

Index

A

- Abstract concepts, measuring, 45–46
 - Access to care, 183–188
 - Accountability, 94–95; CareMaps for, 171–172; self-extubations, 98–101; wrong-site surgery, 103–106; culture in, 108–111; data for, 17–19; error analysis for, 106–108; evaluation and communication in, 112–113; expense justification, 96–97; organizational goals in, 95–96; of physicians, 101–103; questions for, 111–112; summary, 113–114
 - Accreditation by JCAHO, 8
 - Act phase: in bariatric surgery case study, 84–85; in PDCA cycle, 80
 - Acute myocardial infarction (AMI): CMS indicators for, 49–50; EMS study on, 182
 - Administrative data: integrating, 136–138; in stroke case study, 139–142
 - Administrators: level of quality determination by, 37; measurement selection by, 66; readmittance monitoring by, 61; responsibility for errors, 110
 - Admission cycle in patient flow, 90
 - Adverse reactions, explanations for, 122
 - Advocacy groups, 122
 - Against medical advice (AMA) ED cases, 149
 - Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), 104, 106
 - Aggregated data: decisions based on, 4, 118; guidelines for, 159; for pattern and problem recognition, 15, 117, 140; perspective from, 177–178; report cards, 136; resistance to, 199–200; for variance, 166
 - Alcohol detoxification guidelines, 172–174
 - American College of Surgeons (ACS), 104, 106
 - Analyzing, errors, 106–108
 - APACHE (Acute Physiology, Age and Chronic Health Evaluation) tool, 27
 - Appointment compliance, 186
 - Aspirin administration: media report on, 119; resistance to, 56–57; study on, 3–4
 - Assumptions in planning stage, 76–77
 - Autopsies, 149–150
- ### B
- Bariatric surgery case study, 80–85
 - Bed turnover time, 55–56
 - Benchmarks: in cardiac mortality, 24; establishing, 66; for goals, 110; national, 37; for patient expectations, 198; in quality management, 201–202; studies for, 79
 - bestdoctors.com Web site, 5
 - Blood transfusions, 145–146
 - Board members, 94
 - Budgets, financial data for, 15–17
 - Buy-in by physicians, 105
 - Bylaws, 132
- ### C
- Cardiac mortality case study, 24–26
 - CareMaps: in bariatric surgery case study, 81–83; benefits of, 169–170;

- as documentation, 170–172; for LOS and patient flow, 160; resistance to, 167–168; for skin care, 152; for standard of care, 160–163; for standardized care, 163–165; for variance data, 167
 - Case mix index (CMI): administrator involvement in, 142; diagnostic related groups in, 10; financial implications of, 63
 - Case selection in CABG case study, 129
 - Case studies: bariatric surgery, 80–85; cardiac mortality, 24–26; consistency of care quality methods, 178–183; coronary artery bypass graft, 127–132; decubiti, 151–154; detoxification guidelines, 172–174; falls, 30–34; FMEA and blood transfusions, 145–146; housekeeping, 54–56; intensive care units, 26–28; moving between levels of care, 87–89; nutrition, 52–54; self-extubations, 98–101; sterilization, 188–191; strokes, 139–142; wrong-site surgery, 103–106
 - Cause and effect diagrams, 107
 - Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS): aspirin administration recommendations by, 56–57; aspirin administration study by, 3; evaluations by, 10; financial incentives program by, 125–126; health status coding guidelines by, 140; organizational process indicators by, 49–51; quality standards by, 4; resistance to, 51–52; safety measures by, 199
 - Change from public pressure, 120–122
 - Check phase: in bariatric surgery case study, 81–84; in PDCA cycle, 79–80
 - Child Health Plus program, 186
 - China, 200
 - CIWA-Ar (Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment-Alcohol, revised) scale, 173–174
 - Clinical background, CareMaps for, 171
 - Clinical practice guidelines, 159
 - CMI (case mix index): administrator involvement in, 142; diagnostic related groups in, 10; financial implications of, 63
 - CMS. *See* Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)
 - Collaboration, 191–192
 - Communication: community groups for, 122; databases for, 19–20; of improvement results, 112–113; measurable, 197; in patient relations, 124–125; by physicians, 196; of quality data, 34–36, 146–151; in wrong-site surgery, 106
 - Community outreach programs, 187
 - Community relations, 122–124
 - Companies, administrative data use by, 137–138
 - compareyourcare.org Web site, 5
 - Computer physician order entry (CPOE) system, 121
 - Consistency of care, 178–183
 - Consumers: CareMaps for, 164; communication with, 124–125; demands of, 121–122; expectations of, 119–120, 198; measures for, 5–6; safety measures for, 72–73; satisfying, 42–43
 - Context, CareMaps for, 171
 - Control charts, 54
 - Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) case study, 127–132
 - CPOE (computer physician order entry) system, 121
 - Credentialing standards for sterilization, 190
 - Cultural issues in access to care, 183–184
 - Culture, organizational, 108–111
 - Current practices, ascertaining, 179
- D**
- Data, 14–15: cardiac mortality case study, 24–26; communicating, 34–36; databases for, 19–20; for defining goals, 28–29; for delivery of care, 22–24; evaluating, 20–22; falls case study, 30–34; financial, 15–17;

- intensive care units case study, 26–28; for level of quality, 37; for quality and accountability, 17–19; scope of, 38–39; summary, 39–40. *See also* Aggregated data; Integrating data; Organizational processes; Performance improvement
- Databases: for combining data, 19–20; for delivery of care, 22–24; for planning, 59
- Decubiti: case study, 151–154; and nutrition, 52–54
- Defining: goals, 28–29; good processes, 48; level of quality, 37
- Delivery of care: documenting, 170–172; objectifying, 22–24
- Deming, W. Edwards, 54
- Denial and blame mentality, 3
- Department of Health and Human Services, 140
- Detoxification guidelines case study, 172–174
- Diagnostic related groups (DRGs), 10
- Discharge cycle in patient flow, 90
- Discharge disposition, 126–127
- Do phase: in bariatric surgery case study, 81; in PDCA cycle, 79
- doctorfinder Web site, 5
- Doctors. *See* Physicians
- Documentation: in CABG case study, 129; for delivery of care, 170–172; importance of, 199; for quality data, 125–126
- DRGs (diagnostic related groups), 10
- Drivers of quality. *See* External drivers of quality; Internal drivers of quality
- E**
- EBM (evidence-based medicine), 42
- Education: for blood transfusions, 146; quality management courses, 200; for sterilization, 190
- Efficiency and effectiveness: of emergency departments, 149–150; measures of, 60
- Electronic prescribing system, 121
- Emergency departments (EDs): bed turnover effect on, 56; CareMaps for, 169; efficiency and effectiveness of, 149–150; in patient flow, 89–92; physician accountability in, 102–103; treatment delays in, 57
- Emergency medical service (EMS), 178–183
- Equal opportunity case, 183–188
- Errors: analyzing, 106–108; explanations for, 122; medication, 108–111; reporting, 32
- Evaluation: in accountability, 112–113; of data, 20–22; measures for, 11–12; in organizational processes, 61–63
- Evidence-based medicine (EBM), 42
- Evidence-based recommendations, 103
- Excuses, 3
- Expectations of patients, 119–120, 198
- Expected outcomes in cardiac mortality, 24
- Expenses: financial data for, 15–17; justifying, 96–97; prioritizing, 145
- Experience, quantifying, 80
- External drivers of quality, 115–116: CABG case study, 127–132; community relations, 122–124; data, 125–127; federal government, 116; malpractice suits, 124–125; media, 118–120; monitoring in, 116–118; public pressure, 120–122; regulations, 132–133; summary, 133–134
- F**
- Failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA): for blood transfusions, 145–146; in risk analysis, 143
- Falls: case study, 30–34; communicating information about, 34–36
- Family Practice Ambulatory Care Center, 183
- Federal government, 116
- Feedback for variance data, 166
- Finances: in access to care, 186; patient flow in, 89–92
- Financial data: measures for, 9–10, 67–70; for quality, 15–17

- Fishbone diagrams, 107
- FMEA (failure mode and effects analysis): for blood transfusions, 145–146; in risk analysis, 143
- Food service, 53–54
- Ford Motor Company, 137
- Foreign population access to care, 187
- G**
- General Electric, 137
- Goals: in accountability, 95–96; benchmarks for, 110; data for, 19; defining, 28–29; in planning stage, 78
- Government, 116
- H**
- Hand washing, 29–30
- Health care leaders: level of quality determination by, 37; measurement selection by, 66; measures for, 6–9
- Health maintenance organizations (HMOs), 120
- healthfinder.gov Web site, 5
- healthgrades.com Web site, 5
- Healthy People 2010 initiative, 184–185
- Heart failure: CareMaps for, 160–161, 165; CMS indicators for, 49–50
- Hospital report cards, 136
- Housekeeping case study, 54–56
- Human resource indicators, 33
- Hypotheses in planning stage, 76–77
- I**
- In-patient suicides, 172–174
- Infection: monitoring rates of, 28–29; sterilization for, 188–191
- Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) 100,000 Lives Campaign, 5, 72–73
- Institute of Medicine (IOM), 5
- Insurance factors, 186
- Integrating data, 135–136: administrative, 136–138; communication in, 146–151; decubiti, 151–154; FMEA and blood transfusions, 145–146; for operational decisions and quality data, 142–143; primary, 138–139; for risk analysis, 143–145; strokes, 139–142; summary, 155–156; truth from, 154–155
- Intelligent planning, measures as proxy for, 59
- Intensive care units (ICUs): data analysis case study, 26–28; self-extubations case study, 98–101
- Internal drivers of quality, 157–159: benefits of CareMaps for, 169–170; CareMaps for, 163–165;
- detoxification case study, 172–174; documentation in, 170–172; guidelines for, 159–160, 168–169; resistance to CareMaps for, 167–168; standard of care, 160–163; summary, 174–175; variance data, 165–167
- Ishikawa diagrams, 107
- J**
- jcaho.org Web site, 5
- Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO): accreditation by, 8; blood transfusion suggestions by, 145–146; clinical practice guidelines by, 159; FMEA requirements by, 143; improvement needs reports by, 143; National Patient Safety Goals initiative, 72–73; regulations by, 132–133; tracer methodology from, 170; wrong-site surgery recommendations by, 104–106
- K**
- Knowledge acquisition in organizational processes, 57–58
- L**
- Language factors in access to care, 183–184
- Leadership: level of quality determination by, 37; measurement selection by, 66; measures for, 6–9
- Leapfrog Group: hospital evaluation measures of, 120; rankings by, 18; recommendations by, 121

- leapfroggroup.org Web site, 5
- Leave without being evaluated (LWBE)
ED cases, 149–150
- Length of stay (LOS): administrator monitoring of, 61–63; antibiotic administration impact on, 102; CareMaps for, 160, 170; for decubiti patients, 151, 154; for elderly patients, 87; falls impact on, 30–33; posthospital placement impact on, 126; pressure injuries impact on, 37; in processes of care, 16; tracking, 69–70; variance data for, 166–167
- Level of quality, leadership for, 37
- Levels of care case study, 87–89
- M**
- Malpractice suits: communication for avoiding, 124–125; from falls, 30
- Matrices, prioritization, 78–79
- Measures, 1: for evaluating care, 11–12; for financial decisions, 67–70; for health care leaders, 6–9; medical staff, 3–4; for money issues, 9–10; for patient safety, 72–73; for patients, 5–6; for purchasing decisions, 70–72; quality care, 65–68; selecting, 65–66; summary, 12–13, 92–93. *See also* Accountability; Data
- Media: aspirin study reported by, 118–119; in CABG case study, 128; power of, 119–120; pressure from, 201
- Medical mistakes: deaths due to, 5; by physicians, 197–198
- Medical staff, measures for, 3–4
- Medicare, CMI system in: administrator involvement in, 142; diagnostic related groups in, 10; financial implications of, 63
- Medications: CPOE system for, 121; errors in, 108–111
- Money factors: in access to care, 186; measures for, 9–10, 67–70; patient flow in, 89–92; for quality, 15–17
- Monitoring: errors, 109; by government, 116; in levels of care case study, 87–89; organizational process performance, 56–57; quality, 116–118; readmittance, 61; variation from standard, 85–89
- Mortalities, cost of, 71
- Moving between levels of care case study, 87–89
- N**
- National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), 140
- National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives report, 185
- National Patient Safety Goals initiative, 72–73
- ncqa.org Web site, 5
- Nightingale, Florence, 117
- Nursing homes in levels of care case study, 87–89
- Nursing staff: CareMap resistance by, 167–168; responsibility for errors, 110
- Nutrition case study, 52–54
- NYS DOH: coronary artery bypass graft data, 127–132; primary data collection by, 138–139; wrong-site surgery recommendations by, 104, 106
- O**
- 100,000 Lives Campaign, 72–73
- Operational decisions, data for, 142–143
- Organizational culture, 108–111
- Organizational goals: in accountability, 95–96; data for, 19
- Organizational processes, 41: CMS for, 49–51; for consumer satisfaction, 42–43; data for, 45–46; housekeeping case study, 54–56; indicators for, 47–48; knowledge acquisition in, 57–58; measures for, 51–52; nutrition case study, 52–54; objective assessment in, 46–47; performance monitoring in, 56–57; resource management in, 58–60; service evaluation in, 61–63; summary, 63–64; for value, 43–45
- Outcomes analysis, 3

P

Pain, measuring, 45–46

Patient flow: CareMaps for, 160, 169; in finances, 89–92

Patients: CareMaps for, 164; communication with, 124–125; demands of, 121–122; expectations of, 119–120, 198; measures for, 5–6; safety measures for, 72–73; satisfying, 42–43

PCAP (Prenatal Care Assistance Program), 186

PDCA (Plan Do Check Act) cycle: bariatric surgery case study, 80–85; inclusion in, 47; phases in, 75–80; for variation monitoring, 85–87

Performance improvement, 176–177: aggregated data for, 177–178; access to care improvements case study, 183–188; collaboration for, 191–192; consistency of care quality methods case study, 178–183; plans for, 76–80; quality methodology for, 74–76; sterilization case study, 188–191; summary, 192–193

Performance monitoring in organizational processes, 56–57

Physicians: accountability of, 101–103; administrative data use by, 137; attitudes of, 195–196; buy-in by, 105; CareMap benefits for, 169; CareMap resistance by, 167; in collaboration, 192; measures for, 3–4; mistakes by, 197–198; Plan Do Check Act (PDCA) cycle, 75–80; bariatric surgery case study, 80–85; inclusion in, 47; phases in, 75–80; for variation monitoring, 85–87

Plan phase: in bariatric surgery case study, 80–81; in PDCA cycle, 76–79

Planning: for improvement, 178; measures as proxy for, 59

Pneumonia: CMS indicators for, 49–51; discharge disposition for, 126–127

Policy and financial decisions, 7

Politicians, 123

Prenatal Care Assistance Program (PCAP), 186

Prescriptions, CPOE system for, 121

Pressure injuries: benchmarks for, 37; case study, 151–154; and nutrition, 52–54

Primary data, integrating, 138–139

Prioritization matrices, 78–79

Prioritizing expenses, 145

Proactive safety analysis, 144

Problem identification in CABG case study, 129

Processes: cost impact of, 16–17. *See also* Organizational processes

Psychological factors, 197

Public pressure, change from, 120–122

Publicity, media, 118–120

Purchasing decisions, measures for, 70–72

Q

Quality care: CMS standards for, 4; community relations in, 122–124; data for, 17–19; financial data for, 15–17; measuring, 65–68; monitoring, 116–118; operational issues with, 72. *See also* External drivers of quality; Internal drivers of quality

Quality data and measures, 65–68: communicating, 34–36, 146–151; defining, 31; for operational decisions, 142–143; for risk, 143–145

Quality indicators: access to, 11; for EMS, 180–181

Quality methods and management: benchmarks for, 201–202; for consistency of care, 178–183; courses for, 200; for performance improvement, 74–76

qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov Web site, 5

Questions for accountability, 111–112

R

Rankings: by Leapfrog Group, 18; patient availability to, 12; purpose of, 44–45

ratemds.com Web site, 5

RCA (root cause analysis): errors uncovered by, 123; importance of, 87; process, 106–108; for risk, 143–144

- Readmittance: administrator responsibility for, 61; tracking, 69–70
- Regulations, 132–133, 176–177
- Relative concepts, measuring, 45–46
- Report cards: benefits of, 139; for hospitals, 136
- Reporting: errors in, 32; medication error rates, 109
- Research, measures for, 57–58
- Residents, quality management training for, 201
- Resource management in organizational processes, 58–60
- Risk adjustment in cardiac mortality, 24–25
- Risks: aggregated data for, 177; quality management data for, 143–145
- Root cause analysis (RCA): errors uncovered by, 123; importance of, 87; process, 106–108; for risk, 143–144
- S**
- Safety: measures for, 72–73, 199; proactive analysis, 144
- Scannable variance forms, 166
- Self-extubations case study, 98–101
- Service evaluation in organizational processes, 61–63
- Shewhart, Walter, 54, 74
- Six Sigma tool, 74–75
- Social factors in access to care, 183–184
- Solution development in CABG case study, 130
- Standard of care, ensuring, 160–163
- Standardized care: CareMaps for, 160, 163–165; pressure for, 201
- Standards: for delivery of care, 22; monitoring variation from, 85–87
- Staph bacteria, 29–30
- Sterilization case study, 188–191
- Stroke case study, 139–142
- Suicides, in-patient, 172–174
- Surgery, wrong-site, 103–106
- T**
- Tracer methodology, 170
- Training: for blood transfusions, 146; quality management courses, 200; for sterilization, 190
- Transfusions, 145–146
- Treatment cycle in patient flow, 90
- Trends, aggregated data for, 166
- U**
- Undocumented residents access to care, 184
- Updating information, CareMaps for, 171
- V**
- Value, organizational processes for, 43–45
- Variation from standard: for driving quality, 165–167; levels of care case study, 87–89; monitoring, 85–87
- W**
- Web sites, 5–6
- Wrong-site surgery case study, 103–106

