

# INDEX

---

## A

- Accenture Learning, 177
- Accountability, 156–157
- Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET), 24
- Accuracy: needed in training content, 101–102, 231; technical skills for, 194–195
- AchieveGlobal, 83
- ADDIE model: analysis phase of, 68*t*, 70–74, 93*t*; coordination across all phases of the, 224*t*; design phase of, 69*t*, 74–78, 93*t*; development phase of, 69*t*, 78–80, 93*t*; evaluation phase of, 69*t*, 84–86, 94*t*; implementation phase of, 69*t*, 80–83, 93*t*; overarching differentiators and, 69*t*, 86–88, 94*t*; potential of differentiators during phases of, 91–92; similarities to other systematic life cycle methodologies, 70; technical training differentiators and, 68*t*–69*t*, 70–86; Vanget's instructional systems design model based on, 203–204
- Adelman, M., 250
- Advisory teams: description of, 106; team charter on content development by, 106–109
- Aggarwal, R., 273
- Ajay, A., 114*fig*, 115, 116*fig*, 117
- Alleman, J., 190
- American Society for Mechanical Engineers (ASME), 20–22
- Analysis phase (ADDIE model): differentiators listed, 68*t*, 93*t*; e-learning differentiator, 68*t*, 73–74, 93*t*; focus differentiator, 68*t*, 71, 93*t*; formal and informal learning differentiator, 68*t*, 72, 93*t*; planning horizon differentiator, 68*t*, 72, 93*t*; trigger differentiator, 68*t*, 71, 93*t*
- Anderson, C., 47, 153
- “Areas of expertise,” 193
- ASTD: *The ASTD Technical and Skills Training Handbook* (Kelly) by, 43, 45; Benchmarking Forum (BMF) of, 28, 44, 45; BEST Award of, 97; certification requirements of, 233; estimates on annual training costs by, 43–44; on mandatory and compliance-driven technical training, 277; size of training functions reported on by, 197; State of the Industry survey (2008) of, 47, 97, 170; study on perceptions of strategic value of training, 245–246; *Technical Skills Training Magazine* by, 45; technical training as defined by, 12; technical training delivery survey by, 270; training content classifications by, 44; training data available through, 255; training-related competencies list by, 193
- ASTD Competency Model, 46
- Audience size differentiator, 69*t*, 75
- Aufreiter, N., 233
- Availability of content, 69*t*, 74–75, 93*t*
- Avanade, 105

## B

- Babou, S., 115
- Balasundaram, I., 273

- Baldwin-Evans, K., 270  
 Bates, R., 283  
 Beaman, K., 124  
 Beck, T., 4, 73, 83  
 Bell, B., 273  
 Benchmarking Forum (BMF) [ASTD], 28, 44, 45  
 Benkowski, J., 4, 12, 43, 51, 73, 84, 85, 127, 156, 191, 223  
 Berge, Z., 288  
 BEST Award (ASTD), 97  
 Bezanson, W., 147  
 Biebel, M., 266  
 Bingham, T., 174, 175, 181, 245, 246, 260, 274  
 Binghampton University, 5  
 Blankinship, D., 149  
 Blending training, 271–272  
 Blondin, R., 68  
 Blount, M., 169–170  
 Bogue, R., 33  
 Bonk, C., 269  
 Booker, A., 283  
 Bordonaro, E., 154  
 Bowman, J., 214, 221, 287  
 Bradt, G., 131  
 Branding technical training: benefits of, 248–249; co-branding approach to, 251; in the medical field, 250–252; stakeholder expectations of, 249–250  
 “Branding Technical Training in the Medical Field” (Nickerson and Adelman), 250–252  
 brandXpress blog, 249  
 Brethower, D., 211  
 Brinkman, R., 120  
 British Columbia Institute of Technology (BCIT), 179  
 Broad, M., 279  
 Brown, J., 215  
 Buckley, E., 268  
 Budget management: aligning funding to evaluation outcomes, 175–176; applying creativity to funding, 176–177; issues to consider for, 181–183; potential cost savings through, 183*t*–184; reducing vendor costs, 183*t*, 184; understanding return on investment (ROI), 172–175  
 Budgeting: complexities of training costs to consider when, 159–162; cost of technical training considered for, 162–163; importance of art and discipline of, 185; opportunity cost, 163; pointers on technical training, 171–172; technical versus non-technical training costs, 166–170  
 “Build versus buy” decision, 223–224  
*Building Effective Technical Training: How to Develop Hard Skills Within Organizations* (Rothwell & Benkowski), 43  
 Bureau of Labor Statistics, 12, 50  
 Burke, L., 280, 281  
 Burkett, H., 173  
 Business Intelligence Industry Report (2009), 197  
 Business models: definition of, 135; description of, 132; example of technical training, 132–133*fig*; scalability of, 134–135  
 Business objectives: identifying to justify funding technical training, 152–154; integration of training with, 126; training strategies alignment with, 125–127  
 Business training: benefits of, 22–23; definition of, 22; overcoming resistance to, 24–25; as technical training category, 26*fig*; underestimating the value of, 23–24  
 Business-technical training alignment, 36  
 C  
 Campbell, R., 49  
 Capability Maturity Model (CMM), 25  
 Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI), 52  
 Capability training aspects: availability of career paths for technical employees, 58–61; developing organizational training capabilities, 222; integrating technical training into talent management, 55–58*t*; learning management systems (LMSs) as, 147, 222, 227; skills mastery over course of career, 61–62; technical training skills, 192–196; tension between two core competencies, 54–55*fig*  
 Caprara, J., 52  
 Career paths: availability for technical employees, 58–61; need for development training to facilitate, 212, 215–216, 217*t*; pyramids of managerial versus technical, 60*fig*; skills mastery over course of, 61–62  
 Carlotti, S., 233  
 Cartwright, R., 125  
 Cary, B., 152  
 Case studies. *See* Technical training case studies  
 Causality differentiator, 69*t*, 84, 94*t*  
 Center for Graduate Studies (Drexel University), 289  
 Centralized technical training, 190–191  
 Cerner, 49  
 Certification: ASTD requirements for, 233; as differentiator factor, 69*t*, 79–80, 93*t*; as emotional driver, 258; marketing technical training role of, 241–242; vendor-supplied external, 275  
 Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development, 125  
 Chen, X., 114*fig*, 115, 116*fig*, 117  
 Chesbrough, H., 135  
 Chief information officer (CIO), 235  
 Chief learning officer (CLO), 198–199  
 Cisco Systems, 8, 17–18, 28, 46, 191, 242  
 City University (London), 179  
 Clancy, T., 87, 101  
 Clark, R., 26, 43, 51, 82  
 Classroom training, 270–271  
 Closed-loop communication, 221  
 Co-branding, 251  
 Coates, D., 81  
 Colfer, S., 218  
 Collaboration training structure: advisory teams developing content, 106–107; sample team charter for curriculum maintenance, 107–109; sponsors championing training and, 105–106; steering committees alignment/governance of training, 111–112; training organizations to collaborate, 109–110. *See also* Teams  
 Collaborative/groupware technology, 147, 274–275  
 Collins, J., 260  
 Combs, W., 43, 285, 288  
 Common language concept, 33–34  
 Communication: groupware/collaborative technology used for, 147, 274–275; marketing technical training, 241; stakeholders and closed-loop, 221

- Community of Practice (CoP), 274–275
- Competencies: building marketing, 232–234; core, 54–55*fig*; development as training need, 212, 215, 217*t*; to execute technical training strategies, 142–147; Masie’s survey on technical training-related, 235–236; organizational training, 54–62, 192–196, 222, 227; process-related, 143–145; skill-related, 142–143; technology-related, 146–148*t*
- Compliance-driven technical training, 276–278
- Computer-based training (CBT): description of, 31; distinguishing TBT, LMS, and, 32
- Concept content, description of, 27*t*
- Conger, J., 128
- ConocoPhillips, 77
- Consequence of errors, 69*t*, 85, 94*t*
- Content authoring/management systems, 147
- Content. *See* Technical training content
- Contextualization differentiator, 69*t*, 75–76, 93*t*
- Continuous improvement initiatives, 52–53
- Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT), 52
- Coopetition differentiator, 69*t*, 76, 93*t*
- Core competencies: technical training for convergence of, 54; tension between two, 54–55*fig*
- Corporate Issues Survey (2009), 47
- Corporate Leadership Council, 61, 100, 112, 197
- Corporate Leadership Council survey (2004), 49
- Cost issues: ASTD estimates on annual training costs, 43–44; dispelling misconceptions about the, 236–237; employee retention due to training as, 165–166; marketing course in order to increase ROI, 231; opportunity costs, 163; overarching differentiator of technical training, 69*t*, 86–87, 94*t*; reducing vendor, 183–184; technical versus non-technical training, 166–170; training budget, 159–177. *See also* Funding issues
- Courses. *See* Technical training courses
- Court, D., 233
- Courville, R., 248
- Credibility: business skills for, 193–194; as technical training challenge, 49–51
- Cross, J., 269
- Cross, R., 113
- Curriculum managers: curriculum development considerations for, 226*t*; description and functions of, 198, 200; design role across ADDIE phases, 224*t*. *See also* Technical training content
- Customer training: description of, 30; technical training component of, 30–31
- D**
- Darzi, A., 273
- Davenport, R., 52, 233
- Decentralized technical training, 190–191
- Decision making: “build versus buy,” 223–224; human resource development (HRD) role in training, 52; marketing technical training, 242–243; prioritizing technical training, 219–221
- Dees, I., 102
- Delivery. *See* Technical training delivery
- Deloitte, 174
- DeMarco, M., 57, 161
- Design phase (ADDIE model): availability of content differentiator, 69*t*, 74–75, 93*t*; contextualization differentiator, 69*t*, 75–76, 93*t*; coopetition differentiator, 69*t*, 76, 93*t*; differentiators listed, 69*t*, 93*t*; granularity of content and audience size differentiator, 69*t*, 75, 93*t*; subject-matter (SME) involvement differentiator, 69*t*, 77–78, 93*t*. *See also* Technical training design
- Developing Technical Training* (Clark), 43
- Developing Training Courses: A Technical Writer’s Guide to Instructional Design and Development* (Hassell-Corbiell), 43
- Development phases (ADDIE model): differentiators listed, 69*t*, 93*t*; evolutionary versus revolutionary differentiator, 69*t*, 78–79, 93*t*; refresh cycles differentiator, 69*t*, 79, 93*t*, 230; standardization and certification differentiator, 69*t*, 79–80, 93*t*
- Differentiators: ADDIE analysis phase, 68*t*, 70–74, 93*t*; ADDIE design phase, 69*t*, 74–78, 93*t*; ADDIE development phase, 69*t*, 78–80, 93*t*; ADDIE evaluation phase, 69*t*, 84–86, 94*t*; ADDIE implementation phase, 69*t*, 80–83, 93*t*; overarching, 69*t*, 86–88, 94*t*; potential of, 91–92
- Doctor, D., 77
- Drexel University, 285, 289–293
- Dunk, T., 155, 239, 245
- E**
- E-learning: as differentiator, 68*t*, 73–74, 93*t*; technical training delivery through, 271
- ECLIPSE for Emerging Leaders, 22
- Economic decline factor, 46–48
- Effect Performance, Inc., 155
- Electronic Arts, Inc. (EA), 88–91, 271
- Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, 179
- Emotional drivers: promoting technical training using, 257–259; WIIFM (“What’s in it for me?”) question on, 104–105, 240, 256
- Employee retention, 165–166
- Employees: career paths of, 58–62; developing marketing skills of existing, 233; increasing expertise of, 69*t*, 87; influencing training self-efficacy of, 282; marketing technical training to, 232–263; “parking,” 87; providing options for training, 103; recruiting and hiring, 56; succession planning process for, 57; talent management of, 55–58*t*; training programs and retention of, 165. *See also* Organizations; Stakeholders; Technical training
- ENAC, 179
- Environmental Protection Agency, 277, 287
- Environmental training factors: evolution of technical training, 40–42; impact of declining economy, 46–48; lack of common understanding, 39–40; perception vs. reality of technical training, 42–45; strong technical training lobby, 45–46
- Escalations differentiator, 69*t*, 85–86, 94*t*
- Eugenio, V., 112
- Evaluation: ADDIE model phase of, 69*t*, 78–86, 94*t*; aligning funding to outcomes of, 175–176; formative, 285, 287; Kirkpatrick four-level evaluation model of, 173, 286; Phillip’s ROI methodology of, 173; recommended steps in promoting training through, 287–288; stakeholder role in, 99*t*; summative, 285–286; technical training delivery, 278–288; technical training programs, 284–288

- Evaluation phase (ADDIE model): causality differentiator, 69*t*, 84; consequence of errors differentiator, 69*t*, 85; differentiators listed, 69*t*, 94*t*; escalations differentiator, 69*t*, 85–86; measurability differentiator, 69*t*, 84–85
- Evolutionary technical training, 69*t*, 78–79, 93*t*
- Exchange and Office Communications Server (OCS), 263
- Executing Your Strategy: How to Break It Down and Get It Done* (Morgan), 123
- Executives. *See* Management
- “Expertise areas,” 193
- Expertise issues, 69*t*, 87, 94*t*
- Expertus, Inc., 229
- F**
- Factual information content, 27*t*
- “Faith-based training,” 84
- Falletta, S., 285–286, 288
- Farbrother, B., 24
- Federal Drug Administration, 277
- Fleet Maintenance* (magazine), 165
- Focus differentiator, 68*t*, 71, 93*t*
- Fogg, C., 127
- Foley, J., 132
- Formal learning differentiator, 68*t*, 72, 93*t*
- Formative training evaluation, 285, 287
- French Civil Aviation University, 179
- Funding: aligning to evaluation outcomes, 175–176; applying creativity to, 176–177; impact on declining economy on available, 46–48; Lufthansa Technical Training (LTT) GmBH case study on, 178–180, 274; stakeholder role in, 98*t*; technical training and available, 37
- Funding issues: defining accountability and ownership as, 156–157; identifying business reasons for technical training as, 152–154; leveraging policies and processes as, 157–159; setting and managing the budget, 159–177; understanding executive expectations for training as, 154–156. *See also* Cost issues
- G**
- Galagan, P., 181
- Gartner, 255
- Geffen, A., 20
- Gesche Larsen, N., 153, 176
- Gilmore, A., 24, 192
- Goodman, M., 192
- Governance structures: advisory teams developing content, 106–107; sample team charter for curriculum maintenance, 107–109; sponsors championing training, 105–106; steering committees alignment/governance of training, 111–112; training organizations to collaborate, 109–110
- Graham, C., 269
- Granularity of content, 69*t*, 75, 93*t*
- Gregory, R., 157
- Groundswell effect, 242
- Groupware/collaborative technology, 147, 274–275
- Guiding principles, 131–132
- Gupta, K., 211
- H**
- Hale, J., 137
- Hands-on training, 272–273
- Harper, E., 53
- Harward, D., 42, 84
- Hassell-Corbiell, R., 43
- Hefty, K., 53
- Hitachi Data Systems (HDS), 212–214
- Hitachi Data Systems (HDS) Academy, 31
- Hoffman, R., 164
- Holton, E., 33, 281, 283
- Horizontal business functions, 191–192
- Howe, N., 31, 79
- Howe, S., 164, 165
- Human Capital Media, 4, 197
- Human resource development (HRD): access to SMEs by, 111; definition of, 33; dispelling misconceptions about technical training abilities of, 238; generalist work of, 32–33
- Human resource development representative (HRDR), 33
- Human resource (HR): mandatory/compliance-driven technical training tracked by, 277; organizational reporting models and role of, 188–190; technical training decision role by, 52; training partnership with, 110–111. *See also* Organizations
- Humphrey, M., 102
- Hurd, J., 110
- Hutchins, H., 280, 281
- I**
- IBM, 46, 245
- IBM Global Business Services, 11
- Implementation phase (ADDIE model): differentiators listed, 69*t*, 93*t*; learning and unlearning, 69*t*, 80–81, 93*t*; release timing, 69*t*, 81–82, 93*t*; volunteer instructors (VIs), 69*t*, 82–83, 93*t*; waterfall deployment, 69*t*, 83, 93*t*, 231
- Influence-Interest Grid, 114*fig*–115
- Informal learning: attempts to value cost of, 160–161; as training differentiator, 68*t*, 72, 93*t*
- “Instructional Design for Technical Training” (Combs & Peacocke), 43
- Instructional designers: description of, 198, 199–200; design role across ADDIE phases, 224*t*; Vangent’s three-legged stool model on, 201–203*fig*
- Instructional technologists, 202*fig*–203
- Integration, 126
- Intel, 53, 154
- ISO 9000, 25, 52
- Israelite, L., 49, 159
- IT (information technology) training: definitions of, 13; marketing technical training to, 241; similar challenges of technical training and, 232; training vendor’s marketing to, 247
- J**
- Jackson, S., 114*fig*, 115, 116*fig*–117
- Jarrett, M., 64, 174
- Jeary, T., 174, 175, 245, 246, 260
- Johnson, G. L., 240, 244
- Jones, R., 57, 161
- Jordan, m., 41
- Jusela, G., 112

**K**

Kalman, H., 124  
 Kanar, A., 273  
 Kaplan, N. J., 110  
 Kaplan, R., 124  
 Kearsley, G., 159  
 Kelly, L., 12, 41, 43  
 Kelly, T., 17, 153, 161, 175, 191  
 Ken Blanchard Companies, 47  
 Kirkpatrick, D., 173, 286  
 Kirkpatrick four-level evaluation model, 173, 286  
 Kirschner, R., 120  
 Kozlowski, S., 273  
 Kraus, T., 164

**L**

Laff, M., 174  
 Lake Forrest Corporate Education study, 23  
 Langlinais, T., 135  
 Lawler, E., III, 62  
 Lawver, T., 233  
 Leadersphere, 286  
 Lean Manufacturing, 52  
 Learning: analysis phase of formal and informal, 68*t*, 72, 93*t*;  
   implementation phase unlearning and, 69*t*, 80–81;  
   valuing the costs of informal, 160–161. *See also* Transfer  
   of training  
 Learning management systems (LMSs): courses curriculums  
   and impacting structure of, 227; description of, 31;  
   distinguishing CBT, TBT, and, 32; technical training is  
   being marketed as capacity of, 46; as technology capability,  
   147, 222; tracking required training using, 277; training  
   administrators to run, 200  
 Learning Transfer System Inventory (LTSI), 283, 284  
 Leaser, D., 4, 164, 168, 169  
 Leck, K., 24, 59  
 Lee, W., 210  
 Legitimacy issues, 49–51  
 Lesser, E., 57, 161  
 LexisNexis, 126  
 Light, D., 113  
 Littlejohn, M., 11  
 Live Communication Server 2005 (LCS), 263  
 Llorens, J., 47  
 Lock, K., 23  
 Lockheed Martin, 149  
 Losey, M., 233, 250  
 Louisiana State University, 283  
 Lovell, K., 170  
 Lufthansa Passage, 180  
 Lufthansa Technical Training (LTT) GmbH,  
   178–180, 274  
 Lufthansa Technik, 180

**M**

McGee, J., 125  
 McLagan, P., 281  
 McLean, C., 19  
 McNamara, D., 56  
 Maintenance manager training, 8, 28  
 Maizler, J., 196

Management: budget, 175–184; curriculum, 198, 200;  
   dispelling misconceptions about technical training  
   among, 236–239; influencing employee's self-efficacy  
   for training, 282; project, 195–196, 202*fig*, 203; talent,  
   55–58; technical training support by, 36; training  
   expectations of, 97, 100; transfer of training role of,  
   282–284; value proposition for training presented to,  
   62–64, 147–148*t*, 239, 244–246, 248, 261. *See also*  
   Organizations  
 Management training expectations: as funding issue,  
   154–156; on skill gaps addressed, 97, 100; for tangible  
   outcomes, 97  
 “Managing Your HR Career” study (SHRM), 54  
 Mandatory technical training, 276–278  
 Manijak, P., 212  
 ManTech University, 181  
 Mapping stakeholders, 115–117  
 Marketing competency: building, 232–234; specialization  
   areas of, 233  
 Marketing hurdles: building marketing competency to  
   overcome, 232–234; dispelling misconceptions to  
   overcome, 236–239; reading signs of diminishing value to  
   overcome, 239; underlying motivation for marketing to  
   overcome, 234–235; understanding the product to  
   overcome, 235–236  
 Marketing plans: development of a, 252–253; Microsoft  
   Unified Communications (UC) case study on, 262  
 Marketing principles: brand technical training, 248–252;  
   categorize and differentiate products, 247–248;  
   choosing the right marketing tools, 253–256; define  
   the target market, 246–247; describe the value  
   proposition, 244–246; developing marketing plan,  
   252–253  
 Marketing technical training: common mechanisms for,  
   256; common sense guidelines for, 256–260; hurdles to,  
   232–239; Microsoft Unified Communications (UC) case  
   study on, 261–263; principles of, 244–256; prospect of,  
   260; reasons and benefits of, 229–232; “Seven Lessons  
   Learned for Marketing Technical Training” (Johnson) on,  
   240–244. *See also* Target audience  
 Masie, E., 41, 235  
 Measurability differentiator, 69*t*, 84–85, 94*t*  
 Media specialists, 202*fig*, 203  
 Medical training, 11  
 Meisinger, S., 233, 250  
 Mentoring, 271  
 Microsoft, 76, 105  
 Microsoft Certified System Engineers (MCSEs), 263  
 Microsoft MCSE program, 242  
 Microsoft Unified Communications (UC), 261–263  
 Microsoft Ward technical training, 8, 28  
 Miller, B., 178  
 Mission statements: definition of, 129; development of, 130*t*;  
   strategy and role of, 128–129  
 Mitchell, J., 233  
 Moore, J., 116*fig*  
 Moore, M., 269  
 Morgan, M., 123  
 Morris, J., 289  
 Morrissey, G., 129  
 Morrow, J., 64, 174

- Motivation: emotional drivers of, 104–105, 240, 256, 257–259; of engineers to take non-technical ASME courses, 20–22; marketing, 234–235; WIIFM (“What’s in it for me?”), 104–105, 240, 256
- Murphy, J., 234, 245
- N**
- Nancherla, A., 63
- National Institute for Automotive Service Excellence (ASE), 139
- Neale, H., 30
- Needs: categories of training, 212, 214–216, 217*t*; Hitachi Data Systems (HDS) case study on training, 212–214; identifying technical training, 209–212; prioritizing technical training, 37, 219–221; stakeholder training, 96–98, 100–103, 210; training needs analysis, 210–212; training needs assessment, 210
- Newstrom, J., 279
- Nextel, 52
- Nickerson, D., 250, 252
- Nickols, F., 286
- Norton, D., 124
- “Not-invented-here” syndrome, 77
- Novell, 242
- NVIDIA Corporation, 156
- O**
- Oberstein, S., 190
- Objectives: business, 125–127; strategic objectives (SOs), 130–131
- O’Driscoll, T., 175, 246
- Operating models: definition of, 135; overview of training, 136*fig*–137; purpose of, 135
- Operational technical training need, 212, 215, 217*t*
- Opportunity costs, 163
- Organization development (OD), 33
- Organizational structures: collaboration and governance, 105–112; training function, 188–192
- Organizational training factors: involvement in technology implementations, 51–52; legitimacy of technical training, 49–51; ownership of technology/enabling activities, 48–49; role in continuous improvement initiatives, 52–53
- Organizational training functions: centralization versus decentralization, 190–191; horizontal business functions, 191–192; reporting models of, 188–190
- Organizations: collaboration training provided to, 109–110; dispelling misconceptions about technical training in, 236–239; environmental factors of, 38–48; human resources partnership with training in, 110–111; leveraging training policies and processes of, 157–159; structure of the training function in, 188–192; training capabilities of, 54–62, 192–196, 222, 227; training challenges faced by, 35–38; training collaboration/governance in, 105–112, 121; transformation, 260. *See also* Employees; Human resource (HR); Management
- Ortiz, S., Jr., 308
- OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration), 277–278
- Osterwalder, A., 132
- O’Toole, J., 62
- Overarching differentiators: cost, 69*t*, 86–87, 94*t*; expertise, 69*t*, 87, 94*t*; listed, 69*t*, 94*t*; trust, 69*t*, 87–88, 94*t*
- Owens, D., 210
- Ownership, 156–157
- Oxholm, C., III, 290
- P**
- Palmer, L., 132
- Paolo, T., 114*fig*, 115, 116*fig*, 117
- Paraglider, A., 25
- “Parking” employees, 87
- Parsons, C., 132
- Peacocke, S., 43, 105–106
- Peer mentors, 271
- Pelster, B., 174–175
- Penn, M. L., 53
- Performance Support Systems, Inc., 81
- Performance support systems technology, 147
- Personal effectiveness training: common complaints of, 19; definition of, 17; measurability of, 69*t*, 84–85; professional benefits of, 17–19; as technical training category, 26*fig*
- Peters, D., 135
- Peterson, M., 135
- Pharmaceutical company technical training, 14–16
- Phillips, J., 173
- Phillips, P. P., 173
- Phillip’s ROI methodology, 173
- Pilgrim, S., 156–157
- Piloting training, 266–267
- Planning horizon differentiator, 68*t*, 72, 93*t*
- Porkert, G., 201, 203–204
- Prange, D., 204
- Precision Dynamics International, 192
- Pretera, G., 155, 177
- Prioritizing technical training: challenges related to, 37; closed-loop communication for, 221; decision making for, 219–221; factors to consider when, 220*t*
- Procedure content, 26, 27*t*
- Process capabilities, 143–145
- Processes content, 27*t*
- Product development: description of, 29; technical training on, 29–30
- Products: categorizing and differentiating, 247–248; development of, 29–30; marketing by understanding the, 235–236; portfolio of training services and, 137–138
- Professional trainers. *See* Technical training professionals
- Project/program management (PM), 223
- Project/program managers (PMs): design role across ADDIE phases, 224*t*; skills required by, 195–196; technical training roles of, 198, 199; technical training solutions through methodology of, 223; Vangent’s three-legged stool model on, 202*fig*, 203
- R**
- Rail industry technical training, 103–105
- Ready, D., 128
- Recruiting. *See* Technical training recruiting
- Reengineering the Training Function* (Shandler), 124–125
- Refresh cycles: as differentiator, 69*t*, 79, 93*t*; effort to shorten, 230

- Release timing, 69*t*, 81–82, 93*t*
- Remote technical training team, 205–206*t*
- Return of investment (ROI): as budget management issue, 172–175; evaluating training by measuring, 173, 286–287; Phillip's ROI methodology use of, 173; technical training, 69*t*, 84–85, 94*t*
- “The Return on Investment of Technical Training” (Morrow, Jarret, and Rupinski), 174
- Revolutionary technical training, 69*t*, 78–79, 93*t*
- Ringo, T., 57, 161
- Rohr, B., 103–104
- Rossett, A., 211
- Rothwell, W., 4, 12, 43, 51, 73, 84, 85, 127, 156, 191, 223
- Rupinski, M., 64, 174
- S**
- Sadykhova, I., 76
- Sandie, B., 88, 271
- SAP, 46
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act training, 8, 27
- Sarrel, M., 59
- “Scarcity mentality,” 258
- Schweyer, A., 57, 161
- Seamon, T., 274
- Self-paced simulations, 273
- Selix, G., 79
- “Seven Lessons Learned for Marketing Technical Training” (Johnson), 240–244
- Shandler, D., 124
- Sheldon, L., 200
- SHRM (Society for Human Resource Management): “Managing Your HR Career” study by, 54; talent management as defined by, 56; training data available through, 255; training as defined by, 8–9, 11
- Simulation technology, 147, 273–274
- Siviy, J., 53
- Six Sigma, 52
- Skill capabilities: building marketing competency and, 232–234; to execute training strategies, 142–143; of the technical training staff, 192–196
- Smalley, K., 211
- SMART Boards, 290
- SMART Technologies ULC, 290
- Social networking, 274–275
- Special Report (2008), 274
- Spilotro, K., 23, 24, 59
- Sponsors: championing training, 105–106; stakeholder involvement with, 98*t*
- Staff: managing the technical training team, 205–208; recruiting sources for, 204; structure of training function and role of, 188–192; technical training recruiting by, 196–200; technical training skills of, 192–196; Vangent's three-legged stool model of training, 201–204
- Staffing ratios, 197*t*–198
- Stakeholder engagement: case study on, 103–105; relationship skills for, 196; team approach to, 117–119; ways of facilitating, 259–260; WIIFM and emotional drivers of, 104–105, 240, 256, 257–259
- Stakeholder training needs: executive expectations on tangible outcomes, 97; gathering input on, 210; manager on having skill gaps addressed, 97, 100; overview of, 96–97; technical experts wanting accurate content, 101–102; technical leaders need for technology support, 100–101; volunteer instructor (VI) providing training, 102–103
- Stakeholders: brand experience of, 249–250; closed-loop communication with, 221; collaboration and governance structures of training and, 105–112, 121; definition of technical training, 50; different technical training expectations by, 247–248; forms of involvement by, 98*t*–99*t*; identification and classification of, 113–117; Influence-Interest Grid of, 114*fig*–115; mapping, 115–117; marketing feedback from, 254–255; support and buy-in by, 36, 112–120; technical training life cycle participation by, 227; technical training needs of, 96–98, 100–103, 210; techniques for managing, 119–120; training readiness of, 220*t*; WIIFM (“What's in it for me?”) and emotional drivers of, 104–105, 240, 256, 257–259. *See also* Employees; Technical training
- Stambaugh, B., 124
- Standard Insurance Company, 41
- Standardization, 69*t*, 79–80, 93*t*
- State of the Industry survey (2008) [ASTD], 47, 97, 170
- Steering committees, 111–112, 158
- Stimulus Response Team (IBM Global Business Services), 11
- Stone, R., 173
- Stone, S., 19
- Straker, D., 114
- Strandy, K., 272
- Strategic objectives (SOs), 130–131
- Strategic technical training needs, 212, 214, 217*t*
- Strategies: alignment to business objectives, 125–127; business and operating models of, 132–137; capabilities to execute, 142–147; definition of, 124–125; guiding principles of, 131–132; objectives of, 130–131; portfolio of training products/services used with, 137–138; strategic planning process, 127–128; technical training roadmap for using, 140–141*fig*; Toyota Motor Sales USA, Inc. case study on, 139–140; vision and mission statements components of, 128–130*t*
- Strategy capabilities: business process components of, 145; process-related, 143–145; skill-related, 142–143; technology-related, 146–148*t*
- Structures: governance and collaboration, 105–112; LMSs (learning management systems), 31–32, 46, 147, 200, 222, 227, 277; organizational, 105–112, 188–192
- Subject-matter experts (SMEs): accurate training content role of, 101–102; contextualization by, 69*t*, 76, 93*t*; course development considerations by, 224, 226*t*; definition of, 101; efforts to increase pool of, 230; granularity of content challenge for, 69*t*, 75, 93*t*; HRD (human resource development) access to, 111; importance of training involvement by, 288; instructional designers working with, 198, 199–200, 201–202*fig*; as peer mentors, 271; piloting versus content validation by, 266; sharing training development information with, 225; suitability as VIs, 269; training as “extracurricular activity” for, 2259. *See also* Technical training professionals; Volunteer instructors (VIs)
- Succession planning process, 57

- Sugure, B., 175, 246  
 Summative training evaluation, 285–286  
 Sun Microsystems, 79  
 Sussman, D., 49, 177, 233  
 Swanson, R., 33
- T**
- Tagliatela College of Engineering, 24  
 Talent management: as HR focus, 55; integrating technical training into, 55–58*t*; recruiting and hiring process of, 56; SHRM's definition of, 56; succession planning process of, 57; training aspect of, 56–57  
 Target audience: defining the market and, 246–247; importance of marketing to your, 243; Microsoft Unified Communications (UC) case study on, 262; of training vendors, 247. *See also* Marketing technical training  
*the Targeted Evaluation Process: A Performance Consultant's Guide to Asking the Right Questions and Getting the Results You Trust* (Combs & Falletta), 288  
 Targeting potential learners, 243  
 Taxonomy: definition and function of classic, 33; developing a training, 33–34  
 Team charter: description of, 106–107; sample of curriculum maintenance, 107–109  
 Teams: advisory, 106–109; charter on roles and expectations of, 107–109; stakeholder engagement through, 117–119; steering committees, 111–112. *See also* Collaboration training structure; Technical training team  
 Technical experts. *See* Subject-matter experts (SMEs); Volunteer instructors (VIs)  
 Technical functional training: definition of, 12–13; observed benefits of, 16; as technical training category, 26*fig* *Technical Skills Training Magazine* (ASTD), 45  
 Technical trainers, 198, 200. *See also* Technical training professionals  
 Technical training: assessing needs for, 96–98, 100–103, 209–227; branding, 248–252; characterizing at a pharmaceutical company, 14–16; closed-loop communication on, 221; collaboration and governance structures of, 105–112, 121; cost issues of, 43–44, 69*t*, 86–87, 94*t*, 159–177, 236–237; definitions of, 8–12; demystifying the process of, 1–5; developing a common language for, 33–34; dispelling myths of, 38; employee retention and, 165–166; evaluating, 69*t*, 78–86, 94*t*, 99*t*, 173, 175–176, 278–288; evolution of, 40–42; human resources partnering in, 110–111; legitimacy of, 49–51; mandatory and compliance-driven, 276–278; marketing, 229–263; perception versus reality of, 42–45; prioritizing, 37, 219–221; products and services portfolio used for, 137–138; providing employees with options for, 103; return of investment (ROI) on, 69*t*, 84–85, 94*t*, 172–175; strategies for, 123–149; three key areas of, 25–26*fig*; value proposition of, 16, 62–64, 147–148*t*, 239, 244–246, 248, 261; vendor-supplied, 223–224, 275–276; WHIFM (“What’s in it for me?”) question on, 104–105, 240, 256. *See also* Employees; Stakeholders; Training  
 Technical training activities: customer training, 30–31; human resource generalist work, 32–33; product development, 29–30; scheduling of, 231; technology and computer-based training, 31–32  
 Technical training case studies: driving technical excellence at Electronic Arts, 88–91; getting stakeholders to embrace technical training in rail industry, 103–105; Hitachi Data Systems (HDS) on training needs, 212–214; learning technology at Drexel University, 289–293; Lufthansa Technical Training (LTT) GmbH funding, 178–180, 274; making technical training priority at TriQuint Semiconductor, 64–66; Microsoft Unified Communications (UC), 261–263; motivating engineers to take non-technical ASME courses, 20–22; technical training at Toyota Motor Sales, 139–140; Vangent’s three-legged stool model, 201–204  
 Technical training categories: business training as, 22–25, 26*fig*; personal effectiveness training as, 17–18, 26*fig*; Technical functional training, 12–13, 16, 26*fig*  
 Technical training challenges: dispelling myths of technical training as, 38; sources of, 35; training professionals’ perspective on, 36–37  
 Technical training content: advisory teams developing, 106–107; ASTD classifications of, 44; availability of, 69*t*, 74–75, 93*t*; concept, 27*t*; factual information, 27*t*; granularity of, 69*t*, 75, 93*t*; need for technically accurate, 101–102, 231; nonrelevance of vendor-supplied, 281–282; piloting, 266–268; procedure, 26, 27*t*; processes, 27*t*; sample team charter for maintenance of curriculum, 107–109; stakeholder role in development of, 99*t*; technology-enabled development of, 148*t*; VI exposure to, 269. *See also* Curriculum managers  
 Technical training courses: “build versus buy” decision on, 223–224; categorizing into curriculums, 225–227; cost issues of, 43–44, 69*t*, 86–87, 94*t*, 159–177, 236–237; development considerations for, 226*t*; funding, 37, 46–48, 98*t*, 175–180; products and services portfolio for, 137–138; stakeholder’s participation throughout life cycle of, 227. *See also* Technical training programs  
 Technical training delivery: challenges related to, 37; evaluating, 278–288; modes of, 270–278; preparation for, 265–270; stakeholder role in, 99*t*  
 Technical training delivery modes: classroom, e-learning, and blended training, 270–272; hands-on components and simulations, 272–274; mandatory and compliance-driven training, 276–278; social networking and collaborative technology, 274–275; vendor-supplied technical training, 275–276  
 Technical training delivery preparation: establishing a VI base, 267–269; piloting training solutions, 266–267; preparing instructors for, 269–270  
 Technical training design: building technical training courses, 223–225; challenges related to, 36–37; developing technical training programs, 222–223. *See also* Design phase (ADDIE model)  
 Technical training differentiators: ADDIE model and overarching, 69*t*, 86–88; ADDIE phases and, 68*t*–69*t*, 70–86; during analysis phase, 68*t*, 70–74; description of the, 67–68; during design phase, 69*t*, 74–78; during development phase, 69*t*, 78–80; during evaluation phase, 69*t*, 84–86; during implementation phase, 69*t*, 80–83  
 Technical training factors: capability aspects, 54–62, 147, 192–196, 222, 227; environmental, 38–48; organizational

- considerations, 48–53; value proposition for training, 62–64, 147–148*t*, 239, 244–246, 248, 261
- Technical training needs: assessing stakeholder, 96–98, 100–103, 210; career development, 212, 215–216, 217*t*; categories of, 212, 214–216, 217*t*; competency development, 212, 215, 217*t*; Hitachi Data Systems (HDS) case study on, 212–214; identifying, 209–212; needs assessment considerations, 218*t*; operational, 212, 215, 217*t*; opportunities for data gathering on, 216, 218; prioritizing, 37, 219–221; strategic, 212, 214, 217*t*; training needs analysis, 210–212; training needs assessment, 210
- Technical training portfolio: description and functions of, 137–138; listed, 138
- Technical training professionals: curriculum managers, 198, 200, 224*t*, 226*t*; hiring and development of, 208; instructional designers, 198, 199–200, 201–203*fig*, 224*t*; perspective on, 36–37; project/program managers (PMs), 195–196, 198, 199, 202*fig*, 203, 224*t*; recruiting, 197*t*–198, 198–200, 204; recruiting by and for, 197*t*–200, 204; technical trainers, 198, 200; train-the-trainer (TTT) sessions for, 269–270; types of, 198–200. *See also* Subject-matter experts (SMEs); Technical training team; Training; Volunteer instructors (VIs)
- Technical training programs: assessing needs and prioritizing, 37, 96–98, 100–103, 209–218; “build versus buy” decision on, 223–224; designing, 36–37, 68*t*–93*t*, 222–227; employee retention and, 165–166; evaluation of, 284–288; products and services portfolio for, 137–138; stakeholder’s participation throughout life cycle of, 227. *See also* Technical training courses
- Technical training recruiting: for common technical training jobs, 198–200; sources for, 204; staffing ratios and, 197*t*–198
- Technical training roadmap, 140–141*fig*
- Technical training skills: business skills for credibility, 193–194; to execute training strategies, 142–143; project management skills for discipline, 195–196; relationship skills for stakeholder engagement, 196; team development of, 206–208; technical skills for accuracy, 194–195; training skills for expertise, 193
- Technical training team: managing the, 205–208; recruiting sources for, 204; remote management of, 205–206*t*; structure of training function and role of, 188–192; technical training recruiting by, 196–200; technical training skills of, 192–196; Vangent’s three-legged stool model of, 201–204. *See also* Teams; Technical training professionals
- Technology: content authoring/management systems, 147; evolutionary versus revolutionary, 69*t*, 78–79; groupware/ collaborative, 147, 274–275; ownership as technical training issue, 48–49; performance support systems, 147; simulation, 147, 273–274; technical training role in implementing, 51–52; training for support of, 100–101; TransferLogix, 282–284
- Technology capabilities: content development enabled by, 148*t*; training strategies requiring, 146–147
- Technology-based training (TBT): description of, 31; distinguishing CBT, LMS, and, 32; hands-on components of, 272–273; simulations as, 147, 273–274
- Technstream training (Toyota Motor Sales), 272
- Tektronix, 102
- Templeton, D., 154
- 1080 Group, LLC, 248
- Thomas, R., 113
- Three-dimensional graphics technology, 147
- Three-legged stool model, 201–204
- Total Quality Management (TQM), 52
- Toyota Motor Sales USA, Inc., 139–140, 271–272
- Train-the-trainer (TTT), 269–270
- Training: ASME courses for, 20–22; ASTD estimates on annual costs of, 43–44; business, 22–25, 26*fig*; CBT (computer-based training), 31, 32; customer, 30–31; IT (information technology), 13; personal effectiveness, 17–19, 26*fig*, 69*t*, 84–85; SHRM’s definition of, 8–9, 11; technical functional, 12–13, 16, 26*fig*; technical versus non-technical, 166–170; transfer of, 99*t*, 278–284; vendor-supplied, 223–224, 281–282. *See also* Technical training; Technical training professionals
- Training administrators, 198, 200
- Training executive, 198–199
- Training Industry, Inc., 42, 84, 157, 229, 255
- Training manager, 198, 199
- Training needs analysis, 210–212
- Training needs assessment, 210
- Training professionals. *See* Technical training professionals
- Training skills. *See* Technical training skills
- Training taxonomy, 34
- Transfer of training: description of, 278; evolving definitions of, 279; Learning Transfer System Inventory (LTSI) of, 283, 284; management role in, 282–284; means and functions of technical, 278–279; research on, 280*t*–281*t*; stakeholder role in, 99*t*; TransferLogix technology for managing, 283–284. *See also* Learning
- TransferLogix, 282–284
- Transformation organizations, 260
- Transit industry blending training, 272
- Trigger differentiator, 68*t*, 71
- TriQuint Semiconductor, 64–66
- Trolley, E. A., 160
- Trust: closed-loop communication to build, 221; as overarching differentiator, 69*t*, 87–88, 94*t*
- Turner, C., 126
- ## U
- Ulrich, D., 233, 250
- University of New Haven, 24
- University of Pennsylvania, 235
- Unlearning, 69*t*, 80–81
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 277, 287
- U.S. News & World Report*, 289
- ## V
- Value proposition for training: ASTD study on perceptions of, 245–246; creating, 62–64; examples of technical training benefits, 147–148*t*; marketing and establishing the, 244–246; Microsoft Unified Communications (UC) case study on, 261; multiple benefits of training, 248; reading signs of diminishing, 239
- Vance, D., 127, 131

- Vendor training: “build versus buy” decision on, 223–224; nonrelevant information included in, 281–282; technical training through, 275–276
- Vendors: “build versus buy” courses from, 223–224; reducing prices of, 183–184; target markets of, 247; technical training supplied by, 275–276; 1080 Group, LLC, 248
- Vision statements: definition of, 129; development of, 130; strategy and role of, 128–129
- VOLT Resource Website, 22
- VOLT (Volunteer Orientation and Leadership Training Academy), 21
- Volunteer instructors (VIs): as ADDIE model implementation role of, 69*t*, 82–83, 93*t*; definition of, 102; developing pool of, 143; efforts to increase pool of, 230; establishing a base of, 267–269; importance of training involvement by, 288; making engagement easy for, 259; sharing training development information with, 225; SMEs suitability as, 269; stakeholder instruction using expertise of, 102–103; technical experts chosen as, 200; training delivery preparation of, 269–270. *See also* Subject-matter experts (SMEs); Technical training professionals
- Vona, M., 175, 246
- W**
- Waagen, A., 162, 177
- Wang, C., 23, 24, 59
- Wang, G., 285, 286
- Watson, T. J., 5
- Waterfall training deployment, 69*t*, 83, 93*t*, 231
- Weatherly, L., 32, 33, 173, 175
- Weaver, P., 73
- Web conferencing technology, 147
- WebEx training, 272
- Weinstein, M., 272
- Wells, S., 4
- “What HPLOs Know” (Sussman), 49
- Wickman, E., 19, 47, 48
- WIIFM (“What’s in it for me?”), 104–105, 240, 256
- Wilcox, D., 285, 286
- Wilson, J., 214, 221, 287
- Woods, E., 34
- Y**
- Yamkovenko, B., 283