

## INDEX

### A

- ABET
- design experience guidelines
    - established by, 97, 98, 114, 131
  - engineering education reform role of, 211
  - engineering practice as defined by, 3
  - engineering program accreditation standards of, 177
  - on lab role in engineering curriculum, 61
  - on learning goals for professionalism, 140
  - on professional ethics education, 136, 137, 138, 140, 159, 163
  - teamwork and communication standards of, 203
- Accountability value, 141–142
- Adams, J., 101, 109, 120
- Agogino, A., 127, 128, 199
- Altshuller, G., 102
- American Association of Community Colleges, 175
- American Association of State Colleges and Universities, 175
- American Council on Education, 175
- American Society for Engineering Education, 31
- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), 142
- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) code of ethics, 167
- Analytic problem solving
  - “knowing how,” 34–37
  - “knowing why” intuition for, 36–37
  - learning how to perform, 36
  - learning to generate models on, 35–36
- Approximations of practice, 200–202
- ASME Annual Conference, 208
- Assessment
  - aligning learning goals with, 44
  - challenge of group, 85–87
  - design, 119–133
  - of design thinking, 125–126
  - of ethics instruction, 158–159
  - exams used as part of, 40–43, 83
  - using formative, 44–45
  - homework assignments used for, 40–43, 53–54
  - labor reports used for, 83–95
  - peer, 85, 121
  - quizzes used as part of, 83
  - summative, 44
  - See also* Grading
- Association of American Universities, 175
- Association for Computing Machines
  - ethics codes, 166
- Astin, A., 153, 161
- Athanasίου, T., 27
- Atkins, T., 196
- Atman, C., 130
- AutoCAD, 120

### B

- Baillie, C., 63
- Barney, D., 8, 148

- Beakley, G., 21  
 Bella, D., 139  
 Besterfield-Sacre, M., 9  
 B.F. Goodrich brake design case, 167  
 “Big D” design learning, 100  
 Boeing Corporation, 97  
 Bordogna, J., 3  
 Boyer, E., 209  
 Bransford, J., 24, 52, 97, 189, 199  
 Brent, R., 209  
 Brodeur, D., 210  
 Brophy, S., 199  
 Brown, A., 24, 52, 94, 97, 189  
 Brown, J., 89  
 Brown, J. S., 184  
 Bucciarelli, L., 6, 14, 21, 59, 98, 128  
 Bureau of Labor Statistics, 3
- C**
- Caffarella, R., 52  
 Cal Poly  
   building communication skills, 80–81  
   capstone experiences at, 114, 116  
   engineering design implications explored at, 107  
   learning to acquire professional attitudes, 67  
   stand-alone lab courses at, 71  
 Candidate solutions  
   evaluating and implementing, 103–104  
   generating, 102–103  
   *See also* Problem solving  
 Capstone design courses  
   assessing and grading, 119–133  
   CMU’s capstone analysis course, 54–55  
   description of, 111  
   first-year, 114–118, 128–129  
   senior-level capstone experiences, 114–118  
     in sophomore and junior years, 130–133  
     University of Michigan’s senior, 106–107  
   *See also* Engineering courses;  
     Engineering design  
 Capstone experiences  
   building students’ design, 132–133  
   integration and student ownership of, 115–116  
   major outcomes of, 116–118  
   organization of, 114–115  
   as transitional moment, 118  
 Cardella, M., 197  
 Carleton University, 127  
 Carlson, L., 97, 205  
 The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, 180  
 Carnegie Mellon University (CMU)  
   bringing professional practice into classroom at, 54  
   capstone experiences at, 114  
   ethical education approach taken by, 164–165  
   first-year design courses at, 129  
   freshman design courses at, 112  
   laboratory work at, 65, 67, 89  
 Carpenter, D., 150  
 Case study pedagogy  
   analytic frameworks/heuristics used with, 165–168  
   cautionary cases used in, 151  
   description of, 150–151  
   new point of view and moral dilemmas of, 151–152  
 Cautionary case studies, 151  
*Challenger* disaster case, 151  
 Cham, J., 125  
 Chandrupatla, T., 132, 196  
 Chaplin, C., 102, 103  
 Chen, H., 122  
 “Citizen-engineers,” 139–140  
 Classroom issues  
   environmental impact, 149

- examples from faculty on, 149–150
  - overview of, 146–148
  - public safety responsibility, 148–149
  - Coaching, 186–187
  - Cochran, K., 32
  - Cocking, R., 24, 52, 97, 189
  - Cognitive apprenticeship
    - adopting the, 187–189
    - learning process of, 23, 184–185
    - professional formation through, 188–189
  - Cognitive development, 24–26
  - Collaborative work
    - challenge of assessing lab report, 85–87
    - engineering practice of, 6, 8
    - laboratory work through, 81
    - See also* Teamwork
  - Collins, A., 89, 184
  - Communication skills
    - ABET standards on, 203
    - design-related, 105–106
    - exemplified during design projects, 122
    - lab reports used to develop, 83–85
    - laboratory work development of, 66, 80–81
    - peer assessment of, 85
  - Competency value, 141–142
  - Complex engineering systems
    - laboratory problem solving in, 64–65, 79*fig*–80
    - virtual modeling and simulations of, 92–94
  - Computer aided design (CAD)
    - software, 110–111
  - Conceive-Design-Implement-Operate framework, 210
  - Coordination of laboratories, 73–74
  - Coyle, E., 132, 196
  - Crawley, E., 210
  - Csikszentmihalyi, M., 196
  - Curriculum. *See* Engineering curriculum
- D**
- Damon, W., 196
  - Dean, R., 58
  - Deductive method of teaching, 39–40*fig*, 46
  - Delta Design (game), 128
  - Demel, J., 205, 210
  - Demonstrations, 76*fig*
  - DeRego, F., 132
  - Design assessment
    - communication component of, 122
    - components of, 119–120
    - effort component of, 122–123
    - of student's progress, 123
    - teamwork component of, 120–122
  - Design process
    - description of engineering, 104
    - spiral model of product development and, 105*fig*
  - Design projects
    - assessment of, 119–133
    - grading, 123–125
    - learning facilitated by, 109–110
    - learning goals of, 110–111
    - as project-centered learning (PCL) centerpiece, 108–109
    - as safe place to fail, 110
  - Design skills development, 131–132
  - Design thinking
    - assessing, 125–126
    - defining the problem using, 101–102
    - description of, 100–101
    - evaluating and implementing candidate solutions using, 103–104
    - generating candidate solutions using, 102–103
    - See also* Engineering design
  - Designing Engineers* (Bucciarelli), 6

“Divergent thinking,” 102  
 Dominick, P., 122  
 Downey, G., 9, 176, 189, 203, 204  
 Drexel University, 198  
 Dreyfus, H., 27  
 Dreyfus, S., 27  
 Duderstadt, J., 22, 170  
 Duguid, p., 184  
 Dym, C., 3, 100, 102, 111, 130

## E

Effort assessment, 122–123  
*The Engineer of 2020* (NAE), 170  
*Engineering for a Changing World* (Duderstadt), 170  
 Engineering codes of ethics  
   ASME, 167  
   Association for Computing Machines, 166  
   educational component of, 14  
   focus of, 8  
   as heuristics, 166–168  
   IEEE’s, 142, 146, 166  
 Engineering concepts  
   laboratory work for learning, 63–65, 75–77  
   learning the fundamental, 32–33  
   learning to apply, 33–34  
   learning to articulate in mathematical terms, 33  
   making cognitive leap to understanding, 43–45  
   networked model used to teach, 197  
 Engineering courses  
   effective use of ethics, 162–163  
   foundational core and goals of, 29–30  
   “knowing how” concepts in, 31–32*fig*, 34–37  
   “knowing that” concepts in, 31–34  
   MEL (Multidisciplinary Engineering Laboratory), 72–73, 77–78  
   tools and knowledge provided by, 31–32  
   *See also* Capstone design courses; Laboratory courses  
 Engineering curriculum  
   case study pedagogy used in, 150–152, 165–168  
   chronic issues of, 22–23  
   curricular strategies of, 145–146  
   deductive method of teaching, 39–40*fig*  
   design as part of, 97–98, 99–107  
   fundamentals of, 11–12  
   liberal arts component of, 13–14  
   linear components model of, 14–16  
   message regarding ethical development by the, 159–160  
   practicing design projects as part of, 13  
   putting theory before practice, 13, 19, 24  
   technical orientation of, 18–21  
   weaving design experiences throughout, 133  
   *See also* Laboratory work; Project-centered learning (PCL); Teaching  
 Engineering design  
   “big D” and “little d” learning of, 100  
   freshman courses on, 111–114  
   move to increasing exposure to, 97–98  
   process of, 104, 105*fig*  
   senior-level courses on, 114–118  
   skills related to, 104–106  
   societal and ethical implications of, 106–107  
   varying student exposure to, 99–100  
   *See also* Capstone design courses; Design thinking; Project-centered learning (PCL)

- Engineering education
- aligning professional practice to, 17, 175–178
  - commonalities between medical, 180–181
  - creating usable knowledge, 179–190
  - exploring weaknesses and strengths of, 169–171
  - framework for evaluating, 27
  - linear components model of, 11–16, 170–171
  - a more effective approach to teaching ethics, 161–168
  - networked components model of, 170–171, 191–206
  - traditional approach of, 1–2, 19–20
  - See also* Engineering programs
- Engineering education reform
- addressing deficiencies of linear model for, 173–175
  - administrative leadership role in, 209–210
  - bringing professional practice forward through, 212
  - faculty member on need for, 38
  - five key insights for, 175–178
  - the journey to, 207–212
  - moving from understanding to action for, 26–27
  - national leadership role in, 211–212
  - networked components model as, 170–171, 191–206
  - practitioners and industry role in, 210–211
  - providing professional spine, 194
- Engineering education research
- on cognitive development, 24–26
  - on learning sciences contributions, 24
  - what experts know, 23–24
- Engineering ethics
- codes of, 8, 14, 142
  - core values and, 141–144
  - faculty attitudes about, 156–160
  - faculty comments on, 137
  - using heuristics to teach, 165–168
  - importance of, 135–136
  - institutional intentionality and attention to, 163–165
  - learning goals for, 140–141
  - as more effective educational approach to, 161–168
  - as national issue, 160–161
  - quandary ethics approach to teaching, 163
  - See also* Professionalism/ professional practice
- Engineering knowledge
- design courses developing usable technical, 130–131
  - design courses integrating skills and, 133
  - developing for professional practice, 37–38
  - distinguishing features of, 5–6
  - integration from other disciplines changing, 6
  - “knowing how,” 31–32*fig*, 34–37
  - “knowing that,” 31–34
  - “knowing why,” 36–37
  - types of, 7*t*
  - usable, 179–190, 198–205
  - See also* Learning
- Engineering practice
- aligning education with, 17, 175–178
  - capstone experiences to build skills for, 117
  - changing to a systems understanding of, 3–4
  - collaboration and team work in, 6, 8
  - core values of, 141–142
  - description of, 3
  - developing knowledge for, 37–38
  - globalization of, 9
  - industrial and professional growth of, 20–21

- Engineering practice (*continued*)
- integrating ethics with experiences of, 161–162
  - laboratory work for developing skills related to, 62–65
  - laboratory work used to explore, 88–95
  - macro- and microethical dimensions of, 138–140
  - network model for approximations to, 200–201
  - preparing for the new-century, 10, 156–168
  - shift to new perspective of, 4–5
  - teaching by introducing professional, 54–55
  - teaching core professional values of, 143–144
  - See also* New-century engineers; Professionalism/professional practice
- Engineering practice-related skills
- learning the fundamental concepts, 63
  - learning to use concepts to solve practical problems, 63–64
  - learning to work with complex engineering systems, 64–65
- Engineering principles
- deductive method of teaching, 39–40 *fig*
  - inductive method of, 49–51, 50 *fig*, 52
  - learning in context for application of, 51–54
- Engineering profession
- aligning education with practice of, 17, 175–178
  - characteristics of new-century, 8–10
  - expanding the vision of the, 175
  - preparing for practice by new-century, 10, 156–168
  - professional identity and, 203–205
- See also* Professionalism/professional practice
- The Engineering Profession* (Hoover and Fish), 67–68
- Engineering programs
- ABET accreditation standards for, 177
  - case study pedagogy of, 150–152
  - curricular strategies of, 145–146
  - key classroom issues of, 146–150
  - learning professionalism through service learning, 153–155
  - See also* Engineering education
- Engineering Projects in Community Service (EPICS) program, 132, 155, 162, 196
- Engineering skills
- communication-related, 66, 80–81, 83–85, 105–106, 122
  - design courses integrating knowledge and, 133
  - developing design, 131–132
  - learning professional attitudes and, 66–68, 81–82
- Engineering students
- cognitive development of, 24–26
  - from novice to competent practitioner, 24
  - helping them make the cognitive leap, 43–45
  - highest persistence rate of, 16
  - learning how to communicate, 66
  - learning to work in teams, 66–67
  - network model used to encourage discovery by, 202–205
  - persistence, healthy skepticism, and optimism developed by, 67–68, 81–82
  - scaffolding to facilitate learning by, 26–27
  - See also* Faculty
- Engineers' Council for Professional Development, 140

- Engineers Without Borders, 196  
 Environmental impact issue, 149  
 Erikson, E., 158  
 Eris, O., 102  
 Ethics. *See* Engineering ethics  
 Evans, D., 21  
 Exams  
   laboratory work, 83  
   traditional engineering education  
     use of, 40–43  
 Experiments  
   demonstrations and controlled,  
     76*fig*  
   importance of design and structure  
     of, 94  
   project-based and open-ended,  
     79*fig*  
   semi-structured, 78*fig*  
   virtual format using  
     modeling/simulation as, 92–94  
   *See also* Laboratory work  
 Eyster, J., 153
- F**
- Faculty  
   aligning assessment with learning  
     goals, 44  
   attitudes about ethics by, 156–160  
   comments on engineering ethics,  
     137  
   comments on grading design  
     projects, 123–124  
   comments regarding laboratory  
     work by, 61, 65, 67–68, 76–77  
   examples of classroom issues by,  
     149–150  
   examples of inductive teaching  
     given by, 51  
   labor report assessment by, 83–87  
   laboratory work as place to explore  
     teaching by, 94–95  
   laboratory work as providing new  
     instructor roles for, 94–95  
   meeting grading challenges, 45  
   on need for change in engineering  
     education, 38  
   scaffolding opportunities provided  
     by, 26–27  
   *See also* Engineering students;  
     Teaching  
 Fading, 186–187  
 Fairness value, 141–142  
 Farah, B., 33  
 Feisel, L., 91  
 Felder, R., 109, 199, 209  
 Fentiman, A., 205, 210  
*Finding Time* (Perlow), 6  
 Finelli, C., 150  
 FIRST, 196  
 First-year design courses  
   approach taking in, 111–114  
   project-centered learning for large,  
     129  
   starting with the basics, 128–129  
 Fish, J., 67, 174  
 Formative assessment, 44–45  
 Formula SAE, 196  
 Freshman design courses. *See*  
   First-year design courses  
 Freuler, R., 205, 210  
 Fromm, E., 210
- G**
- Gainsburg, J., 198  
 Gardner, H., 196  
 Gateway Curriculum Report Project,  
   210  
 General Motors, 203  
 Georgia Tech, 71, 85, 114, 115,  
   152  
 Gharabagi, R., 163  
 Giles, D., 153  
 Globalization  
   of engineering practice, 9  
   impact on American  
     competitiveness, 21

## Grading

- design projects, 123–125
- exams, homework, and, 40–43, 53–54, 83
- lab reports, 83–95
- meeting challenges of, 45
- See also* Assessment
- Grayson, L., 19, 21
- Grinter, L., 14, 22
- “Grinter report” (1955), 22
- Grossman, P., 58, 110, 200, 201
- Group assessment, 85–87

## H

- Hacel, E., 63
- Harding, T., 150
- Harris, T., 199
- Harvey Mudd College, 153–154, 155
- Healthy skepticism attitude, 67–68, 81–82
- Herbert, E., 126
- Herkert, J., 138, 148
- Heuristics case analysis, 165–168
- Hewitt, N., 15
- Higher Education Research Institute (UCLA), 161
- Hink, R., 8, 148
- Homework assignments
  - putting subject matter in context, 53–54
  - teaching role of, 40–43
- Hooke’s Law, 63
- Hoover, T., 67, 174
- Howard University, 81, 112, 204
- Howe, S., 154
- Hsi, S., 127
- Huang, L., 5, 32, 34
- Huber, M. T., 209
- Hughes, T., 4, 176
- Hutchings, P., 209
- Hyatt Regency walkway collapse case, 151

## I

- IEEE code of ethics, 142, 146
- IMPEC (Integrated Mathematics, Physics, and Engineering Curriculum), 199
- Inductively teaching
  - of engineering principles, 49–51
  - learning in context through, 52
- Integrated Teaching and Learning Lab (University of Colorado), 210
- International service learning, 153–154
- Irby, D., 180, 181

## J

- Jamieson, L., 132
- Jenkins, C., 139
- Johnson, D., 109
- Johnson, R., 109
- Jones, R., 9
- Journal of Chemical Education*, 199
- Junior design courses, 130–133

## K

- Kerns, D., 198
- Kerns, S., 198
- Kilgore, D., 130
- King, P., 24, 25
- King, R., 72
- Kipnis, K., 148
- Kirchhoff’s laws, 77
- Kitchener, K., 24, 25
- Knight, D., 97, 205
- “Knowing how”
  - analytic problem solving as, 34–37
  - components of, 31–32*fig*
- “Knowing that”
  - components of, 31–32*fig*
  - principles, theories, and concepts of, 32–34
- “Knowing why,” 36–37

- Knowledge. *See* Engineering knowledge
- Knowledge-based tools  
 AutoCAD, 120  
 CAD (computer aided design) software, 110–111  
 networked model approach to using, 197–198
- Koen, B., 7
- Kohlberg, L., 158
- Kopplin, J., 59
- Kosciuk, S., 199
- Kroes, P., 7
- Kuhn, S., 14, 21, 59
- L**
- Lab notebooks, 66
- Lab reports  
 additional considerations for assessing, 87  
 challenge of group assessment of, 85–87  
 content and communication assessment of, 83–85
- Laboratory courses  
 coordination and credits given for, 73–74  
 MEL sequence replicating professional practice, 72–73, 77–78  
 “open lab” format of, 89–91  
 series of teaching, 72  
 stand-alone, 71  
 virtual format of, 91–94  
*See also* Engineering courses
- Laboratory work  
 collaborative, 81  
 communication skills built through, 66, 80–81  
 with complex systems, 64–65, 79*fig*–80  
 using concepts to solve practical problems, 63–64, 77–79  
 engineering course enhanced by, 69–71  
 exploring professional practice through, 88–95  
 exploring teaching through, 94–95  
 faculty comments regarding, 61, 65, 67–68, 76–77  
 learning fundamental concepts through, 63–65, 75–77  
 learning goals of, 61–62*fig*, 75–82  
 networked model for, 194–195  
 nontechnical professional skills/attitudes learned through, 66–68, 81–82  
 “open lab” format for, 89–91  
 practice-related skills based on theory in, 62–65  
 traditional approach and purpose of, 57–59  
 virtual format of, 91–94  
*See also* Engineering curriculum; Experiments
- Lamacusa, J., 210
- Land-Grant Colleges, 175
- Lattuca, L., 14, 21, 173
- Layton, E., 5
- Learning  
 “big D” and “little d” design, 100  
 challenge of grading process of, 45  
 laboratory work for practice-related skills, 62–65  
 learning in context approach to, 51–54  
 nontechnical professional skills/attitudes, 66–68  
 project-centered, 108–118  
 service, 153–155  
*See also* Engineering knowledge; Project-centered learning (PCL)
- Learning Factory (Penn State), 210
- Learning goals  
 aligning assessment with, 44  
 laboratory work, 61–62*fig*, 75–82

- Learning goals (*continued*)  
 networked engineering education  
 model and integrated, 191–192  
 for professionalism and ethics,  
 140–141  
 project-centered learning (PCL),  
 110–111
- Learning sciences education  
 coaching and fading approach of,  
 186–187  
 cognitive apprenticeship approach  
 by, 184–185  
 development of expertise approach  
 of, 183–184  
 modeling approach of, 183–186  
 scaffolding used in, 186
- Linear components model  
 addressing deficiencies of the,  
 173–175  
 description of the traditional,  
 11–16  
 limitations of the, 173  
 moving to the networked  
 components model from,  
 170–171  
 “Little d” design learning, 100  
 Little, P., 3, 8, 148  
 Litzinger, T., 187
- M**
- McCabe, D., 150  
 McGinn, R., 160  
 McGourty, J., 9, 122  
 McKenna, A., 130, 199  
 McMartin, F., 199  
 McMasters, J., 97, 111  
 McNeill, B., 21  
 Malmquist, J., 210  
 Mann, C., 14, 15, 19, 39–40  
 Mann report (1918), 22  
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology,  
 210, 211  
 Mayhew, M., 150
- Medical education  
 circular and interpretive procedure  
 of, 181–182  
 commonalities between engineering  
 and, 180–181  
 guild of practitioners component of,  
 182–183
- MEL (Multidisciplinary Engineering  
 Laboratory) courses, 72–73,  
 77–78
- Merriam, S., 52  
 Millar, S., 199  
 Miller, R., 187, 198  
 Mines University, 112, 113, 114, 120,  
 164  
 Mini-Baja, 196  
 Mintzes, J., 199  
 Modeling, 92–94, 185–186  
 Montgomery, K., 181, 182
- N**
- Napster, 166  
 National Academy of Engineering  
 (NAE), 8, 9, 20, 67, 170, 211  
 National Academy of Medicine, 20  
 National Association of Independent  
 Colleges and Universities, 175  
 National Association of State  
 Universities, 175  
 National Effective Teaching Institute,  
 208  
 National Institutes of Health, 20  
 National Research Council’s Board on  
 Engineering Education, 22  
 National Science Foundation (NSF), 3,  
 20–21, 211  
 Need finding, 101  
 Neeley, L., 195  
 Networked components model  
 approximations of practice using  
 the, 200–202  
 bringing practice forward by using  
 the, 205–206

- designing the future of the field using, 193–205
  - encouraging students to draw connections using, 202–205
  - imagining the, 192–193*fig*
  - integrated learning goals used in, 191–192
  - linear model assumptions broken by, 170–171
  - usable knowledge developed using, 198–205
  - New-century engineers
    - characteristics of, 8–10
    - preparing for practice by, 10, 156–168
    - professional identity of, 203–205
    - See also* Engineering practice
  - Newman, S., 89, 184
  - Nontechnical professional skills/attitudes, 66–68, 81–82
  - North Carolina State University, 199
  - Novak, J., 199
- O**
- Oakes, W., 132
  - Oberst, B., 9
  - Ohland, M., 16, 199
  - Olin College, 198
  - Open laboratory format
    - in introductory-level courses, 91
    - learning through guided discovery using, 89–91
  - Open-ended experiments, 79*fig*
  - Optimism attitude, 67–68, 81–82
  - Oreovicz, F., 58, 64, 90
  - Ostlund, S., 210
- P**
- Palincsar, A., 94
  - Pascarella, E., 25, 26, 153
  - Passow, H., 150
  - Peer assessment, 85, 121
  - Penberthy, D., 199
  - Penn State Learning Factory, 210
  - Perlow, L., 6
  - Perry, W., 158
  - Persistence attitude, 67, 81–82
  - Peterson, G., 21
  - Phelps, E., 196
  - Pister, K., 21, 22
  - Portfolios. *See* Design projects
  - Prados, J., 21
  - Prereflective thinking, 25
  - Priest, S., 199
  - Prince, M., 209
  - Problem solving
    - design thinking approach to, 102–104
    - laboratory work with complex systems of, 64–65, 79*fig*–80
    - laboratory work using concepts for, 63–64, 77–79
    - learning analytic, 34–37
    - learning in context for, 51–54
    - solving problems versus, 47–49
    - teaching inductively for, 49–51
    - TRIZ theory of, 102
    - See also* Candidate solutions
  - Problem spotting, 101
  - Problems
    - design thinking to define the, 101–102
    - essential nature of, 101
    - types of capstone experience, 115
  - Professional skills. *See* Engineering skills
  - Professionalism/professional practice
    - core values of, 141–144
    - educational activities opening up, 189–190
    - learning goals for, 140–141
    - reform by bringing forward, 212
    - service learning to develop, 153–155

- Professionalism (*continued*)  
*See also* Engineering ethics;  
 Engineering practice; Engineering  
 profession
- Progress assessment, 123
- Project-based experiments, 79*fig*
- Project-based learning (PBL), 108
- Project-centered learning (PCL)  
 assessing design of, 119–133  
 CAD (computer aided design)  
 software used for, 110–111  
 challenges of first-year design,  
 128–129  
 description of, 108–109  
 design project as centerpiece of,  
 108–109  
 freshman design courses and,  
 111–114  
 learning facilitated by, 109–111  
 learning goals of, 110–111  
 networking functions of, 133  
 pros and cons of, 127–128  
 senior-level design courses and,  
 114–118  
 sophomore and junior years design,  
 130–133  
 weaving throughout engineering  
 curriculum, 133  
*See also* Engineering curriculum;  
 Engineering design; Learning
- Public safety responsibility, 148–149
- Purdue University, 132
- Q**
- Quandary ethics, 163
- Quasi-reflective thinking, 25
- Quebec Bridge failure case, 165
- Quinn, R., 198, 199
- Quizzes, laboratory work, 83
- R**
- Redish, E., 37, 198
- Reflective judgment, 24–25
- Reflective thinking, 25
- Reform. *See* Engineering education  
 reform
- Reilly, R., 122
- Responsibility  
 as core value, 141–142  
 public safety, 148–149
- Richkus, R., 128
- Riley, D., 154
- Rosa, A., 91
- Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology,  
 127
- Rosenthal, L., 89
- Rowan Engineering Clinic, 132
- Rowan University, 132, 196, 209
- Rubenstein, M., 6
- Rugarcia, A., 109
- Ryle, G., 5
- S**
- Saffer, D., 100–101
- Samaan, N., 33
- Sax, L., 153
- Scaffolding, 26–27, 186
- Schaeffer, E., 122
- Scott, T., 58, 59
- Semi-structured experiments, 78*fig*
- Senior-level design courses, 114–118
- Service learning  
 developing professionalism through,  
 153  
 international, 153–154  
 preparing for, 154–155
- Seymour, E., 15
- Shavelson, R., 5, 32, 34
- Sheppard, S., 109, 122, 128, 132
- Shimmel, K., 138
- Shulman, L., 1, 10, 32
- Shuman, L., 9
- “Signals & Systems I” course  
 (University of Michigan), 76
- Simulation exercises, 92–94
- Sippola, L., 196

- Skepticism  
 developing attitude of healthy,  
 67–68, 81–82  
 by faculty on teaching ethics,  
 157
- Skills. *See* Engineering skills
- Smith College, 154
- Smith, K., 37, 109, 198
- Society for the Promotion of  
 Engineering Education, 14, 18,  
 19, 20
- Sophomore design courses, 130–133
- Spine linking theory, 194
- Stand-alone laboratory course, 71
- Stanford University, 198
- Steif, P., 187
- Steinbeck, R., 122
- Steneck, N., 138, 166
- Stice, J., 109
- Streveler, R., 187
- STS (science, technology, and society)  
 programs, 204, 205
- Student effort assessment, 122–123
- Student progress assessment, 123
- Students. *See* Engineering students
- Sullivan, J., 97, 205
- Sullivan, W., 186
- Summative assessment, 44
- T**
- Tang, D., 128
- Teaching  
 bringing professional practice into  
 classroom, 54–55  
 for cognitive understanding, 43–45  
 deductive method of, 39–40*fig*, 46  
 inductively, 49–51, 52  
 laboratory work as place to explore,  
 94–95  
 learning in context approach to,  
 51–54  
 role of homework and exams in,  
 40–43, 53–54
- See also* Engineering curriculum;  
 Faculty
- Teamwork  
 ABET standards on, 203  
 assessing design, 120–122  
 design-related, 106  
 laboratory work for learning, 66–67  
*See also* Collaborative work
- Terenzini, P., 14, 25, 26, 153, 173
- Theory  
 curriculum presentation prior to  
 practice, 13, 19, 24  
 laboratory work practice-related  
 skills based on, 62–65
- TRIZ theory, 102
- Tryggvason, G., 74
- Tsang, E., 153
- Tuminaro, J., 199
- U**
- University of California, Los Angeles,  
 161
- University of California—Berkeley,  
 204
- University of Colorado, 210
- University of Michigan  
 building communication skills,  
 80–81  
 capstone experiences at, 114  
 engineering design implications  
 explored at, 106–107  
 ethical educational approach by, 164  
 freshman design courses at, 112,  
 113  
 group lab work approach used at,  
 87  
 lab work with complex systems at,  
 80  
 learning fundamental work through  
 labs at, 76  
 restructuring of laboratory work  
 at, 74  
 series of teaching laboratories at, 72

U.S. Department of Labor, 3

Usable knowledge

adapting apprenticeship model for  
creating, 187–189

learning sciences guidance on  
creating, 183–187

medical education example of,  
180–183

network model used to develop,  
198–205

opening professional practice to  
create, 189–190

teaching for, 179

## V

VanNTH ERC, 199

Vincenti, W., 5, 7

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State  
University (Virginia Tech), 203

Virtual labs, 91–94

Volkwein, J., 14, 173

## W

Wandersee, J., 199

Wankat, P., 58, 64, 90

*What Matters in College? Four  
Critical Years Revisited* (Astin),  
161

White, B., 97

Wickenden report, 22

Williams, R., 4, 13, 177

Wood, D., 128

Woods, D., 109

Wu, H., 19

Wulf, W., 135

## Y

Yang, M., 125

Yu, D., 128

## Z

Zoltowski, C., 132

**MORE TITLES FROM THE CARNEGIE FOUNDATION  
FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF TEACHING:  
PREPARING FOR THE PROFESSIONS SERIES**



THE CARNEGIE FOUNDATION  
FOR THE ADVANCEMENT  
OF TEACHING

PREPARATION FOR  
THE PROFESSIONS



# EDUCATING CLERGY

Teaching Practices and  
Pastoral Imagination

Charles R. Foster  
Lisa E. Dahill  
Lawrence A. Golemon  
Barbara Wang Tolentino

*Educating Clergy*  
*Teaching Practices and Pastoral Imagination*

by Charles R. Foster, Lisa E. Dahill, Lawrence A. Goleman,  
and Barbara Wang Tolentino  
978-0-7879-7744-3



THE CARNEGIE FOUNDATION  
FOR THE ADVANCEMENT  
OF TEACHING

PREPARATION FOR  
THE PROFESSIONS



# EDUCATING LAWYERS

Preparation for the  
Profession of Law

William M. Sullivan  
Anne Colby  
Judith Welch Wegner  
Lloyd Bond  
Lee S. Shulman

*Educating Lawyers*  
*Preparation for the Profession of Law*

by William M. Sullivan, Anne Colby, Judith Welch Wegner,  
Lloyd Bond, and Lee S. Shulman  
978-0-7879-8261-4

FORTHCOMING TITLES FROM THE CARNEGIE  
FOUNDATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT  
OF TEACHING: PREPARING FOR THE  
PROFESSIONS SERIES

*Educating Nurses*  
*Teaching and Learning a Complex Caring Practice*

by Patricia Benner, Molly Sutphen, Victoria Leonard, and Lisa Day  
978-0-470-45796-2

*Educating Physicians*  
*Preparing Doctors for a Lifetime of Practice*

by Molly Cooke, David Irby, and Bridget O'Brien  
978-0-470-45797-9



