

Index

A

- Abbey National, 261; background on, 205; Retail Board of, 206; Retail Transformation Programme (RTP) of, 134–135, 205–209, 234
- Acceptance procedure, 241
- Activity sequencing, 147
- Advanced beginners, 253
- Aerospace industry. *See* Defense and aerospace industries
- Alignment: of people with business strategy, 9–11; of people with portfolio of projects, 11–12; of portfolio with business strategy, 12–13, 153–162; of portfolio with resources, 13–14; of projects with other projects, 14–15; of projects with strategy, 153–162; of projects within organizational structure, 15–16; between top management and project management, 8–19. *See also* Strategic alignment
- Alternatives, 267
- Armstrong, N., 270
- Assessment of capability, 67–69, 111–117. *See also* Benchmarking; Maturity assessment and models
- Association for Project Management, 45, 68, 223
- Association of Project Managers (England), 91
- Australian Institute of Project Management (AIPM), 90–91
- Australian National Competency Standards for Project Management, 90–91
- Authority, of program *versus* project managers, 160–161

B

- Balanced matrix, 73
- Balanced scorecard, 138–139
- Balfour Beatty, 260
- Benchmarking: to assess project management capability, 18, 63–65, 69; global network for, 112–113, 116; interindustry, 260–261; internal, 113–117; to track progress, 208
- Benefits management, 165–175; business-case-based decision making and, 172; extent of, in companies, 165–166; guidelines and practices for, 168–174; metrics in, 173; organizational structures for, 167, 168, 169–172; in project management processes, 173–174; risk management and, 173–174; sponsor's role in, 126–127, 165, 169
- Benefits realization or harvesting, 39; in business change projects, 199; defined, 32; factors in, 126–127; governance and, 178; mapping, 199; measurement of, 138–139, 165–166, 173; planning, 171–172; project closeout and, 241–242; in project-driven organizations, 52; sponsor's responsibility for, 126–127, 181–182
- Bergman, I., 111, 275
- Berra, Y., 228
- Big-time players, 248
- Body of Knowledge* (Association for Project Management), 45
- Boros, B., 115
- Bottom-up project proposals, 13
- BP Oil, 229–230, 261
- British Petroleum, 233

Budgets, 14, 45, 235
 Burns, R., 153
 Business-as-usual work: managing change projects and, 49–50, 82, 127–129, 199–200, 255, 276; multiproject management practices for, 48–51; project governance and, 127–129; project management and, 34–36, 82–87. *See also* Operations
 Business case: benefits management and, 168, 172; clear goals and, 228; decision making based on, 172; for different project types, 124, 125; elements of, 137–142; scope planning and, 125, 140–143, 147–149; sponsor as owner of, 124–126, 181
 Business Excellence Model, 68
 Business process reengineering projects, 30
 Business strategists, mind-set of, 5–7
 Butterfly curve, 184
 Buy-in: business case for, 140, 141; for capability assessment, 68–69, 116; for strategically important projects, 8–9. *See also* Stakeholder commitment

C

Capability for Success Index, 225–227
 Capability maturity model-integrated (CMM-I), 66
 Capability maturity models (CMMs), 66–69. *See also* Maturity assessment and models
 Career development, 93–94
 Carnegie-Mellon University, Software Engineering Institute, 66
 Centers of excellence, 79–80, 277
 Certification, 89–90
 Chain of conversations, 256–257
 Champion, 130, 167, 200, 248. *See also* Sponsor
 Change, costs of, 183–185
 Change agent, 131, 193–203
 Change management, 193–203; governance of, 200–203; program management and, 159–162, 197–198; project scope definition and, 148–149; SAP implementation and, 107; soft system projects and, 146; sponsor and, 181–182
 Change projects or change programs: benefits realization in, 199; business as usual and, 49–50, 82, 127–129, 199–200, 276; case studies of successful, 205–215; challenges of, 193–196; computerized systems and, 86–87; critical attributes of, 200–202; leading, 193–203; portfolio management and, 49–50, 198–199; program management and, 159–162, 197–198; project governance and, 127–129; project management processes and, 82–87, 196–199; project success and, 29–31; resource allocation and, 45; scope definition and, 148–149; sponsor and, 193–203, 205–215; stakeholder management in, 198; success rates for, 196–197
 Chaordic organization, 75
 Charter, M., 209
 Chief executive officers (CEOs), 201
 Chief project officer (CPO), 80–81
 Chunking, 207–208, 231
 Civil engineering contractors, 264–265
 Closeout procedures, 240–243. *See also* Handover
 Collaboration, to align portfolio with business strategy, 12–13
 Collective responsibility, 11
 Commissioning (handover), 32, 240, 241. *See also* Closeout procedures
 Communication: of benefits delivery plan, 174; change projects and, 195, 198; in knowledge management, 110; in project management, 246, 255–257
 Communities of practice, 58, 59
 Companywide concentrated matrix, 74
 Companywide scattered matrix, 74
 Competence and competencies: acquisition of, 252–253; for leading change projects, 201–203, 252–253; of people, 88–91, 234; of program managers, 159–160; in project management, 252–253; of sponsors, 201–203
 Competence testing and models, 89–91
 Competent performers, 253
 Computerized systems, 86–87
 Concurrent engineering approach, 8–9
 Confucius, 93
 Construction industry, project management practice in, 260, 262, 263, 264–266
 Construction Industry Institute, 260
 Consultants. *See* External consultants; Internal consultants

Contextual factors, 69–70
 Continuous improvement: in project management, 56, 81; as project *versus* process, 17
 Control: metrics and, 231–232; planning and, 230–233
 Control theory, 46–48, 252
 Controlled release of work packages, 224
 Corporate Practice Questionnaire (CPQ), 113–114
 Corporate project management office (CPMO), 81, 82
 Cost factor, 221–224, 225, 246
 Cost tracking, SAP project system for, 99–107
 Cost variability, by type of project, 144
 CPM (Critical Path Method), 270
 Crawford, L., 234, 246
Creating an Environment for Successful Projects (Englund and Graham), 107
Creating the Project Office (Englund, Graham, and Dinsmore), 107
 Critical success factors, 4, 29; defined, 29; for projects done right, 4, 223–227; for the right combination of projects, 4, 43–60; for the right projects, 4
 Cross-organizational resourcing, 234
 Culture: alignment with business strategy and, 9–11; of cooperation, 169–170; industry differences in, 266; leading change programs and, 201–203; types of, 10–11
 Cycle time tracking, SAP project system for, 99–107

D

Dashboards, 36, 186–190, 191
 Data formats, 51, 278
 Decision making: benefits management and, 166, 167, 168, 172; business-case-based, 172; creating an environment for, 183–186; human dimension of, 254–255; metrics for, 186–190; in project governance, 177–191; in stage gate reviews, 156–157
 Defense and aerospace industries: project management practice in, 260, 262–263, 269–270; project roles in, 33; technical requirements management and, 230
 Defensive thinking, 195

Deliverables, in business case, 140
 Development projects, 30
 Devlin, K., 57
 Dilbert, 155
 Distrust, 11
 Documentation, 242, 277
 Double matrix, 74
 Drug Information Association, Project Management Special Interest Area Community, 260
 Due diligence, 134–137

E

Einstein, A., 1
 Eli Lilly: background on, 97–98; SAP project of, 51, 97–107, 233
 Emotional control, 252
 Emotional intelligence, 253, 254
 Empowerment, 196
 Engineering associations, 260
 Engineering industry: multiproject management in, 51–52; project management practice in, 229–230, 262, 263, 264–266; project roles in, 33
 Englund, R. L., 107
 Enterprise resource planning (ERP), 99–107, 200
 Enterprisewise project management, 2; assessment of, 63–69; benefits management and, 165–175; critical success factors for, 4, 29, 43–60; examples of successful, 97–117; industry differences in, 259–273; organizational project success and, 27–40; project selection in, 133–150; rationale for, 21–24; software for, 87; sponsor roles and responsibilities in, 123–131; success criteria for, 4, 27–40; “three rights” formula for, 2–5, 29. *See also* Project management
 Ericsson: background on, 111–112, 275, 276; global benchmarking by, 111–117; Project Environment Maturity Assessment (PEMA) of, 113–117; PROPS project methodology of, 112, 275–280
 Estimating: incentive-riddled, 10; multiproject management and, 52
 European Forum for Quality Management (EFQM), 68
 Experts, 253
 External consultants: for kickoff workshops, 239; for municipal software

project, 210, 215; for organization change programs, 202; for project status review, 183

F

Facilitator, of kickoff workshop, 239–240

Facilities provision and management projects, 30. *See also* Construction industry

Failure: of business change projects, 194–197; extent of, 1, 134; general management perspective on, 194–196; of pharmaceutical R&D projects, 267–268; reasons for, 148–149, 177, 194–196; scope definition and, 148–149; of software projects, 134, 153, 271

Fayol, H., 36

Feasibility and feasibility analysis, 228, 230, 236

Field of Dreams, 133–134

Financial services industry: project management practice in, 263, 271, 272; in United Kingdom, 263

First-order (project) control, 46–47, 48

Flexibility, matrix organization and, 71–74

Flocks, 167

Flying-blind managerial style, 10–11

Foggy projects, 83, 142–143

Ford, H., 209

Front-end loading, 229–230, 264, 267

Fujitsu Systems, 260

Functional management. *See* Line management; Operations

Functional structure: limitations of, 70, 71; matrix and, 73, 74; program and project structures *versus*, 36, 37

G

Gadenken, O., 254

General management literature, on failure of business change projects, 193–196

Generalists, 34, 36

George Washington University, 77

GlaxoSmithKline, 228, 261

Goal setting: clarity of, 228; in project governance, 178

Governance: benefits management and, 169–172; of change management programs, 200–203; corporate, 177; defined, 31; levels of, 177–178; management *versus*, 36, 37

Governance, project, 177–191; benefits realization and, 181–182; for business as usual *versus* change, 127–128; business-case ownership and, 181; decision making and, 183–190; goal-setting role of, 178; human dimension of, 254–255; metrics for, 186–190; precautions for, 128–129; sponsor's role in, 127–129, 177–191; structural and process role of, 179–180

Government agency contracting, 260

Grenade-over-the-wall approach, 8, 9

Group dynamics, 212–215

H

Handover, 32, 240, 241. *See also* Closeout procedures

Harvard Business Review, 148

Harvesting. *See* Benefits realization or harvesting

Health, safety, and environmental (HSE) factor, 222, 223

Heartbeat reviews, 157–159, 172

Hewlett-Packard Company (HP): background on, 107–108; *Global Method of*, 110; Global Services Project Management Office (PMO) at, 107–111; knowledge management at, 110; Project Management Initiative of, 107; Project Management Profession Council of, 111

Hierarchical organization, 70–71

Hock, D., 75

Hoffman, E., 59

Home-office matrix, 73

Hub system, 76

Human dimension: complexity of, 255–257; emphasizing, 249–255; group dynamics and, 211–215; leadership and, 249–252; processes and, 251–252; of project management, 218, 245–257; technical dimension and, 245–247, 249, 250, 253; underestimating the impact of, 195. *See also* People; Team building

Human resource (HR) policies, 93–94

Human Systems, Ltd., 143, 186–190; global benchmarking network, 112–114, 115, 116, 165–166, 223–227, 246–247; surveys of, 223–227

“*Hunt the open work package*” game, 10

Hybrid structure, 70–71. *See also* Matrix organization
 Hynes, M. D., III, 97

I

Implementation: case study of, 275–280; closeout procedures and, 240–243; critical success factors for, 4, 223–227; good practices for, 224–243; human dimension of, 245–257; industry variations in, 259–273; overview of, 217–218; players in, 248; startup process and, 235–240; success criteria for, 221–224

Implementation project reviews, 110

Incentive-riddled estimating, 10

Industry sectors: domains of practice differences among, 261–264; maturity levels in different, 46, 47, 261–273; metrics in use by, 187; multiproject management in, 263–264; project management in different, 218, 259–273

Information and communications technology (ICT) projects, 270–273

Information technology-information systems (IT-IS) companies: capability maturity models (CMMs) for, 66–67, 68; project failure rates in, 134; project management practice in, 270–273; project roles in, 33; project success in, 30, 66–67; risk in, 232

Infosense (Devlin), 57

Innovation goals, 22, 23

Intermediate project reviews (IPRs), 59

Internal consultants, for organization change, 202

Internal contracts, 234

International Project Management Association (IPMA), 1

Interpersonal skills, 246, 254

Involvement: in benefits management, 170–172, 173, 174; in strategically important projects, 8–9

J

Japanese Project Management Forum, 2

K

Kempf, R., 107

Kennedy, J. F., 270

Kickoff events: meetings, 237–238; reasons for holding, 236–237; workshops, 238–240. *See also* Startup process

Knowledge: conversion of information to, 57–58; stages of personal acquisition of, 252–253

Knowledge management, Web-based system for, 110

L

Language: of project management, 27–28, 58; PROPS and common, 279

Leadership: competencies for, 201–203; industry differences and, 269; of organization change projects, 200–203; program managers and, 160; in project management, 249–252; sponsor role and, 130, 193–203; titles of, 250

Learning from experience (lessons learned): closeout phase and, 242–243; as critical success factor, 44, 60; difficulties of, 54–59; at Ericsson, 116–117, 278, 279–280; at Hewlett-Packard, 110–111; human dimension and, 255; interindustry, 260–261; in multiproject management, 53–59; nature of, 56–58; suggestions for, 59

Learning organization, 58–59, 255

Lechler, T., 246

Lessons learned. *See* Learning from experience

Life cycle, project: decision making in, 183–185; embedding good practices in, 235–243; organizational interactions during, 31–33; in PROPS methodology, 277–278; stage gate reviews and, 154–157; trend toward extension of, 2

Line approaches, to project management offices, 78–79, 80–82

Line (functional) management: benefits management and, 169–170; change management and, 200; relationship between project management and, 31–34; SAP data utilized by, 101–102. *See also* Operations

Lloyds TSB, 228

M

Machiavelli, N., 106–107

Macho managerial style, 10

“Making movie” projects, 83

Malcolm Baldrige Award, 68
 Management: governance *versus*, 36, 37;
 literature of, on change project failure,
 193–196
 Management by committee, 11
 Management styles, 9–11
 Managing Successful Programs (MSP), 84
 Manufacturing industries, project roles in,
 33
 Mapping of benefits, 171, 172, 199
 Matrix organization, 15–16, 45–46, 47,
 71–74, 276; balanced, 73; double, 74;
 functional, 73; home-office, 74;
 project, 72, 74; variations in, 73–74
 Maturity assessment and models, 44,
 65–69; at Ericsson, 111–117; of project
 team, 198
 Maturity levels: in different industries, 46,
 47, 261–273; planning and, 231;
 project-related management processes
 and, 84–86
 Measurement: of benefits, 138–139, 165–
 166, 173; in business case, 138–139;
 delusional, 196; importance of, 28–29;
 performance, 36, 38–39, 40, 230,
 231–232. *See also* Metrics
 Meetings: kickoff, 237–238; project review,
 182–183
 Merger or acquisition projects, 30
 Methodologies, 86, 275–280
 Metrics: benefits, 173; for change projects,
 196; dashboard, 36, 186–190, 191; for
 good decisions, 186–190; good prac-
 tice in, 51, 52–53; learning from, 59;
 project management, 36–39; project
 performance, 44, 52–53, 60, 230,
 231–232; rolled-up, 37; for stage gate
 reviews, 157; survey data on, 186–190;
 upstream, 44. *See also* Measurement
 Middle management, capability assess-
 ment and, 69
 Milestones, 155, 231. *See also* Stage gate
 reviews
 Mixed messages, 11
 Modeling, 201
 Modern management, 36
 Multiple project management. *See* Portfo-
 lio management
 Multiproject matrix, 45–46

N

Narrative stories, 59

NASA, 58, 261, 270
 New management team, 200
 Novices, 253

O

Obeng, E., 83
 Ongoing management and improvement,
 22, 23. *See also* Business-as-usual work
 Operations: project management relation-
 ship with, 31–34; project success and,
 30, 34–36; status tracking of, 180; top
 management and, 7. *See also* Business-
 as-usual work; Line (functional) man-
 agement
 Operations-based businesses: multiproject
 management in, 48–51; project success
 in, 30, 38
 Opportunity identification, 267
 Organizational Project Management Ma-
 turity Model (OPM3), 65, 68
 Organizational readiness, 85
 Organizational structure: alignment of
 projects within, 15–16; for benefits
 management, 167, 168, 169–172; con-
 text and, 69–70; forms of, for project
 success, 70–76; importance of, 70; for
 project management office (PMO),
 78–82
 Orthodoxy, bias to, 196
 “Our projects are different” syndrome, 271
 Outcomes management liaison, 171
 Outdoor team building, 93
 Outsourcing, 88
 Ownership of business case, 124–126, 181

P

P2M, 2
 “Painting by numbers” projects, 83
 Past, presence of, 11
 Peer review, 183
 People: competence and certification
 of, 88–91, 111, 234; development of,
 111, 207; human resource policies
 for, 93–94; importance of, 69, 70, 195,
 245–247; practice in allocation of,
 233–234; practices and processes re-
 lated to, 245–257; for project success,
 82–94; selection of, 87–88; synergy
 among, 91–93. *See also* Human dimen-
 sion; Team building
 Performance, project: analysis and predic-
 tion of, 143–146; of business change

- projects, 196–199; measurement and metrics for, 44, 52–53, 60, 230, 231–232; planning and control mechanisms and, 230; project governance and, 179–180; project success *versus*, 44
- Performance indicators, 36, 38–39, 40
- Peripheral players, 248
- PERT (Program Evaluation and Review Technique), 269–270
- Pharmaceutical companies: industry pressures of, 97–98, 267–268; maturity study undertaken by, 46, 47, 261; portfolio management in, 267–268, 269; project management practice in, 260, 262, 267–269; project roles in, 33; project success in, 30, 98, 230, 267–268; technical requirements management in, 230; “therapeutic area” structures of, 51. *See also* Eli Lilly
- Planning, project: for benefits management, 171–172, 173; business case and, 125, 140–143; control and, 230–233; in defense and aerospace industries, 269–270; program planning *versus*, 161–162; project strategy and, 146–147; risk management and, 233; up-front, 229–230, 264, 267
- Planning processes, 85
- PMBOK *Guide* (Project Management Institute), 43, 67, 82, 86, 147, 223, 232, 255–256, 259; Government and Construction Extensions to, 259–260
- Polaris submarine program, 269
- Politics: in change programs, 200; in project selection, 136, 142
- Portfolio management (multiproject management): for business-as-usual work, 48–51; in change management, 198–199; competitive advantage and, 38; concept of, 45–46; context and, 69–70; as critical success factor, 43–44, 60; defined, 31; industry differences and, 263–264, 267–268, 269; learning and, 53–59; metrics and, 52–53, 60; practices of, 48–52; program management integration with, 49–51; in project-driven organizations, 51–52; as project management process, 85; project management *versus*, 46–48; SAP system data for, 105–106
- Portfolio of projects: aligning, with business strategy, 12–13, 153–162; aligning, with resources, 13–14; aligning people behind, 11–12; benefits management and, 167, 169; heartbeat reviews of, 157–159; size of, 134–135
- Postimplementation stage: closeout procedures and, 240–243; risk management in, 233
- Postproject reviews (PPRs), 53–55, 240, 242. *See also* Closeout procedures; Learning from experience
- Predictive models, 201
- Prince, The* (Machiavelli), 106–107
- Prince2, 82, 84
- Prioritizing: among projects, 14–15, 206–207, 208–209, 264; criteria for, 135–136; resource allocation and, 14; sponsor role in, 206–207, 208–209. *See also* Selection, project
- Procedures, 86
- Process engineering companies, front-end loading in, 229–230, 264, 267
- Process improvement, 85
- Process industries, project roles in, 33
- Processes: in project management, 82–87; projects *versus*, 16–18, 82, 251–252
- Product breakdown structure, 231
- Product development businesses, project roles in, 33
- Product scope, 229–230, 271
- Proficient performers, 253
- Program management: business-as-usual management and, 49–51; for change projects, 159–162, 197–198; elements and processes of, 84, 159–162; project management *versus*, 136–137, 159–162; situations requiring, 136–137; for strategic alignment, 159–162
- Program Management Office (ProgMO), 80
- Program managers, 159–161
- Program office. *See* Program Management Office; Project Management Center of Excellence (PMCOE); Project management office (PMO)
- Programs: defined, 136; projects *versus*, 136–137
- Project, Programme and Portfolio Management Maturity Model (P3M3), 68
- Project-based businesses: multiproject management practices in, 51–52; performance measurement in, 231; project success in, 30, 38
- Project charter, 125, 235–236
- Project control software, 86–87
- Project Corps, 209

- Project Environment Maturity Assessment (PEMA), 113–117
- Project environment maturity model, 112–117
- Project gypsies, 94
- Project management: alignment of top management and, 8–19; benefits management built into, 173–174; broadened scope of, 1–2, 166–167; business-as-usual work and, 34–36; of change projects, 197–199; embedding good practices in, 235–243; human dimension of, 218, 245–257; industry differences in, 218, 259–273; line management functions and, 31–34; maturity assessment of, 63–69; maturity levels of, 46, 47, 84–86, 261–273; of multiple projects, 43–52; multiproject management *versus*, 46–48; practices and processes of, 82–87, 218, 224–243; program management *versus*, 136–137, 159–162; SAP data utilization by, 102–105; views on importance of, 22; whole-organization, 31–34. *See also* Enterprisewise project management; Portfolio management
- Project Management Center of Excellence (PMCOE), 79–80
- Project Management Institute (PMI), 1; Organizational Project Management Maturity Model (OPM3) of, 65, 68; *PMBOK Guide* of, 43, 67, 82, 86, 147, 223, 232, 255–256, 259; Project Management Professional certification from, 67, 89, 90
- Project management office (PMO): to align projects, 16; effectiveness of, 77–78; at Hewlett-Packard, 107–111; line approaches to, 78–79, 80–82; multiple, 81–82; for project success, 77–82; purpose of, 78; staff approaches to, 78–80; terms for, 78
- Project management profession: associations of, 1, 28, 223, 259–260, 268; benefits management and, 166; career development in, 93–94; certification in, 89; growth of, 1; human dimension in, 245–247; jargon in, 27–28; recognition of industry differences in, 259–261; training and education in, 91–92
- Project managers: benefits management and, 171; as generalists, 34, 36; at Hewlett-Packard, 111; interpersonal skills of, 246, 254; as kickoff workshop facilitators, 239; mind-set of, 7–8, 194; for organization change projects, 201–202; program managers *versus*, 159–161; as project charter preparers, 236; resource allocation by, 234; selection of, 87–88, 207, 224, 234; sponsors as friends to, 129–130, 214–215; sponsors *versus*, 278; titles of, 250–251
- Project matrix, 72, 74
- Project office. *See* Project management office (PMO)
- Project Performance Group, 234
- Project status reviews, 180, 182–183. *See also* Heartbeat reviews; Stage gate reviews
- Project support office (PSO), 79, 80, 81, 82
- Project teams: building teamwork in, 92–93, 209–215; disbanding, 242–243; group dynamics in, 211–215; leadership of, 210–211; maturity assessment of, 198; mind-set of, 7–8; organizational dynamics and, 212, 214; staff assignment to, 210–211; stage gate reviews and, 155; support system for, 214–215
- Project types: business cases for different, 124, 125; classification of, 142–146; cost variability of different, 144; hard-system, 145, 146, 271; management processes and, 82–84; project strategy and, 142–146; schedule variability of different, 144; soft-system, 145, 146, 271; time variability of different, 145
- Projects: alignment of, with each other, 14–15; alignment of, within organizational structure, 15–16; importance of, to organizations, 21–24, 29–31; number of, 134–135; processes *versus*, 16–18, 82, 251–252; programs *versus*, 136–137; roles of, in different organizations, 33–34. *See also* Failure, project; Performance, project; Selection, project; Success, project
- PROPS, 112, 275–280

Q

- Quality factor, 221–224
 “Quests,” 83

R

- Ranking criteria, 135–136

- Reengineering the Corporation* (Hammer and Champy), 82
- Regulatory environment, 260
- Relationships, 254
- Remuneration, 93–94
- Reorganization, 75
- Repetitive transactions, project roles in, 33. *See also* Business-as-usual work
- Requirements management, 229–230
- Research and development (R&D) businesses, project success in, 30. *See also* Information technology (IT) companies; Pharmaceutical companies
- Resistance to change, 149
- Resource allocation: classic approaches to, 13–14; for ITC projects, 273; in multiproject management, 44–45, 49–50, 52; practice in, 233–234; project prioritizing and, 14–15; SAP project system for, 97–107; stage gate reviews and, 156
- Resource juggling, 13
- Resource leveling, 14
- Resource productivity measures, 39
- Responsibilities: of project managers and teams, 7–8; of project managers *versus* sponsors, 278; of sponsors, 119–121; of top management, 5–7. *See also* Project managers; Sponsor; Top management
- Responsible parties: for benefits management, 167, 169–171; for project decision making, 185; for projects done right, 4, 247–248; for the right combination of projects, 4; for the right projects, 4, 119–120
- Restructuring, 30
- Risk management: benefits management and, 173–174; multiproject management and, 52; practice in, 232–233
- S**
- Safety, 222, 223
- SAP: cautions for, 106–107; Eli Lilly's implementation of, 51, 97–107; implementation of, 99–101; uses of data from, 101–107
- SAP Web interface (mySAP.com), 100
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 146, 233
- Satellite organizations, 76
- Schaffer, R., 148
- Schedule variability or predictability: people factor and, 246–247; by type of project, 144, 145
- Scientific management, 36
- Scope: business case and, 125, 140–143, 147–149; concept of, 147; defining, 147–149, 194–195, 235; defining success and, 222; in ITC projects, 271; management of, 229–231; product, 229–230, 271; project failure and, 194–195; testing, 149; work, 230–233
- Screening, people, 88
- Second-order (multiproject) control, 47–48
- Selection, people, 87–88, 207, 224, 234
- Selection, project, 133–150; business case development for, 137–142; due diligence for, 134–137; essential questions for, 149–150; project strategy and, 142–147; ranking and criteria for, 135–136; rules for, 119–121; scope definition and, 147–149. *See also* Prioritizing
- Self-knowledge and learning, 252–253
- Semcon, 115
- Situation theory, 57–58
- Six Sigma, 81, 85
- Soft-system projects, 145, 146, 271; performance of, 196–197; planning benefits delivery for, 171–172
- Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie-Mellon University, 66
- Software projects: custom, 270–271; failure of, 134, 153, 271; in municipality case study, 209–215; project management practice in, 270–273
- Specialization, 34, 36
- Spiral approach, 273
- Sponsor: benefits management and, 126–127, 165–175, 181–182; business case ownership role of, 124–126, 181; case studies of, 205–215; as change agent or leader, 131, 193–203; governance role of, 127–129, 177–191; ineffective, 195; leadership competencies for, 201–203; leadership role of, 193–203; organization change projects and, 193–203, 205–215; program manager as, 161; project manager *versus*, 278; project selection and, 133–150; roles and responsibilities of, 119–121, 123–131; selection of, 210–211; and strategic alignment of projects, 153–162; top managers as, 124
- Staff approaches, to project management offices, 78–80

- Stage gate reviews, 31, 154–158, 172, 183;
content of, 156–157; frequency of,
154–156; metrics for, 157; participants
in, 157
- Stakeholder commitment: to benefits de-
livery plan, 173; business case and,
140, 141; to project management
methodology development, 276–277,
279; project strategy and, 146, 147. *See also* Buy-in
- Stakeholder involvement, in benefits
management, 170–172, 173, 174
- Stakeholder management, 198, 248
- Stakeholder value, 31
- Stakeholders, in project management,
247–248
- Startup process: clear goals and, 228; em-
bedding good practices in, 235–240;
incorporating lessons learned into, 55,
56, 59. *See also* Kickoff
- Statistical performance forecasting,
145–146
- Statistical process control, 18
- Status, project, stage gate review of, 156
- Strategic alignment: people in, 9–11; of
portfolio, 12–13, 157–159; program
management and, 136–137; of projects,
153–162; techniques for ensuring,
153–162
- Strategic alliances, 266–267
- Strategic delivery capability, 28, 39–40
- Strategic intent, 22, 38
- Strategic planning, resource allocation
and, 13–14
- Strategy, business: project success and,
29–31; skills in, 201; top management
mind-set and, 6–7. *See also* Strategic
alignment
- Strategy, project: development of, 142–147;
project plan and, 146–147; purpose of,
142
- Strategy implementation effectiveness,
38
- Success, business and organizational: fac-
tors in, 5, 27; groups responsible for, 5–
8; performance indicators for, 36–39,
40; project success and, 23–24, 27–40
- Success, project: approaches to achieving,
63–94; business-as-usual projects and,
34–36; case examples of, 97–117; clas-
sic triangle of, 221–222; context and,
69–70; critical factors to, 4, 29, 43–60;
defining, 28–29, 217; elements of,
27–40; extent of, 1; governance and,
177; importance of, 21–24; measur-
ing, 27–28, 36, 38–39; organizational
strategy and change and, 29–31; orga-
nizational success and, 23–24, 27–40;
organizing for, 70–76; people and,
82–94; practices and processes for,
82–87, 221–243; project management
office (PMO) for, 77–82; project per-
formance *versus*, 44; “three rights” for-
mula for, 2–5, 29; whole-organization
project management and, 31–34. *See also*
Critical success factors
- Success criteria: defined, 29; for projects
done right, 4, 221–224; for the right
combination of projects, 4, 27–40; for
the right projects, 4
- Success factors. *See* Critical success factors
- Support processes, 85
- Sustainability, 255
- Synergy, among people, 91–93
- Systems, in project management, 82–87

T

- Task checklist, closeout, 241
- Task force structure, 70–71
- Taylor, F., 36
- Teague, T., 205, 234
- Team building: in municipal software
project case study, 209–215; programs
for, 92–93
- Technical delivery processes, 84, 85
- Technical requirements, clarity about,
229–230
- Technical writers, 277
- Technology management, 68
- Telecommunications project manage-
ment, 270–273. *See also* Ericsson
- Thompson, H., 148
- “Three rights” formula, 2–5, 29
- Time factor, 221–224, 225
- Time variability, by type of project, 145,
146
- Titanic, 134
- Top management: alignment of project
management and, 8–19; capability as-
sessment and, 69; chief project man-
ager (CPM) and, 80–81; leadership
role of, in change programs, 200–203;
matrix relationships between middle

management and, 74; mind-set of, 5–7, 194; project charter and, 236; sponsor as bridge to, 129–130; as sponsors, 123

Total Quality Management, 84

Training programs: to create synergy, 91–92; in project management methodology, 280

Transition team, 200

Trends: foreseeing, 6; in project management field, 1–2

Tunnel vision, 7

Turbulent times, importance of projects in, 21–23

Turnkey projects, 266

U

Uncertainty: industries with high, 260; project decision making and, 183–186; risk management and, 232–233; stage gate reviews and, 156

Unintended consequences, 184–185

United Kingdom, financial services sector in, 206

U.K. Office of Government Commerce, 68, 84, 136–137

U.S. Department of Defense, 270

Unknowns, 6

Upside risk, 232

V

Value identification, 267

Value implementation, 267

Value Improvement Practices (VIPs), 267

Value management (VM), 174

Vic Roads, 228

Virtual organizations, 76

Visa International, 75

W

Waterfall approach, 273

“Wavering expectations” syndrome, 182

Web interface: for knowledge management, 110, 278; for SAP data and reports, 100, 101

Wenger, E., 58

Whole-organization project management, 31–34. *See also* Enterprisewise project management

Winning in Business with Enterprise Project Management (Dinsmore), 43

Work breakdown structure, 146–147, 224, 231

Work scope, 230–233

Workshops, kickoff, 238–234

X

Xiaoyi Dai, C., 77

