

Index

- Accreditation, 71–72
 - benefits, 73–74
 - in Ontario, 73–77
 - uses, 78–79
- American Water Works Association (AWWA), 198
- Aqua Pennsylvania, 180, 185–186
- Austin Water Utility, 241–242

- Baltimore Department of Public Works' Bureau of Water & Wastewater, 287
- Best management practices:
 - Fitch Ratings, 113–116
 - improving bond ratings:
 - by revenue policies, 116
 - by use of debt affordability revenues, 116
 - Standard & Poor's, 113
- Bond covenants:
 - revenue, 108
 - traditional:
 - additional bond tests, 110
 - debt service reserve funds, 110
 - flow of funds, 109–110
 - rate, 109
 - renewal and replacement funds, 110
- Butler County Department of Environmental Services (BCDES), 14

- Chaos control, 26–29
- Citistat:
 - application to Bureau of Water & Wastewater, 288
 - benefits, 290–291
 - definition, 299
 - impacts, 291–295
- Citizen participation in wastewater projects, 189–195
- Clean Water Act, 15
- Cobbs Creek Watershed Management Plan, 130–134
- Competitive gap analysis, 25–26
- Customer expectations, 186–187
- Customer research for water utilities, 150–151

- Demographics, 6–7
- Disinfectant by Product Rule, 16

- eCAPRIS CIP Management System:
 - benefits, 257–258
 - and capital improvements, 242
 - concept, 242–243
 - description, 245–247
 - functionality, 247–253
 - and governance, 256
 - technology, 253–256
- Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO), 16

- Financing of infrastructure:
 - asset-liability management approach, 85–86
 - capital funding:
 - pay-as-you-go, 119
 - rapid debt retirement, 119–120
 - and forecasting, 117–121
 - goals, 84–85

- Geographical information systems (GIS), 5, 209–211
 - and implementation, 211–212

- Innovation:
 key drivers of change, 5–7
 in water delivery, 105
- Integrated management water
 planning, 129–130
- Interest rate swaps, 87–89
 amortization risk, 93–94
 basis risk, 92
 counterparty risk, 92
 rollover risk, 93
 state legislation requirements, 99
 tax event risk, 93
 termination risk, 90–91
- International Benchmarking Water
 and Sanitation Project
 (IBNET), 50–52
- Little Rock Municipal Water Works
 (LRMWW), 259–260
- Louisville Water Company (LWC),
 62–63
 partnership with AFSCME, 65–66
- Low-impact development (LID), 134
- Massachusetts Water Resources
 Authority (MWRA), 61–62
- Maximum containment levels, 16
- Mayor Donald L. Plusquellic, 313
- Mayor Graham Richard, 300
- Mayor Martin J. O'Malley, 287
- Merger of data systems to form
 Central Arkansas Water (CAW):
 application analysis and geo-
 database design, 266–267
 creation of a conversion geo-
 database, 273–275
 data conversion, 272–273
 final product, 278–282
 lessons learned, 277
 scope of work, 263–266
 software requirements and applica-
 tion development, 268–271
 tasks to accomplish, 261
 technology implementation, 262
 what did not work, 277
 what worked well, 277
- Metro Water Services (MWS), 151
- Minneapolis Water Works (MWW),
 197–198
 and change management, 199–206
- National Advisory Council on
 State and Local Budgeting
 (NACSLB), 112
- National Pollutant Discharge
 Elimination System
 (NPDES), 15
- North Little Rock Water Department
 (NLRWD), 259–260
- Partnerships in watershed
 management:
 customer perception and social
 impacts, 141
 examples of successful, 137
 funding and financial impacts, 139
 police and regulatory impacts,
 138–139
 success factors, 136–137
- Partnership for Safe Water, 4, 70
- Performance measurement, 43–44
 benchmarking indicators, 46–48
 research, 46
 in water systems, 45–46
 by Water Works Management
 Workshop (Dirty Dozen), 46
 by Western Regional Water
 Utilities Benchmarking
 Group, 45
 by World Bank, 41, 49
- Performance measures:
 debt service coverage, 33
 International Water Association
 (IWA), 41, 52–58
 Office of Water Services
 (OFWAT), 58
 return on assets, 33
 standardization, 41–42
- Philadelphia Water Department
 (PWD), 60–61, 221–222
 and automatic meter reading
 (AMR) project:
 identifying project risks, 225–228
 implementation, 224

- installation results, 232–238
- outsourcing, 228
- overview, 223–224
- project results, 231–232
- risk minimizing, 224–231
- enterprise GIS:
 - data development, 216–218
 - implementation study, 215
 - strategic action plan, 213–215
- Private utilities:
 - compared to public utilities, 17
 - competitive formula, 18
- Privatization, 3–4
 - of Atlanta water system, 3–4
- Project Information Reporting and Tracking System (PIRTS), 243
- Public perceptions of water systems, 14–15

- Qualserve, 4, 70

- Regulatory environment, 15
- Reorganizing Akron Water Utilities Bureau:
 - applied to water pollution control division, 319–322
 - communications plan, 318
 - financial goals, 316–317
 - lessons learned, 326–328
 - successes, 322–325
 - vision statement, 315

- Safe Drinking Water Act, 5
- Schuylkill Action Network, 142–144
- Six Sigma:
 - definition, 299
 - in Fort Wayne, Indiana, 299
 - methodology, 300–302
 - and waste activated sludge project, 299, 303–311
- Solar water heating, 181–183
- Supervisory Control and Data systems (SCADA), 5, 23–24
- Swaptions, 94–97

- Water bill redesign, 183–184
- Water Infrastructure Rehabilitation (WIR) program, 152–163
 - and pipe rehabilitation, 159–163
 - and Project Priority Matrix, 152–159

