3. Nobody Knows My Name: In Praise of African American Evaluators Who Were Responsive	31
<i>Stafford Hood</i>	
A historical accounting of the work of early African American educational evaluators demonstrates the critical place of race and culture in both historical and contemporary visions of responsive evaluation.	
4. Becoming Responsive—and Some Consequences for Evaluation as Dialogue Across Distance	45
<i>Yoland Wadsworth</i>	
A vision of responsiveness as the political inclusion of marginalized human service providers and end users is offered through the author's critical and sustained efforts to enact this vision in practice.	
5. The Changing Face of Responsive Evaluation: A Postmodern Rejoinder	59
<i>Ian Stronach</i>	
The meanings of responsive evaluation are deconstructed, yielding numerous tensions within the theory—tensions interpreted as spaces for ongoing reinventions of creative practice.	

6. Responsiveness and Everyday Life	73
<i>Thomas A. Schwandt</i>	
Responsiveness is connected to our everyday ways of making sense of the value of programs, and thus to a vision of evaluation as indeterminate yet morally engaged with the textures and contours of wise practice.	
7. Responsive Evaluation Is to Personalized Assessment . . .	89
<i>Linda Mabry</i>	
Parallels are drawn between personalized assessment of student learning and responsive evaluation of educational programs. The parallels are conceptual, epistemological, methodological, and ideological.	
INDEX	103